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## PROJECT: Project A - Lake and Tailwater Sampling

Project Objective: To annually manage and conserve and sport fisheries and habitats throughout 221,680 acres of freshwater lakes, tailwaters, and small impoundments within the Commonwealth of Kentucky in order to provide recreational fishing opportunities to the public.

## A. ACTIVITY

Sport fish species were sampled throughout Kentucky using electrofishing, gill netting, trap netting, and other gear to gather biological data in order to best manage the sport fish resources of the Commonwealth. In total, over 65 lakes/reservoirs (encompassing approximately 217,498 acres), in addition to eight major tailwaters were sampled and managed. Otoliths were removed to calculate age/growth from various sport fish species of interest. Other measures were monitored including catch rates, mortality, recruitment, length/weight, water temperature, dissolved oxygen, and other physical limnological data. Creel surveys were conducted on four fisheries of interest and included: (1) Cave Run Lake; (2) Green River Lake; (3) Kentucky Lake and (4) Buckhorn Lake and focused heavily on musky anglers in association with a musky research project. Data from creel surveys was used to compare with standardized sampling data and obtain measurements concerning the public's catch rates, harvest rates, species of interest, and size of catch. Field staff also attended public meetings, as well as organized fishing group meetings to display catch and abundance data. Results of data obtained during the grant period were analyzed and summarized into the Fisheries Division 2014 Annual Reports. This information is available to the public at their request.

Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources’ fisheries biologists and technicians utilized a variety of methods to manage public water bodies including fertilizing 7 lakes, enhancing 2 lakes with aquatic plants and adding a variety of fish habitat attracting structures throughout the state. These structures include:

273 new stake beds
193 stake beds maintained/improved
110 new shallow water brush piles
122 shallow water brush piles maintained
1493 deep water brush piles
246 deep water brush piles maintained
1 rock pile formation
B. TARGET DATES FOR ACHIEVEMENT AND ACCOMPLISHMENT

Planned work achievement date: March 31, 2015
Work accomplished: March 31, 2015
C. SIGNIFICANT DEVIATIONS

None.
D. REMARKS

None.
E. RECOMMENDATIONS

Close this segment of F-50 and continue project into new segment (\#38) of F-50.
F. COST
\$1,179,003.17

## PROJECT: Project B - Private and Public Pond/Lake Technical Guidance

Project Objective: To provide technical guidance and planning assistance to individuals, groups, corporations, and government agencies for the development and improvement of sport fish populations and their habitats on lands they own or control.

## A. ACTIVITY

Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources’ biologists and technicians provided 128 on-site technical guidance visits during the grant period. On-site technical guidance problems were varied, but mostly focused around poor sport fish populations, aquatic vegetation, nuisance species (i.e. turtles), fish kills, and sport fish stocking questions. Department staff provided verbal and written recommendations to pond owners such as stocking recommendations, ideal species, aquatic vegetation identification and treatment, liming, pH monitoring, fish kill prevention, aeration, and special fish management permits that allow pond owners the ability to harvest fish outside of statewide fisheries regulations. Additionally, staff also conducted on-site visits to landowners developing new ponds.

An additional 839 technical guidance requests were handled by staff over the phone, through email, letters, and from walk-ins at department regional offices/fish hatcheries. As demand for technical guidance increases, the Department has begun providing more information for private pond owners on the website including adding 60+ webpages that guide landowners through common pond problems.

Program income was generated as a result of application fees for pond owners enrolling in the farm pond stocking program. The stocking program provides largemouth bass, bluegill, and channel catfish fingerlings to new or recently renovated ponds. The fee structure for this program is \$75 (0-1.4 acre ponds); \$200 (1.5-3.0 acre ponds); or $\$ 200$ plus $\$ 150$ per additional acre (for ponds exceeding 3.0 acres in size). As approved in the grant, this program income is added onto the grant via the "Additive Method" - 2 CFR 200 307(2).

## B. TARGET DATES FOR ACHIEVEMENT AND ACCOMPLISHMENT

Planned work achievement date: March 31, 2015
Work accomplished: March 31, 2015
C. SIGNIFICANT DEVIATIONS

None.
D. REMARKS

None.

## E. RECOMMENDATIONS

Close this segment of F-50 and continue project into new segment (\#38) of F-50.

## F. COST

\$47,189.13

## PROJECT: Project C - Fish Propagation and Transportation

Project Objective: To produce, rear, and stock various sport fish throughout the Commonwealth of Kentucky in order to establish, improve, or maintain recreational fishing opportunities in lakes, rivers, and reservoirs.
A. ACTIVITY

A total of 15 different sport fish species were produced and reared at both of the Pfeiffer and Minor Clark Fish Hatcheries during the grant period. An additional three sport fish species (rainbow, brown, and brook trout) were also raised at Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery and stocked by the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources. In total, 5,099,273 fingerling or larger sport fish (17,830,839 fish if you include all fry stockings) were produced and most were stocked throughout the waters of Kentucky during the grant period. These fish ranged in size from fry to 20+ inches. Below is a list of the species and numbers stocked during the grant period. See attached spreadsheet for a detailed description of total production and species information.

```
Blue Catfish - 15,578 (4-8" fish); 30,199 (6-9" fish); 17,304 (8-14" fish)
Bluegill Sunfish - 9,000(2-3" fish); }490\mathrm{ (7-9" fish)
Brook Trout - 11,847 (8-11" fish)
Brown Trout - 92,549 (3-9" fish)
Channel Catfish - 33,485 (2" fish); 165,036 (6-12" fish)
Hybrid Bluegill Sunfish - 63,319 (3-8" fish)
Hybrid Channel Catfish - 17,900 (7-15" fish)
Hybrid Striped Bass - 1,026,712 (1.5" fish); }1587\mathrm{ (5" fish)
Largemouth Bass -274,314 (2" fish); 91,650 (5" fish)
Muskellunge - 7,765 (8-9" fish); 13,434 (12-14" fish)
Rainbow Trout - 579,526 (8-12" fish)
Redear Sunfish - 26,920 (2" fish); 15,360 (3" fish)
Sauger - 77,734 (fry); }104\mathrm{ (10-16" fish)
Saugeye - 53,576 (2" fish)
Striped Bass - 285,576 (1.5" fish)
Triploid Rainbow Trout - 38,375 (8-15" fish)
Walleye - 1,632,527 (fry); }77\mathrm{ (12-24" fish)
Walleye (native strain) - 121,906 (1.5" fish)
White Bass - 134,310 (1.5" fish)
```


## B. TARGET DATES FOR ACHIEVEMENT AND ACCOMPLISHMENT

Planned work achievement date: March 31, 2015
Work accomplished: March 31, 2015

## C. SIGNIFICANT DEVIATIONS

Some deviations occurred from the planned production compared to the actual numbers/location of sport fish stocked during the grant. These minor deviations occur annually and are the result of changes that benefit the anglers and the resource. For example, trout may be redirected from one trout stream to another, particularly during the summer if some streams become too warm to stock trout. Additionally, if target numbers of fish are not met, the Division may adjust numbers to best meet the stocking needs of
individual water bodies. See the attached fish production report for a comparison of target stockings vs actual stockings.
D. REMARKS

None.
E. RECOMMENDATIONS

Close this segment of F-50 and continue project into new segment (\#38) of F-50.
F. COST
\$1,877,139.89

## PROJECT: Project D - Operation and Maintenance of District Fisheries Office and Hatcheries

Project Objective: To operate and maintain seven district fisheries offices and associated facilities in order to provide for optimal and diverse fish populations for the benefit of the public.
A. ACTIVITY

A total of seven district fisheries offices and two fish hatcheries were operated and maintained during the grant period. Additional associated facilities were also maintained within each fisheries district area (i.e. public restroom facilities at boat ramps and bank fishing areas; maintenance/replacement of fishing access signs). Additional facilities include 65 bank fishing areas and piers.
B. TARGET DATES FOR ACHIEVEMENT AND ACCOMPLISHMENT

Planned work achievement date: March 31, 2015
Work accomplished: March 31, 2015
C. SIGNIFICANT DEVIATIONS

None.
D. REMARKS

None.
E. RECOMMENDATIONS

Close this segment of F-50 and continue project into new segment (\#38) of F-50.
F. COST
\$257,188.93

Total Grant Cost for F-50-37 (F14AF00278)
Federal Share -

State Share -
\$4,484,617.09
\$3,360,521.12 75\%
\$1,124,095.97 25\%

## WESTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

## Project A: Lake and Tailwater Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

Sampling conditions for each survey event are listed in Table 1.

## Kentucky Lake

During the spring, 1,038 black bass were collected by diurnal electrofishing ( 120 PPS, DC current). During this sampling period, 976 largemouth bass ( $78.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) were collected (Table 2). The catch rates for largemouth bass between embayments varied from 47.7 to 112.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. This variation could be due to the fluctuating water levels and changing weather conditions during the sampling period. The catch rate of largemouth bass ( $\leq 8.0$ in) from Sugar Bay (LBL) was 66.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$, as compared to 8.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ at Blood River. The average catch rate for these small bass in the four embayments (excluding Sugar Bay) was 19.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. The samples from all five embayments had similar catch rates for largemouth bass $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$. Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) biologist also sampled Kentucky Lake during the same period. The length frequency for their total catch was similar to our data, but their total catch rate ( 50.4 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was lower. This is likely due to the fact that TVA only utilizes one dipper.

The spring bass data were used to complete the lake specific assessment (Table 3). The lake specific assessment suggests that the largemouth bass population rates as "fair". The growth rate parameter was calculated from the 2012 age data, which rated "excellent". The catch of harvestable size ( $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) bass continues to rate as "fair". However, angler satisfaction with the fishery is still very good, and bass tournaments are reporting record winning weights in excess of 20 pounds, on a five fish stringer.

The spring data were used to assess the fishery in regards to the Kentucky Lake Fish Management Plan (KLFMP). The catch rate of largemouth bass was average for small ( $\leq 8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) bass as compared to historical catch rates. However the catch of age 1 fish was above the KLFMP recommendation (Table 4). Additionally, Table 5 lists the catch rates of older bass collected during the spring sample.

The catch rates of largemouth bass in other length groups listed in the KLFMP were slightly below the recommended levels. The catch of bass in the range of 12.0-14.0 in should exceed $21.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$. The catch of bass 15.0 in and larger should exceed $18.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$, while the trophy size ( $\geq 20.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) catch rate should be at least $2.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 4). The PSD values were calculated from the bass collected, and reported in Table 6. The PSD value calculated for all sizes of largemouth bass was 59 , which falls inside the targeted range (PSD of 55-75). The calculated $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ was 30 , which falls within the targeted range ( $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ of 20-40). The PSD value suggests a population with almost equal densities of stock and quality size largemouth bass.

During October, 505 black bass were collected by diurnal electrofishing ( 120 PPS, DC current) at two locations; Blood River and Jonathan Creek. Largemouth bass comprised $90 \%$ ( 91.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) of this sample (Table 7). The length frequency of the catch for each embayment was similar for largemouth bass larger than 8.0 in. However for the smaller bass ( $\leq 8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ), Jonathan Creek ( 33.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) had a much higher catch rate than did Blood River (10.4 fish/hr).

Length and weight data were recorded from all bass collected in the fall to calculate relative weight values. The mean relative weight value for harvestable size largemouth bass was 91 (Table 8), with the historical average being 97. Overall the condition of black bass was fair. Typically the condition of the bass should be in the range of 94 to 104. For the last few years this condition value has been below the preferred range. This is one parameter that is being watched in perspective to the increasing population of Asian carp in the lake. However, Chlorophyll A in the lake has not shown any downward trend in comparison to the declining trend in bass conditions. Chlorophyll A is measured in the lake by Murray State University out of the Hancock Biological Station. Length-weight equations for black bass species at Kentucky Lake are:

$$
\text { Largemouth bass } \quad \log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.52499+3.17983 \times \log _{10}(\text { length })
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Smallmouth bass } & \left.\log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.48861+3.13484 \times \log _{10} \text { (length }\right) \\
\text { Spotted bass } & \log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.58703+3.25153 \times \log _{10} \text { (length) }
\end{array}
$$

Otoliths were collected from largemouth bass $\leq 10.0$ in during fall sampling. Otoliths were used to age these smaller bass so that age-0 CPUE and growth could be evaluated. The CPUE of age-0 largemouth bass during the fall sample was 20.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 9). The growth of the age-0 largemouth bass this year was considered to only be fair, reaching a mean of 4.1 in . Ideally, bass which reach 5.0 inches by the fall will have a better chance of survival during their first winter.

Trap nets were fished for crappie in Blood River and Jonathan Creek embayments for 80 net-nights (nn) during October and November. This sampling effort yielded 1,068 crappie ( $13.4 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$ ), of which $5.4 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}(41 \%)$ were white crappie and 7.9 fish/nn (59\%) were black crappie (Table 10). The Blood River and Jonathan Creek data is listed as "sub-total" on this table. Additionally this year, Sugar Bay on the LBL side of the lake was fished for crappie using trap nets. The catch rate was much lower in Sugar Bay. This is probably related to the steep shoreline and clear water, which are not ideal conditions to sample with trap nets.

One of the management objectives in the KLFMP is to maintain a catch rate of crappie (excluding age 0 ) of 20.0 fish/nn. Using only the Blood River and Jonathan Creek data, this year's sample yielded 10.3 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ (Table 11). This is up slightly from last year's sample ( 9.9 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ ), but still below the ten year average ( 16.2 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ ). The overall decline in adult fish, is likely due to the poor 2012 year class (age-2 crappie), and declining numbers of older fish due to fishing mortality. Trap netting data had suggested good year classes in 2009 (age-5) and 2010 (age-4).

The number of crappie $\geq 8.0$ in collected in trap nets was 3.9 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ (Table 11). This value is well below the historical average. The KLFMP objective for crappie is to maintain a catch rate of at least 14.0 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ for crappie $\geq 8.0$ in, and 5.0 fish/nn for crappie $\geq 10.0$ in. Neither objective was met. Regulation changes, such as an 11.0 in length limit or a more restrictive creel limit have been considered. After running simulation models in FAST, it does not appear that an 11.0 in size limit would benefit the population, other than reducing harvest. Creel survey data suggest, in order to effectively reduce harvest, that a creel limit of 10 fish or less might be required. This option would probably not be feasible to anglers. The consensus is that over-harvest is not the issue. The issue is poor recruitment, which is a reflection of spring time water conditions.

The fall trap netting data was used to calculate stock densities and length-weight equations for crappie. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values are reported in Table 12. Length-weight equations for white and black crappie are listed below.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { White crappie } & \log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.57287+3.20722 \times \log _{10} \text { (length) } \\
\text { Black crappie } & \log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.51580+3.20056 \times \log _{10} \text { (length) }
\end{array}
$$

Crappie at Kentucky Lake continue to have good growth rates. The growth management objective in the KLFMP is for age- 2 crappie collected in the fall to reach 9.5 inches in length (Table 11). There is about a half inch difference between white and black crappie, but both have growth rates that exceed this recommendation. Tables 13 and 14 illustrate the back-calculated lengths at age for white and black crappie, respectively. The age frequencies for white and black crappie collected are listed in Tables 15 and 16, respectively. Age- 1 white crappie made up $44 \%$ of the sample as compared to $10 \%$ last year. This would suggest that 2012 was a poor year class, while 2013 was better. The lake level never reached summer pool during 2012, possibly causing the poor year class.

One of the management objectives in the KLFMP is to maintain a catch of age- 1 crappie of at least 11.0 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ (Table 11). This value has been below the management objective for the past few years due to a number of poor year classes. This parameter is also used as part of the calculation for ranking the crappie fishery at Kentucky Lake. Overall, the crappie population at Kentucky Lake rated "poor" this year (Table 17). Poor catch rates for both juvenile and adult fish are causing this poor rating for the second year in a row.

The catfish population was sampled at Kentucky Lake during June by using low pulse ( 15 PPS) electrofishing along the main lake river channel. A chase boat was utilized to help collect catfish around the electrofishing boat. One dipper was used in each boat. A total of 149 catfish were collected during the 40 electrofishing runs made (Table 18). Each run lasted 300 seconds, for a total sample time of 3.3 hours over a three day period. Of the sample, blue
catfish had the highest catch rate at 38.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$, and made up $83 \%$ of the catfish collected. Relative weight values are listed in Table 19.

Otoliths were collected from each size range of catfish for age and growth analysis. Age data is presented for each species in Tables 20, 21 and 22. Growth for blue catfish appeared to be slower in 2014 than during a similar study conducted in 2009. In 2009, age-2 blue catfish were around 12.6 inches in length. In the more recent study, the age-2 blue catfish were around 9.6 inches in length. However, in the 2009 study, only 13 fish were aged, while 73 were aged in 2014. The oldest aged blue catfish was 17 . This fish was 35 inches long, weighing 23 pounds. Age frequency data for all catfish is presented in Tables 23,24 and 25 for blue catfish, channel catfish and flathead catfish, respectively. Of the blue catfish, almost half of the sample consisted of four year old fish (2010 year class). Analysis of water data; lake levels and discharge through the lake, did not appear to be any different in 2010 than other years data.

## Lake Barkley

Black bass were collected by diurnal electrofishing (120 PPS, DC current) during the spring at standardized sampling sites on Lake Barkley. A total of 788 black bass were collected at a rate of 82.9 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 26). Spotted and smallmouth bass accounted for about $2 \%$ of the total black bass sampled. The largemouth bass catch rate was 81.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. Of the sample sites, the smaller embayments (Donaldson and Nickell) had lower catch rates than the other two larger embayments (Little River and Eddy Creek). The catch of small ( $\leq 8.0$ in) and larger ( $\geq$ 15.0 in ) largemouth bass was better this year, as compared to the past few years, but still below the overall average catch rates (Table 27).

The overall PSD and RSD values for largemouth bass at Lake Barkley, along with values for individual embayments are listed in Table 28. The PSD value (77) is above the objective goal (PSD of 55-75) established in the Barkley Lake Fish Management Plan (BLFMP). This higher value indicates a bass fishery slightly skewed toward larger fish. The $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ (39) met the objective goal of 20-40, but only barely. Again, the higher the value the more the population is skewed toward larger fish, and less stock size.

The lake specific assessment score for Lake Barkley was "fair" (Table 29). The score was "good" for several years prior to 2010. Flood conditions in 2010, 2011, and 2013 as well as drought conditions in 2012 have influenced sampling likely resulting in lower ratings these years.

Age and growth data collected in 2012 was coupled with 2014 data to yield an estimate of the age distribution for largemouth bass. The age- 1 and age- 3 bass dominated the sample. These two year classes made up almost half of the age distribution (Table 30).

Largemouth bass were sampled in October to collect length-weight data, and determine the strength of the 2014 year-class. A total of 483 bass were collected, with $94 \%$ being largemouth bass (Table 31). Largemouth bass had a catch rate of 90.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. The relative weights were determined for all bass, but very few spotted and smallmouth bass were collected (Table 32). The relative weight for harvestable size ( $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) largemouth bass was 98 . The length-weight equation for largemouth bass at Lake Barkley is:

$$
\log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.60160+3.27051 \times \log _{10} \text { (length) }
$$

Mean length of the age- 0 cohort of largemouth bass was 4.8 in (Table 33). Ideally, the age- 0 bass should average at least 5.0 in by the fall. It has been suggested that bass which reach 5.0 in by the fall will have a better chance of survival during their first winter. Previous years have shown consistently strong numbers of age-0 largemouth bass. This year's value ( 24.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) is well below average, with fewer of these bass greater than 5.0 inches in length. Since year-class strength tends to be related to the relative size of age-0 fish during the fall of their first year, the 2013 year-class contributed well to the population of age-1 largemouth bass this spring.

Trap nets were fished for crappie in Little River and Donaldson Creek embayments for 80 net-nights (nn) during October and November. A total of 1,015 crappie were collected at a rate of 12.7 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ (Table 34). Additionally this year Crooked Creek (LBL) and Eddy Creek were sampled for another 81 net nights. The Crooked Creek
sample yielded good numbers of crappie, while Eddy Creek did not. For this report, only data from the traditional sites (Donaldson and Little River) were used.

White crappie accounted for $80 \%$ of the total catch, and were collected at a rate of 9.1 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$. Black crappie were collected at a rate of 3.6 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$. The CPUE of harvestable-size ( $\geq 10.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) crappie was below the ten year average at 0.8 fish/nn (Table 35). This is one of the lower values observed during the 30 years of crappie sampling at Lake Barkley. This is likely due to poor year classes and high harvest. The CPUE of quality-size ( $\geq 8.0$ in) crappie was 1.9 fish/nn, which is below the management objective ( $4.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$ ) set in the BLFMP. Although the numbers of adult fish are down, recruitment from the 2013 and 2014 spawns looks promising, and should add to the fishery in the next few years.

Crappie collected in trap nets were used to determine stock densities. The PSD (72) and $\operatorname{RSD}_{10}$ (36) of white crappie were in the upper range of values when compared to the last twenty years (Table 36). The 30-year average PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values of white crappie are 59 and 29 , respectively. The $\operatorname{PSD}(28)$ and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}(5)$ values of black crappie are very low compared to recent years. This suggests a population skewed toward smaller fish, hence recent good recruitment.

The length-weight equations of white and black crappie from Lake Barkley are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { White crappie } & \log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.81159+3.50411 \times \log _{10} \text { (length) } \\
\text { Black crappie } & \log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.80185+3.57803 \times \log _{10} \text { (length) }
\end{array}
$$

Otoliths from crappie were used for age and growth analysis. Ages ranged from 0-9 years for white crappie and 0-5 years for black crappie (Tables 37 and 38). Growth continues to be good as crappie reached 10.0 in between age 2 and 3. The average length of age-2+ white crappie was 11.8 in (Table 35), while black crappie was 9.6 in. Age frequencies were estimated combining catch data with age data. The catch of white crappie was dominated by age- 0 and age-1 fish (Table 39). Similar results were seen with black crappie (Table 40).

Assessment of the crappie population yielded a rating of "fair" at Lake Barkley in 2014 (Table 41). The catch of age- 0 and age- 1 crappie along with growth rates ranked well. The catch of adult fish was poor. A decline in the number of larger size crappie could be a result of the below average year classes produced in 2011 and 2012.

The catfish population was sampled at Lake Barkley during June by using low pulse ( 15 PPS ) electrofishing along the main lake river channel. A chase boat was utilized to help collect catfish around the electrofishing boat. A total of 285 catfish were collected during the 40 electrofishing runs made (Table 42). Each run lasted 300 seconds, for a total sample time of 3.3 hours over a three day period. Of the sample, blue catfish had the highest catch rate at 77.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$, and made up $87 \%$ of the catfish collected. Relative weight values are listed in Table 43.

Otoliths were also collected from each size range of catfish for age and growth analysis. Age data is presented for each species in Tables 44, 45 and 46. Growth for blue catfish appeared to be slower in 2014 than in a similar study conducted in 2004. In 2004, age-2 blue catfish were around 10.5 inches in length. In the more recent study, the age2 blue catfish averaged 8.7 inches in length. The oldest aged flathead catfish was 24 years old. This fish was 46.0 in long, weighing 48 pounds. Age frequency data is presented in Tables 47, 48 and 49 for blue catfish, channel catfish and flathead catfish, respectively. Of the blue catfish, almost half of the sample consisted of three year old fish. Almost $75 \%$ of the sample was comprised of age- 2 and 3 year old fish.

## Lake Beshear

Largemouth bass were collected by diurnal electrofishing (120 PPS, DC current) during April at Lake Beshear. Two hundred and nine largemouth bass were collected at a rate of 83.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 50). Despite the high catch rate of bass less than 8.0 inches in the 2013 sample, the 2014 sample yielded the lowest values recorded since 1992 (Table 51). The catch rate of harvestable-size ( $\geq 12.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) largemouth bass was $61.6 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$. One objective in the Lake Beshear Fish Management Plan (LBFMP) is to maintain a catch rate of $40.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ for harvestable-size largemouth bass. Other objectives are to maintain a high catch rates of bass $\geq 15.0$ and $\geq 20.0$ in. Ideally, these catch rates should be greater than 30.0 and 4.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$, respectively. The catch rates for these size bass were above the
management objective minimum at 43.6 and $4.4 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{hr}$, respectively. Lake Beshear continues to have a quality bass fishery with high numbers of bass $\geq 15.0$ in. The fishery rated "good" following an "excellent" rating last year (Table 52). The decline in the rating was due to the low catch rate of age- 1 bass. This low catch rate of age- 1 bass may cause declines in adult bass numbers in the near future.

Largemouth bass were collected by diurnal electrofishing (120 PPS, DC current) in October (Table 50). The catch rate ( 90.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was higher than the 2013 fall catch rate ( $69.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). Relative weight data suggests that the larger bass ( $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) are healthy with regard to their length-weight ratio. The average relative weight value was 96 for these larger bass and 89 for all sizes of bass. The length-weight equation for largemouth bass at Lake Beshear is:

$$
\log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.56821+3.21462 \times \log _{10}(\text { length })
$$

Otoliths were removed from a subsample of largemouth bass $\leq 10.0$ in to determine the mean fall length of the age- 0 cohort, and determine their catch rate. The catch rate for age-0 largemouth bass was 24.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 53). The average length of the age- 0 bass was 4.8 in .

## Lake Pennyrile

Electrofishing for all species of sportfish in Lake Pennyrile was conducted on 30 May 2014. This sample date was later than the normal sample time. Sixty-one largemouth bass were captured at a rate of 61.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 54). This catch rate is about half of the number collected in 2013. This value is also well below the long term average, but closer to the value that is preferred in the management objectives. The majority of largemouth bass are still below 15.0 in. Only one fish over 16.0 in was captured in this year's sample. The catch rate of fish $\geq 15.0$ in ( 1.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) is below the ten year average, but better than most previous years of sampling (Table 55).

No bluegill were captured above 8.0 inches in length, a likely result of the later sampling date. Catch rates for the 6.0-7.9 in length group of bluegill is below the long-term average, suggesting a poorly timed sample as well (Table 56). The catch rate of smaller bluegill was below average, but this value often has a high variability due to changing sampling conditions. A drawdown in 2012 may have given the predators in the lake an advantage by pulling the smaller fish from their shallow water hiding places making them vulnerable to predation. Only 33 redear sunfish were captured, but a forth of those fish were larger than 8.0 in (Table 54). The catch rate for the medium size (6.08.0 inch) redear was good. However, the catch rates for larger and small size groups of redear sunfish were well below average.

PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values for largemouth bass, bluegill and redear sunfish are listed in Table 57. The PSD value for largemouth bass suggests a population skewed toward small bass. The fishery is likely stunted. It will be recommended in 2015 to remove the slot limit. PSD's and RSD's are above average for bluegill and redear.

A lake specific assessment for Lake Pennyrile has not been possible in recent years without good age and growth estimates. In 2011, a small sample of bass were aged and the largemouth bass population was rated as "fair" (Table 58). In more recent years, assessments have been completed using the age data from 2011. However, due to low sample numbers and a small age data set, these assessment values likely do not represent the fishery. Age data collected and a better timed sample will be attempted in 2015.

Table 1. 2014 yearly summary of sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled, and date.

| Water body | Location | Species | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Gear | Weather | Water temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Water level | Secchi <br> (in) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Water } \\ \text { Conditions } \end{gathered}$ | Pertinent sampling comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barkley | Donaldson | black bass | 5/14/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | sunny/w indy | 72.0 | 359.0 |  | rough | fair sample |
| Barkley | Little River | black bass | 5/9/2014 | 2.0 | electrofisher | sunny | 63.7 | 363.7 |  | calm | muddy, high w ater, terrible sample, discharge 100,000 CFS |
| Barkley | Nickel | black bass | 5/16/2014 | 2.0 | electrofisher | overcast/calm | 67.0 | 359.8 |  | calm | post cold front, w ater temp drop 10 degree tw o days earlier, poor sample |
| Barkley | Eddy Creek | black bass | 5/19/2014 | 3.0 | electrofisher | sunny/calm | 68.0 | 359.4 |  | calmstable | good sample |
| Barkley | Little River | black bass | 10/6/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | sunny | 66.0 | 355.0 | 12 | calmbreezy | good sample |
| Barkley | Eddy Creek | black bass | 10/14/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | overcast/w indy | 66.0 | 355.7 | 20 | rough | good sample |
| Barkley |  | catfish |  |  | low pulse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barkley |  | catfish |  |  | low pulse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barkley |  | catfish |  |  | low pulse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barkley | Crooked Creek | crappie | 10/21/2014 | 40 nn | trapnet | sunny/calm | 66.0 | 355.4 | 20 | normal | first time to sample this area, fair sample |
| Barkley | Donaldson | crappie | 10/29/2014 | 40 nn | trapnet | overcast/calm | 63.0 | 354.5 | 18 | calmstable | approaching front, rain one day, fair sample |
| Barkley | Eddy Creek | crappie | 10/21/2014 | 41 nn | trapnet | sunny/calm | 63.0 | 355.4 |  | normal | SWFD fished this bay, fair sample |
| Barkley | Little River | crappie | 11/5/2014 | 40 nn | trapnet | overcast cool | 57.0 | 354.8 | 30 | calmstable | good sample |
| Beshear |  | black bass | 5/2/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | sunny/breezy | 63.5 | above | 54 | choppy | fair sample |
| Beshear |  | black bass | 10/16/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | overcast/breezy | 65.0 | normal | 37 | calm | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Blood River | black bass | 5/6/2014 | 3.0 | electrofisher | sunny/w indy | 66.0 | 358.6 | 21 | rough | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Jonathan | black bass | 5/7/2014 | 3.0 | electrofisher | sunny/w indy | 70.0 | 359.0 | 30 | rough | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Big Bear | black bass | 5/8/2014 | 2.0 | electrofisher | sunny/w indy | 70.0 | 359.2 |  | rough | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Fenton | black bass | 5/21/2014 | 1.5 | electrofisher | sunny/w indy | 70.5 | 359.1 | 36 | rough | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Sugar Bay | black bass | 5/15/2014 | 3.0 | electrofisher | overcast/w indy | 65.0 | 359.2 |  | rough | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Jonathan | black bass | 10/8/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | sunny/calm | 66.0 | 354.9 | 36 | calmstable | good sample |
| Kentucky | Blood River | black bass | 10/13/2014 | 2.5 | electrofisher | overcast/w indy | 67.0 | 355.3 | 36 | rough/rising | fair sample |
| Kentucky | Jonathan | crappie | 10/28/2014 | 40 nn | trapnet | coldfront/cold | 65.8 | 354.7 | 26 | choppy/stable | most of the w eek w indy, one day of rain, most days rough w ater, fair sample |
| Kentucky | Sugar Bay | crappie | 10/21/2014 | 40 nn | trapnet | sunny/calm | 67.0 | 354.6 | 40 | calmstable | poor sample due to steep slope shoreline and clear w ater |
| Kentucky | Blood River | crappie | 11/4/2014 | 40nn | trapnet | overcast/cool | 55.6 | 354.8 | 24 | rough/stabled | one day of heavy rain, most days w indy, air temp cold, poor sample |
| Kentucky |  | catfish |  |  | low pulse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky |  | catfish |  |  | low pulse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky |  | catfish |  |  | low pulse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennyrile |  | sportfish | 5/30/2014 | 1.0 | electrofisher | sunny | 81.2 | normal |  | calm | late sample, fair |

Table 2. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 12.5 hours (25-30-minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Kentucky Lake during May 2014.

| Area | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE Std err |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Blood River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Spotted bass |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 8 |  | 20 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 |  | 7 | 1 | 1 | 143 | 47.7 | 7.4 |
| Jonathan Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spotted bass |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 7 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 9 |  | 15 | 20 | 17 | 11 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 10 |  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 194 | 64.7 | 9.5 |
| Big Bear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 10 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 13 |  | 27 | 23 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 186 | 93.0 | 12.4 |
| Sugar Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 4.7 | 1.8 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 13 | 78 | 61 | 34 | 12 | 17 |  | 18 | 22 | 28 | 18 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 337 | 112.3 | 17.2 |
| Fenton Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 21.3 | 7.0 |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 12 | 18 | 15 | 2 | 3 |  | 18 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 116 | 77.3 | 7.0 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | 5 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 5 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 4.5 | 1.6 |
| Spotted bass |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 24 | 119 | 116 | 71 | 26 | 50 |  | 98 | 88 | 79 | 60 | 49 | 52 | 45 | 46 | 24 |  | 18 | 8 | 3 | 976 | 78.1 | 7.1 |

Table 3. Lake specific assessment for largemouth bass collected at Kentucky Lake from 20052014. This table includes the parameter estimates and the individual scores as well as the total score and assessment rating. The final two columns list the instantaneous mortality $(Z)$ and \% annual mortality (A).

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean length } \\ & \text { age-3 at } \\ & \text { capture } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | Length group |  |  | Total score | Assessment rating | Z | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CPUE | CPUE | CPUE |  |  |  |  |
| 2014* | 13.9 | 32.6 | 15.0 | 15.7 | 0.9 |  |  | 0.452 | 36.3 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | F |  |  |
| 2013* | $13.9{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 40.2 | 9.6 | 15.8 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.446 | 35.9 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | F |  |  |
| 2012* | 13.9 | 35.6 | 26.9 | 17.5 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.588 | 44.5 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2011* | 12.9 | 7.4 | 34.0 | 8.6 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | F |  |  |
| 2010* | 13.8 | 34.4 | 42.9 | 12.4 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2009 | $13.8{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 27.9 | 24.3 | 13.5 | 1.4 |  |  | 0.429 | 34.9 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | F |  |  |
| 2008 | $13.8{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 73.1 | 19.1 | 24.2 | 1.9 |  |  | 0.575 | 43.7 |
| Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 15 | G |  |  |
| 2007 | $13.8{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 22.2 | 28.8 | 26.1 | 1.3 |  |  | 0.560 | 32.2 |
| Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 12 | G |  |  |
| 2006 | $13.8{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 31.8 | 23.6 | 20.9 | 0.6 |  |  | 0.666 | 48.6 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 12 | G |  |  |
| 2005 | 13.8 | 28.7 | 46.5 | 23.6 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.639 | 47.2 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 13 | G |  |  |
| Average | 13.7 | 33.4 | 27.1 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 11.2 |  | 0.544 | 40.4 |

Data from 1985 to 2004 is listed in previous annual reports.

A age and grow th data was not collected. Previous year data used for age estimates.
2010*, 2011* and 2013* samples w ere hampered by high w ater levels during flooding, sample w as later than normal; overall a poor sample and not all embayments were sampled.
2012* sample $w$ as hampered by low $w$ ater levels during drought.
Rating
5-7 = Poor (P)
8-11 = Fair (F)
12-16 = Good (G)
17-20 $=$ Excellent (E)
(Kentucky Bass Database.xls)

Table 4. Spring diurnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Kentucky Lake during May 2005-2014.

(Kentucky Bass Database.xls)
Data for 1985-2004 is listed in previous annual reports.

Table 5. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during diurnal electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in May 2014. 2012 age and growth data file used for calculations of age-frequency.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 24 | 119 | 116 | 71 | 26 | 39 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 407 | 42 | 32.6 | 6.2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 86 | 88 | 65 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 257 | 26 | 20.6 | 2.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 4 | 2.8 | 0.3 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 15 | 16 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 8 | 6.6 | 0.6 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 15 | 36 | 32 | 33 | 8 |  |  |  | 131 | 13 | 10.5 | 1.1 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 13 | 8 | 3 |  |  | 30 | 3 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 9 | 3 |  | 20 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 6 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Total | 24 | 119 | 116 | 71 | 26 | 50 | 98 | 88 | 79 | 60 | 50 | 52 | 44 | 46 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 976 |  |  |  |
| \% | 2 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

wfdpsdky.d14, w fdlbkag.d12

Table 6. PSD and RSD values calculated for black bass species collected during diurnal electrofishing at Kentucky Lake during May 2014; 95\% confidence limits are shown in parentheses.

|  | Species | No. fish <br> $\geq 8.0$ <br> Area | PSD | RSD $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blood River | Largemouth bass | 120 | $65(+/-9)$ | $38(+/-9)$ |
| Jonathan Creek Largemouth bass | 165 | $72(+/-7)$ | $45(+/-8)$ |  |
| Big Bear | Largemouth bass | 139 | $50(+/-8)$ | $24(+/-7)$ |
| Sugar Bay | Largemouth bass | 139 | $54(+/-8)$ | $19(+/-6)$ |
| Fenton Area | Largemouth bass | 71 | $51(+/-12)$ | $20(+/-9)$ |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 646 | $59(+/-4)$ | $30(+/-4)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Largemouth bass $=$ RSD $_{15}$, Spotted and smallmouth bass $=$ RSD $_{14}$
wfdpsdky.d14

Table 7. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 5.0 hours (10-30-minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Kentucky Lake during October 2014.

| Area / Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  | 5 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  | 9 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Blood River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 10.0 | 5.1 |
| Spotted bass |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 4 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 26 | 19 | 30 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 | 1 | 196 | 78.4 | 14.1 |
| Jonathan Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| Spotted bass |  | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 56 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 33 | 22 | 30 | 18 | 18 | 16 |  | 8 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 259 | 103.6 | 21.0 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 7.0 | 2.9 |
| Spotted bass |  | 2 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 60 | 21 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 34 | 54 | 42 | 56 | 37 | 48 | 27 |  | 17 | 8 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 1 | 455 | 91.0 | 12.6 |

Table 8. Number of bass and relative weight (Wr) for each length group of black bass collected at Kentucky Lake during October 2014. Standard errors are shown in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | Std err | No. | Wr | Std err | No. | Wr | Std err |
| Largemouth bass | Blood River | 84 | 93 | (1) |  |  | (1) |  |  | (2) |
|  | Jonathan Creek | 101 | 91 | (1) | 52 | 88 | (1) | 22 | 89 | (3) |
|  | Total | 185 | 92 | (1) | 112 | 88 | (1) | 47 | 91 | (2) |
|  |  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7.0-10.9 in |  |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  |  |
| Species | Area | No. | Wr | Std err | No. | Wr | Std err | No. | Wr | Std err |
| Spotted bass | Total | 6 | 101 | (5) |  |  |  | 1 | 82 |  |
| Smallmouth bass | Total | 15 | 90 | (3) | 6 | 85 | (2) | 5 | 80 | (4) |

wfdw rky.d14

Table 9. Age-0 CPUE (fish/hr) and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall, and CPUE of age-1 largemouth bass collected the following spring during diurnal electrofishing at Kentucky Lake.

| Year class | Age $0^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0 \mathrm{in}^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $1^{\text {B }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err |
| 2014 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 20.2 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 2013 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 31.3 | 5.2 | 21.5 | 4.1 | 32.6 | 6.2 |
| 2012 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 63.0 | 13.9 | 55.9 | 12.5 | 40.2* | 7.0 |
| 2011 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 75.9 | 8.3 | 54.1 | 6.4 | 35.6* | 5.3 |
| 2010 | 5.7 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 4.9 | 17.4 | 2.6 | 7.4* | 1.6 |
| 2009 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 30.9 | 5.4 | 16.7 | 2.8 | 34.4* | 5.9 |
| 2008 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 33.8 | 6.9 | 27.2 | 4.8 | 27.9 | 5.0 |
| 2007 | 7.1 | 0.1 | 122.2 | 26.5 | 106.4 | 24.6 | 73.1 | 8.6 |
| 2006 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 19.0 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 22.2 | 4.0 |
| 2005 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 17.8 | 4.1 | 10.0 | 1.7 | 31.8 | 6.7 |
| Average | 5.5 |  | 43.8 |  | 32.2 |  | 37.5 |  |

A Data collected by fall (October) diurnal electrofishing. Mean lengths w ere determined by analysis of otoliths removed from a subsample of $\mathrm{LMB}<8.0$ in and extrapolated to the entire catch of the fall sample. Since 2010, bass up to 10.0 in have been collected for analysis.
${ }^{\text {B }}$ Data from diurnal electrofishing samples collected the follow ing spring (April/May).
*2010, 2011 and 2013 spring data $w$ as poor due to high w ater levels.
*2012 spring data $w$ as poor due to low water levels.
Data from 1990 to 2004 is listed in previous year reports.
w fdw rky.dxx, w fdw ragk.dxx, w fdpsdky.dxx

Table 10. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/nn) of crappie collected by trap nets fished during 40 net-nights at three embayments of Kentucky Lake during October - November 2014. The Sub-Total is used for historical comparison and excludes those data for embayments which historically had not been sampled.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Blood River | White crappie | 9 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 77 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
|  | Black crappie | 32 | 27 | 3 | 29 | 28 | 10 | 11 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 17 |  | 223 | 5.6 | 1.0 |
| Jonathan Cr. | White crappie | 23 | 76 | 3 | 7 | 78 | 51 | 24 | 14 | 34 | 35 | 12 |  | 357 | 8.9 | 1.2 |
|  | Black crappie | 20 | 12 | 7 | 132 | 135 | 19 | 21 | 37 | 16 | 10 | 2 |  | 411 | 10.3 | 2.4 |
| Sub-Total | White crappie | 32 | 98 | 4 | 8 | 93 | 64 | 27 | 16 | 39 | 38 | 14 | 1 | 434 | 5.4 | 0.6 |
|  | Black crappie | 52 | 39 | 10 | 161 | 163 | 29 | 32 | 60 | 36 | 33 | 19 |  | 634 | 7.9 | 1.4 |
| Sugar Bay | White crappie |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
|  | Black crappie | 3 | 26 |  |  | 6 | 14 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 79 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| TOTAL | White crappie | 32 | 100 | 5 | 8 | 95 | 64 | 27 | 19 | 40 | 38 | 14 | 1 | 443 | 3.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Black crappie | 55 | 65 | 10 | 161 | 169 | 43 | 46 | 62 | 40 | 37 | 23 | 2 | 713 | 5.9 | 1.3 |

Table 11. Crappie population parameters used to manage the population at Kentucky Lake, with values determined from fall trap netting at Blood River and Jonathan Creek.

|  | Total CPUE (fish/nn) excluding age-0 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE (f/nn) } \\ \text { age-0 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Mean length (in) age-2 at capture |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE }(\text { fish } / \mathrm{nn}) \\ \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | CPUE (fish/nn) age-1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE (fish/nn) } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie |
| 2014 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 10.3 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.3 |
| 2013 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 4.6 |
| 2012 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 4.2 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 4.5 |
| 2011 | 3.2 | 15.6 | 18.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 10.3 | 12.3 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 3.4 |
| $2010{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 5.2 | 13.5 | 18.7 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 2.7 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 5.2 |
| 2009 | 2.0 | 14.2 | 16.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 12.0 | 13.6 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 10.4 |
| $2008{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 0.4 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 8.5 |
| 2007 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 15.1 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 1.5 | 11.7 | 13.2 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 6.2 |
| $2006{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 2.6 | 16.1 | 18.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.9 |
| $2005^{\text {A }}$ | 3.9 | 22.7 | 26.7 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 13.8 | 16.2 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| Averag | 2.9 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 10.9 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 2.0 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 5.3 |

A Indicates year where age and grow th data $w$ as not collected. Age and grow th data from the previous year $w$ as used to calculate the appropriate value.
Data from 1985 to 2004 is listed in previous annual reports.
Kentucky Lake Crappie Database

Table 12. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density ( $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ ) of white and black crappie collected with trap nets (40 net-nights each site) at Kentucky Lake (Blood River, Jonathan Creek and Sugar Bay) during October and November 2014. 95\% confidence interval is shown in parentheses.

| Location | Species | N | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blood River | White crappie | 45 | $36( \pm 14)$ | $24( \pm 13)$ |
|  | Black crappie | 161 | $58( \pm 8)$ | $37( \pm 8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Jonathan Creek | White crappie | 255 | $47( \pm 6)$ | $32( \pm 6)$ |
|  | Black crappie | 326 | $82( \pm 4)$ | $23( \pm 5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub Total | White crappie | 300 | $45( \pm 6)$ | $31( \pm 5)$ |
|  | Black crappie | 533 | $34( \pm 4)$ | $17( \pm 3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar Bay | White crappie | 6 | $67( \pm 41)$ | $16( \pm 33)$ |
|  | Black crappie | 50 | $60( \pm 14)$ | $28( \pm 13)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | White crappie | 306 | $45( \pm 6)$ | $30( \pm 5)$ |
|  | Black crappie | 583 | $36( \pm 4)$ | $17( \pm 3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

wfdtpntk.d14

Table 13. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus of white crappie including the range in length at each age and the 95\% confidence interval of each age group. Otoliths were collected from Kentucky Lake (Blood River and Jonathan Creek) in fall 2014.

| Year class | N | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 39 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 21 | 4.3 | 7.8 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 5 | 3.6 | 7.4 | 9.7 |  |  |
| 2010 | 33 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 8.7 | 10.3 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.2 | 10.0 |
| Mean |  | 4.0 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 10.0 |
| Smallest |  | 2.5 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 10.0 |
| Largest |  | 7.8 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 10.0 |
| Std err |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |
| Low 95\% CI |  | 3.8 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 9.9 |  |
| High 95\% Cl |  | 4.2 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 10.5 |  |

* Intercept $=0$.
wfdtnagk.d14

Table 14. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus of black crappie including the range in length at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence interval of each age group. Otoliths were collected from Kentucky Lake (Blood River and Jonathan Creek) in fall 2014.

| Year class | N | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2013 | 43 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 16 | 4.2 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 27 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 18 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 8.9 | 10.3 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 15 | 3.5 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 10.8 |  |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 12.3 |
| Mean |  | 3.8 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 12.3 |
| Smallest |  | 2.5 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 11.8 | 12.3 |
| Largest |  | 6.4 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 12.3 |
| Std err |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Low 95\% CI |  | 3.7 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 10.4 |  |  |
| High 95\% CI |  | 3.9 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 11.3 |  |  |

* Intercept $=0$.
wfdtnagk.d14

Table 15. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white crappie collected in trap nets fished for 80 net-nights in Kentucky Lake (Blood River and Jonathan Creek) during October and November 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 32 | 98 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134 | 31 | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 8 | 93 | 64 | 14 | 3 | 10 |  | 1 |  |  | 193 | 44 | 2.4 | 0.4 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 7 | 8 | 13 |  | 1 |  | 43 | 10 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 9 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 12 | 23 | 12 |  |  | 53 | 12 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 32 | 98 | 4 | 8 | 93 | 64 | 28 | 16 | 38 | 38 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 434 |  | 5.4 |  |
| \% | 7 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

wfdtpntk.d14, wfdtnagk.d14

Table 16. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of black crappie collected in trap nets fished for 80 net-nights in Kentucky Lake (Blood River and Jonathan Creek) during October and November 2014.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 52 | 39 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | 15 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| 1 |  |  | 3 | 161 | 136 | 24 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  | 345 | 54 | 4.3 | 1.0 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 27 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 2 |  | 65 | 10 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 28 | 19 | 8 |  | 61 | 10 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 35 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 28 | 4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 52 | 39 | 10 | 161 | 163 | 29 | 33 | 59 | 36 | 33 | 19 | 634 |  | 7.9 |  |
| \% | 8 | 6 | 2 | 25 | 26 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |

wfdtpntk.d14, wfdtnagk.d14

Table 17. Lake specific assessment for crappie collected at Kentucky Lake (Blood River and Jonathan Creek) from 2005-2014. This table includes the individual scores for each parameter, as well as the total scores and assessment ratings. The final columns list the instantaneous mortality $(Z)$ and annual mortality (A).

| Year | CPUE age-1 and older | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Mean length age-2 at capture | Total score | Assessment rating | Z | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 10.5 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 9.7 |  |  | 0.910 | 59.7 |
| Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | P |  |  |
| 2013 | 9.9 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 8.7 | 9.4 |  |  | 0.657 | 48.2 |
| Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | P |  |  |
| 2012 | 13.0 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 10.0 |  |  | 1.028 | 64.2 |
| Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 | F |  |  |
| 2011 | 18.8 | 9.0 | 3.4 | 12.3 | 10.0 |  |  | 0.916 | 60.0 |
| Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2010 | 18.7 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 8.4 | 10.6 |  |  | 0.556 | 42.6 |
| Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2009 | 16.2 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 13.6 | 10.6 |  |  | 0.758 | 53.1 |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2008 | 15.3 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 13.3 | 10.7 |  |  | 0.440 | 35.6 |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2007 | 15.1 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 13.2 | 10.7 |  |  | 0.872 | 58.2 |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2006 | 18.7 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 13.5 | 9.7 |  |  | 0.729 | 51.7 |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2005 | 26.7 | 12.9 | 4.2 | 16.2 | 9.7 |  |  | 0.788 | 54.5 |
| Score | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 13 | G |  |  |
| Average | 16.3 | 7.7 | 3.9 | 11.4 | 10.1 | 10.4 |  | 0.765 | 52.78 |


| Rating |
| :--- |
| $1-7=$ Poor (P) |
| $8-12=$ Fair (F) |
| $13-17=$ Good (G) |
| $18-20=$ Excellent (E) |

Kentucky Lake Crappie Database

Table 18. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of channel, blue, and flathead catfish collected from Kentucky Lake in June 2014 from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing along the main river channel. A chase boat was used. A total of 3.3 hours of sampling consisting of 40-300-second runs.

| Inch groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std Err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 36 | 39 | 41 |  |  |  |
| Blue catfish | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 124 | 38.8 | 7.7 |
| Channel catfish |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| Flathead catfish |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 18 | 5.6 | 1.6 |

wfdkcat.d14

Table 19. Relative weight $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of each length group of blue, channel, and flathead catfish collected from Kentucky Lake during June 2014. Fish were collected using low pulse (15 PPS)

| Species | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue catfish | 12.0-19.9 in |  |  | 20.0-29.9 in |  |  | $\geq 30.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err |
|  | 77 | 106 | 1 | 21 | 101 | 2 | 5 | 116 | 4 | 103 | 106 | 1 |
| Channel catfish | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.0-15.9 in |  |  | 16.0-23.9 in |  |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err |
|  | 2 | 94 | 12 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 4 | 95 | 9 |
| Flathead catfish | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.0-19.9 in |  |  | 20.0-29.9 in |  |  | $\geq 30.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err |
|  | 3 | 90 | 4 | 9 | 94 | 2 | 3 | 106 | 2 | 15 | 96 | 2 |

w fdkcat.d14

Table 20. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus on otoliths from blue catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in June 2014, including the range in length of blue catfish at each age and the 95\% confidence interval.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 2013 | 2 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 11 | 4.8 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 8 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 24 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 12.5 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 8 | 5.7 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 16.8 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 12 | 5.5 | 9.0 | 12.7 | 15.6 | 18.1 | 20.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 3 | 5.5 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 21.7 | 24.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 4.6 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 16.0 | 19.0 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 25.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 3 | 5.8 | 11.5 | 13.5 | 16.7 | 19.1 | 22.0 | 24.4 | 26.6 | 28.7 | 31.3 |  |  |
| 2002 | 1 | 5.8 | 9.6 | 13.4 | 16.1 | 18.8 | 21.5 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 26.9 | 28.4 | 30.7 | 32.2 |
| Mean |  | 5.4 | 9.6 | 12.7 | 15.7 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 24.0 | 26.0 | 28.3 | 30.6 | 30.7 | 32.2 |
| Smallest |  | 3.4 | 7.7 | 9.6 | 12.1 | 15.3 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 21.9 | 23.9 | 25.9 | 30.7 | 32.2 |
| Largest |  | 7.8 | 16.3 | 15.8 | 20.0 | 22.1 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 30.5 | 32.6 | 35.7 | 30.7 | 32.2 |
| Std Err |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 |  |  |
| Low 95\% Cl |  | 5.2 | 9.3 | 12.4 | 15.3 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 23.2 | 24.6 | 26.3 |  |  |
| High 95\% Cl |  | 5.6 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 16.1 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 26.0 | 28.8 | 31.9 | 34.8 |  |  |

* Intercept = 0
wfdkcag.d14

Table 21. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus on otoliths from channel catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in June 2014, including the range in length of channel catfish at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence interval.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 3 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 4.4 | 8.1 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 5.3 | 8.3 | 10.9 |  |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 5.8 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 21.4 |
|  |  | 5.0 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 18.3 | 21.4 |
| Mean |  | 4.4 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 18.3 | 21.4 |
| Smallest |  | 6.1 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 21.4 |
| Largest |  | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
| Std Err |  | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.9 |  |  |
| Low 95\% Cl |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High 95\% Cl |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Intercept =0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wfdkcag.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 22. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus on otoliths from flathead catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in June 2014, including the range in length of flathead catfish at each age and the 95\% confidence interval.

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| 2012 | 1 | 4.8 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2 | 4.8 | 8.1 | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 8.5 | 14.2 | 19.9 | 22.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 4 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 15.7 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 3 | 7.3 | 10.8 | 15.5 | 19.1 | 22.6 | 25.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 5.1 | 8.4 | 11.2 | 13.9 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 18.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 1 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 16.4 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 23.4 | 25.4 | 27.3 | 28.9 |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 1 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 16.9 | 18.4 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 27.0 |
| Mean |  | 5.6 | 9.2 | 13.0 | 16.3 | 18.3 | 21.1 | 17.9 | 20.2 | 21.9 | 23.8 | 25.3 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 27.0 |
| Smallest |  | 3.7 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 16.9 | 18.4 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 27.0 |
| Largest |  | 8.5 | 14.2 | 19.9 | 22.7 | 23.8 | 26.2 | 21.1 | 23.4 | 25.4 | 27.3 | 28.9 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 27.0 |
| Std Err |  | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 |  |  |  |
| Low 95\% Cl |  | 4.8 | 8.1 | 11.1 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 15 | 16.8 | 18.3 |  |  |  |
| High 95\% Cl |  | 6.4 | 10.3 | 14.9 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 25.4 | 21.9 | 26.7 | 28.8 | 30.8 | 32.3 |  |  |  |

* Intercept $=0$
w fdkcag.d14

Table 23. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of blue catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in June 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 29 | 33 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 2 |  | 6 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 14 | 5.3 | 1.7 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 13 | 4.7 | 1.3 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 48 | 18.1 | 4.2 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 8 | 3.1 | 1.1 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 5 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 120 |  |  |  |
| \% | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Table 24. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of channel catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in June 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 8 | 12 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 40 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 20 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 20 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \% | 40 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |

wfdkcat.d14 and wfdkcag.d14

Table 25. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of flathead catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Kentucky Lake in June 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 10 | 11 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 27 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 14 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 | 29 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 21 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 14 |  |  |  |
| \% | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 21 | 7 |  |  |  |  |

Table 26. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 9.5 hours (19-30-minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Lake Barkley from 9 May to 19 May 2014.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std <br> err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Donaldson Cr. | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 2 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 136 | 32 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 137 | 54.8 | 9.7 |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Little River | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3.0 | 1.3 |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 5 | 11 | 11 | 9 |  | 1 | 8 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 21 | 25 | 13 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 2 |  | 191 | 95.5 | 24.8 |
| Eddy Cr . | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 4 | 28 | 36 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 29 | 24 | 28 | 38 | 23 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 292 | 97.3 | 9.4 |


w fdpsdb.d14

Table 27. Spring diurnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Lake Barkley during late April/early May since 2005.

(Barkley_LMB_Database.xls)
Data is available since 1985 in previous annual reports

Table 28. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values calculated for largemouth bass collected during 9.5 hours (19-30-minutes runs) of spring diurnal electrofishing at each area of Lake Barkley from 9 May to 19 May 2014. 95\% confidence intervals are shown in parentheses.

| Area | No. fish $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Donaldson | 114 | $85(+/-7)$ | $39(+/-9)$ |
| Little River | 155 | $81(+/-6)$ | $55(+/-8)$ |
| Eddy Creek | 213 | $73(+/-6)$ | $31(+/-6)$ |
| Nickell | 86 | $70(+/-10)$ | $30(+/-10)$ |
| Total | 568 | $77(+/-3)$ | $39(+/-4)$ |
| wfdpsdb.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 29. Lake specific assessment for largemouth bass collected at Lake Barkley from 20052014. This table includes the parameter estimates and the individual score as well as the total score and assessment rating. The final two columns list the instantaneous mortality rate $(Z)$ and the annual mortality (A).

| Year | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | Length group |  |  | Total score | Assessment rating | Z | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CPUE | CPUE | CPUE |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 13.0 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 1.4 |  |  | 0.649 | 48 |
| Score | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | F |  |  |
| 2013 | 13.0 | 18.2 | 22.9 | 19.3 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.282 | 25 |
| Score | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | P |  |  |
| 2012 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 32.4 | 24.1 | 1.5 |  |  | 0.431 | 35 |
| Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 | F |  |  |
| 2011 | * | * | * | * | * |  |  |  |  |
| $2010^{\text {A }}$ | 12.7 | 17.1 | 28.4 | 18.9 | 2.2 |  |  | 0.400 | 33 |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | P |  |  |
| $2009{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 12.7 | 69.2 | 38.8 | 34.0 | 2.4 |  |  | 0.422 | 34 |
| Score | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 14 | G |  |  |
| $2008{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 12.7 | 28.8 | 32.6 | 41.2 | 3.0 |  |  | 0.339 | 29 |
| Score | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 14 | G |  |  |
| $2007{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 12.7 | 6.7 | 66.5 | 47.6 | 1.8 |  |  | 0.317 | 27 |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 12 | G |  |  |
| 2006 | 13.4 | 18.4 | 51.8 | 30.8 | 2.0 |  |  | 0.431 | 40 |
| Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 13 | G |  |  |
| $2005{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 12.9 | 42.5 | 59.4 | 37.5 | 2.0 |  |  | 0.674 | 49 |
| Score | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 16 | G |  |  |
| Average | 12.9 | 25.9 | 39.5 | 30.8 | 1.9 | 11.2 |  | 0.438 | 35.5 |

Older data is listed in previous annual reports.
(Barkley LMB Database.xls) * Data not available
A age and grow th data w as not collected. Previous year data used for age estimates.

| Rating |
| :--- |
| $5-7=$ Poor (P) |
| $8-11=$ Fair (F) |
| $12-16=\operatorname{Good}(G)$ |
| $17-20=$ Excellent (E) |

Table 30. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during diurnal electrofishing at Lake Barkley in May 2014. 2012 age and growth data file used for calculations of age-frequency.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 15 | 76 | 78 | 34 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 211 | 29 | 22.2 | 3.7 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 45 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 13 | 9.8 | 1.4 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 54 | 52 | 63 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 203 | 28 | 21.4 | 2.2 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 38 | 30 | 9 | 15 |  |  |  | 104 | 14 | 10.9 | 1.4 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 18 | 31 |  |  |  | 87 | 12 | 9.2 | 1.5 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | 2 | 21 | 3 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Total | 15 | 76 | 78 | 34 | 8 | 11 | 45 | 64 | 54 | 63 | 101 | 76 | 46 | 46 |  |  | 7 | 724 | 100 |  |  |
| \% | 2 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

Table 31. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 5.0 hours of diurnal electrofishing ( 10 30-minute runs) for black bass in each area of Lake Barkley on 6 and 14 October 2014.

| Area / Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Little River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Largemouth bass |  | 8 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 202 | 80.8 | 8.3 |
| Eddy Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 23 | 9.2 | 5.7 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 38 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 17 | 12 | 24 | 36 | 25 | 20 | 6 | 7 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 251 | 100.4 | 16.9 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 5 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 5 | 5 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 27 | 5.4 | 3.0 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 46 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 6 | 7 | 31 | 37 | 33 | 44 | 54 | 40 | 35 | 19 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 453 | 90.6 | 9.5 |

Table 32. Number of fish and the relative weight $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ values for each length group of largemouth collected at Lake Barkley during 5.0 hours (10-30-minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing on 6 and 14 October 2014. Standard error is shown in parentheses

wfdw rb.d14

Table 33. Age-0 CPUE (fish/hr) and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall and CPUE of age-1 largemouth bass collected the following spring during diurnal electrofishing at Lake Barkley.

| Year class | Age $0^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0 \mathrm{in}^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $1^{18}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err |
| 2014 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 4.4 | 11.0 | 1.9 |  |  |
| 2013 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 55.0 | 8.7 | 43.3 | 6.0 | 22.2 | 3.7 |
| 2012 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 40.6 | 6.9 | 35.7 | 5.7 | 18.2 | 2.7 |
| 2011 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 18.6 | 2.7 | 13.4 | 2.4 | 10.0 | 1.7 |
| 2010 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 46.0 | 7.8 | 42.0 | 6.9 | * |  |
| 2009 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 37.6 | 4.8 | 29.2 | 3.4 | 17.1 | 1.8 |
| 2008 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 55.6 | 6.7 | 50.2 | 6.3 | 69.2 | 7.4 |
| 2007 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 68.7 | 11.8 | 59.4 | 10.7 | 28.8 | 3.0 |
| 2006 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 0.7 |
| 2005 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 18.4 | 2.4 |
| Average | 5.8 |  | 36.2 |  | 29.3 |  | 23.8 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Data collected by fall (October) diurnal electrofishing. Mean lengths w ere determined by analysis of otoliths, removed from a subsample of LMB <10.0 in. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Data collected during the follow ing spring (Apri/May) diurnal electrofishing sample. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Data not collected in spring of 2011 due to flood conditions. wfdw rb.dxx, wfdpsdb.dxx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 34. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of each inch class of white and black crappie collected by trap nets (161 net-nights) at Lake Barkley from 20 October-3 November 2014. SubTotal is shown for comparisons with historical data which included only Little River and

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Little River | White crappie | 66 | 278 | 85 | 30 | 2 |  | 12 | 22 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 538 | 13.5 | 2.3 |
|  | Black crappie | 6 | 28 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | 77 | 1.9 | 0.5 |
| Donaldson Creek | White crappie | 73 | 68 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 192 | 4.8 | 1.0 |
|  | Black crappie | 12 | 62 | 8 | 18 | 59 | 26 | 10 | 9 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 208 | 5.2 | 0.9 |
| Sub-Total | White crappie | 139 | 346 | 96 | 32 | 5 | 5 | 26 | 28 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 730 | 9.1 | 1.4 |
|  | Black crappie | 18 | 90 | 12 | 21 | 67 | 30 | 23 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 285 | 3.6 | 0.5 |
| Crook Creek | White crappie | 236 | 463 | 51 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 776 | 19.4 | 3.7 |
|  | Black crappie | 17 | 59 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 18 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 143 | 3.6 | 0.8 |
| Eddy Creek | White crappie | 21 | 103 | 65 | 4 |  | 1 | 10 | 5 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 244 | 6.0 | 1.1 |
|  | Black crappie | 3 | 29 | 3 |  | 10 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 93 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| TOTAL | White crappie | 396 | 912 | 212 | 39 | 6 | 7 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 26 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 1,750 | 10.9 | 1.2 |
|  | Black crappie | 38 | 178 | 23 | 23 | 87 | 57 | 59 | 39 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 |  | 521 | 3.2 | 0.4 |

Table 35. Crappie population parameters used to manage the population at Lake Barkley for 2005-2014, with values determined from fall trap netting. To allow for historical comparisons, only data from Little River and Donaldson Creeks are presented here.

| Year | Total CPUE (fish/nn) excluding age-0 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CPUE (fish/nn) } \\ \text { age-0 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Mean length (in) age-2 at capture |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CPUE (fish/nn) } \\ \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | CPUE (fish/nn) age-1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CPUE (fish/nn) } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie | WC | BC | Crappie |
| 2014 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| 2013 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 2.5 |
| 2012 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 3.7 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 4.6 | 2.8 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 2010 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 7.2 | 19.2 | 4.2 | 23.5 | 11.6 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| 2008 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| $2007{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| 2006 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| 2005 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 3.4 |
| Average | 2.8 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 6.8 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 |

A Indicates year where age and grow th data $w$ as not collected. Age and grow th data from the previous year w as used to calculate the appropriate value.
Data from 1985 to 2004 is listed in previous annual reports.
Lake Barkley Crappie Database

Table 36. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density $\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{10}\right)$ of white and black crappie collected by trap-nets (161 net-nights) at Lake Barkley during weeks of 22 October and 3 November 2014. Sub-Total uses only data collected from Little River and Donaldson Creek. Numbers in parentheses represent 95\% confidence intervals.

| Location | Species | N | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little River | White crappie | 109 | 71 (+/-9) | 39 (+/-9) |
|  | Black crappie | 39 | 62 (+/-15) | 13 (+/-11) |
| Donaldson | White crappie | 40 | 75 (+/-14) | 25 (+/-14) |
|  | Black crappie | 126 | 18 (+/-7) | 3 (+/-3) |
| Sub-Total | White crappie | 149 | 72 (+/-7) | 36 (+/-8) |
|  | Black crappie | 165 | 28 (+/-7) | $5(+/-3)$ |
| Crook Creek | White crappie | 26 | 81 (+/-15) | $38(+/-19)$ |
|  | Black crappie | 59 | 61 (+/-13) | 8 (+/-7) |
| Eddy Creek | White crappie | 55 | 91 (+/-8) | 64 (+/-13) |
|  | Black crappie | 58 | 55 (+/-13) | 5 (+/-6) |
| Total | White crappie | 230 | 77 (+/-5) | 43 (+/-6) |
|  | Black crappie | 282 | 41 (+/-6) | 6 (+/-3) |

w fdtpntb.d14

Table 37. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus of white crappie including the range in length at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence interval of each age group. Otoliths were collected from Lake Barkley (Little River and Donaldson Creek) during weeks of 22 October and 3 November 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 2013 | 49 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 4 | 5.5 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 4 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 8 | 4.7 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 2 | 5.3 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 13.6 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 1 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 13.7 |
| Mean |  | 4.8 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 12.1 | 12.3 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Smallest |  | 3.0 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 11.9 |  |  |  |
| Largest | 8.1 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Std err | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Low 95\% CI | 4.5 | 7.9 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |
| High 95\% Cl | 5.0 | 9.2 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |
| * Intercept =0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wfdtnagb.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 38. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus of black crappie including the range in length at each age and the 95\% confidence interval of each age group. Otoliths were collected from Lake Barkley (Little River and Donaldson Creek) during weeks of 22 October and 3 November 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2013 | 49 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 4.4 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 4 | 4.1 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 9.8 |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 11.5 | 12.4 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 12.4 |  |
| Mean |  | 4.3 | 7.3 | 9.8 | 9.8 |  |  |
| Smallest |  | 2.9 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 11.5 |  |  |
| Largest | 7 | 9.0 | 10.4 | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| Std err |  | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 9.0 |  |  |
| Low 95\% Cl |  | 4.1 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 12.3 |  |  |
| High 95\% Cl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Intercept =0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wfdtnagb.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 39. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white crappie collected during 80 net-nights at Lake Barkley (Little River and Donaldson Creek) during weeks of 22 October and 3 November 2014.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 139 | 346 | 96 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 614 | 84 | 7.7 | 1.3 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 26 | 28 | 17 | 5 |  |  |  | 85 | 12 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 10 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 8 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 139 | 346 | 96 | 32 | 5 | 5 | 26 | 28 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 730 |  | 9.1 |  |
| \% | 19 | 47 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 40. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of black crappie collected during 80 netnights at Lake Barkley (Little River and Donaldson Creek) during weeks of 22 October and 3 November 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 18 | 90 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 | 42 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 21 | 67 | 30 | 23 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 154 | 54 | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 18 | 90 | 12 | 21 | 67 | 30 | 23 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 285 |  | 3.6 |  |
| \% | 6 | 32 | 4 | 7 | 24 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

wfdtpntb.d14 and wfdtnagb.d14

Table 41. Lake specific assessment for crappie collected at Lake Barkley (Little River and Donaldson Creek) from 2005-2014. This table includes the parameter estimates and the individual scores as well as the total scores and assessment ratings. The final columns list the instantaneous mortality (Z) and annual mortality (A).

| Year | CPUE age-1 and older | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Mean length age-2 at capture | Total score | Assessment rating | Z | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 11.2 |  |  | 0.418 | 34.2 |
| Score | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2013 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 10.9 |  |  | 0.788 | 54.5 |
| Score | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 | F |  |  |
| 2012 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 10.5 |  |  | 0.857 | 57.6 |
| Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2011 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 10.0 | 3.6 | 10.9 |  |  | 1.188 | 69.5 |
| Score | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 17 | G |  |  |
| 2010 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 23.3 | 5.2 | 10.9 |  |  | 1.209 | 70.1 |
| Score | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 18 | E |  |  |
| 2009 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 11.3 |  |  | 1.330 | 73.5 |
| Score | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 11 | F |  |  |
| 2008 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 11.3 |  |  | 0.960 | 61.7 |
| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2007 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 10.6 |  |  | 1.047 | 64.9 |
| Score | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 10 | F |  |  |
| 2006 | 7.6 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 10.6 |  |  | 1.357 | 74.3 |
| Score | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2005 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 8.6 | 5.2 | 10.7 |  |  | 1.551 | 78.8 |
| Score | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 16 | G |  |  |
| Average | 5.1 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 10.9 | 13.0 |  | 1.070 | 63.9 |

Rating
1-7 = Poor (P)
8-12 = Fair (F)
13-17 = Good (G)
18-20 = Excellent (E)
(Barkley_Crappie_Database.xls)

Table 42. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of channel, blue, and flathead catfish collected from Lake Barkley in June 2014 from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing along the main lake river channel. A chase boat was used. A total of 3.3 hours of sampling consisting of $40-300$-second runs.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 2 |  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 46 |  |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 8.4 | 2.7 |
| Blue catfish | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 17 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 49 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 247 | 77.2 | 14.9 |
| Flathead catfish |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | , |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 3.4 | 1.3 |

wfdbcat.d14

Table 43. Relative weight $\left(W_{r}\right)$ of each length group of blue, channel, and flathead catfish collected from Lake Barkley during June 2014. Fish were collected using low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing.

| Species <br> Blue catfish | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12.0-19.9 in |  |  | 20.0-29.9 in |  |  | $\geq 30.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err |
|  | 143 | 99 | 1 | 1 | 111 |  |  |  |  | 144 | 99 | 1 |
| Channel catfish | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.0-15.9 in |  |  | 16.0-23.9 in |  |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err |
|  | 10 | 101 | 2 | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 101 | 2 |
| Flathead catfish | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.0-19.9 in |  |  | 20.0-29.9 in |  |  | $\geq 30.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err | N | Wr | Std err |
|  | 1 | 87 |  | 5 | 99 | 5 | 1 | 93 |  | 7 | 96 | 4 |

w fdbcat.d14

Table 44. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus on otoliths from blue catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Lake Barkley in June 2014, including the range in length of blue catfish at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence interval.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| 2013 | 5 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 15 | 5.5 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 12 | 4.9 | 8.8 | 11.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 7 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 4 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 12.3 | 14.8 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 9 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 11.3 | 13.4 | 15.7 | 17.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 19.4 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 1 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 9.6 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 15.4 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 20.6 |  |
| Mean |  | 5.2 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 15.8 | 17.3 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 20.6 |  |
| Smallest | 3.4 | 6.8 | 9.6 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 15.4 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 20.6 |  |  |
| Largest | 7.1 | 10.7 | 13.2 | 16.4 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 20.6 |  |  |
| Std Err | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Low 95\% Cl | 5.0 | 8.5 | 11.3 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 16.7 | 17.5 |  |  |  |  |
| High 95\% CI | 5.4 | 8.9 | 11.9 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 17.9 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |
| * Intercept $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wfdbcag.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 45. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus on otoliths from channel catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Lake Barkley in June 2014, including the range in length of channel catfish at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence interval.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| 2013 | 5 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 6 | 5.7 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 10 | 4.4 | 7.8 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 10.4 | 12.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 16.5 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 |  |
| 13.2 | 14.7 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallest |  | 3.4 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 16.5 |  |
| Largest |  | 7.0 | 11.2 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 16.5 |  |
| Std Err |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low 95\% Cl | 4.6 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High 95\% CI | 5.3 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Intercept = 0
wfdbcag.d14

Table 46. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus on otoliths from flathead catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Lake Barkley in June 2014, including the range in length of flathead catfish at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence interval.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year-class | N | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2013 | 1 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 3.5 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 9.6 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 15.6 | 18.7 |  |  |
| 2009 | 3 | 7.6 | 13.1 | 17.5 | 21.8 | 24.5 |  |
| 008 | 1 | 7.2 | 10.8 | 13.5 | 16.2 | 20.3 | 22.5 |
| Mean |  | 6.0 | 10.4 | 14.5 | 19.9 | 23.5 | 22.5 |
| Smallest |  | 3.2 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 13.3 | 16.1 | 15.4 |
| Largest | 8.2 | 13.7 | 18.6 | 22.4 | 24.5 | 19.3 |  |
| Std Err | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |
| Low 95\% Cl | 4.8 | 8.5 | 12.0 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 16.7 |  |
| High 95\% Cl | 7.2 | 12.4 | 17.1 | 22.3 | 16.4 | 17.9 |  |
| * Intercept $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wfdbcag.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 47. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of blue catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Lake Barkley in June 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| 2 |  | 4 | 17 | 38 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 36 | 27.3 | 6.5 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 39 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | 40 | 30.5 | 7.2 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 15 | 11.3 | 3.2 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 2 |  |  | 7 | 3 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 10 | 4 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total | 3 | 6 | 17 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 49 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 247 |  |  |  |
| \% | 1 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Table 48. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of blue catfish collected from low pulse ( 15 PPS) electrofishing at Lake Barkley in June 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 19 | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 2 |  |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 9 | 35 | 2.8 | 1.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 3 |  | 10 | 38 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 26 |  |  |  |
| \% | 4 | 15 | 15 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

wfdbcat.d14 and wfdbcag.d14

Table 49. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of flathead catfish collected from low pulse (15 PPS) electrofishing at Lake Barkley in June 2014. Age data was obtained using otoliths.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 30 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \% | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |

Table 50. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during diurnal electrofishing at Lake Beshear during 2014.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Spring |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 10 | 8 | 22 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 209 | 83.6 | 6.8 |
| Fall | 15 | 60 | 25 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 210 | 90.6 | 9.5 |

Table 51. Spring diurnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Lake Beshear during April or May of 2005 2014.

| Year | Mean length age-3 at capture | Age-1 |  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | <8.0 in |  | $\geq 12.0$ in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 18.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |
| 2014 | 13.3 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 61.6 | 5.6 | 18.0 | 2.3 | 43.6 | 6.1 | 20.4 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 83.6 | 6.8 | 77 | 54 |
| 2013 | 13.3 | 33.8 | 9.6 | 37.5 | 10.3 | 63.0 | 11.8 | 18.0 | 5.5 | 45.0 | 7.2 | 23.5 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 127.0 | 18.4 | 70 | 50 |
| 2012 | 13.3 | 27.6 | 5.5 | 34.4 | 4.9 | 46.8 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 2.2 | 38.0 | 4.6 | 18.4 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 1.0 | 114.8 | 7.0 | 58 | 47 |
| 2011 | 13.3 | 11.7 | 2.2 | 13.5 | 1.7 | 65.0 | 9.2 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 47.5 | 5.9 | 23.5 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 92.5 | 10.3 | 82 | 60 |
| 2010 | 13.8 | 22.3 | 4.9 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 51.0 | 6.9 | 11.3 | 1.3 | 39.7 | 6.1 | 14.0 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 82.7 | 15.7 | 69 | 54 |
| 2009 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 35.6 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 29.6 | 2.9 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 47.2 | 4.6 | 82 | 68 |
| 2008 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 3.9 | 32.0 | 4.6 | 11.2 | 3.8 | 20.8 | 3.4 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 51.6 | 6.8 | 74 | 48 |
| 2007 | 13.8 | 25.0 | 4.2 | 15.0 | 3.3 | 50.3 | 8.6 | 15.0 | 4.2 | 35.3 | 5.2 | 16.0 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 83.0 | 12.8 | 74 | 52 |
| 2006 | 13.8 | 24.8 | 7.8 | 27.6 | 8.2 | 41.2 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 34.0 | 3.0 | 18.0 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 84.0 | 13.3 | 73 | 60 |
| 2005 | 13.8 | 38.8 | 1.8 | 30.8 | 4.9 | 51.6 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 44.4 | 5.9 | 19.6 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 94.8 | 8.5 | 81 | 69 |
| Average | 13.6 | 20.2 |  | 18.3 |  | 49.8 |  | 12.0 |  | 37.8 |  | 17.7 |  | 4.5 |  | 86.1 |  | 74.0 | 56.2 |

(Lake Beshear Bass Database.xls)
Data for 1985-2004 is listed in previous years report.

Table 52 Lake specific assessment for largemouth bass collected at Lake Beshear from 2005-2014. This table includes the parameter estimates and the individual score as well as the total score and assessment rating. The final two columns list the instantaneous mortality ( $Z$ ) and annual mortality (A).

| Year | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { age-1 } \end{array}$ | Length group |  |  | Total score | Assessment rating | Z | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CPUE | CPUE | CPUE |  |  |  |  |
| $2014{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 13.3 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 43.6 | 4.4 |  |  | 0.145 | 13.5 |
| Score | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 14 | G |  |  |
| $2013{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 13.3 | 33.8 | 18.0 | 45.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 0.355 | 29.9 |
| Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 17 | E |  |  |
| $2012{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 13.3 | 27.6 | 8.8 | 38.0 | 4.4 |  |  | 0.291 | 25.2 |
| Score | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 14 | G |  |  |
| 2011 | 13.3 | 11.7 | 17.5 | 47.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 0.194 | 17.6 |
| Score | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 15 | G |  |  |
| $2010^{\text {a }}$ | 13.8 | 22.3 | 11.3 | 39.7 | 3.7 |  |  | 0.297 | 25.7 |
| Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 14 | G |  |  |
| $2009{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 13.8 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 29.6 | 4.4 |  |  | 0.142 | 13.2 |
| Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 12 | G |  |  |
| $2008{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 13.8 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 20.8 | 3.6 |  |  | 0.316 | 27.1 |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 | G |  |  |
| $2007{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 13.8 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 35.3 | 4.7 |  |  | 0.344 | 29.1 |
| Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 15 | G |  |  |
| 2006 | 13.8 | 24.8 | 7.2 | 34.0 | 4.8 |  |  | 0.262 | 23.0 |
| Score | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 14 | G |  |  |
| 2005 | 13.8 | 38.8 | 7.2 | 44.4 | 3.6 |  |  | 0.430 | 34.9 |
| Score | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 15 | G |  |  |
| Average | 13.6 | 20.2 | 12.0 | 37.8 | 4.5 | 14.2 |  | 0.277 | 24.0 |

Data from 1985 to 2004 is listed in previous year reports.

A age and grow th data was not collected. Previous year data used for age estimates.

Rating
1-7 = Poor (P)
8-11 = Fair (F)
$12-16=$ Good (G)
17-20 $=$ Excellent $(\mathrm{E})$

Lake Beshear Bass Data Base

Table 53. Age-0 CPUE (fish/hr) and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall, and CPUE of age-1 largemouth bass collected the following spring during diurnal electrofishing at Lake Beshear.

|  | Age 0 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age 0 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0 \mathrm{in}^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age ${ }^{18}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | Mean length | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err |
| 2014 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 4.4 | 11.0 | 1.9 |  |  |
| 2013 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| 2012 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 34.0 | 8.8 | 33.2 | 7.4 | 33.8 | 9.6 |
| 2011 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 41.6 | 14.8 | 23.6 | 7.6 | 27.6 | 5.5 |
| 2010 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 54.0 | 4.6 | 22.0 | 4.5 | 11.7 | 2.2 |
| 2009 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 22.3 | 4.9 |
| 2008 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 1.6 |
| 2007 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 21.6 | 3.5 | 9.6 | 2.3 | 10.0 | 1.4 |
| 2006 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 23.0 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 25.0 | 4.2 |
| 2005 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 21.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 |  | 37.0 | 9.5 |
| Average | 4.6 |  | 28.2 |  | 11.1 |  | 19.3 |  |

A Data collected by fall (October) diurnal electrofishing. Mean lengths w ere determined by analysis of otoliths, removed from a subsample of $\mathrm{LMB}<10.0 \mathrm{in}$, w hich w ere extrapolated to the entire catch of the fall sample, and length frequencies.
${ }^{B}$ Data collected during the follow ing spring (April/May) diurnal electrofishing sample.

WFDWRLB.Dxx, WFDWRAGB.Dxx, WFDPSDLB.Dxx

Table 54. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass, bluegill and redear sunfish collected during 1.0 hour (4-900-sec runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Lake Pennyrile on 30 May, 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 1314 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 12 | 3 |  | 2 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 61 | 61.0 | 8.23 |
| Bluegill | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 27.0 | 7.90 |
| Redear sunfish |  |  | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 33.0 | 12.48 |
| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4.0 | 2.31 |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.0 | 1.15 |

wfdpsdp.d14

Table 55. Spring, diurnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Pennyrile Lake from 2005-2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<8.0$ in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err |
| 2014 | 17.0 | 3.0 | 36.0 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 61.0 | 8.2 |
| 2013 | 63.0 | 11.8 | 48.0 | 4.9 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 124.0 | 12.3 |
| 2012* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 32.0 | 10.4 | 68.0 | 7.7 | 12.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 113.6 | 18.3 |
| 2010 | 46.4 | 9.3 | 64.3 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 130.4 | 17.0 |
| 2009* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 38.9 | 5.1 | 63.0 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117.1 | 14.5 |
| 2007 | 41.3 | 2.5 | 66.0 | 4.0 | 14.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 124.0 | 5.2 |
| 2006 | 81.0 | 21.6 | 105.0 | 11.8 | 26.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 218.0 | 30.3 |
| 2005 | 101.1 | 11.6 | 127.5 | 21.0 | 25.3 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 260.4 | 22.9 |
| Mean | 52.6 |  | 72.2 |  | 15.1 |  | 3.6 |  | 1.6 |  | 143.6 |  |

wfdpsdp.dxx
Data from 1990 to 2004 is listed in previous year reports.
*Did not sample

Table 56. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Lake Pennyrile during May from 2005-2014.

| Species | Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<3.0$ in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err | CPUE | Std err |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 12.0 | 4.3 | 15.0 | 6.6 |  |  | 27.0 | 7.9 |
|  | 2013* | 1.0 | 1.0 | 18.0 | 5.8 | 21.0 | 6.2 |  |  | 40.0 | 12.1 |
|  | 2012 | Did Not | Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 36.8 | 20.2 | 41.6 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 85.6 | 35.7 |
|  | 2010 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 81.3 | 17.2 | 40.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 131.3 | 17.0 |
|  | 2009 | Did Not | Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 38.1 | 19.9 | 136.2 | 43.0 | 93.2 | 42.7 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 278.8 | 85.4 |
|  | 2007 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 35.3 | 8.6 | 23.3 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 64.0 | 15.9 |
|  | 2005 | 51.7 | 20.0 | 262.6 | 64.0 | 45.1 | 13.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 360.4 | 72.3 |
|  | Mean | 16.7 |  | 83.2 |  | 39.9 |  | 5.1 |  | 141.0 |  |
| Redear sunfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 8.0 | 5.4 | 17.0 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 3.7 | 33.0 | 12.5 |
|  | 2013* |  |  | 4.0 | 2.3 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 12.0 | 2.8 | 25.0 | 6.6 |
|  | 2012 | Did Not Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 9.6 | 4.5 | 17.6 | 8.1 | 28.0 | 11.9 | 55.2 | 21.4 |
|  | 2010 |  |  | 3.6 | 1.9 | 8.9 | 2.3 | 17.9 | 5.0 | 30.4 | 5.4 |
|  | 2009 | Did Not Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 21.0 | 9.2 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 41.0 | 25.1 | 77.4 | 40.4 |
|  | 2007 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 21.3 | 7.9 | 16.7 | 8.1 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 50.7 | 16.4 |
|  | 2005 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 37.4 | 12.8 | 27.5 | 10.7 | 23.1 | 5.3 | 89.0 | 28.7 |
|  | Mean | 1.9 |  | 15.0 |  | 15.6 |  | 20.1 |  | 51.5 |  |

w fdpsdp.dxx
*2013 sample collected in June due to w ater conditions at normal sample time in May

Table 57. PSD and RSD values obtained for largemouth bass, bluegill and redear sunfish collected during 1.0 hour of diurnal electrofishing (4-900-sec runs) at Lake Pennyrile on 30 May 2014. 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | N | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 44 | $18(+/-12)$ | $2(+/-4)$ |
| Bluegill | 27 | $56(+/-19)$ |  |
| Redear sunfish | 33 | $52(+/-17)$ |  |
| K Largemouth $=\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$, Bluegill $=\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$, Redear sunfish $=\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$. |  |  |  |
| wfdpsdp.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 58. Lake specific assessment for largemouth bass collected at Pennyrile Lake from 20052014. This table includes the parameter estimates and the individual scores as well as the total scores and assessment ratings. The final columns list the instantaneous mortality $(Z)$ and annual mortality (A) in years when age and growth was collected.

| Year | Age-1 <br> CPUE | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Mean length age-3 at capture | Total <br> score | Assessment rating | Z | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 19.8 | 7.0 | 1.0 |  | 11.70 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 4 | 7 | P |  |  |
| 2013 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 13 | G |  |  |
| 2012 | Did not sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 31.0 | 12.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 11.7 |  |  | 0.488 | 38.6 |
| Score | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 12 | F |  |  |
| 2010 | 36.1 | 12.3 | 7.1 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 13 | G |  |  |
| 2009 | Did not sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 27.9 | 13.3 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | P |  |  |
| 2007 | 33.1 | 14.0 | 2.7 | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | P |  |  |
| 2006 | 68.3 | 26.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 8 | F |  |  |
| 2005 | 85.7 | 25.3 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 12 | F |  |  |
| Average | 39.1 | 15.1 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |

$\frac{\text { Rating }}{1-7=\text { Poor (P) }}$
8-12 = Fair (F)
13-17 = Good (G)
18-20 = Excellent (E)

# NORTHWESTERN FISHERY DISTRICT 

Project A: Lake and Tailwater Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

Table 1 presents a summary of conditions encountered while sampling at state-owned or managed lakes and ACOE reservoirs during the 2014 field season.

## Nolin River Lake

## Black Bass Sampling

Spring electrofishing to monitor the black bass population at Nolin River Lake was conducted during April 2014 (Tables 2-5). Catch rates over the last several years have indicated an increasing number of fish greater than 12.0 in and that increase continued in 2014. The increase is greatest for fish $12.0-14.9 \mathrm{in}$, but catch rates for fish $>15.0$ and $>20.0$ in have increased as well. The Nolin Lake Strategic Management Plan (SMP) objectives for largemouth bass state: a mean length at age 3 of $\geq 12.5 \mathrm{in}$, a CPUE of $\geq 30.0$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ for age 1 fish , a CPUE of $\geq 25.5$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ for 12.0-14.9 in fish, a CPUE of $\geq 12.5$ fish/hr for $\geq 15.0$ in fish, and a CPUE of $\geq 1.0$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ for $\geq 20.0$ in fish. The only objective not met in 2014 was the CPUE of age 1 fish.

## Crappie Sampling

Trap netting was conducted in November to assess Nolin River Lake's crappie population (Tables 6-10). A total of 1,535 crappie ( $4.2 \%$ black) were collected in 95 net-nights of effort. With the exception of age 0 fish, catch rates were significantly higher than they have been for the last several years. Growth continues to be good with crappie averaging 10.2 in at age $2+$ at capture. The length-weight equation is $\log \mathrm{W}=-3.61+3.29(\log \mathrm{~L})$. The only crappie management plan objective not met in 2014 is the catch rate of age 0 fish.

## Dissolved Oxygen - Termperature Profiles

Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were conducted in August 2014 (Table 11). Profiles were completed at three sites (lower, middle, and upper) along the main channel of the lake. In August dissolved oxygen dropped below $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ at 22 feet in the lower lake, 16 feet mid lake, and 12 feet in the upper lake sample.

## Rough River Lake

## Hybrid Striped Bass Sampling

Gill netting to monitor the hybrid striped bass population was conducted the second week of November (Tables 1216). While somewhat higher, 2014 catch rates are similar to previous collections. Growth rate, age distribution and length distributions are also similar to prior surveys. This is a very stable population with good growth rates. The log 10 length weight equation is $\log \mathrm{W}=-3.28+2.94(\log \mathrm{~L})$. Rough River Lake SMP management objectives state: a mean length at capture of $\geq 16.5$ in for age $2+$ fish, a CPUE (excluding age 0 fish) of $\geq 25.0$ fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$, a CPUE of $\geq$ 15.0 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ for $\geq 15.0$ in fish, and a CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ fish/nn for age 1 fish. All hybrid striped bass management objectives were met in 2014.

Gill netting to assess the channel catfish population was conducted concurrently with hybrid striped bass sampling. A total of 42 channel catfish were collected (Tables 17-18). Condition is similar to prior collections.

## Dissoved Oxygen - Temperature Profiles

Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were conducted monthly from May through August 2014 (Tables 19-22). Profiles were documented at three sites (lower, middle, and upper) along the main channel of the south fork of the
lake on each sample date. The profiles were conducted as part of a project to compare survival and growth of original and reciprocal hybrid striped bass crosses stocked at Rough River Lake. During May when the lake was destratified, dissolved oxygen levels were above $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ down to 24 feet in the lower lake, 26 feet mid lake, and 28 feet in the upper lake sample. However, during August, when water quality is poor, dissolved oxygen dropped below $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ at 16 feet in the lower lake, and 12 feet in both mid and upper lake samples.

## Lake Malone

## Largemouth Bass Sampling

Electrofishing to assess the largemouth bass population at Malone was conducted the end of April and beginning of May (Tables 23-26). Catch rates for almost all size classes were lower than previous years (exception $\geq 20.0$ in fish). Overall, CPUE was the lowest recorded since diurnal sampling started in 2003. The lake level was one foot above pool during one day of sampling and vegetation growth was minimal at the times of sampling. This likely contributed to lower catch rates as fish were scattered and not closely associated with aquatic vegetation, while also on the bank amongst terrestrial vegetation.

None of the SMP objectives for management of largemouth bass were met in 2014. In 2015 largemouth bass will be sampled in April and October. Length distributions, catch rates, relative weight, and age/growth data will be collected.

## Mauzy Lake

## Largemouth Bass Sampling

Electrofishing to assess the largemouth bass population at Mauzy was conducted in April (Tables 25, 27-29). Length distribution and catch rates for largemouth bass at Mauzy have been erratic the last few years following the drawdowns, but are beginning to stabilize. The catch rate for bass less than 12.0 in remained similar to previous samples. Numbers of 12.0-14.9 and $\geq 15.0$ in bass remained in line with prior samples. The catch rate for bass $\geq$ 20.0 in was the third highest on record since 1999.

SMP objectives for management of largemouth bass $\geq 15.0$ (CPUE $\geq 20.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and $\geq 20.0$ (CPUE $\geq 3.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) in fish were met in 2014. In 2015 largemouth bass will be sampled in April to document length distribution and catch rates.

Submerged aquatic vegetation growth became a concern in 2014. Approximately three acres of Eurasian watermilfoil were treated in May with granular 2,4-D. Additionally, the lake was drawn down approximately 5-6 feet from October through March, 2015 for repairs. These efforts will hopefully reduce the amount of milfoil in 2015. Furthermore, a winter draw down will concentrate fish and result in improved bass foraging, a reduction of the 2014 year class of bass, and a decrease in the amount of small bluegill, crappie, etc.

## Bluegill Redear Sunfish Sampling

Electrofishing to assess the bluegill and redear sunfish populations was conducted in May (Tables 30-34). Bluegill catch rates have been highly variable the last few years. Catch rates for bluegill $<6.0$ in decreased significantly in 2014 and look to be directly related to an increase in bass < 14.0 in . Subsequently, we documented an increase in the catch rate of bluegill $>6.0 \mathrm{in}$. This result is to be expected given the reduction in density of smaller fish, reducing competition for resources. The fall/winter lake draw down should positively impact the bluegill population as well. The SMP objective for management of bluegill $\geq 6.0$ in was the only objective met in 2014 .

Redear sunfish were stocked in Lake Mauzy in 2004 and 2005. Few redear sunfish were collected prior to 2010. Redear sunfish catch rates continued to improve in 2014 with the highest overall CPUE to date. In fact, total CPUE of redear sunfish and bluegill were nearly identical. SMP objectives for redear sunfish have yet to be developed.

In 2015, bluegill and redear sunfish will be sampled in May to document length distributions and catch rates. SMP objectives will also be developed for Mauzy Lake redear sunfish.

## Carpenter Lake

## Largemouth Bass

Largemouth bass were sampled at Carpenter Lake in April (Tables 25, 35-37). The catch rate for 8.0-11.9 in bass is similar to previous samples. The catch rate for bass $\geq 15.0$ in increased and was the highest documented since 2003. Catch rate for bass $\geq 20.0$ in bass increased again in 2014 and is the highest documented since 2001.

SMP objectives for largemouth bass 12.0-14.9 in, $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$, and $\geq 20.0$ in were met in 2014. In 2015, largemouth bass will be sampled in April and October. Length distributions, catch rates, relative weight, and age/growth data will be collected.

## Bluegill Redear Sunfish Sampling

Electrofishing to assess the bluegill/redear sunfish populations was conducted in May (Tables 32, 38-40). Catch rates in 2014 for bluegill $<6.0$ in more than doubled from 2013 and was the second highest documented since 1999. The catch rate for bluegill $\geq 6.0$ in increased again in 2014 , with the majority of fish still in the 6.0 -in group. Only one 8.0 -in bluegill was captured and it was the first since 2007 . Abundance of white water lily was significantly lower during 2014 sampling which allowed more efficient sampling of some areas of shoreline and contributed to higher catch rates. The SMP bluegill management objective for bluegill $\geq 6.0$ in was met in 2014 .

A total of 62 redear sunfish were collected, with all fish being $\geq 7.0 \mathrm{in}$. CPUE was the highest recorded since 2010 with the majority of fish being $\geq 8.0 \mathrm{in}$. If collections continue to increase SMP objectives will be developed for redear sunfish.

In 2015, bluegill and redear sunfish will be sampled in May and October to collect length distributions, catch rates, and age/growth data.

## Other

A shad eradication project was completed in March 2015. The aeration system at Carpenter Lake will be replaced summer 2015 and water lily will be chemically controlled/reduced around shoreline access points.

## Old and New Kingfisher Lakes

Old and New Kingfisher have been drawn down since December 2012. The Engineering Division completed placement of a water control structure in New Kingfisher Lake and installation of a six foot diameter culvert connecting Old and New Kingfisher Lakes. The lakes were allowed to drain and on many occasions water was pumped out of both lakes to aid the drying process. Once sufficiently drained equipment was brought on site in September, 2014. The lakes were deepened and contoured as much as site conditions would allow. Bank access was dramatically increased with the addition of ten new fishing jetties, and several hundred feet of roadside walkway. The main parking area at Old Kingfisher was expanded and new boat ramps were constructed at both lakes. Remaining water in both lakes was treated with rotenone to eradicate any remaining fish. The lakes will be restocked as fish are available in 2015-2016. Some fish habitat was completed in October, more is scheduled in 2015 as time allows. Annual sampling to monitor fish populations will be suspended for the next few years.

## Washburn Lake

## Largemouth Bass

Largemouth bass were sampled at Washburn Lake in April (Tables 25, 41-43). The population continues to be dominated by $8.0-10.0$ in fish. CPUE of fish $\geq 15.0$ in and $\geq 20.0$ in is based on the capture of only 4 fish. In 2015 largemouth bass will be sampled in April and October. Length distributions, catch rates, relative weight, and age/growth data will be collected.

## Bluegill Redear Sunfish Sampling

Sampling to assess Washburn Lake's bluegill and redear sunfish populations was conducted in May (Tables 32, 4446). Bluegill catch rates in 2014 were again within the range of what is typically collected. The catch rate for bluegill $>8.0$ in bounced back in 2014 but is based on the capture of only 3 fish. CPUE of fish $\geq 6.0$ in was the greatest since 2008 and the second highest since 2003. The 2009 creel survey indicated that $87 \%$ of bluegill caught were also harvested with the average size of fish harvested being 6.6 in. Numbers of 6.0-8.0 in fish are back similar to 2008 and 2009 estimates. Anecdotally, harvest seems to have lessened as the number of quality fish in the population has declined in recent years. If harvest remains low in 2015 and the fertility issue is resolved, we should see a substantial increase in bluegill $\geq 8.0$ inches in the coming years.

Total CPUE of redear sunfish increased slightly in 2014. CPUE of fish $<6.0$ in decreased, while CPUE of 6.0-7.9 in fish remained constant and CPUE of fish $\geq 8.0$ in increased dramatically. If fertility can be augmented and stabilized, the redear sunfish will continue to grow well and reach quality sizes.

The most recent age data indicated slow growing populations, likely due the insufficient fertility. In 2015, bluegill and redear sunfish will be sampled in May and October to document length distributions, catch rates and age/growth data.

## Fertilization

Maintaining adequate productivity is an ongoing battle at Washburn Lake. Liming and fertilization, as necessary, have been ongoing since 2004. Some years phytoplankton blooms cannot be achieved while other years, or weeks within years, excessive blooms for several days reduce transparency to less than 12.0 in. In April 2014, a nutrient loading project was initiated. Nitrogen was added in 1 mg increments to 1 gallon cubitainers filled with water from Washburn Lake. The cubitainers were allowed to free float near the water's surface for one week and then removed. A 100 milliliter sample was taken from each cubitainer, filtered, and frozen in a dark film canister. The canisters were mailed overnight to a DOW laboratory in Frankfort. Samples were tested for Chlorophyll-a content. Results can be found in Table 47. Our results indicate that the addition of $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of nitrogen will produce a sufficient amount of chlorophyll-a to achieve a secchi of 3 feet or less. The first year determined that Washburn Lake is likely nitrogen ( N ) limited, indicating that standard 9-18-9 liquid fertilizer, used previously, will not provide the necessary N to promote an adequate phytoplankton bloom. The project is being repeated, with modifications, in 2015 to determine the exact formulation of fertilizer required to achieve an adequate phytoplankton bloom. This will hopefully resolve the Washburn Lake fertility issue and increase fish growth rates.

## Hoop Net Surveys

In June 2015, a study was conducted evaluating two bait types used in channel catfish hoop net surveys (cheese log vs Zote ${ }^{\mathscr{C}}$ soap). Channel catfish CPUE and mean total length, and bycatch of fishes and aquatic turtles was recorded in 7 NWFD lakes and 8 lakes sampled by Urban Fisheries (Tables 48-54). Tandem net sets (three nets in a set) were fished for two nights with cheese or soap bait, retrieved, processed, re-baited, and fished for another two nights with the opposite bait type. No difference was found in channel catfish catch rates between bait types. However, mean sizes of fish caught using Zote ${ }^{\mathscr{O}}$ were approximately one inch longer compared to those caught with cheese bait. Fish bycatch was similar among baits but tandem nets baited with Zote ${ }^{\odot}$ caught up to $61 \%$ fewer turtles that experienced up to $12 \%$ lower mortalities than those baited with cheese. Zote ${ }^{\mathcal{O}}$ soap appears to be an effective bait choice for
capturing channel catfish in tandem hoop nets while also reducing aquatic turtle by-catch and mortality. Soap is more readily available, easier to store and handle, and less expensive than cheese logs. Additionally, depending on number of animals captured in a net, the soap can be re-used at a later date. Individual lake reports can be found below.

## Loch Marie

Channel catfish were sampled at Loch Marie Lake June 9-13, 2014. Six tandem hoop net sets were fished for four nights and captured a total of 500 channel catfish (Table 48). Zote ${ }^{\bullet}$ soap caught nearly twice as many catfish as cheese logs. Average size of channel catfish sampled combining bait types was 15.5 in . It was discovered that Loch Marie is enrolled in a private paddlefish production program, therefore, channel catfish stocking will be suspended indefinitely.

## Madisonville City Park North and South Lakes

Channel catfish were sampled at Madisonville City Park North Lake June 9-11 and June 9-13, 2014 at the South Lake. In Madisonville North, four tandem hoop net sets were fished for two nights. Mid-week, several nets were so full of catfish they could not be pulled into the boat. 1008 catfish were captured, 727 in nets baited with cheese logs and the remaining 281 in nets baited with Zote ${ }^{\oplus}$ (Table 49). The mean length of fish caught combining bait types was 13.1 in . Nets were not re-baited and re-set due to the large number of fish captured and the stress they experienced. MCP North is a FINs lake and receives several catfish stockings per year. The large number of fish encountered suggests angler harvest is fairly low and this information was utilized by the Urban Fisheries Program to guide future stocking protocol.

In Madisonville City Park South Lake, four tandem hoop net sets were fished for four nights. Very few catfish were captured ( 10 total; Table 50) despite the lake having been stocked several times with channel catfish. Fishing access is limited and fishing pressure is low. Large numbers of aquatic turtles were captured in this lake and several nets were floating due to excessive turtle mortality. This likely affected catfish capture rates. However, due to Madisonville North being enrolled in the FINs Program, stockings have been discontinued indefinitely at Madisonville South due to limited access, pressure, and sampling results.

## Upper and Lower Douglas (Ft Knox)

Channel catfish were sampled at Upper and Lower Douglas Lakes June 16-20, 2014. In Upper Douglas, four tandem hoop net sets were fished for four nights and captured a total of 161 channel catfish (Table 51). The catch was distributed almost equally between the two bait types with Zote ${ }^{\mathbb{O}}$ capturing a few more fish than cheese logs. Average size of channel catfish sampled combining bait types was 15.9 in.

In Lower Douglas six tandem hoop net sets were fished for four nights and captured a total of 92 channel catfish (Table 52). Catch was again distributed almost equally between bait types with Zote ${ }^{\ominus}$ capturing a few additional fish once more. Average size of channel catfish sampled combining bait types was 15.5 in . It was discovered that Ft Knox natural resources staff have been stocking additional channel catfish annually in several lakes. We will work closely with Ft Knox staff in 2015 to establish future management and stocking objectives.

## Vastwood Park Lake

Channel catfish were sampled at Vastwood Park Lake June 2-6, 2014. Four tandem hoop net sets were initially set in the lake. When the crew returned on the second day to process and re-bait nets, one tandem sets could not be found. Several hours of searching did not produce the net set and it was assumed stolen. The remaining three sets (fished for four nights) captured a total of 19 channel catfish (Table 53). Average length of catfish captured was 13.2 in when combining bait types. Despite low numbers of catfish sampled, anglers report catching catfish regularly. Vastwood Lake is extremely clear and home to excessive aquatic plant growth. Dense aquatic plant growth is believed to significantly hinder hoop net capture efficiency. We plan to work with Vastwood Park officials to control plant growth and will sample again when conditions are more favorable.

## Fordsville City Park Lake

Channel catfish were sampled at Fordsville City Lake June 2-6, 2014. Four tandem hoop net sets were fished for four nights. A total of 269 catfish were captured with an average length of 12.3 in (Table 54). Cheese bait caught significantly more catfish than Zote ${ }^{\ominus}$ soap. Some of the discrepancy may be attributed to the mid week release location. Due to lake shape it was difficult to find a release location 100 meters from the site of capture that was also 100 meters or more from another net set. Ultimately fish were released at the dam. On Friday net sets closest to the dam (baited with cheese) caught most of the fish. This is likely due to the fact that these sets were the first encountered as fish tried to re-disperse throughout the lake.

Otoliths were collected from a subsample of fish on the final day. Sacrificed fish ranged from 8.8 to 17.2 in. Growth rates at Fordsville Lake are slower than at most other district lakes and are similar to those collected from strip mine lakes (Table 55). Fish age ranged from 2 to 6 years. Angler access is limited and pressure appears to be low. The catfish stocking rate has been reduced from 50 to 25 fish/acre in odd years. The population will be reevaluated in 2016 or 2017. Water was extremely turbid during the week of sampling. Excessive sediment was entering the lake from construction activities in the upper watershed. We will continue to monitor this issue until it is resolved.

## Peabody WMA

In 2012 several lakes were sampled in the "walk-in" area of the Ken Unit in Ohio county. Lakes were selected based on their potential for trophy bluegill and redear sunfish management. Redear sunfish stocking, fertilization, and habitat improvement projects were initiated. Eventually two lakes were deemed satisfactory for further development and monitoring (Honeycone Lake and Little Gill Lake).

Largemouth bass, bluegill and redear sunfish were sampled at Honeycone Lake in May 2014 (Table 56-57). The bass population remains stunted with $96 \%$ of the population $\leq 13.0 \mathrm{in}$. This is the desired trend so that small bluegill numbers are kept low and the remaining fish grow quickly to trophy size. Bluegill captured ranged in size from 1.09.0 in. The bluegill population remains similar to the 2012 sample. Nine hundred redear sunfish were stocked in Honeycone in September of 2012. No redear were collected in the 2014 sample. An additional 1000 redear were stocked in September 2014. Fertilization and sampling efforts will continue Spring 2015 and spawning structures will be added.

Largemouth bass, bluegill and redear sunfish were sampled at Little Gill Lake in May 2014 (Table 57-58). The largemouth bass population continues to be comprised of fish mostly $<12.0$ in ( $\mathrm{PSD}=22$ ) which is where it should be. No redear sunfish were collected in the June 2012 sample. In September 2012 redear $(1,500)$ were initially stocked into Little Gill Lake. In the 2014 sample, 23 redear were captured. Those captured were 7.0-8.0 inches in length and appeared to be growing well. During September 2014, an additional 2,000 redear were stocked. Fertilization and fish sampling will be conducted in Spring 2015.

Catfish sampling at Ken Lake was conducted during June 2014. Low pulse electrofishing (15pps) was conducted in 300 s samples. Two boats were utilized with one doing the shocking and netting of nearby fish while a second "chase" boat handled most of the fish capture. This technique is utilized mostly for blue catfish and success is highly variable depending on water body. Conductivity at Ken Lake is $2472 \mu$ s and makes sampling via electrofishing difficult. Previous age and growth data collected from blue catfish revealed a stunted population with most fish 16.020.0 in, skinny, and 13 years old. The age corresponds to initial stockings of surplus blue catfish in 1998. NWFD staff used electrofishing, trot lines, and hoop nets to sample the catfish population. In an attempt to release some of the blue cats from stunting, several fish were relocated to other PWMA lakes, Christmas trees were added for juvenile fish habitat (protection), and both juvenile and adult gizzard shad, bluegill, redear sunfish, black and white crappie, and green sunfish were stocked to increase available forage. The fish stocked in Ken Lake were removed from Kingfisher Lakes during the draw down for renovation. Blue, channel and flathead catfish were captured in 2014 (Table 59). Blues ranged from 17.0-36.0 inches in total length, however most fish remain in the 17.0-21.0 in range. Although weights were not measured, anecdotal observations indicate condition is much improved. Sampling to collect catfish length distributions, catch rates, and age/growth data will be conducted in 2015.

Table 1. Annual summary of sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled and date for Northwestern Fishery District lakes during 2014.

| Water body | Species | Date | Time (24hr) | Gear | Weather | Water temp. F | Water level | Secchi <br> (in) | Conditions | Pertinent sampling comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nolin River Lake | LMB | 4/24, 5/6, 5/7 | 900 | Shock | Sunny, breezy, 60-75 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 65-70 | 515-516 | 49-60 | Good |  |
| Nolin River Lake | WB/WE | 8/12 | 1000 | Temp/DO | Partly cloudy, windy, $80^{\circ}$ | 82.5 | 515 |  | Fair |  |
| Nolin River Lake | Crappie | 11/3-11/7 |  | Trap Net | Sunny, cloudy, rainyy, wind 5-25 | 52-58 | 506.2-504.3 | 30-42 | Good |  |
| Rough River Lake | HSB | 5/20 | 1000 | Temp/DO | Sunny, windy, $75^{\circ}$ | 72 |  | 22-54 | Fair |  |
| Rough River Lake | HSB | 6/19 | 1000 | Temp/DO | Sunny, hot, 90 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 85 | 495 | 37 | Good |  |
| Rough River Lake | HSB | 7/29 | 1000 | Temp/DO | Sunny, cool, $75^{\circ}$, windy (15-20) |  | 495 |  | Fair |  |
| Rough River Lake | HSB | 8/29 | 1000 | Temp/DO | Sunny, hot, breezy, 80-90 | 85-86 | 495 | 54-84 | Good |  |
| Rough River Lake | HSB | 11/12-11/14 |  | Gill Net | Sunny, cloudy, Cold, light wind | 52-54 | 483-482.2 | 18-26 | Good |  |
| Lake Malone | LMB | 4/23, 5/1 | 900 | Shock | Sunny, breezy, 55-60 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 65 | Pool \& P+1' | 32-60 | Good |  |
| Mauzy | LMB | 4/22 | 900 | Shock | Partly sunny, windy, 70 | 67 | Pool + 2 in | 23 | Fair | Water muddy and choppy, deep fish hard to see |
| Mauzy | BG | 5/12 | 900 | Shock | Sunny, humid, $80^{\circ}$ | 77 | Pool | 40 | Good |  |
| Carpenter Lake | LMB | 4/21 | 1100 | Shock | Sunny, 70 | 67 | Pool + 2 in | 44 | Good | Lots big BG/RE observed, LMB on w ood 3-5' |
| Carpenter Lake | BG | 5/8 | 900 | Shock | Sunny, cloudy, windy, $80^{\circ}$ | 73 | Pool | 40 | Good |  |
| Washburn | LMB | 4/21 | 900 | Shock | Cloudy, upper 60s | 65 | Pool | 35 | Good | Lots BG/RE observed, LMB on wood |
| Washburn | BG | 5/8 | 1100 | Shock | Sunny, cloudy, 85* | 76 | Pool | 58 | Good |  |
| Flycatcher (PWMA) | ALL | 4/11 | 1000 | Shock | Mostly cloudy, 62 | 61 | Pool |  | Good | Observed w armouth and trout, conductivity 358 |
| Honeycone (PWMA) | ALL | 5/13 | 1000 | Shock | Sunny then cloudy, 80 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 78 | Pool | 84 | Good | Conductivity 153 , big BG deep hard to net |
| Little Gill (PWMA) | ALL | 5/13 | 1200 | Shock | Sun and clouds, $80^{\circ}$ | 78 | Pool - 1.5' | 84 | Good | Conductivity 190 |
| Ken Lake (PWMA) | ALL | 5/13 | 1300 | Shock | Mostly cloudy, $80^{\circ}$ | 78 | Pool + 1' | 40 | Fair | Conductivity 2472 |
| Ken Lake (PWMA) | BCF | 6/23 | 1000 | Shock | Sunny, hot, $90^{\circ}+$ | 87 | Pool | 30 | Good | 5 min samples, 15 pps , motor did not run w ell |
| Fordsville City Park | ALL | 5/19 | 1000 | Shock | Sunny, mild, $75^{\circ}$ | 69 | Pool + 1' | 12 | Poor | Water muddy, low conductivity, alk 75 ppm |
| Fordsville City Park | CCF | 6/2-6/6 |  | Hoop Net | light breeze to w indy, low 80s | 77-79 | Pool + 6" | 15-22 | Fair | Water muddy |
| Vastw ood Park | CCF | 6/2-6/6 |  | Hoop Net | light breeze to w indy, low 80s | 80-82 | Pool | 72 | Fair/Good | Set \#2 stolen/missing |
| Madisonville City Park North | CCF | 6/9-6/11 |  | Hoop Net | Cloudy, light rain, 70s | 75-79 | Pool | 54 | Good | Pulled nets after tw o nights, caught too many fist |
| Madisonville City Park South | CCF | 6/9-6/13 |  | Hoop Net | Cloudy, light rain, to sunny, breezy 70s | 75-79 | Pool | 38-48 | Good | Tons of turtles, few CCF |
| Low er Douglas (Ft Knox) | CCF | 6/16-6/20 |  | Hoop Net | Sunny, hot, light breeze, 85-90 | 82-86 | Pool | 46-66 | Good |  |
| Upper Douglas (Ft Knox) | CCF | 6/16-6/20 |  | Hoop Net | Sunny, hot, light breeze, 85-90 | 86 | Pool | 84-180 | Good |  |
| Loch Marie | CCF | 6/9-6/13 |  | Hoop Net | Cloudy, light rain, to sunny, breezy 70s | 79-80 | Pool | 24-30 | Good |  |

Table 2. Species composition, length frequency, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 5.0 hours of 30-minute diurnal electrofishing at Nolin River Lake in April 2014.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Upper | Largemouth bass | 1 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 28 | 21 | 6 | 22 | 36 | 22 | 57 | 67 | 34 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 357 | 119.0 | 7.0 |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 10.3 | 3.2 |
| Lower | Largemouth bass |  | 4 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 18 | 9 | 32 | 60 | 73 | 29 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 291 | 145.5 | 9.6 |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 21.0 | 4.4 |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 39 | 32 | 7 | 40 | 45 | 54 | 117 | 140 | 63 | 33 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 648 | 129.6 | 6.9 |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 14.6 | 3.0 |
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Table 3. PSD and RSD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Nolin River Lake during April 2014; 95\%
confidence intervals are in parentheses.

|  |  | No. <br> $\geq$ stock size | PSD $( \pm 95 \%)$ | RSD $^{\mathrm{a}}( \pm 95 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Species |  |  |  |
| Upper | Largemouth bass | 284 | $70(+/-5)$ | $14(+/-4)$ |
|  | Spotted bass | 31 | $32(+/-17)$ | $3(+/-6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | Largemouth bass | 257 | $77(+/-5)$ | $14(+/-4)$ |
|  | Spotted bass | 40 | $20(+/-13)$ | 0 |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 541 | $73(+/-4)$ | $14(+/-3)$ |
|  | Spotted bass | 71 | $25(+/-10)$ | $1(+/-3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 4. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Nolin River Lake during spring electrofishing 1999-2014.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 21.4 | 2.3 | 29.2 | 2.5 | 64.0 | 5.4 | 15.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 129.6 | 6.9 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 76.9 | 9.6 | 52.7 | 6.4 | 53.8 | 4.7 | 16.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 199.3 | 14.8 |
| $2011^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2010^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 30.0 | 5.7 | 25.1 | 4.3 | 36.0 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 96.4 | 7.1 |
| 2008 | 50.4 | 7.9 | 45.8 | 5.4 | 34.2 | 4.3 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 141.8 | 11.2 |
| 2007 | 53.3 | 10.0 | 17.3 | 2.2 | 27.6 | 4.9 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 106.4 | 14.2 |
| 2006 | 17.8 | 2.8 | 15.8 | 1.5 | 23.6 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 64.7 | 5.7 |
| 2005 | 27.1 | 5.0 | 27.1 | 4.1 | 25.3 | 3.9 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 93.8 | 10.1 |
| 2004 | 23.7 | 1.6 | 16.4 | 3.7 | 16.2 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 65.3 | 6.8 |
| 2003 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 10.2 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 39.6 | 9.2 |
| 2002 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  | 29.8 | 5.4 |
| 2001 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 27.0 | 7.4 | 18.0 | 3.3 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 |  | 59.5 | 11.7 |
| 2000 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 35.0 | 6.3 | 41.5 | 5.1 | 14.0 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 13.1 |
| 1999 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ |  | 61.3 | 16.8 | 56.9 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 126.2 | 26.0 |

${ }^{a}$ Unable to sample due to high water nw d1psd.d14

Table 5. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Nolin River Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 3 at capture | CPUE age 1 fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  | 22.2 (2) | 64.0 (4) | 15.0 (3) | 1.4 (2) |  |  | $\geq 12$ | Good |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 13.4 (4) | 82.9 (4) | 53.8 (4) | 16.0 (3) | 0.2 (2) | 0.582 | 44.1 | 17 | Excellent |
| $2011^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2010^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 12.6 (4) | 29.2 (2) | 36.0 (3) | 5.3 (2) | 0.7 (2) |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2008 | 12.6 (4) | 49.7 (3) | 34.2 (3) | 11.3 (2) | 3.6 (4) | 0.553 | 42.5 | 16 | Good |
| 2007 | 12.6 (4) | 51.6 (4) | 27.6 (3) | 8.2 (2) | 0.7 (2) | 0.609 | 45.0 | 15 | Good |
| 2006 | 12.6 (4) | 17.0 (1) | 23.6 (2) | 7.6 (2) | 0.4 (2) | 0.447 | 36.0 | 11 | Fair |
| 2005 | 13.1 (4) | 26.2 (2) | 25.3 (3) | 14.2 (3) | 0.2 (2) | 0.617 | 46.0 | 14 | Good |
| 2004 | 13.1 (4) | 22.9 (2) | 16.2 (2) | 8.9 (2) | 0.4 (2) | 0.684 | 49.5 | 12 | Good |
| 2003 | 13.1 (4) | 11.3 (1) | 8.9 (1) | 7.6 (2) | 0.0 (0) | 0.534 | 41.4 | 8 | Fair |
| 2002 | 13.1 (4) | 3.8 (1) | 8.0 (1) | 8.0 (2) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 8 | Fair |
| 2001 | 13.1 (4) | 5.0 (1) | 18.0 (2) | 9.0 (2) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2000 | 13.1 (4) | 9.0 (1) | 41.4 (4) | 14.0 (3) | 0.5 (2) |  |  | 14 | Good |

${ }^{a}$ Unable to sample due to high w ater

Table 6. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) for each species of crappie collected in 95 net-nights of sampling at Nolin River Lake during November 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| White crappie |  | 38 | 99 | 5 | 143 | 194 | 452 | 253 | 201 | 75 | 10 | 1 | 1471 | 15.5 | 2.2 |
| Black crappie | 1 | 19 |  | 2 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 64 | 0.7 | 0.2 |

nwd1tn.d14

Table 7. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values calculated for crappie collected in trap nets from Nolin River Lake during November 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \text { Lake/Species } & \text { No. } & \text { PSD } & \text { RSD }_{10} \\ \hline \text { Nolin River Lake } & & & \\ \text { White crappie } & 1334 & 74(+\mid-2) & 22(+\backslash-2) \\ \text { Black crappie } & 44 & 52(+\mid-15) & 18 \\ \hline\end{array}+\mid-12\right)$
nw d1tn.d14

Table 8. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for white crappie collected at Nolin River Lake in November 2014.

| Year |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 47 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 17 | 5.0 | 8.4 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 13 | 5.0 | 8.4 | 10.4 |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 5.4 | 8.6 | 10.7 | 11.9 |  |
| 2009 | 4 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 4.7 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 11.6 |
| No. | 83 | 36 | 19 | 6 | 4 |  |
| Smallest |  | 3.5 | 6.7 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 10.2 |
| Largest |  | 7.3 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 12.9 |
| Std error | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 |  |
| $95 \%$ Cl $( \pm)$ |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.1 |
| nw d1w ca.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nw d1w ca.d14

Table 9. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of white crappie trap netted for 95 net-nights at Nolin River Lake in November 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Age \% | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 38 | 99 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142 | 9.6 | 1.5 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | 143 | 194 | 452 | 115 |  |  |  |  | 904 | 61.4 | 9.5 | 1.4 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 | 141 | 30 |  |  | 309 | 21.0 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 30 | 7 |  | 97 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | < 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 1 | 17 | <0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total | 38 | 99 | 5 | 143 | 194 | 452 | 253 | 201 | 75 | 10 | 1 | 1471 |  |  |  |
| (\%) | 2.6 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 13.2 | 30.7 | 17.2 | 13.7 | 5.1 | 0.7 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Population assessment for white crappie based on fall trapnetting at Nolin River Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | CPUE (excluding age 0) | CPUE age 1 | CPUEage 0 | CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | Mean length age 2+ at capture | Instantaneous Mortality (z) | Annual <br> Mortality (A)\% | Total score | Assessment Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 14.0 (3) | 9.5 (3) | 1.5 (1) | 10.4 (3) | 10.2 (4) | 1.14 | 0.682 | 14 | Good |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 6.7 (2) | 4.5 (2) | 1.1 (1) | 3.2 (2) | 10.1 (4) | 1.112 | 67.1 | 11 | Fair |
| 2011 | 5.7 (2) | 4.4 (2) | 1.6 (1) | 3.5 (2) | 10.9 (4) | 1.274 | 72.3 | 11 | Fair |
| 2010 | 6.7 (2) |  |  | 6.0 (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 14.1 (3) | 11.7 (3) | 1.2 (1) | 8.9 (3) | 10.4 (4) | 1.638 | 80.6 | 14 | Good |
| 2008 | 6.0 (2) | 3.5 (2) | 2.4 (1) | 4.8 (2) | 10.4 (4) | 0.976 | 62.3 | 11 | Fair |
| 2007 | 7.4 (2) | 3.7 (2) | 0.4 (1) | 6.1 (3) | 10.4 (4) | 0.882 | 58.6 | 12 | Fair |
| 2006 | 5.9 (2) | 3.2 (2) | 2.0 (1) | 4.4 (2) | 9.7 (4) | 0.876 | 58.3 | 11 | Fair |
| 2005 | 8.8 (2) | 3.6 (2) | 1.4 (1) | 7.4 (3) | 9.7 (4) | 0.749 | 52.7 | 12 | Fair |
| 2004 | 8.6 (2) | 4.2 (2) | 5.1 (2) | 6.9 (3) | 9.7 (4) | 0.630 | 46.7 | 13 | Good |
| 2003 | 13.2 (3) | 8.0 (3) | 2.0 (1) | 8.7 (3) | 9.8 (4) | 1.107 | 66.9 | 14 | Good |
| 2002 | 12.0 (2) | 10.0 (3) | 4.3 (2) | 8.8 (3) | 9.5 (3) | 1.571 | 79.2 | 13 | Good |
| 2001 | 10.2 (2) | 4.8 (2) | 2.6 (1) | 3.9 (2) | 9.1 (3) | 0.910 | 59.7 | 10 | Fair |

Table 11. Dissolved oxygen (ppm) and temperature profile conducted at three sites on Nolin River Lake on 12 August 2014.

|  | Site location |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower |  | Middle |  | Upper |  |
| Depth (ft.) | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO |
| Surface | 28.0 | 8.5 | 28.0 | 9.0 | 28.5 | 9.1 |
| 2 | 28.0 | 8.3 | 28.0 | 9.1 | 28.2 | 8.5 |
| 4 | 28.1 | 8.2 | 28.0 | 8.9 | 28.1 | 8.8 |
| 6 | 28.1 | 8.2 | 27.9 | 9.0 | 27.9 | 8.3 |
| 8 | 28.1 | 8.4 | 27.8 | 8.8 | 27.9 | 8.0 |
| 10 | 28.0 | 8.0 | 27.8 | 8.7 | 27.6 | 6.3 |
| 12 | 28.0 | 8.4 | 27.7 | 8.1 | 27.1 | 2.7 |
| 14 | 28.0 | 8.5 | 27.1 | 5.1 | 26.6 | 2.2 |
| 16 | 27.1 | 7.2 | 26.6 | 2.7 | 25.9 | 2.2 |
| 18 | 26.7 | 6.2 | 26.0 | 0.7 | 25.5 | 2.2 |
| 20 | 26.2 | 4.2 | 25.8 | 0.2 | 25.5 | 2.1 |
| 22 | 24.7 | 1.2 |  |  | 24.9 | 1.9 |
| 24 | 24.4 | 0.6 |  |  | 24.2 | 1.4 |
| 26 | 23.6 | 0.4 | 24.1 | 0.2 | 24.0 | 1.2 |
| 28 | 22.6 | 0.3 |  |  | 23.9 | 1.0 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | 23.8 | 0.8 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | $35-70$ ' deep |  |  |  |  |  |
| NRL_TEMP_DO |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) for hybrid striped bass collected in 8 net-nights of sampling at Rough River Lake during November 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Hybrid striped bass | 32 | 54 | 11 | 3 |  | 1 | 11 | 77 | 37 | 52 | 53 | 36 | 30 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 450 | 56.3 | 14.6 |

Table 13. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each
length group of hybrid striped bass collected at Rough River Lake during November 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8.0-11.9$ in |  | $12.0-14.9$ in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |
| No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | $95(1)$ | 51 | $88(1)$ | 142 | $82(1)$ |  |
| nw d2gn.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 14. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for hybrid striped bass collected at Rough River Lake in November 2014.

| Year |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 48 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 46 | 8.5 | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 19 | 9.2 | 14.6 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 10 | 8.2 | 14.5 | 16.5 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 11 | 8.7 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 19.1 | 20.2 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 8 | 9.2 | 14.5 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 19.4 |  |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 10.4 | 16.3 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 21.3 | 22.4 | 23.0 |  |
| 2006 | 2 | 8.5 | 13.4 | 15.7 | 17.4 | 18.4 | 19.3 | 20.3 | 21.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 9.0 | 14.5 | 16.9 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 21.6 | 21.1 |
| No. | 146 | 98 | 52 | 33 | 23 | 12 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Smallest |  | 5.9 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 17.8 | 19.2 | 20.2 |
| Largest |  | 11.5 | 16.9 | 19.5 | 21.4 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 23.6 | 22.1 |
| Std error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 95\% CI $( \pm)$ |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| nw d2hsba.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of hybrid stiped bass collected in 8 net-nights of sampling at Rough River Lake during November 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | CPUE | Std. Error | Age (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 32 | 54 | 11 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 12.5 |  | 22.3 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 77 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114 | 14.2 | 4.2 | 25.4 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 50 | 23 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 11.6 | 4.0 | 20.5 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 23 | 16 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 46 | 5.9 | 2.2 | 10.2 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 7 | 14 | 3 |  |  |  | 28 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 6.2 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 5 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 35 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 7.8 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5 | 8 |  | 3 |  | 23 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 5.1 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Total | 32 | 54 | 11 | 3 |  | 1 | 11 | 77 | 37 | 52 | 52 | 37 | 29 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 449 |  |  |  |
| (\%) | 7.1 | 12.0 | 2.4 | 0.7 |  | 0.2 | 2.4 | 17.1 | 8.2 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Population assessment for hybrid striped bass based on fall gill net sampling at Rough River Lake from 1999-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | CPUE (excluding age 0) | Mean length age 2+ at capture | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 43.8 (4) | 16.8 (2) | 32.6 (4) | 14.2 (4) | 0.457 | 36.7 | 14 | Excellent |
| 2012 | 35.1 (4) | 16.7 (2) | 25.1 (4) | 11.6 (4) | 0.717 | 51.2 | 14 | Excellent |
| 2010 | 60.2 (4) | 16.8 (2) | 34.5 (4) | 28.9 (4) | 0.525 | 40.8 | 14 | Excellent |
| 2008 | 25.1 (4) | 16.3 (2) | 19.3 (4) | 6.3 (3) | 0.544 | 42.0 | 13 | Good |
| 2006 | 23.7 (4) | 16.9 (2) | 14.5 (4) | 8.9 (3) | 0.447 | 36.1 | 13 | Good |
| 2003 | 33.9 (4) | 16.5 (2) | 30.9 (4) | 3.1 (2) | 0.680 | 49.8 | 12 | Good |
| 2001 | 29.9 (4) | 15.9 (1) | 16.8 (4) | 13.1 (4) |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 1999 | 26.4 (4) | 16.5 (2) | 18.5 (4) | 8.1 (3) |  |  | 13 | Good |

Table 17. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) for channel catfish collected in 8 net-nights of sampling at Rough River Lake during November 2014

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 28 |  |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 5.3 | 2.2 |

Table 18. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of channel catfish collected at Rough River Lake during November 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.0-15.9 in |  | $16.0-23.9$ in |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |
| No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $79(1)$ | 12 | $91(3)$ | 3 | $75(3)$ |
| nwd2gn.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19. Dissolved oxygen (ppm) and temperature profile conducted at three sites on Rough River Lake on 20 May 2014.

|  | Lower |  |  | Middle |  | Upper |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Depth (ft.) | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp |  |
| Surface | 21.7 | 10.2 | 23.2 | 10.4 | 21.9 | DO |  |
| 2 | 21.6 | 10.4 | 22.6 | 10.3 | 21.2 | 11.8 |  |
| 4 | 21.6 | 10.3 | 21.2 | 8.6 | 19.6 | 10.4 |  |
| 6 | 21.5 | 10.2 | 21.0 | 8.0 | 19.0 | 8.1 |  |
| 8 | 21.5 | 10.3 | 20.8 | 8.0 | 17.4 | 6.8 |  |
| 10 | 21.4 | 10.4 | 20.6 | 7.5 | 15.9 | 6.1 |  |
| 12 | 21.4 | 10.2 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 15.6 | 6.1 |  |
| 14 | 21.3 | 10.2 | 19.5 | 5.2 | 15.5 | 6.1 |  |
| 16 | 20.0 | 8.4 | 19.0 | 4.5 | 15.3 | 6.2 |  |
| 18 | 19.6 | 7.9 | 18.6 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 6.2 |  |
| 20 | 19.1 | 5.9 | 18.1 | 3.4 | 15.1 | 6.2 |  |
| 22 | 18.8 | 4.1 | 17.9 | 3.2 | 15.1 | 6.2 |  |
| 24 | 18.0 | 2.5 | 17.8 | 3.1 | 15.1 | 6.2 |  |
| 26 | 17.2 | 2.3 | 17.6 | 2.5 | 15.0 | 6.3 |  |
| 28 | 17.1 | 2.1 | 16.7 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 16.5 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 15.7 | 1.4 | 15.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| 35 | 15.7 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 14.7 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RRL_Temp_DO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 20. Dissolved oxygen (ppm) and temperature profile conducted at three sites on Rough River Lake on 19 June 2014.

|  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mite location |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (ft.) | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO |
| Surface | 29.3 | 8.6 | 30.1 | 8.7 | 30.4 | 10.1 |
| 2 | 29.2 | 8.3 | 29.9 | 8.3 | 29.8 | 10.2 |
| 4 | 29.1 | 8.3 | 29.2 | 8.4 | 29.4 | 10.6 |
| 6 | 29.0 | 8.4 | 28.6 | 8.7 | 28.9 | 9.8 |
| 8 | 28.6 | 8.2 | 27.4 | 9.5 | 27.8 | 9.3 |
| 10 | 27.6 | 7.8 | 26.3 | 6.5 | 25.9 | 5.4 |
| 12 | 25.7 | 5.8 | 24.4 | 4.0 | 24.8 | 3.5 |
| 14 | 23.8 | 3.1 | 23.4 | 1.6 | 22.5 | 1.7 |
| 16 | 22.2 | 1.6 | 22.3 | 0.5 | 21.6 | 0.8 |
| 18 | 20.5 | 0.4 | 20.8 | 0.3 | 19.7 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 17.9 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |


| 52' deep | 30' deep | 23' deep |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| RRL_Temp_DO |  |  |

Table 21. Dissolved oxygen (ppm) and temperature profile conducted at three sites on Rough River Lake on 29 July 2014.

|  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site location |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth (ft.) | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO |
| Surface | 26.4 | 6.6 | 27.1 | 6.2 | 27.5 | 6.8 |
| 2 | 26.3 | 6.6 | 27.1 | 6.0 | 27.3 | 6.7 |
| 4 | 26.3 | 6.6 | 27.1 | 6.1 | 27.1 | 6.3 |
| 6 | 26.2 | 6.6 | 27.0 | 5.7 | 27.0 | 6.0 |
| 8 | 26.2 | 6.5 | 27.0 | 5.7 | 26.8 | 5.2 |
| 10 | 26.1 | 6.2 | 27.0 | 6.0 | 26.7 | 5.2 |
| 12 | 26.1 | 6.3 | 27.0 | 5.6 | 26.7 | 4.8 |
| 14 | 26.1 | 6.2 | 27.0 | 5.7 | 26.4 | 3.9 |
| 16 | 25.2 | 2.8 | 26.8 | 5.4 | 25.8 | 1.6 |
| 18 | 23.4 | 0.3 | 25.6 | 0.4 | 25.0 | 0.7 |
| 20 | 22.8 | 0.2 | 24.8 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 25 | 19.4 | 0.2 | 20.2 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 30 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 18.9 | 0.2 |  |  |


| 48' deep | 30' deep | 22' deep |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RRL_Temp_DO |  |  |

Table 22. Dissolved oxygen (ppm) and temperature profile conducted at three sites on Rough River Lake on 29 August 2014.

|  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Middle location |  | Upper |  |  |  |
| Depth (ft.) | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO |
| Surface | 29.7 | 7.5 | 30.0 | 9.4 | 30.3 | 9.0 |
| 2 | 29.8 | 7.6 | 30.0 | 9.3 | 29.8 | 9.2 |
| 4 | 29.8 | 7.7 | 29.9 | 9.0 | 29.6 | 8.0 |
| 6 | 29.7 | 7.7 | 29.7 | 7.6 | 29.2 | 6.5 |
| 8 | 29.3 | 7.7 | 29.0 | 4.8 | 28.7 | 4.5 |
| 10 | 28.2 | 6.5 | 28.5 | 2.8 | 28.0 | 3.3 |
| 12 | 27.5 | 5.0 | 28.2 | 0.6 | 26.9 | 1.9 |
| 14 | 26.8 | 3.1 | 26.9 | 0.4 | 25.3 | 1.5 |
| 16 | 26.2 | 1.2 |  |  | 24.2 | 0.7 |
| 18 | 25.5 | 0.5 |  |  | 23.3 | 0.4 |
| 20 | 24.8 | 0.4 | 24.6 | 0.4 | 23.1 | 0.4 |


| 45' deep | 29' deep | 24' deep |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RRL_Temp_DO |  |  |

Table 23. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during 2.5 hours of 30 -minute diurnal electrofishing at Lake Malone in April/May 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 8 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 46 | 53 | 61 | 62 | 53 | 40 | 23 | 34 | 26 | 32 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 535 | 107.0 | 16.7 |

Table 24. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Lake Malone 1999-2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 44.4 | 9.6 | 23.2 | 4.6 | 29.8 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 107.0 | 16.7 |
| 2012 | 46.4 | 18.4 | 123.6 | 18.1 | 48.8 | 10.9 | 48.8 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 267.6 | 44.5 |
| 2011 | 45.6 | 10.3 | 56.0 | 7.3 | 35.2 | 7.7 | 34.4 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 171.2 | 26.8 |
| 2010 | 37.2 | 8.8 | 49.6 | 5.0 | 49.6 | 5.4 | 62.0 | 7.1 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 198.4 | 16.3 |
| 2009 | 10.0 | 1.4 | 29.6 | 4.4 | 51.2 | 7.6 | 37.2 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 128.0 | 11.7 |
| 2008 | 18.8 | 6.5 | 78.8 | 6.6 | 77.2 | 5.0 | 43.6 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 218.4 | 12.4 |
| 2007 | 29.2 | 4.0 | 80.4 | 10.4 | 30.8 | 2.0 | 37.6 | 10.3 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 178.0 | 17.8 |
| 2006 | 31.6 | 3.7 | 81.6 | 14.3 | 22.4 | 2.1 | 28.0 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 163.6 | 19.8 |
| 2005 | 32.4 | 4.8 | 69.2 | 14.3 | 32.0 | 8.7 | 53.6 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 1.2 | 187.2 | 30.1 |
| 2004 | 28.4 | 3.9 | 53.6 | 5.7 | 26.4 | 4.2 | 53.2 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 161.6 | 12.8 |
| 2003 | 57.0 | 3.3 | 76.5 | 6.8 | 35.0 | 5.0 | 57.5 | 4.9 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 226.0 | 12.1 |
| $2002^{\text {a }}$ | 8.6 | 3.3 | 43.4 | 5.0 | 43.4 | 8.5 | 41.7 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 137.1 | 17.5 |
| $2001{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 18.0 | 8.1 | 66.0 | 12.0 | 50.0 | 8.0 | 31.3 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 165.3 | 15.6 |
| $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 13.3 | 3.4 | 46.0 | 4.2 | 51.3 | 7.8 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 134.7 | 14.5 |
| 1999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | n/d |  | 48.7 | 9.8 | 61.3 | 7.0 | 23.3 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 133.3 | 12.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nocturnal sample
nw d3psd.d14

Table 25. PSD and RSD $_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass taken in spring electrofishing samples at Lake Malone, Carpenter Lake, Kingfisher Lake, Mauzy Lake and Washburn Lake during April 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Lake | Species | No. <br> $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD (+/-95\%) | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}(+/-95 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malone | Largemouth | 487 | $54(+1-4)$ | $31(+1-4)$ |
| Mauzy | Largemouth | 166 | $34(+1-7)$ | $21(+1-6)$ |
| Carpenter | Largemouth | 131 | $37(+/-8)$ | $15(+1-6)$ |
| New Kingfisher | Largemouth | nld |  |  |
| Washburn | Largemouth | 132 | $5(+\mid-4)$ | $3(+\mid-2)$ |

nw d3psd.d14
nw d4psd.d14
nw d5psd.d14
nw d8psd.d14

Table 26. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Lake Malone from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous Mortality (z) | Annual Mortality (A) \% | Total score | Assessment Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  | 7.8 (1) | 23.2 (2) | 29.8 (3) | 5.0 (4) |  |  | $\geq 10$ |  |
| 2012 |  | 31.2 (2) | 48.8 (3) | 48.8 (4) | 2.8 (3) |  |  | $\geq 12$ |  |
| 2011 |  | 41.2 (2) | 35.2 (3) | 34.4 (4) | 4.0 (4) |  |  | $\geq 13$ |  |
| 2010 | 10.4 (2) | 15.1 (1) | 49.6 (3) | 62.0 (4) | 3.6 (3) | 0.397 | 32.7 | 13 | Good |
| 2009 | 10.3 (2) | 8.8 (1) | 51.2 (4) | 37.2 (4) | 5.6 (4) | 0.293 | 25.4 | 15 | Good |
| 2008 | 10.3 (2) | 16.4 (2) | 77.2 (4) | 43.6 (4) | 6.4 (4) | 0.357 | 30.0 | 16 | Good |
| 2007 | 10.3 (2) | 29.2 (2) | 30.8 (2) | 37.6 (4) | 3.6 (3) | 0.330 | 28.1 | 13 | Good |
| 2006 | 11.5 (4) | 20.20(2) | 22.4 (2) | 28.0 (3) | 5.2 (4) | 0.526 | 40.9 | 15 | Good |
| 2005 | 11.5 (4) | 19.0 (2) | 32.0 (2) | 53.6 (4) | 8.4 (4) | 0.387 | 32.0 | 16 | Good |
| 2004 | 11.5 (4) | 19.0 (2) | 26.4 (2) | 53.2 (4) | 6.0 (4) | 0.365 | 31.1 | 16 | Good |
| 2003 | 11.5 (4) | 35.0 (2) | 35.0 (3) | 48.0 (4) | 8.5 (4) | 0.416 | 34.1 | 17 | Excellent |
| 2002 | 11.5 (4) | 6.0 (1) | 43.4 (3) | 41.7 (4) | 8.0 (4) |  |  | 16 | Good |
| 2001 | 12.9 (4) | 14.0 (1) | 50.0 (4) | 31.3 (4) | 0.7 (1) |  |  | 14 | Good |

Table 27. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during 1.0 hour of diurnal electrofishing at Mauzy Lake in April 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 18 | 16 | 4 | 27 | 45 | 17 | 27 | 21 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 231 | 231.0 | 8.4 |

Table 28. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Mauzy Lake during spring 19992014.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 65.0 | 7.2 | 110.0 | 3.5 | 21.0 | 3.4 | 35.0 | 5.7 | 13.0 | 6.8 | 231.0 | 8.4 |
| 2013 | 80.0 | 24.3 | 98.7 | 19.6 | 13.3 | 4.8 | 34.7 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 226.7 | 25.3 |
| 2012 | 96.0 | 16.5 | 42.0 | 2.6 | 20.0 | 4.9 | 40.0 | 9.1 | 15.0 | 3.4 | 198.0 | 12.8 |
| 2011 | 48.0 | 11.6 | 21.3 | 3.5 | 58.7 | 2.7 | 40.0 | 4.6 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 168.0 | 8.0 |
| 2010 | 26.7 | 3.5 | 78.7 | 13.1 | 21.3 | 2.7 | 44.0 | 10.1 | 17.3 | 8.1 | 170.7 | 26.7 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 104.0 | 31.4 | 147.0 | 16.3 | 21.0 | 5.0 | 83.0 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 355.0 | 48.2 |
| 2007 | 46.0 | 5.3 | 49.0 | 12.3 | 40.0 | 2.8 | 64.0 | 17.5 | 0.0 |  | 199.0 | 31.0 |
| 2006 | 68.0 | 14.1 | 40.0 | 4.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 60.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 |  | 192.0 | 21.2 |
| 2005 | 52.0 | 8.6 | 25.0 | 6.6 | 147.0 | 11.5 | 21.0 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 245.0 | 22.3 |
| 2004 | 20.0 | 9.2 | 132.0 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  | 164.0 | 10.6 |
| $2003{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 98.6 | 18.7 | 163.2 | 31.9 | 73.6 | 6.1 | 20.8 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 356.3 | 58.7 |
| $2002{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 36.0 | 14.1 | 169.3 | 40.6 | 9.3 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 221.3 | 45.4 |
| $2001{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12.0 | 2.3 | 246.7 | 53.5 | 26.7 | 10.7 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 289.3 | 64.2 |
| $2000^{\text {c }}$ | 37.3 | 5.8 | 224.0 | 20.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 |  | 269.3 | 25.3 |
| $1999{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{d}$ |  | 165.3 | 8.7 | 17.3 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 186.7 | 14.1 |

[^1]${ }^{\text {b }}$ Lake renovated in 2003
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Nocturnal sample
nw d4psd.d14

Table 29. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Mauzy Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  | 40.0 (2) | 21.0 (2) | 35.0 (4) | 13.0 (4) |  |  | $\geq 12$ |  |
| 2013 |  | 63.1 (3) | 13.3 (1) | 34.7 (4) | 4.0 (4) |  |  | $\geq 12$ |  |
| 2012 | 13.6 (4) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 74.0 (3) | 20.0 (2) | 40.0 (4) | 15.0 (4) | 0.965 | 61.9 | 17 | Excellent |
| 2011 |  | 61.3 (3) | 56.7 (4) | 40.0 (4) | 10.7 (4) |  |  | $\geq 15$ |  |
| 2010 |  |  | 21.3 (2) | 44.0 (4) | 17.3 (4) |  |  | $\geq 10$ |  |
| $2009{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 12.2 (4) | 99.0 (4) | 21.0 (2) | 83.0 (4) | 7.0 (4) | 0.466 | 37.3 | 18 | Excellent |
| 2007 | 12.2 (4) | 21.0 (2) | 40.0 (3) | 64.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 0.374 | 31.2 | 13 | Good |
| 2006 | 10.3 (2) | 24.0 (2) | 24.0 (2) | 60.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 0.755 | 53.0 | 10 | Fair |
| 2005 | 10.3 (2) | 34.0 (2) | 147.0 (4) | 21.0 (3) | 4.0 (4) |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2004 | 10.3 (2) | 2.7 (1) | 5.3 (1) | 6.7 (2) | 0.0 (0) | 0.884 | 58.7 | 6 | Poor |
| $2003{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10.3 (2) | 86.8 (4) | 73.6 (4) | 20.8 (3) | 2.8 (3) |  |  | 16 | Good |
| 2002 | 10.3 (2) | 25.3 (2) | 9.3 (1) | 6.7 (2) | 1.3 (2) |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2001 | 10.3 (2) | 5.3 (1) | 26.7 (2) | 4.0 (2) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 7 | Poor |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Only one age 3 fish
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Lake draw $n$ dow $n$ for repairs in 2009
c Lake renovated in 2003

Table 30. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for bluegill and redear sunfish collected during 0.875 hour of electrofishing at Mauzy Lake in May 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |
|  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 1 | 8 | 44 | 84 | 94 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 368.0 | 69.1 |
| Redear sunfish | 1 | 0 | 3 | 49 | 46 | 87 | 95 | 22 | 2 | 305 | 348.6 | 33.1 |
| nw d4bg.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nw d4bg.d14

Table 31. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill (2000-2014) and redear sunfish (2007-2014) collected at Mauzy Lake during spring samples.

| Bluegill | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 10.3 | 2.3 | 253.7 | 55.6 | 104.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 368.0 | 69.1 |
| 2013 | 91.2 | 21.1 | 417.6 | 54.0 | 73.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 582.4 | 60.9 |
| 2012 | 23.0 | 7.8 | 553.0 | 108.5 | 55.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 631.0 | 126.7 |
| 2011 | 182.4 | 72.9 | 726.4 | 144.1 | 216.0 | 51.4 | 121.6 | 43.3 | 0.0 |  | 1246.4 | 195.0 |
| 2010 | 238.4 | 76.5 | 280.0 | 41.0 | 97.6 | 34.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 616.0 | 74.4 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2008{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 101.3 | 11.1 | 621.3 | 39.6 | 38.7 | 8.9 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 761.3 | 44.5 |
| 2006 | 96.0 | 27.9 | 614.0 | 137.7 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 720.0 | 163.4 |
| 2005 | 289.7 | 45.5 | 596.2 | 101.3 | 14.1 | 5.8 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 900.0 | 86.6 |
| 2004 | 101.1 | 18.0 | 84.6 | 17.5 | 64.8 | 12.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |  | 251.7 | 36.1 |
| $2003{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 94.7 | 19.6 | 125.3 | 29.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  | 230.7 | 48.0 |
| 2001 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 65.3 | 16.2 | 137.3 | 27.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  | 209.3 | 40.7 |
| 2000 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 52.0 | 4.0 | 73.3 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 130.7 | 10.9 |


| Redear <br> Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 112.0 | 19.7 | 208.0 | 26.1 | 27.4 | 6.0 | 0.0 |  | 348.6 | 33.1 |
| 2013 | 0.0 |  | 72.0 | 11.0 | 161.6 | 26.0 | 65.6 | 15.5 | 0.0 |  | 299.2 | 40.8 |
| 2012 | 0.0 |  | 107.0 | 13.7 | 39.0 | 7.6 | 33.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 |  | 179.0 | 21.9 |
| 2011 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 35.2 | 26.4 | 0.0 |  | 78.4 | 65.3 |
| 2010 | 0.0 |  | 16.0 | 10.1 | 240.0 | 48.3 |  | 7.3 | 0.0 |  | 270.4 | 61.0 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2008{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 41.3 | 13.1 | 14.7 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 0.0 |  | 65.3 | 12.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lake drawn down for repairs in 2008-2009
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Lake renovated in 2003
nw d4bg.d14

Table 32. PSD and RSD ${ }^{a}$ values obtained for bluegill and redear sunfish collected in spring electrofishing samples at NWFD state-owned lakes during May 2014; 95\% confidence intervals

| Lake | Species | No. | PSD (+/- 95\%) | RSD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ +/-95\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mauzy | Bluegill | 313 | 29 (+/-5) | 0 |
|  | Redear sunfish | 301 | 40 (+/-6) | 1 (+/-1) |
| Carpenter | Bluegill | 514 | 49 (+/-4) | 0 |
|  | Redear sunfish | 62 | 100 (+/-) | 37 (+/-12) |
| Washburn | Bluegill | 121 | 44 (+/-9) | $2(+/-3)$ |
|  | Redear sunfish | 70 | 89 (+/-8) | 1 (+/-3) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bluegill $=$ RSD $_{8}$, redear $=$ RSD $_{9}$
nw d4bg.d14
nw d5bg.d14
nw d8bg.d14

Table 33. Population assessment for bluegill based on spring electrofishing at Mauzy Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 2 at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (A) \% | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  | 104.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2013 |  |  | 73.6 (3) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 3$ |  |
| 2012 | 4.0 (2) | 4-4+(2) | 55.0 (3) | 0.0 (0) | 0.884 | 58.7 | 7 | Fair |
| 2011 |  |  | 337.6 (4) | 121.6 (4) |  |  | $\geq 8$ |  |
| 2010 |  |  | 97.6 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2009 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2008^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 3.3 (1) | 4-4+ (2) | 38.7 (2) | 0.0 (0) | 0.642 | 35.8 | 5 | Poor |
| 2006 | 3.7 (2) | 4-4+(2) | 10.0 (1) | 0.0 (0) | 0.755 | 53.0 | 5 | Poor |
| 2005 | 4.3 (2) | 2-2+(4) | 14.1 (1) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 7 | Fair |
| 2004 | 4.3 (2) | 2-2+(4) | 65.9 (3) | 1.1 (2) |  |  | 11 | Good |
| $2003^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 4.3 (2) | 2-2+(4) | 126.7 (4) | 1.3 (2) |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2001 | 4.3 (2) | 2-2+ (4) | 138.7 (4) | 1.3 (2) |  |  | 12 | Good |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lake draw n dow n for repairs in 2009
b Lake renovated in 2003

Table 34. Population assessment for redear sunfish based on spring electrofishing at Mauzy Lake from 2007-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 3 at capture | Years to 8.0 in | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 8.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 10.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  | 27.4 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2013 |  |  | 65.6 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2012 | 7.6 (4) | 4-4+ (3) | 33.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 11 | Good |
| 2011 |  |  | 35.2 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2010 |  |  | 14.4 (3) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 3$ |  |
| 2009 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2008{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 8.2 (4) | 3-3+ (4) | 6.7 (2) | 0.00 (0) | 0.790 | 54.6 | 10 | Fair |

Table 35. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during 0.625 hour of 7.5 -minute diurnal electrofishing at Carpenter Lake in April 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 27 | 37 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 141 | 225.6 | 37.0 |

Table 36. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Carpenter Lake 1999-2014.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 16.0 | 6.7 | 131.2 | 17.6 | 48.0 | 13.2 | 30.4 | 5.9 | 12.8 | 5.4 | 225.6 | 37.0 |
| 2013 | 80.0 | 26.2 | 138.7 | 9.6 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 22.7 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 261.3 | 38.5 |
| 2012 | 40.0 | 16.7 | 74.7 | 15.0 | 46.7 | 7.4 | 22.7 | 12.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 184.0 | 46.7 |
| 2011 | 182.7 | 15.4 | 166.7 | 9.6 | 73.3 | 13.1 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 432.0 | 30.2 |
| 2010 | 73.3 | 19.4 | 198.7 | 39.6 | 10.7 | 5.8 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 2.7 |  | 294.7 | 34.7 |
| 2009 | 102.7 | 18.7 | 166.7 | 26.3 | 18.7 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 296.0 | 27.2 |
| 2008 | 136.0 | 17.7 | 229.0 | 28.8 | 9.0 | 2.5 | 11.0 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 385.0 | 50.3 |
| 2007 | 45.3 | 7.4 | 128.0 | 24.3 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 1.3 |  | 196.0 | 31.8 |
| 2006 | 97.3 | 12.0 | 134.7 | 8.7 | 24.0 | 1.3 | 9.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 265.3 | 55.4 |
| 2005 | 157.3 | 3.5 | 165.3 | 48.6 | 30.7 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  | 356.0 | 54.6 |
| 2004 | 80.0 | 16.7 | 128.0 | 28.0 | 22.7 | 3.5 | 21.3 | 8.7 | 2.7 |  | 252.0 | 47.7 |
| 2003 | 181.3 | 49.3 | 97.3 | 11.4 | 18.7 | 4.8 | 36.0 | 12.2 | 1.3 |  | 333.3 | 63.4 |
| $2002^{\text {a }}$ | 12.0 | 4.6 | 52.0 | 4.6 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 |  | 97.3 | 4.8 |
| $2001{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14.7 | 8.7 | 29.3 | 5.3 | 90.7 | 9.3 | 66.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 |  | 201.3 | 17.6 |
| $2000^{\text {a }}$ | 2.7 | 1.3 | 45.3 | 7.1 | 48.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 96.0 | 8.3 |
| $1999{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.3 | 1.3 | 142.7 | 18.5 | 29.3 | 13.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |  | 174.7 | 31.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nocturnal sample
nw d5psd.d14

Table 37. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Carpenter Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (A) \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { score } \end{aligned}$ | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  | 16.0 (2) | 48.0 (3) | 30.4 (4) | 12.8 (4) |  |  | $\geq 13$ |  |
| 2013 |  | 69.3 (3) | 20.0 (2) | 22.7 (3) | 5.3 (4) |  |  | $\geq 12$ |  |
| 2012 |  | 12.0 (1) | 46.7 (3) | 22.7 (3) | 1.3 (2) |  |  | $\geq 9$ |  |
| 2011 |  | 182.7 (4) | 73.3 (4) | 9.3 (2) | 4.0 (4) |  |  | $\geq 14$ |  |
| 2010 | 10.1 (2) | 72.0 (4) | 10.7 (1) | 12.0 (2) | 2.7 (3) | 0.438 | 35.5 | 12 | Good |
| 2009 | 10.3 (2) | 97.9 (4) | 18.7 (1) | 8.0 (2) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2008 | 10.3 (2) | 120.3 (4) | 9.0 (1) | 11.0 (2) | 1.0 (2) | 0.561 | 42.9 | 11 | Good |
| 2007 | 10.3 (2) | 39.9 (2) | 12.0 (1) | 10.7 (2) | 1.3 (2) | 0.560 | 42.9 | 9 | Fair |
| 2006 | 11.6 (4) | 78.7 (4) | 24.0 (2) | 9.3 (2) | 0.0 (0) | 1.160 | 68.7 | 12 | Good |
| 2005 | 11.6 (4) | 132.0 (4) | 30.7 (2) | 2.7 (1) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2004 | 11.6 (4) | 56.0 (4) | 22.7 (2) | 21.3 (3) | 2.7 (3) | 1.155 | 68.5 | 16 | Good |
| 2003 | 11.6 (4) | 162.7 (4) | 54.7 (4) | 36.0 (4) | 1.3 (2) | 0.943 | 61.1 | 18 | Excellent |
| 2002 | 11.6 (4) | 12.0 (1) | 12.0 (1) | 21.3 (3) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2001 | 11.6 (4) | 8.0 (1) | 90.7 (4) | 66.7 (4) | 1.3 (2) |  |  | 15 | Good |

Table 38. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected during 0.75 hour of electrofishing at Carpenter Lake in May 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Blotal | CPUE | Std. error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bedill | 4 | 55 | 137 | 72 | 161 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 518 | 690.7 | 49.7 |
| Redear sunfish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 23 | 62 | 82.7 | 11.4 |
| nw d5bg.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nw d5bg.d14

Table 39. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill (1999-2014) and redear sunfish (2010-2014) collected at Carpenter Lake during spring samples.

| Bluegill | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $>8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 352.0 | 34.6 | 332.0 | 34.1 | 1.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 690.7 | 49.7 |
| 2013 | 20.0 | 9.2 | 138.7 | 27.1 | 312.0 | 42.5 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 470.7 | 70.8 |
| 2012 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 144.0 | 31.9 | 147.2 | 22.3 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 292.8 | 49.7 |
| 2011 | 16.0 | 10.4 | 400.0 | 157.5 | 180.8 | 50.5 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 596.8 | 214.4 |
| 2010 | 10.7 | 6.4 | 100.0 | 18.6 | 101.3 | 19.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 212.0 | 30.8 |
| 2009 | 17.3 | 9.6 | 124.0 | 24.4 | 140.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 281.3 | 42.9 |
| 2008 | 0.0 |  | 88.0 | 18.8 | 150.0 | 50.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 238.0 | 68.5 |
| 2007 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 61.3 | 17.7 | 168.0 | 38.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  | 233.3 | 9.1 |
| 2006 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 57.3 | 10.0 | 102.7 | 12.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 161.3 | 21.3 |
| 2005 | 12.1 | 9.8 | 190.1 | 17.1 | 98.9 | 6.8 | 18.7 | 9.0 | 0.0 |  | 319.8 | 23.1 |
| 2004 | 12.3 | 4.6 | 26.2 | 7.1 | 46.2 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  | 86.2 | 20.4 |
| 2003 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 102.6 | 23.0 | 47.4 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 |  | 161.5 | 34.1 |
| 2002 | 2.3 |  | 8.1 |  | 17.2 |  | 1.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 28.7 | 0.0 |
| 2001 |  |  | 198.7 | 74.7 | 152.0 | 22.7 | 41.3 | 12.7 | 0.0 |  | 392.0 | 108.9 |
| 2000 |  |  | 4.0 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 4.8 | 12.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 |  | 26.7 | 9.6 |
| 1999 |  |  | 10.7 | 2.6 | 82.7 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 |  | 105.3 | 18.0 |

## Redear

Length group


Table 40. Population assessment for bluegill based on spring electrofishing at Carpenter Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 2+ at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (A) \% | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  | 333.3 (4) | 1.3 (2) |  |  | $\geq 6$ |  |
| 2013 |  |  | 312.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2012 |  |  | 147.2 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2011 |  |  | 180.8 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2010 | 4.9 (3) | 3-3+ (3) | 101.3 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 0.615 | 45.9 | 10 | Fair |
| 2009 | 4.6 (3) | 3-3+ (3) | 140.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2008 | 4.6 (3) | 3-3+ (3) | 150.0 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 0.571 | 43.9 | 10 | Fair |
| 2007 | 4.6 (3) | 3-3+(3) | 169.3 (4) | 1.3 (2) | 0.386 | 32.0 | 12 | Good |
| 2006 | 5.6 (4) | 2-2+(4) | 84.6 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 1.657 | 80.9 | 12 | Good |
| 2005 | 5.6 (4) | 2-2+(4) | 117.6 (4) | 18.7 (4) |  |  | 16 | Excellent |
| 2004 | 5.6 (4) | 2-2+(4) | 47.7 (2) | 1.5 (2) |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2003 | 5.6 (4) | 2-2+(4) | 53.3 (3) | 4.0 (2) | 1.427 | 76.0 | 13 | Good |
| 2002 | 5.6 (4) | 2-2+(4) | 18.4 (1) | 1.2 (1) |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2001 |  |  | 145.7 (4) | 41.3 (4) |  |  | $\geq 8$ |  |

Table 41. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during 0.375 hour of 7.5 -minute diurnal electrofishing at Washburn Lake in April 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 4 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 166 | 442.7 | 23.3 |

Table 42. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Washburn Lake* during spring samples 2001-2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 90.7 | 7.1 | 333.3 | 30.8 | 8.0 | 4.6 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 442.7 | 23.3 |
| 2012 | 213.3 | 39.8 | 218.7 | 46.3 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 456.0 | 77.7 |
| 2011 | 205.3 | 44.9 | 133.3 | 35.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 346.7 | 78.6 |
| 2010 | 96.0 | 28.1 | 80.0 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 184.0 | 45.5 |
| 2009 | 104.0 | 60.0 | 82.7 | 39.8 | 0.0 |  | 10.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 |  | 197.3 | 104.3 |
| 2008 | 170.7 | 42.9 | 61.3 | 21.8 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 |  | 261.3 | 59.6 |
| 2007 | 133.3 | 35.3 | 80.0 | 4.6 | 16.0 | 4.6 | 21.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 |  | 250.7 | 30.8 |
| 2006 | 96.0 | 9.2 | 98.7 | 39.3 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 277.3 | 25.4 |
| 2005 | 43.6 | 11.2 | 146.2 | 16.0 | 28.2 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 220.5 | 25.3 |
| 2004 | 46.2 | 4.4 | 353.9 | 49.5 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 400.0 | 51.2 |
| 2003 | 123.1 | 33.5 | 438.5 | 49.5 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 561.5 | 52.4 |
| 2002 | 50.0 |  | 321.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 371.4 | 0.0 |
| 2001 | 260.0 |  | 8.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 268.0 | 0.0 |
| Washb w d8ps | n Lake <br> d14 | novated | mer | 99 and re | ocked spr | ring 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 43. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Washburn Lake 2003-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year | Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous Mortality (z) | Annual Mortality <br> (A) \% | Total score | Assessment Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  | 90.7 (4) | 8.0 (1) | 10.7 (2) | 5.3 (4) |  |  | $\geq 11$ |  |
| 2012 |  |  | 16.0 (1) | 8.0 (2) | 5.3 (4) |  |  | $\geq 7$ |  |
| 2011 |  |  | 2.7 (1) | 5.3 (2) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | $\geq 3$ |  |
| 2010 | 10.7 (2) | 96.0 (4) | 5.3 (1) | 0.0 (0) | 0.0 (0) | 0.819 | 55.9 | 7 | Poor |
| 2009 | 13.1 (4) | 99.7 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 10.7 (2) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2008 | 13.1 (4) | 165.9 (4) | 16.0 (1) | 13.3 (2) | 0.0 (0) | 1.117 | 67.3 | 11 | Fair |
| 2007 | 13.1 (4) | 131.2 (4) | 16.0 (1) | 21.3 (3) | 0.0 (0) | 0.944 | 61.1 | 12 | Good |
| 2006 | 11.2 (3) | 94.7 (4) | 64.0 (4) | 18.7 (3) | 2.7 (3) | 0.669 | 48.8 | 17 | Excellent |
| 2005 | 11.2 (3) | 41.0 (3) | 28.2 (2) | 2.6 (1) | 2.6 (3) |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2004 | 11.2 (3) | 48.3 (3) | 0.0 (0) | 0.0 (0) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 6 | Poor |
| 2003 | 11.2 (3) | 131.6 (4) | 0.0 (0) | 0.0 (0) | 0.0 (0) |  |  | 7 | Poor |

Table 44. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for bluegill and redear sunfish collected in 0.375 hour of electrofishing at Washburn Lake in May 2014.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 19 | 30 | 19 | 35 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 121 | 322.7 | 55.9 |
| Redear | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 25 | 36 | 1 | 71 | 189.3 | 39.8 |
| nwd8bg d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 45. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill (2001-2014) and redear sunfish (2012-2014) collected at Washburn Lake* during spring samples.

| Bluegill | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 0.0 |  | 181.3 | 64.1 | 133.3 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 |  | 322.7 | 55.9 |
| 2013 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 101.3 | 16.2 | 109.3 | 58.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 224.0 | 46.2 |
| 2012 | 30.0 | 11.9 | 158.0 | 27.6 | 64.0 | 23.3 | 22.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 |  | 274.0 | 49.1 |
| 2011 | 24.0 | 10.7 | 93.3 | 16.5 | 33.3 | 10.4 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 156.0 | 19.6 |
| 2010 | 53.3 | 16.2 | 152.0 | 57.9 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 237.3 | 41.7 |
| 2009 | 60.0 | 15.1 | 80.0 | 19.0 | 138.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 278.0 | 20.8 |
| 2008 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 152.0 | 37.8 | 168.0 | 48.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 322.7 | 69.5 |
| 2007 | 58.7 | 14.1 | 245.3 | 37.1 | 40.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 344.0 | 54.5 |
| 2006 | 58.7 | 50.7 | 138.7 | 39.3 | 32.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 229.3 | 81.6 |
| 2005 | 161.5 | 31.9 | 155.8 | 18.9 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 326.9 | 39.3 |
| 2004 | 80.8 | 7.4 | 48.1 | 3.7 | 11.5 | 5.0 | 21.2 | 10.6 | 0.0 |  | 161.5 | 13.0 |
| 2003 | 7.7 | 3.1 | 71.2 | 12.7 | 113.5 | 39.9 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 192.3 | 39.9 |
| 2002 |  |  | 46.5 |  | 102.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 148.8 | 0.0 |
| 2001 |  |  | 28.0 |  | 64.0 |  | 4.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 96.0 | 0.0 |

* Washburn Lake renovated summer 1999 and restocked spring 2000
nw d8bg.d14

| Redear <br> Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 0.0 |  | 5.3 | 2.7 | 85.3 | 14.9 | 98.7 | 30.8 | 0.0 |  | 189.3 | 39.8 |
| 2013 | 0.0 |  | 96.0 | 20.1 | 85.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 181.3 | 22.8 |
| 2012 | 0.0 |  | 28.0 | 12.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 30.0 | 11.0 |

Table 46. Population assessment for bluegill based on spring electrofishing at Washburn Lake 2003-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

|  | Mean length <br> age 2+ at <br> capture | Years to <br> 6.0 in | CPUE <br> $\geq 6.0$ in | CPUE <br> $\geq 8.0$ in | Instantaneous <br> mortality <br> $(\mathrm{z})$ | Annual <br> mortality <br> $(\mathrm{A}) \%$ | Total <br> score | Assessment <br> rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 |  |  | $141.3(4)$ | $8.0(2)$ |  |  | $\geq 6$ |  |
| 2013 |  |  | $112.0(4)$ | $2.7(1)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  | $86.0(4)$ | $22.0(4)$ |  |  | $\geq 5$ |  |
| 2011 |  |  | $38.7(2)$ | $5.3(2)$ |  |  | $\geq 4$ |  |
| 2010 |  |  | $32.0(2)$ | $0.0(0)$ |  |  | $\geq 2$ |  |
| 2009 | $4.7(3)$ | $3-3+(3)$ | $138.0(4)$ | $0.0(0)$ | 0.599 | 45.1 | 10 | Fair |
| 2008 | $5.3(4)$ | $2-2+(4)$ | $168.0(4)$ | $0.0(0)$ | 2.046 | 87.1 | 12 | Good |
| 2007 | $5.3(4)$ | $2-2+(4)$ | $40.0(2)$ | $0.0(0)$ | 1.050 | 65.0 | 10 | Good |
| 2006 | $5.3(4)$ | $2-2+(4)$ | $32.0(2)$ | $0.0(0)$ |  |  | 10 | Good |
| 2005 | $5.4(4)$ | $2-2+(4)$ | $9.6(1)$ | $0.0(0)$ |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2004 | $5.4(4)$ | $2-2+(4)$ | $32.7(2)$ | $22.0(4)$ |  |  | 14 | Excellent |
| 2003 | $5.4(4)$ | $2-2+(4)$ | $118.0(4)$ | $0.0(0)$ |  |  | 12 | Good |

Table 47. Chlorophyll-a results from nutrient loading experiment at Washburn Lake during April 2014. Chlorophyll-a levels near 30 ppm will achieve a secchi of

| approximately 1 m.$$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replicate | Control | 1 mg N | 2 mg N | 3 mg N | 4 mg N | 5 mg N |
| 1 | 2.87 | 22.12 | 36.16 | 41.71 | 40.97 | 32.49 |
| 2 | 1.47 | 28.64 | 30.05 | 43.57 | 42.49 | 48.40 |
| 3 | 2.28 | 26.57 | 33.95 | 48.00 | 40.17 | 48.04 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 2.21 | 25.78 | 33.88 | 44.43 | 41.21 | 42.98 |

Table 48. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 4 nights of tandem (6 sets with 3 nets each) hoop net sampling at Loch Marie Lake during June 2014. Nets were fished for two nights with cheese bait and two nights with Zote $®$ soap bait.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 1 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 42 | 43 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 168 | 28.0 |
| Zote® Soap | 1 | 5 | 11 | 17 | 19 | 8 | 16 | 83 | 70 | 58 | 30 | 9 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 332 | 53.3 |
| Total | 2 | 12 | 19 | 31 | 22 | 15 | 24 | 125 | 113 | 77 | 39 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 500 | 41.7 |

nw dhn2014

Table 49. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 2 nights of tandem (4 sets with 3 nets each) hoop net sampling at Madisonville City Park Lake North during June 2014. Two sets fished for two nights with cheese bait and two sets for two nights with Zote ${ }^{\circledR}$ soap bait.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 6 | 9 | 75 | 132 | 129 | 134 | 86 | 69 | 31 | 25 | 19 | 7 | 2 |  | 3 | 727 | 363.5 |
| Zote® Soap | 5 | 6 | 36 | 47 | 59 | 55 | 29 | 22 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 281 | 140.5 |
| Total | 11 | 15 | 111 | 179 | 188 | 189 | 115 | 91 | 38 | 33 | 21 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1008 | 504.0 |

nw dhn2014

Table 50. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 4 nights of tandem ( 4 sets with 3 nets each) hoop net sampling at Madisonville City Park Lake South during June 2014. Nets were fished for two nights with cheese bait and two nights with Zote ${ }^{\circledR}$

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Zote® Soap | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 1.5 |
| Total | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 10 | 1.3 |

Table 51. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 4 nights of tandem (4 sets with 3 nets each) hoop net sampling at Upper Douglas Lake during June 2014. Nets were fished for two nights with cheese bait and two nights with Zote® soap bait.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 1 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 1 |  | 7 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 76 | 19.0 |
| Zote® Soap | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 85 | 21.3 |
| Total | 3 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 24 | 34 | 26 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 161 | 20.1 |

nw dhn2014
Table 52. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 4 nights of tandem (6 sets with 3 nets each) hoop net sampling at Lower Douglas Lake during June 2014. Nets were fished for two nights with cheese bait and two nights with Zote® soap bait.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 40 | 6.7 |
| Zote® Soap | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 52 | 8.7 |
| Total | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 92 | 7.7 |

nw dhn2014
Table 53. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 4 nights of tandem (3 sets with 3 nets each*) hoop net sampling at Vastwood Park Lake during June 2014. Nets were fished for two nights with cheese bait and two nights with Zote® soap bait.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2.3 |
| Zote® Soap | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 12 | 4.0 |
| Total | 3 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 3.2 |

*One set could not be found (stolen?)

Table 54. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish collected during 4 nights of tandem (4 sets with 3 nets each) hoop net sampling at Fordsville City Park Lake during June 2014. Nets were fished for two nights with cheese bait and two nights with Zote $®$ soap bait.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cheese | 16 | 40 | 36 | 14 | 16 | 52 | 24 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 223 | 55.75 |
| Zote® Soap | 2 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 6 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 47 | 11.75 |
| Total | 18 | 43 | 46 | 17 | 21 | 63 | 30 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 270 | 33.75 |

nw dhn2014

Table 55. Mean length (in) at capture for each age of channel catfish collected from Fordsville City Park Lake
in June 2014.

|  | Age |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Mean length | 10.4 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 17.2 |


| No. | 18 | 3 | 13 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smallest | 8.8 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 17.2 |
| Largest | 12.4 | 13.1 | 15.5 | 17.2 |
| nw dhn2014 |  |  |  |  |

Table 56. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass and bluegill collected during 0.365 hrs of diurnal electrofishing at Honeycone Lake (PWMA) during May 2014.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | Total | CPUE |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 27 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 70 | 191.8 |
| Bluegill | 1 | 13 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 189.0 |

nw d17all.d14

Table 57. PSD and RSD ${ }^{a}$ values obtained for largemouth bass, bluegill, and redear sunfish collected in spring electrofishing samples at NWFD managed lakes on PWMA during May 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Lake | Species | No. | PSD (+/-95\%) | RSD $^{\text {a }}(+/-95 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Honeycone | Largemouth bass | 55 | $55(+/-13)$ | $2(+/-4)$ |
|  | Bluegill | 55 | $45(+/-13)$ | $25(+/-12)$ |
|  | Largemouth bass | 31 | $22(+/-15)$ | 0 |
|  | Bluegill | 53 | $36(+/-13)$ | $17(+/-10)$ |
|  | Redear sunfish | 23 | 100 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Largemouth Bass $=\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$, Bluegill $=\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$, Redear $=\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$
nw d17all.d14
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Table 58. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass, bluegill, and redear sunfish collected during 0.2775 hrs of diurnal electrofishing at Little Gill Lake (PWMA) during May 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 1 | 28 | 16 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 97 | 349.6 |
| Bluegill | 21 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | 266.7 |
| Redear sunfish |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 82.9 |

nw d18all.d14

Table 59. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of catfish collected during 1.0 hr of diurnal low-pulse electrofishing ( 5.0 minute samples) at Ken Lake (PWMA) during June 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |  |  |
| Blue catfish |  |  | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 30 | 30.0 |
| Flathead catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1.0 |
| Channel catfish | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 |

nw d15cat.d14

# SOUTHWESTERN FISHERY DISTRICT 

Project A: Lake and Tailwater Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

Lake sampling conditions are summarized in Table 1.

## Barren River Lake (10,000 acres)

## Black Bass

Black bass were collected with diurnal electrofishing in late-April from both lake arms (Tables 2 and 3). Spotted bass catch rates remain low ( 11.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) as distribution remains tied to the lower $1 / 3$ of the reservoir. Overall catch rate for largemouth ( $165.3 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) remained elevated compared to most years (Table 2), bolstered by higher than average numbers of all length groups (Table 3). Age-1 CPUE of largemouth bass ( $44.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) confirmed a strong 2013 year class. The largemouth bass population assessment remains "Excellent" (Table 4).

Largemouth bass size structure indices ( $\mathrm{PSD}=67 \mathrm{RSD}_{15}=32$; Table 5) were similar to previous year averages . Spotted bass size structure remains high quality as well ( $\mathrm{PSD}=48 \mathrm{RSD}_{14}=23$ ). The smallmouth bass population remain poorly represented in samples with all fish coming from the lower end of the reservoir; however, quality smallmouth are present (Table 2).

Fall young of year diurnal sampling (Tables 6 and 7) suggested a low/moderate 2014 year class for largemouth bass. Overall age-0 largemouth CPUE ( 108.5 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was low compared to most years, as was age- 0 CPUE $\geq 5.0$ in ( $33.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). Despite a lower density of age-0 largemouth bass; mean size for age- 0 bass ( 4.4 in ) was only average compared to previous years. Good year classes of 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2013 give the largemouth bass population an excellent foundation to continue as an exceptional fishery.

Largemouth bass relative weights were similar across most areas and most length groups (mean $\mathrm{Wr}=90$; Table 8). Relative weight values for the larger size group ( $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) were lowest in the upper lake areas; however, both of these areas had the fewest of this size group represented in samples collected.

Otoliths were collected from bass during fall diurnal electrofishing (Table 9). Largemouth bass, on average, reached 15.0 inches in length during their $4^{\text {th }}$ year. Annual mortality was $44.2 \%$; similar to previous years where age data was collected (Table 4). Good year classes of 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2013 were evident in the age-frequency constructed from fall data.

## Briggs Lake (18 acres)

## Sunfish

The sunfish population was sampled by diurnal electrofishing on 22 May (Table 10). Overall CPUE of smaller length groups was again markedly down for all species; however, catch rates of larger redear and bluegill were again well above average (Tables 11 and 12). Redear CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in ( 67.2 fish/hr) was the highest noted in the last 10 years (Table 12). Size structure indices for bluegill $(\operatorname{PSD}=83)$ and redear $(\operatorname{PSD}=70)$ also reflected high quality fisheries (Table 13). The bluegill population assessment dipped from previous years to "Good", due to reduced numbers of 8.0-in plus fish (Table 14). The redear population assessment was "Excellent"; similar to previous years (Table 15).

## Marion County Lake (25 acres)

## Sunfish

Bluegill and redear sunfish were sampled by diurnal electrofishing on May 13 (Table 16). Catch rate of bluegill $\geq 6.0$ in ( 113.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was well above normal, while CPUE of bluegill $<3.0$ inches ( 49.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) fell well below average (Table 17). Redear CPUE was similar across length groups except for the smallest ( $<3.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) and largest ( $\geq 10.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) fish. Similar to other redear populations, catch rate of smaller redear is not a reliable predictor of year class strength. Bluegill and redear size structure indices are reported in Table 19. The bluegill population assessment was "Good" (Table 20) due higher numbers of larger fish ( $6.0-\mathrm{in}$ plus). The redear population assessment was "Excellent" (Table 21).

## Spurlington Lake (25 acres)

## Sunfish

The sunfish population was sampled by diurnal electrofishing on May 13 (Table 22). Larger bluegill length groups (6.0-in plus) were well above average and reflective of the exceptional 2011 year class (Table 23). Redear catch rates for all length groups remain dampened and not up to years bolstered by redear stockings during 2007-2009 (Table 24). Bluegill and redear size structure indices are reported in Table 25. The bluegill population assessment was "Excellent" due to an upswing in larger fish catch rates (Table 26). A redear population assessment is not available due to the lack suitable age data.

## Green River Lake (8,210 Acres)

## Muskie

Diurnal muskellunge sampling continues to be problematic as multiple attempts were made with mixed results that were not reflective of the current population or previous years' sampling norms. As a result, no data is presented for this year.

## Black Bass

Bass sampling during the spring was negated by early and prolonged high water levels. Representative fall bass samples were not able to be collected from the upper lake sites in each lake arm due to stained water and falling water temperatures. Therefore, no bass data is presented for this year.

## Crappie

Trap netting for crappie was done during late-November to mid-December (Table 1). All length groups of white crappie were well represented except for small fish (2014 year class; Table 27). Black crappie were noted at their highest level ever ( $\mathrm{n}=17$; Table 27). Increased black crappie numbers coupled with their presence in the creel survey data for the first time suggests this may be a growing population. The white crappie size structure index is reported in Table 28. The moderate/strong year classes of 2008, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 have yielded a stable, but slower growing fishery (Table 29). Age-2+ white crappie mean length ( 8.1 in ) has remained constant since 2008 (Table 30) which coincides with the population explosion that followed the white bass die off in the spring of 2008. Age- $2+$ crappie lengths in years prior to this population expansion were typically 9.0 -in plus. The white crappie population assessment remained "Fair" due to poor growth rates and suspect use of age- 0 as a population assessment objective (Table 30). The length-weight equation for white crappie in 2014 was:

$$
\log _{10}(\text { weight })=-3.82468+3.54573 \times \log (\text { length })
$$

## Walleye/White bass

Experimental gill net sampling for white bass and walleye was conducted during mid-December (Table 1). White bass CPUE ( 2.4 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ ) increased sigficantly from the previous year low of 0.7 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ and was dominated by age-0 fish (Tables 31 and 32). Unlike white crappie, age-0 white bass CPUE in gillnets has historically been a reliable indicator of year class strength in Green River Lake; however, historic age-0 catch rates of strong year classes were nearly an order of magnitude higher than the latest age-0 CPUE ( 2.3 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ ).

The overall walleye CPUE ( $1.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$ ) was lower than last year ( $3.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$ ). The walleye population had multiple year classes represented, but few individuals per inch class (Table 33). Growth rate (20.1 in by age-2+; Table 34) and condition ( $\mathrm{Wr}=97-105$; Table 35) remain excellent. The walleye population assessment fell to "Fair".

## Green River Lake Creel (8,210 acres)

Creel survey: A roving, daytime creel survey was conducted from March 1- October 3; results are presented in Tables 36-44. Anglers made an estimated 28,374 trips and fished for 152,198 hours with the average trip approximating 5.36 hours. Both total trips and man-hours dropped considerably from 2009 despite the survey being one month longer in 2014 (March vs April start). Trip length, however, increased by 1.1 hours (Table 36). Overall catch and harvest rates were up $26 \%$ and $33 \%$, respectively from 2009.

Crappie continued to eclipse bass as the most sought after fish species accounting for $53 \%$ of angler effort followed by bass ( $37 \%$ ), catfish ( $4 \%$ ), muskie ( $3 \%$ ) and walleye ( $2 \%$ ). Crappie angler success jumped from $59 \%$ in 2009 to $78 \%$ in 2014 (Table 37). Crappie harvest rate ( 1.61 crappe $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) increased from 1.05 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ in 2009 and doubled the average harvest rate from previous creels $(0.75$ crappie/hr). Crappie angler hours $(80,249)$ were well above previous creels, but trips $(14,961)$ were similar when adjusted for the extra month (March).

Bass angler trips $(10,485)$ were seemingly similar to the previous survey in $2009(10,543)$, but as noted earlier, this survey was a month longer; accounting for 1,255 trips and 6,730 hours. Though trips were down slightly, hours fished $(56,243)$ still increased $(11 \%)$ when March data was removed. Overall catch rate ( $0.44 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr} ; 0.47 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ for w/o March data) was slightly lower than 2009 ( 0.53 fish/hour).

Catfish angler hours $(5,543)$ and trips $(1,033)$ dipped considerably from $2009(15,639$ hours; 3,698 trips $)$ as no catfish activity was documented in March. These drops are not reflective of the fishery quality as catch ( 0.63 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) and harvest ( $0.55 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) rates remained similar to previous years.

Muskie angler hours $(4,234)$ decreased from $2009(5,198)$ and remained well below previous years' values $(11,671$; 20,980 ) in spite of the extra survey month. Muskie anglers were responsible for $43 \%$ of the total muskies caught, similar to previous surveys ( $35 \%$ ), but markedly lower directed effort at other species which ranged from 70 to $98 \%$. A comparison of muskie angler hours required to catch a keeper muskie and muskie angler catch rates was not possible due to dropping sublegal status from the catch designation.

Walleye angler trips (422) and hours $(2,265)$ dropped significantly from 2009 ( 1,585 trips; 6,701 hours); falling back to 2006 creel levels. The 2009 creel survey marked the highest angler use of walleye since the creation of the fishery in the late 1990 's. However, walleye angler catch ( 0.18 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) and harvest rates $(0.16$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr})$ were the highest documented for walleye since the fishery began.

Angler attitude survey: Results from the angler attitude survey are presented in Figure 1. Similar to the 2006 and the 2009 attitude surveys, anglers identified crappie ( $52 \%$ ) and bass ( $38 \%$ ) as species they fished for most. The ratio of anglers fishing for crappie compared to bass increased in 2014. Catfish (5.6\%) and muskie (4.2\%) remained distant runner ups as the next most popular species. Angler satisfaction with catfish, crappie and muskie fisheries was overwhelmingly good with $100 \%$ (catfish), $95 \%$ (crappie) and $73 \%$ (muskie) of responses falling in the "very satisfied to somewhat satisfied" categories. Not enough surveys were collected to assess satisfaction with other fisheries. Bass anglers were not asked about their satisfaction with the fishery as population sampling had indicated it remained in excellent shape.

Crappie angler satisfaction with the fishery was equally divided between "size of fish" (49.8\%) and "number of fish' (46.8\%).

Muskie angler satisfaction with the fishery was primarily expressed as "size of fish" (53\%) followed by "number of fish" ( $29 \%$ ). Muskie anglers were split on whether catch of sub-36.0-in muskie "stayed the same" ( $34.8 \%$ ) or "declined" ( $39.1 \%$ ) during past 3 years. Thirty-five percent of muskie anglers thought their catch rate of 36.0 -in plus muskies had increased; $61 \%$ thought it had stayed the same. The majority ( $75 \%$ ) of muskie anglers did not fish muskie tournaments.

Catfish angler satisfaction with the fishery was expressed as "size of fish" (56\%) followed by "number of fish" ( $37 \%$ ). Similar to the previous survey in 2009, the most common method used by catfish anglers was hook \& line ( $62 \%$ ) followed by jugs ( $39 \%$ ). Interestingly, $81 \%$ of catfish anglers in the 2009 survey claimed to use jugs to pursue catfish. Catfish angler sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=31$ ) of this survey versus the 2009 survey ( $\mathrm{n}=189$ ) may help explain this discrepancy. Jug fisherman fished, on average, 13 days annually with a range spanning 5 to 40 days. Nineteen percent of catfish anglers identified themselves as noodlers/hand grabbers and hand fished an average of 14 days annually..

The overwhelming response of all anglers to the 36.0 -in size limit was indifference or no opinion ( $93 \%$ ). However, there was overwhelming support ( $63 \%$ support, $24 \%$ no opinion) for a crappie creel reduction to 20 fish per day. Support for one catfish per day greater than 34.0 in was similarly supported ( $63 \%$ support, $28 \%$ no opinion). Few anglers expressed dissatisfaction with current regulations. The only noteworthy areas were $13 \%$ of all anglers favored a higher bass size limit and $8 \%$ of all anglers favored a higher crappie size limit.

Seemingly contrary to the previous survey in 2009 (15\%), angler use of the fish attractor map rebounded to $63 \%$ in 2014. A possible cause for this discrepancy was with wording of the 2009 question which asked if you used the "printed map" versus the latest survey which asked if you used the "fish attractor map produced by KDFWR". Whether this reflects angler use of the online version of the fish attractor map is not clear, but seems logical. Anglers in 2009 suggested that they used GPS instead.

Most anglers (78.7\%) fished Green River Lake with regularity and fell within the monthly fishing frequency of 1-4 or 5-10 days and were equally divided between them. Sixteen percent of anglers indicated they fished the lake ten or more times per month. The majority of Kentucky anglers that fished Green River Lake (53\%) traveled 30 miles or more, $47 \%$ traveled less than 30 miles. Five states were represented by out-of-state anglers $(\mathrm{n}=12)$ with the majority coming from IN (33\%), OH ( $25 \%$ ) and TN ( $25 \%$ ).

## Metcalfe County Lake (22 acres)

## Black Bass

Largemouth bass were sampled by diurnal electrofishing on April 22 (Tables 45-47). Bass CPUE (198.0 fish/hr) was slightly less than 2013 ( $234.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) despite the addition of 258 largemouth bass (6.0-12.0 in) in early July of 2013. A possible confounding factor was stocking on top of an already higher than average 8.0-11.9 in length group of fish (Table 46). The size structure remains diverse ( $\mathrm{PSD}=38, \mathrm{RSD}=28$; Table 47) and similar to previous years. CPUE of 20.0-in plus fish was extraordinary ( $26.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ), surpassing the previous high in 2013 (14.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) and by far the highest catch rate for this length group in the Southwest District. This lake consistently averages 6.0-8.0 fish/hr for this length group, which is well above any lake in the Southwest District.

Visible condition of all bass appears excellent and is similar to historic values (2000-2002; $\mathrm{Wr}=105$ ). The lake is highly productive and supports a substantial and varied forage base.
Bluegill
Results of the diurnal bluegill sampling on May 14 are presented in Tables 48-51. Decreases in size structure and length group CPUE were noted for small ( $<3.0-\mathrm{in}$ ) and intermediate (3.0-5.9 in) length groups when compared to historic data (Table 49). The size structure index (PSD =47) for bluegill rebounded from a low in 2011 (PSD = 18) to slightly better than historic values $(\mathrm{PSD}=39$ in 2007, PSD $=32$ in 2005; Table 50). Higher than normal numbers
of intermediate-size bass (8.0-11.9 in) seem to be the driving factor for this change. The bluegill population assessment remained "Fair" (Table 51).

## Channel Catfish

Channel catfish were sampled with tandem set hoop nets in mid-September with moderate success ( 12.5 fish/setnight; one net stolen/MIA). All size ranges were represented up to 18.0 inches in length (Table 52). Condition (Wr $=95$ ) of channel catfish was good for intermediate sizes (11.0-15.9 in), but condition was poor $(\mathrm{Wr}=75)$ for the two 18.0 -in fish that were sampled (Table 53).

## Mill Creek Lake (109 acres)

## Black Bass

Bass were sampled by nocturnal electrofishing on April 22 (Tables 54-56). It appears the 2012 and 2013 year classes for both largemouth and spotted bass were sub-par. Largemouth bass size structure ( $\mathrm{PSD}=74$; RSD $=33$ ) remains very good. No age data has been collected from this population, though bass appear slower growing.

This lake borders on moderately productive to infertile (summer secchi depth ranges from $40-60 \mathrm{in}$ ) and historically had a good smallmouth bass fishery according to conservation officer and bass club information.

## Spa Lake (240 acres)

## Channel Catfish

Channel catfish were sampled with tandem set hoop nets on September 08-11 with moderate success ( 16.7 fish $/$ setnight) with fish ranging in size from 8.0-19.0 in (Table 57). Condition of channel catfish was adequate but declined with increasing fish size (Table 58).

Table 1. Lake sampling conditions in the Southwestern Fisheries District in 2014.

| Lake | Date | Species | Weather | Water temp. surface (F) | Conductivity (umhos) | Secchi <br> (in.) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barren River | 4/24 | Bass | clear | 64-66 | 170 | 39 |  |
|  | 4/29 | Bass | clear | 65-68 | 180 | 20 |  |
|  | 4/30 | Bass | clear | 66-68 | 160 | 50 |  |
|  | 5/6 | Bass | clear | 64-69 | 150 | 18 | 3 -ft above summer pool \& falling $0.5 \mathrm{ft} /$ day |
|  | 6/17 | Blue catfish |  | 82 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 10/27 | Bass |  | 63-66 |  | 33 |  |
|  | 10/30 | Bass |  | 62-64 |  |  |  |
|  | 11/10 | Bass |  | 55-57 |  | 22 | 12-ft below summer pool \& falling |
|  | 12-Nov | Bass |  | 56-57 |  | 22 | $13.5-\mathrm{ft}$ below summer pool \& falling |
| Green River | 11/20\&21 | crappie |  | 38-45 |  | 12-36 | summer pool, but falling 1-ft/day @ 5000 CFS |
|  | 12/9\&10 | crappie/w ye \& wb |  | 40-43 |  | 22-36 | 10.5-ft below summer pool @ 5000 CFS |
|  | 12/11\&12 | w ye \& white bass |  | 41-45 |  | 25-40 | 11-ft below summer pool |
| Briggs | 5/22 | Bluegill \& redear | clear | 75-78 | 220 | 78 | plenty of small bluegill up in vegetation |
| Marion Co. | 5/13 | BG/RE | Partly cloudy | 75-78 |  | 18 | Normal |
| Spurlington | 5/13 | BG/RE | Partly cloudy | 74 |  | 44 | Normal |
| Mill Creek | 4/22 | Bass | clear | 63-67 | 200 | 30 | Normal |
|  | 8/28 | Blue catfish | clear/hot | 82 |  | 50 | Normal |
| Metcalfe Co. | 4/22 | Bass | clear | 62-68 | 240 | 27 | Normal |
|  | 5/14 | Bluegill |  | 71-75 | 210 | 16 | Normal |
|  | 9/15 | Channel Catfish |  | 79 |  |  | Thermocline @ 6-7' |
| Spa | 7/22 | Blue catfish |  | 83 | 180 | 25 | Normal |
|  | 9/11 | Channel Catfish | partly cloudy | 80 |  |  | Thermocline @ 7-8' |

Table 2. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 4.50 hours (9-0.50hour runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Barren River Lake from late-April 2014.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Species | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Peninsula | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 20.7 | 5.8 |
|  | Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 6 | 14 | 26 | 16 | 20 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 36 | 26 | 20 | 11 | 10 |  | 1 | 245 | 163.3 | 18.8 |
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Table 3. Spring diurnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Barren River Lake during April, May and late-March since 1997.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 1997 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 31.1 | 5.2 | 48.4 | 6.4 | 49.3 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 135.6 | 11.6 |
| 1998 | 17.2 | 4.2 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 23.2 | 3.1 | 32.2 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 83.8 | 8.3 |
| 1999 | 10.7 | 2.4 | 31.3 | 5.6 | 41.7 | 6.9 | 36.3 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 120.8 | 11.2 |
| 2000 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 24.1 | 3.5 | 33.0 | 3.2 | 27.3 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 92.7 | 7.3 |
| 2001 | 11.8 | 1.6 | 42.3 | 4.0 | 49.3 | 6.3 | 61.9 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 165.3 | 9.6 |
| 2002 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 22.4 | 2.9 | 30.4 | 4.0 | 37.6 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 102.9 | 9.5 |
| 2003 | 21.7 | 3.4 | 22.5 | 3.5 | 20.5 | 2.9 | 39.5 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 104.2 | 10.6 |
| 2004 | 47.7 | 14.0 | 37.7 | 6.3 | 16.7 | 4.0 | 18.4 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 120.2 | 22.2 |
| 2005 | 17.7 | 2.9 | 66.0 | 7.7 | 31.5 | 4.7 | 36.8 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 152.0 | 8.6 |
| 2006 | 22.8 | 4.7 | 46.2 | 6.9 | 57.2 | 9.8 | 44.0 | 6.0 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 170.2 | 21.8 |
| 2007 | 12.7 | 3.1 | 44.2 | 10.9 | 37.7 | 5.0 | 37.2 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 131.7 | 17.0 |
| 2008 | 38.2 | 7.8 | 30.3 | 4.6 | 30.3 | 3.1 | 38.3 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 137.2 | 11.5 |
| 2009 | 14.7 | 4.1 | 25.7 | 2.4 | 18.8 | 2.3 | 23.2 | 3.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 82.3 | 9.8 |
| 2010 | 29.0 | 4.2 | 40.3 | 6.3 | 36.7 | 4.4 | 28.8 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 134.8 | 12.8 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  | no data due to flooding |  |  |  |  |  | 1733.7 |  |
| 2012 | 31.3 | 9.0 | 52.7 | 7.3 | 65.2 | 7.0 | 54.7 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 203.8 | 15.8 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  | no data due to flooding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 26.9 | 10.0 | 45.8 | 6.1 | 48.7 | 5.5 | 44.0 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 165.3 | 18.5 |

Table 4. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on spring sampling at Barren River Lake from 2004-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Parameter | $\underline{2004}$ |  | 2005 |  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2008}$ |  | $\underline{2009}$ |  | $\underline{2010}$ |  | 2012 |  | 2014* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score |
| Mean length age-3 at capture | 14.1 | 4 | 14.1 | 4 | 14.1 | 4 | 14.1 | 4 | 14.4 | 4 | 14.4 | 4 | 14.4 | 4 | 14.4 | 4 | 14.6 | 4 |
| Spring CPUE age-1 | 44.9 | 3 | 11.2 | 1 | 17.5 | 1 | 18.0 | 1 | 13.8 | 1 | 18.9 | 2 | 35.7 | 3 | 43.8 | 3 | 44.5 | 3 |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | 16.7 | 2 | 31.5 | 3 | 57.2 | 4 | 37.7 | 4 | 30.3 | 3 | 18.8 | 2 | 36.7 | 4 | 65.2 | 4 | 48.7 | 4 |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | 18.4 | 3 | 36.8 | 4 | 44.0 | 4 | 37.2 | 4 | 38.3 | 4 | 23.2 | 4 | 28.8 | 4 | 54.7 | 4 | 44.0 | 4 |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | 0.7 | 2 | 2.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.7 | 2 | 2.7 | 3 | 2.0 | 2 |
| Instantaneous Mortality (z) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | -0.5584 |  |
| Annual Mortality (A)\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.2 |  |
| Total Score |  | 14 |  | 14 |  | 15 |  | 15 |  | 14 |  | 14 |  | 17 |  | 18 |  | 17 |
| Assessment Rating | Good |  | Good |  | Good |  | Good |  | Good |  | Good |  | Excellent |  | Excellent |  | Excellent |  |

[^2]Table 5. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species collected during 4.5 hours (9-0.50-hour runs) of spring diurnal electrofishing at each area of Barren River Lake in late-April 2014. $95 \%$ confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area | Species | No. >stock size | PSD | RSD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peninsula | Largemouth bass | 224 | 67(6) | 30(6) |
|  | Spotted bass | 31 | 35(17) | 13(12) |
| Beaver Creek | Largemouth bass | 188 | 73(6) | 36(7) |
|  | Spotted bass | NA | * | * |
| Peter Creek | Largemouth bass | 211 | 61(7) | 30(6) |
|  | Spotted bass | 20 | 70(21) | 40(22) |
| Walnut Creek |  | data collected not comparable |  |  |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 623 | 67(4) | 32(4) |
|  | Spotted bass | 52 | 48(14) | 23(12) |

[^3]Table 6. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 6.0 hours (12-0.50-hour runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Barren River Lake from late-October to mid-November 2014.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Peninsula | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 4.7 | 2.7 |
|  | Spotted bass | 2 | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 29 | 19.3 | 1.8 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 5 | 9 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 27 | 32 | 34 | 29 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 247 | 164.7 | 12.7 |
| Beaver Creek | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|  | Spotted bass |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 122 | 144 | 17 | 3 | 16 | 31 | 17 | 10 | 36 | 64 | 22 | 27 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 543 | 363.3 | 34.6 |
| Peter Creek | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 15.3 | 1.8 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9 | 19 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 26 | 8 | 1 | 55 | 74 | 46 | 51 | 39 | 28 | 23 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 431 | 294.0 | 39.3 |
| Walnut Creek | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | Spotted bass |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 |  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 28 | 18.7 | 16.7 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 45 | 47 | 10 | 18 | 23 | 47 | 13 | 13 | 37 | 26 | 11 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 339 | 226.7 | 53.5 |
| TOTAL | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
|  | Spotted bass | 4 | 15 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 86 | 14.3 | 4.1 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 181 | 219 | 33 | 24 | 55 | 105 | 45 | 36 | 147 | 183 | 98 | 126 | 98 | 76 | 56 | 33 | 24 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 1560 | 262.2 | 27.6 |

sw dbrlyy.D14

Table 7. Indices of year-class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected during diurnal fall electrofishing at Barren River Lake.

| Year-class | Age $0^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0 \mathrm{in}^{\text {A }}$ |  | Age $1^{\text {B }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. <br> error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2002 | 4.0 | 0.05 | 171.7 | 25.8 | 34.2 | 4.1 | 26.9 | 3.7 |
| 2003 | 4.4 | 0.04 | 198.0 | 30.8 | 84.0 | 18.7 | 44.9 | 13.3 |
| 2004 | 3.7 | 0.04 | 108.4 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 3.9 | 11.2 | 2.5 |
| 2005 | 3.7 | 0.04 | 160.7 | 25.6 | 25.3 | 4.2 | 17.5 | 3.6 |
| 2006 | 3.4 | 0.02 | 299.7 | 87.2 | 21.8 | 5.6 | 18.0 | 4.8 |
| 2007 | 4.2 | 0.06 | 61.5 | 12.8 | 14.0 | 2.5 | 13.8 | 1.5 |
| 2008 | 3.8 | 0.03 | 307.5 | 46.9 | 59.7 | 10.5 | 18.9 | 4.4 |
| 2009 | 3.2 | 0.02 | 401.3 | 76.1 | 36.8 | 8.6 | 35.7 | 5.2 |
| 2010 | 5.7 | 0.05 | 166.6 | 19.1 | 105.0 | 18.7 | ND |  |
| 2011 | 4.5 | 0.05 | 175.5 | 33.7 | 65.7 | 10.8 | 43.8 | 9.4 |
| 2012 | 5.1 | 0.08 | 70.0 | 16.7 | 32.7 | 11.0 | ND |  |
| 2013 | 3.9 | 0.03 | 369.3 | 92.2 | 61.5 | 10.0 | 44.5 | 13.1 |
| 2014 | 4.4 | 0.08 | 108.5 | 27.5 | 33.0 | 6.3 |  |  |

A Data collected by fall (September-November) diurnal electrofishing. Mean lengths w ere determined by analysis of otolith, removed from a subsample of LMB <10.0 in, and extrapolated to the entire catch of the fall sample.
${ }^{\text {B }}$ Data collected during the follow ing spring (April/May) diurnal electrofishing sample.
sw dbrlbb.D02-D14
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Table 8. Relative weight ( Wr ) for each length group of black bass collected by diurnal electrofishing from each area sampled at Barren River Lake on 27, 30 of October and 10,12 November 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | N | Length group |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beaver Creek | 207 | $920-11.9$ in | $12.0-14.9$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in |
|  | Walnut Creek | 122 | $89(1)$ | $93(1)$ | $91(2)$ |
|  | Peter Creek | 301 | $89(1)$ | $95(1)$ | $91(2)$ |
|  | Pennisula | 228 | $88(1)$ | $89(1)$ | $97(1)$ |
|  | Total | 858 | $90(1)$ | $90(1)$ | $98(1)$ |

swdbrlyy.D14

Table 9. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of largemouth bass collected during fall diurnal electrofishing at Barren River Lake during lateOctober and mid-November 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percent | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 181 | 219 | 33 | 24 | 55 | 97 | 39 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 651 | 42.0 | 108.4 | 27.5 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 6 | 43 | 126 | 155 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 355 | 23.0 | 56.3 | 8.2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 28 | 40 | 68 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 180 | 12.0 | 30.0 | 4.2 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 58 | 53 | 28 | 15 |  | 2 |  | 179 | 11.0 | 29.7 | 4.5 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 15 | 41 | 22 | 8 | 9 |  | 107 | 7.0 | 17.9 | 3.3 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 7 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 35 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 1.1 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |  | 9 | 3 | 26 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 0.9 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0.5 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 12 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Total | 181 | 219 | 33 | 24 | 55 | 105 | 45 | 46 | 147 | 183 | 98 | 126 | 98 | 76 | 56 | 33 | 24 | 12 | 1561 |  |  |  |
| \% | 12 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

swdbrlyy.d14; swdbrlag.d14

Table 10. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill, redear sunfish and warmouth collected by 0.625 hours (5-450-sec runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Briggs Lake on 22 May 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 2 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 22 | 58 | 6 |  |  | 105 | 168.0 | 32.4 |
| Redear sunfish | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 27 | 33 | 17 | 20 | 5 | 108 | 172.8 | 24.0 |
| Warmouth |  | 1 |  | 5 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 13 | 20.8 | 5.4 |

swdbrgbg.D14

Table 11. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected at Briggs Lake from early-mid May 2005-2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |
| 2005 | 14.0 | 80.0 | 84.0 | 18.0 | 196.0 |
|  | (14.0) | (16.3) | (14.8) | (8.3) | (12.4) |
| 2006 | 4.0 | 86.0 | 100.0 | 52.0 | 242.0 |
|  | (2.3) | (33.5) | (42.9) | (14.0) | (72.1) |
| 2007 | 8.0 | 83.2 | 84.8 | 25.6 | 201.6 |
|  | (4.4) | (9.9) | (26.1) | (9.9) | (33.7) |
| 2008 | 288.0 | 106.0 | 70.0 | 16.0 | 384.0 |
|  | (175.0) | (31.2) | (18.9) | (5.7) | (96.2) |
| 2009 | 19.2 | 137.6 | 17.6 | 19.2 | 193.6 |
|  | (10.3) | (19.5) | (6.9) | (6.5) | (21.5) |
| 2010 | 20.8 | 94.4 | 153.6 | 52.8 | 321.6 |
|  | (14.2) | (38.0) | (81.0) | (41.9) | (159.3) |
| 2011 | 66.0 | 94.0 | 60.0 | 24.0 | 244.0 |
|  | (15.1) | (39.2) | (19.7) | (3.3) | (60.7) |
| 2012 | 56.0 | 158.0 | 62.0 | 16.0 | 292.0 |
|  | (32.2) | (32.7) | (21.3) | (7.3) | (53.7) |
| 2013 | 4.8 | 40.0 | 81.6 | 19.2 | 145.6 |
|  | (2.0) | (13.6) | (26.5) | (4.1) | (43.1) |
| 2014 | 3.2 | 27.2 | 128.0 | 9.6 | 168.0 |
|  | (2.0) | (10.3) | (25.7) | (4.7) | (32.4) |

Table 12. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected at Briggs Lake during early-mid May 2005-2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |
| 2005 | * | 14.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | * | 20.0 |
|  |  | (8.9) | (2.0) | (4.0) |  | (6.9) |
| 2006 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 70.0 | 22.0 | 2.0 | 98.0 |
|  | (2.3) | (2.0) | (8.3) | (6.0) | (2.0) | (10.5) |
| 2007 | * | 8.0 | 62.4 | 12.8 | 1.6 | 83.2 |
|  |  | (3.6) | (13.0) | (6.5) | (1.6) | (16.9) |
| 2008 | 1.6 | 3.2 | * | 4.0 | * | 8.0 |
|  | (1.6) | (2.0) |  | (2.3) |  | (3.6) |
| 2009 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 54.4 | 17.6 | 4.8 | 81.6 |
|  | (1.6) | (6.2) | (14.8) | (12.0) | (3.2) | (25.1) |
| 2010 | * | 9.6 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 1.6 | 43.2 |
|  |  | (3.9) | (7.2) | (9.6) | (1.6) | (19.9) |
| 2011 | * | 4.0 | 14.0 | 28.0 | 12.0 | 46.0 |
|  |  | (4.0) | (2.0) | (10.6) | (4.0) | (14.4) |
| 2012 | 4.0 | 58.0 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 162.0 |
|  | (2.3) | (19.2) | (33.1) | (3.8) | (2.0) | (49.9) |
| 2013 | 1.6 | 41.6 | 48.0 | 56.0 | 6.4 | 147.2 |
|  | (1.6) | (16.7) | (18.8) | (11.9) | (3.9) | (37.6) |
| 2014 | 1.6 | 8.0 | 96.0 | 67.2 | 8.0 | 178.2 |
|  | (1.6) | (3.6) | (12.9) | (13.1) | (4.4) | (24.0) |

sw dbrgbg.D05-D14

Table 13. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected by diurnal electrofishing at Briggs lake on 22 May 2014. Numbers in parentheses represent 95\% confidence intervals.

| Species | N | PSD | RSD $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 103 | $83(6)$ | $6(5)$ |
| Redear sunfish | 107 | $70(9)$ | $23(8)$ |

[^4]swdbrgbg.D14

Table 14. Bluegill population assessment for Briggs Lake 2006-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).


Table 15. Redear population assessment for Briggs Lake 2006-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Parameter | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2006}$ |  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | 2008 |  | $\underline{2009}$ |  | $\underline{2010}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2012}$ |  | $\underline{2013}$ |  |
|  | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score |
| Grow th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean length age-3 at capture | 8.6* | 4 | 8.6 | 4 | 8.6* | 4 | 8.6* | 4 | 8.6* | 4 | 8.6* | 4 | 8.6* | 4 | 8.6* | 4 |
| Grow th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years to 8.0 in | $2.7 *$ | 4 | 2.7 | 4 | $2.7 *$ | 4 | $2.7 *$ | 4 | $2.7 *$ | 4 | 2.7* | 4 | $2.7 *$ | 4 | $2.7 *$ | 4 |
| Size structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | 22.0 | 4 | 12.8 | 3 | 4.0 | 1 | 17.6 | 4 | 17.6 | 4 | 28.0 | 4 | 6.0 | 2 | 62.4 | 4 |
| Size structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPUE $\geq 10.0$ in | 2.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.8 | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | 12.0 | 4 | 2.0 | 2 | 6.4 | 4 |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual mortality (A)\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total score: |  | 14 |  | 13 |  | 10 |  | 15 |  | 14 |  | 16 |  | 12 |  | 16 |
| Assessment rating: |  | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Excellent |  | Excellent |  | Excellent |  | Good |  | Excellen |
| *No age data collected, values carried over from 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NA (age data not amenable to sw dbrgbg.D06 - D14 | ations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of each inch class of bluegill and redear sunfish collected by 1.0 hour of diurnal electrofishing ( $8-450$-second runs) at Marion Co. Lake on 13 May 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 1 | 48 | 68 | 123 | 76 | 50 | 62 | 1 |  |  | 429 | 429.0 | 101.8 |
| Redear sunfish |  | 1 | 2 | 7 | 29 | 14 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 84 | 84.0 | 21.7 |

swdmclbg.D14

Table 17. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected at Marion Co. Lake during early-May from 2002-2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $>8.0$ in |  |
| 2002 | $\begin{gathered} 57.1 \\ (30.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152.0 \\ & (40.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.9 \\ & (6.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & (3.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 304.0 \\ & (67.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & 164.0 \\ & (33.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212.0 \\ & (34.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.7 \\ & (23.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500.0 \\ & (60.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2004 | $\begin{aligned} & 303.0 \\ & (59.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 255.0 \\ & (38.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \\ (10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 594.0 \\ & (85.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2005 | $\begin{aligned} & 102.0 \\ & (18.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210.0 \\ & (31.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.0 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 378.0 \\ & (53.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2006 | $\begin{gathered} 77.3 \\ (15.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 501.3 \\ & (25.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \\ & (7.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 608.0 \\ & (34.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2007 | $\begin{gathered} 73.0 \\ (22.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 291.0 \\ & (39.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.0 \\ & (7.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 406.0 \\ & (50.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2008 | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \\ (31.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.0 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130.0 \\ & (14.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0 \\ & (4.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274.0 \\ & (45.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 48.0 \\ (22.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109.7 \\ & (20.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.3 \\ (10.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 217.1 \\ & (35.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2010 | $\begin{gathered} 55.0 \\ (27.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.0 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \\ & (9.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157.0 \\ & (25.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2011 | $\begin{gathered} 499.4 \\ (112.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 107.4 \\ & (16.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.1 \\ (10.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.9 \\ & (2.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 694.9 \\ (126.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2012 | $\begin{aligned} & 270.0 \\ & (86.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 213.0 \\ & (45.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0 \\ & (4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 522.0 \\ & (95.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2014 | $\begin{gathered} 49.0 \\ (19.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 267.0 \\ (72.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112.0 \\ (28.9) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 429.0 \\ (101.8) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 18. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected at Marion Co. Lake during early-May from 2002-2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |
| 2002 | 1.1 | 51.4 | 11.4 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 121.1 |
|  | (1.1) | (11.3) | (4.2) | (13.0) |  | (19.2) |
| 2003 | 5.3 | 46.7 | 9.3 | 28.0 | 2.7 | 89.3 |
|  | (2.7) | (9.3) | (4.8) | (10.7) | (2.7) | (15.4) |
| 2004 | 2.0 | 40.0 | 18.0 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 67.0 |
|  | (2.0) | (15.1) | (7.1) | (3.8) | (1.0) | (16.3) |
| 2005 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 3.0 | 89.0 |
|  |  | (5.8) | (9.8) | (7.3) | (1.5) | (16.5) |
| 2006 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 24.0 | 2.7 | 58.7 |
|  |  | (6.7) | (7.0) | (6.2) | (1.7) | (12.8) |
| 2007 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 39.0 |
|  |  | (6.2) | (2.4) | (6.6) | (1.0) | (11.9) |
| 2008 | 1.0 | 37.0 | 9.0 | 28.0 | 6.0 | 75.0 |
|  | (1.0) | (15.6) | (3.2) | (9.1) | (3.3) | (16.1) |
| 2009 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 34.3 | 17.1 | 2.3 | 104.0 |
|  |  | (10.2) | (6.9) | (5.4) | (2.3) | (14.8) |
| 2010 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 62.0 |
|  | (7.0) | (6.1) | (6.9) | (2.8) |  | (12.5) |
| 2011 | 1.1 | 14.9 | 45.7 | 74.3 | 4.6 | 136.0 |
|  | (1.1) | (5.9) | (10.7) | (23.4) | (4.6) | (39.5) |
| 2012 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 57.0 |
|  | (1.0) | (2.1) | (2.1) | (18.1) |  | (18.0) |
| 2014 | 1.0 | 38.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 84.0 |
|  | (1.0) |  |  | (5.9) | (2.1) | (21.7) |

sw dmclbg.D02-D14

Table 19. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected by diurnal electrofishing at Marion Co. Lake on 13 May 2014. Numbers in parentheses represent 95\% confidence intervals

| Species | N | PSD | RSD $^{\text {A }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 380 | $30(5)$ | 0 |
| Redear sunfish | 81 | $38(9)$ | $19(9)$ |

[^5]Table 20. Bluegill population assessments from 2004-2014 at Marion County Lake (scoring based on statewide assessment).

*No age data, values carried over from years w ith age data
sw dmclag.D02, sw dmclag.D07, sw dmclag.D12
sw dmclbg.D02 - D14

Table 21. Redear sunfish population assessments from 2004-2014 at Marion County Lake (scoring based on statewide assessment).


Table 23. Diurnal spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected at Spurlington Lake from 2005-2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <3.0 in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | >8.0 in |  |
| 2005 | 66.0 | 216.0 | 50.0 | 16.0 | 348.0 |
|  | (14.4) | (45.7) | (15.8) | (8.6) | (68.9) |
| 2006 | 138.0 | 302.0 | 46.0 | 14.0 | 482.0 |
|  | (47.7) | (54.7) | (8.9) | (2.0) | (100.2) |
| 2007 | 496.0 | 606.0 | 50.0 | 4.0 | 1156.0 |
|  | (85.2) | (73.5) | (18.3) | (4.0) | (137.4) |
| 2008 | 198.0 | 550.0 | 120.0 | 14.0 | 882.0 |
|  | (38.4) | (145.6) | (43.2) | (14.0) | (236.3) |
| 2009 | 246.4 | 571.2 | 156.8 | 14.4 | 988.8 |
|  | (37.6) | (82.8) | (30.2) | (7.8) | (119.6) |
| 2010 | 310.0 | 468.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 880.0 |
|  | (134.0) | (75.7) | (42.1) | (2.0) | (195.7) |
| 2011 | 713.6 | 1057.6 | 156.8 | 8.0 | 1936.0 |
|  | (111.1) | (187.3) | (54.4) | (3.6) | (256.1) |
| 2012 | 150.0 | 788.0 | 60.0 | 14.0 | 1012.0 |
|  | (42.4) | (178.0) | (7.7) | (5.0) | (227.6) |
| 2014 | 104.0 | 465.0 | 204.8 | 22.4 | 796.8 |
|  | (37.4) | (76.5) | (40.5) | (6.9) | (131.8) |

Table 24. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected at Spurlington Lake durng early-mid May 2009-2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |
| 2009 | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.8 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ (11.0) \end{gathered}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} 60.8 \\ (22.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2010 | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ (12.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \\ & (5.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & (5.2) \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} 64.0 \\ (27.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2011 | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ (10.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.2 \\ (22.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \\ & (9.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113.6 \\ & (34.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2012 | * | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & (6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & 34.0 \\ & (3.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2014 | * | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \\ (17.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \\ & (6.0) \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} 49.6 \\ (22.4) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 25. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density (RSD) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected by diurnal electrofishing at Spurlington Lake on 13 May 2014. Numbers in parentheses represent 95\% confidence intervals.

| Species | N | PSD | RSD $^{\mathrm{A}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 433 | $33(4)$ |  |
| Redear | 30 | $56(18)$ | $3(2)$ |
|  |  |  | $10(10)$ |

[^6]Table 26. Bluegill population assessments from 2004-2014 at Spurlington Lake (scoring based on statewide assessment).


Table 27. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) for each inch class of crappie collected by trap nets (59 net-nights) at Green River Lake from November 20-21 and December 9-10, 2014 .

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| White crappie | 78 | 75 | 109 | 347 | 233 | 246 | 240 | 120 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 1493 | 25.7 | 5.0 |
| Black crappie | 1 |  |  | 9 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

swdgrltn.d14

Table 28. Proportional stock density (PSD) and relative stock density $\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{10}\right)$ of white crappie collected by trap nets ( 59 netnights) at Green River Lake from late-November to earlyDecember 2014. Numbers in parentheses represent 95\% confidence intervals.

| Species | N | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White crappie | 1340 | $49(3)$ | $12(2)$ |

swdgrltn.D14

Table 29. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white crappie collected during 60 net-nights at Green River Lake during late-November and early-December 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percent | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 78 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
| 1 |  |  | 109 | 292 | 74 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 509 | 34.0 | 8.8 | 2.1 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 37 | 61 | 78 | 50 | 6 | 2 |  |  | 234 | 16.0 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 18 | 37 | 56 | 120 | 42 | 7 |  |  | 280 | 19.0 | 4.8 | 0.8 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 61 | 45 | 50 | 54 | 14 |  |  | 224 | 15.0 | 3.9 | 0.7 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 6 | 7 |  |  | 35 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 12 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 4 |  |  | 14 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 5 |  |  | 15 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | 78 | 75 | 109 | 347 | 233 | 246 | 240 | 120 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 1493 |  |  |  |
| \% | 5 | 5 | 7 | 23 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 3 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |

* 2014 age file includes fish taken from white bass gill nets in 2014
swdgrltn.d14; swdgrlag.d14

Table 30. White crappie assessment from trap net samples at Green River Lake from 1988-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

|  | White crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | E excluding age 0 |  | UE age 1 |  | UE age 0 |  | $\mathrm{E} \geq 8.0$ in | Mean at | length age-2 capture | Mortality |  |  |  |
| Year | Value | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Instantaneous $(z)$ | Annual <br> (A) | Assessment | Rating |
| 1988 | 15.9 | 3 | 8.9 | 3 | 18.6 | 4 | 4.5 | 2 | 8.0 | 1 | -0.854265 | 57.4 | 13 | G |
| 1989 | 26.3 | 4 | 20.2 | 4 | 1.3 | 1 | 6.4 | 3 | 9.6 | 4 | -1.022316 | 64 | 16 | G |
| 1990 | 12.6 | 2 | 5.9 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 7.6 | 3 | 9.2 | 3 | -0.924447 | 60.3 | 11 | F |
| 1991 | 8.7 | 2 | 2.9 | 2 | 6.9 | 2 | 6.2 | 3 | 9.3 | 3 | -0.565581 | 43.2 | 12 | F |
| 1992 | 28.3 | 4 | 24.5 | 4 | 1.8 | 1 | 8.5 | 3 | 10.0 | 4 | -0.9219538 | 70.4 | 16 | G |
| 1993 | 24.8 | 4 | 7.0 | 3 | 1.2 | 1 | 15.5 | 4 | 9.0 | 2 | -0.949191 | 61.3 | 14 | G |
| 1994 | 8.7 | 2 | 2.5 | 1 | 11.8 | 3 | 6.1 | 3 | 9.3 | 3 | -0.767229 | 53.6 | 12 | F |
| 1995 | 16.2 | 3 | 11.1 | 3 | 13.2 | 3 | 10.7 | 3 | 10.0 | 4 | -1.055474 | 65.2 | 16 | G |
| 1996 | 13.4 | 3 | 6.5 | 2 | 3.2 | 2 | 6.0 | 2 | 9.2 | 3 | -0.895818 | 59.2 | 12 | F |
| 1997 | 14.1 | 3 | 3.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 1 | 8.1 | 3 | 8.7 | 2 | -1.121453 | 67.4 | 11 | F |
| 1998 | 9.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 1 | 3.8 | 2 | 8.0 | 3 | 9.3 | 3 | -0.850455 | 57.3 | 11 | F |
| 1999 | 7.4 | 2 | 5.2 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 2.9 | 1 | 9.9 | 4 | NA |  | 10 | F |
| 2000 | 6.3 | 2 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.2 | 2 | 9.7 | 4 | -0.824828 | 56.2 | 10 | F |
| 2001 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 10.8 | 3 | 4.2 | 2 | 9.5 | 3 | -1.09953 | 66.7 | 10 | F |
| 2002 | 10.9 | 2 | 9.7 | 3 | 0.5 | 1 | 4.1 | 2 | 9.8 | 4 | -0.759078 | 53.2 | 12 | F |
| 2003 | 13.0 | 3 | 5.1 | 2 | 3.3 | 2 | 6.8 | 3 | 9.1 | 3 | -1.075599 | 65.9 | 13 | G |
| 2004 | 17.7 | 3 | 9.6 | 3 | 3.8 | 2 | 7.9 | 3 | 8.4 | 1 | -1.53876 | 78.5 | 12 | F |
| 2005* | 13.8 | 3 | 3.0 | 2 | 1.7 | 1 | 8.0 | 3 | 8.4 | 1 | ND |  | 10 | F |
| 2006 | 16.4 | 3 | 10.2 | 3 | 1.4 | 1 | 6.5 | 3 | 9.7 | 4 | -1.090892 | 66.4 | 14 | G |
| 2007* | 15.9 | 3 | 10.5 | 3 | 4.4 | 2 | 6.7 | 3 | 9.1 | 3 | ND |  | 14 | G |
| 2008 | 9.0 | 2 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 4.7 | 2 | 7.8 | 1 | -0.728739 | 51.7 | 7 | $P$ |
| 2009 | 20.1 | 3 | 4.1 | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 9.7 | 3 | 7.9 | 1 | ND |  | 10 | F |
| 2010 | 17.8 | 3 | 0.7 | 1 | 1.3 | 1 | 11.1 | 4 | 7.8 | 1 | -1.10117 | 66.8 | 10 | F |
| 2011 | 22.9 | 4 | 8.3 | 3 | 2.6 | 1 | 10.0 | 3 | 7.9 | 1 | NA |  | 12 | F |
| 2012 | 18.2 | 3 | 3.8 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 8.8 | 3 | 8.1 | 1 | NA |  | 10 | F |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 23.1 | 4 | 8.8 | 3 | 2.6 | 1 | 11.2 | 4 | 8.1 | 1 | -0.58989 | 44.6 | 13 | G |

* Age assessment data extrapolated from previous years age data

NA - catch data not amenable to mortality estimates
sw dgltn.D86-D14
sw dgrlag.d86-14

Table 31. Length frequency and CPUE ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{nn}$ ) for white bass and walleye collected by experimental gillnets ( 15 net-nights) on December 9-12 at Green River Lake, KY 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |
| White bass | 1 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 1.1 | 0.4 |

swdgrlgn.d14

Table 32. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white bass collected from experimental gillnets during mid-December at Green River Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percent | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  | 35 | 97 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 100 |  |  |
| \% | 3 | 25 | 50 | 17 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 100 |  |  |  |

Table 33. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of walleye collected from experimental gillnets during mid-December at Green River Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percent | CPUE | Std. <br> error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 29 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 18 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 12 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 |  | 2 | 17 | 100.0 |  |  |
| \% | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 4 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |

sw dgrlgn.D14, sw dgrlag.D14

Table 34. Walleye population assessment from experimental gillnetting at Green River Lake 1996-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

|  | CPUE <br> excluding age-0 |  | Mean length age-2+ at capture |  | CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in |  | CPUE age 1 |  | Mortality |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Value | Assessment | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A) | Assessment | Rating |
| 1996 | 1.8 | 1 | 18.5 | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | NA |  | 8 | F |
| 1997 | 0.8 | 1 | 17.3 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | NA |  | 6 | F |
| 1998 | 0.5 | 1 | 17.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | NA |  | 6 | F |
| 1999 | 3.2 | 2 | 17.3 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | NA |  | 8 | F |
| 2000 | 5.0 | 3 | 18.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 4.1 | 4 | -0.684 | 49.6 | 12 | G |
| 2001 | 5.8 | 3 | 17.8 | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 4 | NA |  | 11 | G |
| 2002 | 2.6 | 2 | 17.8 | 3 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | -0.778 | 54.1 | 7 | F |
| 2003 | 2.1 | 2 | 18.3 | 4 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.6 | 2 | NA |  | 10 | G |
| 2004 | 1.1 | 1 | 16.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | NA |  | 5 | P |
| 2005 | 0.6 | 1 | 17.8 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | NA |  | 6 | F |
| 2006 | 2.3 | 2 | 17.9 | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.6 | 2 | -0.489 | 38.7 | 8 | F |
| 2007 | 6.8 | 4 | 18.6 | 4 | 0.8 | 2 | 3.9 | 4 | -0.689 | 49.8 | 14 | E |
| 2008 | 3.7 | 2 | 19.6 | 4 | 0.9 | 2 | 1.1 | 2 | -0.357 | 30.0 | 10 | G |
| 2009 | 4.1 | 3 | 19.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 3 | 2.3 | 3 | -0.657 | 48.2 | 13 | G |
| 2010 | 3.6 | 2 | 18.8 | 4 | 1.0 | 3 | 1.7 | 3 | -0.566 | 43.2 | 12 | G |
| 2011 | 1.8 | 1 | 19.3 | 4 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | -0.409 | 33.5 | 8 | F |
| 2012 | 3.1 | 2 | 19.2 | 4 | 0.9 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | -0.479 | 38.1 | 10 | G |
| 2013 | 2.8 | 2 | 19.2 | 4 | 0.9 | 2 | 1.1 | 2 | NA |  | 10 | G |
| 2014 | 1.0 | 1 | 20.1 | 4 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | NA |  | 8 | F |

NA - catch data not amenable to mortality estimates
sw dgrlgn.d96-14
sw dgrlag.d96-14

Table 35. Relative weight ( Wr ) for each length group of walleye collected by gill nets (15 net-nights) at Green River Lake from December 9-12, 2013. Standard errors are in parentheses.

|  | Length group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10.0-14.9$ in | $15.0-19.9$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |
| $W r$ | $100(5)$ | $99(4)$ | $100(2)$ |
| N | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| swdgrlgn.D14 |  |  |  |

Table 36. Fish harvest statistics derived from a creel survey at Green River Lake (8210 acres) from 1 March through 31 October 2014.

| Fishing trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of fishing trips (per acre) | 28,374 | (3.46) |
| Average trip length | 5.36 |  |
| Fishing pressure |  |  |
| Total man-hours (S.E.) | 152,198 | (3316.0) |
| Man-hours/acre | 19 |  |
| Catch/harvest |  |  |
| Number of fish caught (S.E.) | 413,120 | (40635.0) |
| Number of fish harvested (S.E.) | 156,775 | (16454.0) |
| Pounds of fish harvested | 78,527 |  |
| Harvest rates |  |  |
| Fish/hour | 0.99 |  |
| Pounds/hour | 1.55 |  |
| Fish/acre | 19.10 |  |
| Pounds/acre | 9.56 |  |
| Catch rates |  |  |
| Fish/hour | 2.61 |  |
| Fish/acre | 50.32 |  |
| Miscellaneous characteristics (\%) |  |  |
| Male | 93.7 |  |
| Female | 6.3 |  |
| Resident | 98.4 |  |
| Non-resident | 1.56 |  |
| Method (\%) |  |  |
| Still fishing | 13.5 |  |
| Casting | 71.8 |  |
| Jugging | 2.1 |  |
| Trolling | 14.8 |  |
| Mode (\%) |  |  |
| Boat | 97.8 |  |
| Bank | 2.1 |  |
| Dock | 0.1 |  |

Table 37. Fish harvest statistics derived from a creel survey at Green River Lake from 1 March to 31 October 2014.

|  | Muskellunge | Channel catfish | Flathead catfish | White bass | Bluegill | Smallmouth bass | h Spotted bass | Largemouth bass | White crappie | Walleye | Drum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. caught | 734 | 5,113 | 32 | 61 | 15,005 | 669 | 4,060 | 22,221 | 363,149 | 392 | 822 |  |
| (per acre) | (0.09) | (0.62) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (1.83) | (0.08) | (0.49) | (2.71) | (44.23) | (0.05) | (0.10) |  |
| No. Harvested | 63 | 4,239 | 0 | 37 | 8,742 | 132 | 970 | 6,046 | 135,041 | 344 | 505 |  |
| (per acre) | (0.01) | (0.52) |  | (0.00) | (1.06) | (0.02) | (0.12) | (0.74) | (16.45) | (0.04) | (0.06) |  |
| \% total harvest | 0.04 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.02 | 5.6 | 0.08 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 86.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |
| Lb harvested | 867.4 | 4864 | 0 | 32.3 | 1576.9 | 260 | 809.5 | 10743.6 | 57244.5 | 1026.8 | 581.4 |  |
| (per acre) | (0.11) | (0.59) |  | (0.00) | (0.19) | (0.00) | (0.10) | (1.31) | (6.97) | (0.13) | (0.07) |  |
| \% of total lb harvested | 1.1 | 6.2 | 0 | 0.04 | 2 | 0.33 | 1 | 13.7 | 72.9 | 1.3 | 0.74 |  |
| Mean length (in) | 38.5 | 15.2 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 15.5 | 12.8 | 15 | 9.7 | 20.8 | 13.7 |  |
| Mean w eight (lb) | 14.7 | 1.13 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.24 | 1.81 | 0.89 | 1.76 | 0.41 | 3.13 | 1.14 |  |
|  | Muskie | Catfis | group | W. bass | Panfish group |  | Black bass gro |  | Crappie group |  | Walleye | Anything |
| No. of fishing trips for that species | 789 | 1,080 |  | 0 | 517 |  | 10,485 |  | 14,961 |  | 422 | 66 |
| \% of all trips | 2.8 | 3.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  | 37 |  | 52.7 |  | 1.5 | 0 |
| Hours fishing for that species | 4,234 | 5,795 |  |  | 2,773 |  | 56,243 |  | 80,249 |  | 2,264 | 357 |
| No. harvested fishing for that species | 63 | 3,107 |  |  | 7,942 |  | 6,934 |  | 134,589 |  | 314 | 108 |
| Lb harvested fishing for that species | 870.1 | 3,591.2 |  |  | 1,346.5 |  | 11,537.6 |  | 57,054.3 |  | 950.7 | 10.3 |
| No./hour harvested for that species | 0.01 | 0.56 |  |  | 3.07 |  | 0.13 |  | 1.61 |  | 0.16 | 0.56 |
| \% success fishing for that species | 5.5 | 51.4 |  |  | 72.9 |  | 23.1 |  | 78.4 |  | 39.5 | 42.9 |

Table 38. Length distribution and species composition (released fish lengths were estimates) for each species of fish harvested at Green River Lake from 1 March to 31 October 2014.

| Species | Status | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 27 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 43 |  | 45 | 49 | 53 |
| Muskellunge | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 16 | 16 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 18 |  | 18 |  | 35 |  |  |  | 35 |  | 18 | 53 | 88 | 18 | 18 |  | 18 | 53 | 18 | 88 | 18 | 71 | 18 |  | 35 | 18 | 14 |
| Channel catfish | Harvest |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  | 51 | 652 | 154 | 1321 | 172 | 944 | 34 | 395 |  | 189 | 69 | 120 |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |  | 189 |  | 257 |  | 137 |  | 51 |  | 120 |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flathead catfish | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White bass | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | Harvest | 286 | 515 | 324 | 4314 | 1317 | 1718 | 248 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released | 99 | 3132 | 1236 | 1681 |  | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redear | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  | 148 | 18 | 74 |  | 37 |  | 92 |  | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spotted bass | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 75 | 560 | 75 | 187 |  | 19 |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  | 328 | 19 | 850 | 77 | 985 | 39 | 444 | 39 | 251 |  | 19 | 19 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1340 | 471 | 1521 | 471 | 887 | 145 | 525 | 54 | 235 | 163 | 127 | 36 | 54 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  | 1592 | 157 | 3950 | 472 | 3950 | 452 | 2024 | 570 | 1101 | 79 | 943 |  | 354 | 236 | 236 | 20 | 20 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64459 | 48853 | 11675 | 7941 | 1205 | 790 | 59 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  | 1033 | 643 | 10774 | 2202 | 206540 | 6332 | 507 | 19 |  |  | 19 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walleye | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 16 | 33 | 33 | 115 | 33 | 16 | 33 | 33 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drum | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  | 209 |  | 157 | 17 | 17 |  | 35 |  | 17 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Released |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  | 93 |  | 149 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 39. Monthly crappie angling success at Green River Lake during the 2014 daytime creel survey period (March 1 - October 31)

| Month | Total number of crappie caught | Total number of crappie harvested | Number of crappie fishing trips | Hours fished by crappie anglers | Number caught by crappie anglers | Number caught/hour by crappie anglers | Number harvested by crappie anglers | Number harvested/hour by crappie anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March | 38,283 | 15,351 | 1,447 | 7,761 | 38,284 | 5 | 15,351 | 2 |
| April | 140,354 | 56,094 | 4,635 | 24,862 | 140,354 | 5.76 | 56,094 | 2.3 |
| May | 64,742 | 24,822 | 2,979 | 15,981 | 63,982 | 3.81 | 24,656 | 1.47 |
| June | 22,428 | 8,215 | 1,166 | 6,254 | 21,922 | 4.09 | 8,016 | 1.5 |
| July | 23,060 | 6,405 | 1,018 | 5,461 | 23,030 | 3.95 | 6,390 | 1.1 |
| August | 19,940 | 5,606 | 749 | 4,019 | 19,528 | 3.97 | 5,469 | 1.11 |
| September | 33,410 | 10,759 | 1,484 | 7,962 | 33,289 | 3.85 | 10,738 | 1.24 |
| October | 21,051 | 7,908 | 1,482 | 7,949 | 20,968 | 2.62 | 7,875 | 0.98 |
| Total | 363,268 | 135,160 | 14,960 | 80,249 | 361,357 | 4.37 | 134,589 | 1.61 |

Table 40. Monthly black bass angling success at Green River Lake during the 2014 daytime creel survey period (March 1 - October 31).

| Month | Total number of black bass caught | Total number of black bass harvested | Number of black bass fishing trips | Hours fished by black bass anglers | Number caught by bass anglers | Number caught/hour by bass anglers | Number harvested by bass anglers | Number harvested/hour by bass anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March | 1,540 | 779 | 1,255 | 6,730 | 1,507 | 0.21 | 779 | 0.11 |
| April | 5,214 | 1,017 | 1,936 | 10,383 | 4,717 | 0.43 | 888 | 0.08 |
| May | 8,250 | 1,474 | 1,813 | 9,724 | 7,442 | 0.81 | 1,403 | 0.15 |
| June | 4,008 | 1,851 | 1,545 | 8,290 | 3,733 | 0.4 | 1,851 | 0.2 |
| July | 1,681 | 106 | 945 | 5,068 | 1,620 | 0.41 | 106 | 0.03 |
| August | 1,869 | 326 | 851 | 4,567 | 1,715 | 0.52 | 326 | 0.1 |
| September | 1,945 | 507 | 1,066 | 5,719 | 1,925 | 0.36 | 507 | 0.1 |
| October | 2,444 | 1,090 | 1,074 | 5,762 | 2,329 | 0.41 | 1,074 | 0.19 |
| Total | 26,951 | 7,150 | 10,485 | 56,243 | 24,988 | 0.44 | 6,934 | 0.13 |

Table 41. Monthly catfish angling success at Green River Lake during the 2014 daytime creel survey period (March 1 - October 31).

| Month | Total number of catfish caught | Total number of catfish harvested | Number of catfish fishing trips | Hours fished by catfish anglers | Number caught by catfish anglers | Number caught/hour by catfish anglers | Number harvested by catfish anglers | Number harvested/hour by catfish anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| April | 368 | 346 | 53 | 286 | 303 | 1.65 | 303 | 1.65 |
| May | 856 | 642 | 126 | 676 | 190 | 0.47 | 166 | 0.41 |
| June | 1,270 | 933 | 307 | 1,648 | 933 | 0.63 | 719 | 0.49 |
| July | 1,681 | 1,423 | 301 | 1,616 | 1,469 | 0.77 | 1,287 | 0.67 |
| August | 514 | 480 | 162 | 868 | 429 | 0.43 | 429 | 0.43 |
| September | 405 | 365 | 84 | 449 | 203 | 0.51 | 203 | 0.51 |
| October | 83 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5,177 | 4,239 | 1,033 | 5,543 | 3,527 | 0.63 | 3,107 | 0.55 |

Table 42. Monthly muskie angling success at Green River Lake during the 2014 daytime creel survey period March 1 - October 31).

| Month | Total number of muskie caught | Total number of muskie harvested | Number of muskie fishing trips | Hours fished by muskie anglers | Number caught by muskie anglers | Number caught/hour by muskie anglers | Number harvested by muskie anglers | Number harvested/hour by muskie anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March | 85 | 17 | 68 | 364 | 51 | 0.16 | 17 | 0.05 |
| April | 130 | 0 | 213 | 1,143 | 22 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 95 | 0 | 32 | 169 | 24 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |
| June | 229 | 46 | 172 | 921 | 153 | 0.14 | 46 | 0.04 |
| July | 121 | 0 | 106 | 568 | 30 | 0.02 | 0 | 0 |
| August | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| September | 41 | 0 | 125 | 673 | 20 | 0.04 | 0 | 0 |
| October | 33 | 0 | 31 | 168 | 17 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 734 | 63 | 747 | 4,006 | 317 | 0.07 | 63 | 0.02 |

Table 43. Monthly walleye angling success at Green River Lake during the 2014 daytime creel survey period (March 1 - October 31).

| Month | Total number of $w$ alleye caught | Total number of w alleye harvested | Number of walleye fishing trips | Hours fished by w alleye anglers | Number caught by w alleye anglers | Number caught/hour by w alleye anglers | Number harvested by walleye anglers | Number harvested/hour by w alleye anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| May | 48 | 48 | 47 | 254 | 48 | 0.19 | 48 | 0.2 |
| June | 168 | 168 | 163 | 873 | 153 | 0.18 | 153 | 0.22 |
| July | 121 | 91 | 114 | 612 | 106 | 0.17 | 76 | 0.12 |
| August | 34 | 17 | 51 | 274 | 34 | 0.17 | 17 | 0.09 |
| September | 20 | 20 | 31 | 168 | 20 | 0.22 | 20 | 0.22 |
| October | 0 | 0 | 16 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 391 | 344 | 422 | 2,265 | 361 | 0.18 | 314 | 0.16 |

Table 44. Black bass catch and harvest statistics for all anglers derived from 2014 (March 1 - October 31) a daytime creel survey at Green River Lake (8,210 acres) for each species.

|  | Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest |  |  | Catch and release |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch and release |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch and release |  |  |
|  | 12.0-14.9 | $\geq 15.0$ | Total | 12.0-14.9 | $\geq 15.0$ | Total | 12.0-14.9 | $\geq 15.0$ | Total | 12.0-14.9 | 15.0 | Total | 12.0-14.9 | $\geq 15.0$ | Total | 12.0-14.9 | $\geq 15.0$ | Total |
| Total number of bass | 3332 | 2713 | 6,045 | 6426 | 3578 | 10004 | 822 | 36 | 858 | 737 | 58 | 795 | 76 | 56 | 132 | 111 | 185 | 296 |
| \% of black bass harvested by number |  |  | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |
| Total weight of fish (b) |  |  | 10,744 | 6875 | 3578 | 28046 |  |  | 810 | 336 |  | 2225 |  |  | 260 | 126 | 212 | 669 |
| \% of bass harvested by weight |  |  | 90.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.85 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| Mean length (in) |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |  |
| Mean w eight (lb) |  |  | 1.76 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.81 |  |  |  |
| Rate (fish/hour) |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.001 |  |  |  |

Table 45. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 0.50 hours (4-0.125-hour runs) of diurnal electrofishing at Metcalfe Co. Lake on 22 April 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 1 |  | 8 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 99 | 198.0 | 44.7 |
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Table 46. Spring nocturnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Metcalfe Co. Lake during late-April or early May since 2001.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Year | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2001 | 50.0 | NA | 98.0 | NA | 28.0 | NA | 28.0 | NA | 6.0 | NA | 204.0 | NA |
| 2002 | 80.5 | NA | 84.5 | NA | 6.0 | NA | 54.6 | NA | 6.0 | NA | 144.0 | NA |
| 2004 | 24.0 | NA | 64.0 | NA | 24.0 | NA | 32.0 | NA | 8.0 | NA | 144.0 | NA |
| 2006 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 76.0 | 12.0 | 26.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 142.0 | 12.4 |
| 2010 | 32.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 9.5 | 18.0 | 8.3 | 36.0 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 186.0 | 13.6 |
| 2013 | 24.0 | 16.3 | 142.0 | 28.4 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 56.0 | 10.3 | 14.0 | 6.8 | 234.0 | 29.5 |
| 2014 | 20.0 | 9.5 | 110.0 | 30.5 | 18.0 | 8.9 | 50.0 | 11.9 | 26.0 | 13.2 | 198.0 | 44.7 |

NA - SE not applicable as run times were not same as 2006-2014.

Table 47. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass collected during 0.5 hours (4-0.125-hour runs) of spring diurnal electrofishing at Metcalfe Co. Lake on 22 April 2014. 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 89 | $38(10)$ | $28(9)$ |
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Table 48. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill collected by diurnal electrofishing ( 0.625 hours; 5 - 450 -second runs) at Metcalfe County Lake on 14 May 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total | CPUE | Std. <br> error |  |
| Bluegill | 5 | 9 | 56 | 72 | 76 | 149 | 31 | 398 | 636.8 | 107.7 |  |
| sw dmetbg.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 49. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected at Metcalfe County Lake during early-mid May from 2005-2014 .
Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $>8.0$ in |  |
| 2005 | $\begin{aligned} & 66.8 \\ & (9.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 807.7 \\ & (113.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 366.2 \\ & (61.8) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1240.7 \\ & (165.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 108.0 \\ & (33.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 886.0 \\ & (171.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 568.0 \\ & (132.8) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1562.0 \\ & (270.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & 102.0 \\ & (25.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1032.0 \\ & (156.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 194.0 \\ & (39.1) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1328.0 \\ & (196.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2014 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \\ & (9.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 326.4 \\ (53.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 288.0 \\ & (50.0) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 636.8 \\ (107.7) \end{gathered}$ |
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Table 50. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for bluegill collected during 0.5 hours (4-0.125-hour runs) of spring diurnal electrofishing at Metcalfe Co. Lake on 14 May 2014. $95 \%$ confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 3.0$ in | PSD $( \pm 95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ | $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}( \pm 95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 384 | $47(5)$ | $*$ |

[^7]Table 51. Bluegill population assessments from 2005-2014 at Metcalfe County Lake (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Parameter | $\underline{2005}$ |  | $\underline{2007}$ |  | $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2014}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score | Value | Score |
| Mean length age-2 at capture | 4.4* | 2 | 4.4 | 2 | 4.4* | 2 | 4.4* | 2 |
| Years to 6.0 in | 3.6* | 3 | 3.6 | 3 | 3.6* | 3 | 3.6* | 3 |
| CPUE $\geq 6.0$ in | 366.2 | 4 | 568.0 | 4 | 194.0 | 4 | 288.0 | 4 |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) |  |  | 1.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual mortality (A) |  |  | 66.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Score: | Fair ${ }^{9}$ |  |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| Assessment rating |  |  | Fair |  | Fair |  | Fair |  |

[^8]Table 52. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/set-night) of channel catfish collected in baited, tandem set hoopnets ( 2 set-nights; 3 nets per set w/3-day soak time) at Metcalfe County Lake from 12-15 September 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 25 | 12.5 | 1.5 |

sw dmetcc.d14

Table 53. Relative weight (Wr) for each length group of channel catfish collected by tandem set hoopnets (2 set-nights) at Metcalfe County Lake from 12-15 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

|  | Length group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11.0-15.9$ in | $16.0-23.9$ in | $\geq 24.0$ in |
| Wr | $95(3)$ | $75(1)$ | 0 |

swdmetcc.D14

Table 54. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during 1.5 hours (6-0.25hour runs) of nocturnal electrofishing at Mill Creek Lake on 22 April 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| Spotted bass | 1 | 1 |  | 11 | 22 | 23 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 | 63.3 | 10. |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 32 | 28 | 33 | 24 | 18 | 17 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 212 | 141.3 | 11. |

sw dmillbb.D14

Table 55. Spring nocturnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of each length group of largemouth bass collected at Mill Creek Lake during mid-late April to mid-May, 2006-2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |  |  |
| 2006 | 42.7 | 6.8 | 124.0 | 6.8 | 36.7 | 3.8 | 29.3 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 232.7 | 16.5 |
| 2007 | ND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | ND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | ND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | ND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 42.0 | 9.3 | 49.3 | 4.3 | 32.7 | 3.8 | 64.0 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 188.0 | 9.6 |
| 2014 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 36.7 | 6.7 | 56.7 | 5.4 | 46.0 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 141.3 | 11.5 |

sw dmilbb.D06, D11, D14
ND = no data collected

Table 56. PSD and $R S D_{15}$ values from spring nocturnal electrofishing (1.5 hours; 6-0.25-hour runs) for largemouth bass at Mill Creek Lake on 22 April 2014. 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD ( $\pm 95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}( \pm 95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spotted bass | 82 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 209 | $11(7)$ | $*$ |
|  |  | $74(6)$ | $33(6)$ |

swdmilbb.D14

*     - no fish of size were collected

Table 57. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/set-night) of channel catfish collected in baited, tandem set hoopnets (3 set-nights; 3 nets per set w/3-day soak time) at Spa Lake from 08-11 September 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 5 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 50 | 16.7 | 6.5 |

[^9]Table 58. Relative weight (Wr) for each length group of channel catfish collected by tandem set hoopnets (3 set-nights) at Spa Lake from 08-11 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

|  | Length group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11.0-15.9 \mathrm{in}$ | $16.0-23.9 \mathrm{in}$ | $\geq 24.0$ in |
| Wr | $93(3)$ | $86(3)$ | 0 |
| N | 30 | 10 |  |

swdspacc.D14

Figure 1.

## GREEN RIVER LAKE ANGLER ATTITUDE SURVEY 2014 (n=508)

No choices are given for "part a or b" questions. The clerk is to take the respondent's answer and categorize by circling or writing in the appropriate answer.

1. Have you been surveyed this year? YES - stop survey NO - continue
2. Name \& Zip code: < 30 miles ( $n=223 ; 46 \%$ ) $>30$ miles $(n=251 ; 52 \%) \quad$ out of state $(n=12$; 2.5\%)
3. Which species of fish do you fish for at Green River Lake (circle ALL that apply)?

| Bass | Crappie | Muskie | Catfish | Walleye | White Bass | Bluegill | Other = Drum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $43.7 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |

4. Which one species do you fish for most often at Green River Lake (circle only ONE)?

Bass Crappie Muskie Catfish Walleye White Bass Bluegill Other $\qquad$
$37.7 \% \quad 51.5 \% \quad 4.2 \% \quad 5.6 \% \quad 1 \% \quad 0 \% \quad 0 \%$
-Ask the following questions for each species the anglers fish for- (see question 3)
Crappie Anglers ( $\mathrm{n}=282$ )
5. What level of satisfaction do you have with CRAPPIE fishing at Green River Lake? Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied No opinion $\begin{array}{llllll}5.7 \% & 89.7 \% & 1.1 \% & 3.5 \% & 0 & 0\end{array}$

5a. If response is somewhat or very satisfied: What is the single most important reason for your satisfaction?
Number of fis
Size of fish
Size limit Creel limit
Other (catch limit anytime) 46.8\%
49.8\%
1.1\%
$0.7 \%$
0.7\% 0.4\%

5b. If response is somewhat or very dissatisfied: What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction?
Number of fish
Size of fish
30.8\%
Size limit Creel limit
Too many anglers
Other (no fish)
15.4\%
7.7\%
0\%
15.4\%

Muskie Anglers ( $\mathrm{n}=22$ )
6. What level of satisfaction do you have with MUSKIE fishing at Green River Lake?

Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied No opinion $36.4 \%$ 36.4\% $4.5 \% \quad 13.6 \% \quad 9.1 \%$

6a. If response is somewhat or very satisfied: What is the single most important reason for your satisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Low angler pressure Other $29.4 \% \quad 52.9 \% \quad 10.8 \% \quad 0 \% \quad 5.9 \%$

6b. If response is somewhat or very dissatisfied: What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Too many anglers Other $\qquad$ 42.9\%
14.3\% 28.6\% 0\%
14.3\%
7. Over the last 3 years, has your catch rate of MUSKIE that are less than 36 inches at Green River Lake:

Increased 17.4\%

Stayed the same
Declined 39.1\%

Don't Know
8.7\%
8. Over the last 3 years, has your catch rate of MUSKIE that are greater than 36 inches at Green River Lake: Increased - 34.8\% Stayed the same-60.9\% Declined-0\% Don't Know - 4.3\%
9. About what percentage of legal muskie did you keep in the last 3 years at Green River Lake?

All or almost all about $25 \%$ about $50 \%$ about $75 \%$ Didn't not keep any or very few
$33.3 \% \quad 0 \% \quad 0 \%$ 66.7\%
10. Do you fish muskie tournaments on Green River Lake? Yes (25\%) No (75\%)

10a.If "Yes" in Question 10: How many muskie tournaments did you fish on Green River Lake in the last 12 months? 1-2 (100\%) 3-4 4-5 6 or more

Catfish anglers ( $\mathrm{n}=31$ )
11. What level of satisfaction do you have with CATFISH fishing at Green River Lake?

Very satisfied $-29 \%$ Somewhat satisfied $-71 \%$ Neutral Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied No opinion

11a. If response is somewhat or very satisfied: What is the single most important reason for your satisfaction?
Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Low angler pressure Other (Close by) $37.5 \% \quad 56.3 \% \quad 3.1 \% \quad 3.1 \%$

11b. If response is somewhat or very dissatisfied: What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Too many anglers Other $\qquad$
12. What methods do you use fishing for catfish at Green River Lake?

$$
\text { Jugging }-27.9 \% \quad \text { Trotline }-14 \% \quad \text { Hook \& Line }-44.2 \% \quad \text { Hand grabbing/noodling }-14 \%
$$

12a. How many days per year do you use each method?

| Jugging $5(25 \%)$ | $6(25 \%)$ | $8(8.3 \%)$ | $12(8.3 \%)$ | $20(25 \%)$ | $40(8.3 \%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trotline $3(20 \%)$ | $6(40 \%)$ | $15(40 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| Hook \& Line $0(5.3 \%)$ | $8(15.8 \%)$ | $10(10.5 \%)$ | $12(31.6 \%)$ | $16(21.1 \%)$ | $20+(15.8 \%)$ |
| Hand grabbing/Noodling | $12(50 \%)$ | $15(16.7 \%)$ | $16(33.3 \%)$ |  |  |

White Bass Anglers ( $n=1$ )
13. What level of satisfaction do you have with WHITE BASS fishing at Green River Lake?

Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied No opinion
13a. If response is somewhat or very satisfied: What is the single most important reason for your satisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Low angler pressure Other $\qquad$
13b. If response is somewhat or very dissatisfied: What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Too many anglers Other $\qquad$
Walleye Anglers ( $n=4$ )
14. What level of satisfaction do you have with WALLEYE fishing at Green River Lake?

Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied No opinion 100\%

14a. If response is somewhat or very satisfied: What is the single most important reason for your satisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Low angler pressure Other 75\%

14b. If response is somewhat or very dissatisfied: What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction? Number of fish Size of fish Size limit Creel limit Too many anglers Other $\qquad$
15. Do you ever fish below the Green River Lake dam for walleye? Yes-20\% No-80\%

15a. If no, why not? $\quad$ Didn't know about -75\% Other (like the lake) - 25\%
15b. If yes, What level of satisfaction do you have with the walleye fishing at Green River Lake Tailwater? Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied No opinion

All Anglers ( $\mathrm{n}=507$ )
16. On average, how many times do you fish Green River Lake each month?

Less than $1 \quad 1-4 \quad 5-10 \quad$ More than 10
17. Do you support or oppose the current 36 inch minimum size limit on muskie at Green River Lake? Support 5\% Oppose 2.4\% No Opinion 92.7\%
18. What muskie size limit would you prefer at Green River Lake?
Keep as is (36-inch minimum) $\quad 30$ inch $\quad 40$ inch $\quad 45$ inch $\quad 50$ inch $\quad$ Catch \& Release $\quad$ No size limit
19. Are you aware that KDFWR produces a fish attractor map for Green River Lake? Yes - 95\% No - $5 \%$

19a. If yes: Do you ever use this map? Yes-62.6\% No-37.4\%

# CENTRAL FISHERIES DISTRICT 

Project A: Lake and Tailwater Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

Lake sampling conditions for 2014 are summarized in Table 1.

## Taylorsville Lake (3,050 acres)

Spring diurnal electrofishing was completed in April 2014 to assess the black bass population. Three sections (Big Beech Creek, Ashes/Jacks Creek, and Van Buren areas) of Taylorsville Lake were sampled for 7.5 hours ( 2.5 hours per section - 30 minute runs). Length distribution and CPUE for largemouth bass are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The number of bass collected in 2014 (114.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was slightly higher than the lake's historic average of 111.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. Catch rate for keeper bass ( $\geq 15.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) was 21.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$, higher than the lake average ( 16.4 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) for these harvestable-size fish. Ashes Creek was the area with the highest catch rate for largemouth bass. Stocked fish (marked by fin-clips) made up only $0.1 \%$ of the largemouth bass collected at Taylorsville Lake. The PSD for largemouth bass was 58 which was above the lake's average of 55 (Table 4). Additionally, the $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ value was 22; equal to the lake's average of 22. The largemouth bass population assessment score, based on spring electrofishing data, was 16 ("Good"), which is consistent with the average rating of "Good" at Taylorsville Lake (Table 5).

Length frequency, relative weights, age and growth, and index for year class strength at age 0 and age 1 of largemouth bass based on September electrofishing are presented in Tables 6-9. The growth rates of largemouth bass at Taylorsville Lake are very good. Largemouth bass growth rates indicated bass are reaching harvestable size (15.0 in) in four growing seasons (Table 7). Average body condition for largemouth bass in $2014\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{r}}=91\right)$ was slightly lower than last year (2013), and lower than the lake's historical average ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=97$ ) (Table 8). Catch rate of age 0 largemouth bass in the fall of $2014(21.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr})$ was much lower than the lake historic average of 43.3 fish/hr. The year class strength model indicated below average recruitment for young-of-the-year largemouth bass in 2014. Fingerling (4.5-5.3 in) largemouth bass were stocked in September at a rate of 10.0 fish/acre, totaling 30,500 (no clip). Largemouth bass fingerlings have been stocked almost annually since 2000 at rates ranging from 5 fish/acre to 10 fish/acre and from 1985 to 1992 at various rates. The need for stocking and the numbers stocked in reservoirs are based (since 2004) on results of the age 0 year class strength sampled in early September and the predicted age 1 year class strength the following spring.

Trap netting effort for crappie (Table 10) resulted in the collection of 157 white crappie and 344 black crappie. Crappie were sampled with trap nets during 48 net-nights. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values are shown in Table 11. Age and growth determinations along with age frequency for black and white crappie completed using otoliths are shown in Tables 12 through 16. Age studies indicated that the majority of white crappie reached 9.0 in between age 1 and 2 and black crappie reached 9.0 inches in 2 to 3 years. The crappie population assessment scores (Tables 15 and 18) rated "Fair" for both white and black crappie. The crappie population is very cyclic at Taylorsville Lake with peaks occurring every 7 to 9 years. In an effort to help recruitment on the lake, 30,710 ( 10.1 fish/acre) white crappie ( 2.7 in ) were stocked in 2009, 35,985 ( 11.7 fish/acre) white crappie (2.5-4.7 in) were stocked in 2010, 20,892 ( 6.8 fish/acre) white crappie ( 3.0 in ) were stocked in $2011,70,473$ ( 23.1 fish/acre) white crappie were stocked in 2012, and 78,112 (25.6 fish/acre) were stocked in 2013 into Taylorsville Lake. These stocked crappie made up $11.7 \%$ of the age 1 and older white crappie sampled in the fall of 2014 , a decrease in the percentage of marked fish from the fall of 2013. This reduction in percentage of marked fish is due to a good spawn that occurred in the spring of 2013. This was the first significant spawn since 1996. Body condition of white and black crappie in the fall of 2014 was acceptable, but lower than expected for Taylorsville Lake (Table 18).

Fall gill netting for hybrid striped bass and white bass was conducted in October 2014 (Tables 19-27). A total of 90 hybrid striped bass were collected in 2014 compared to 132 in 2013, 47 in 2012, 94 in 2011 and 51 in 2010. Hybrid striped bass were captured in 8 net-nights (4 nets for 2 nights) for a CPUE of 11.3 ( $\pm 4.0$ ) fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$. The hybrid striped bass population has exhibited notable fluctuations since 1990. The density of hybrid striped bass in Taylorsville Lake appeared to be negatively related to the amount of tailwater discharge (due to rainfall) and fishing pressure. It is theorized that above-normal discharge leads to escapement of hybrid striped bass but has little effect
on the white bass density in the lake. Additionally, a late fall water quality issue with low oxygen in the lower portion of Taylorsville Lake may be causing additional stress on the hybrid striped bass. Age and growth studies were completed for hybrid striped bass using otoliths (Tables 20 and 21). Studies indicate hybrid striped bass growth has slowed slightly, as it took between two to three years to reach harvestable size ( 15.0 in ). This growth is slower than what is typical growth for hybrid striped bass at Taylorsville Lake. The relative weight $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ index for hybrid striped bass (83) shows below average body condition for hybrid striped bass at Taylorsville Lake. The average $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ for Taylorsville Lake is 86. The population assessment for hybrid striped bass was rated at "Good", an increase from the "Fair" rating in 2013. Annual stocking rates for hybrid striped bass have been 20 fish/acre (1.4 to 2.0 in ) for the last 15 years. Taylorsville Lake was stocked with 60,139 ( 19.7 fish/acre; 1.3 in ) hybrid striped bass in June 2014. The hybrid striped bass stocked in 2014 were all reciprocal cross hybrids (no OTC mark). Data for white bass collected during fall 2014 gillnetting studies are presented in Tables 19 and 24-27. White bass comprised about $47 \%$ of the Morones sampled, compared to $29 \%$ in 2013, $59 \%$ in 2012, $72 \%$ in 2011, $80 \%$ in $2010,34 \%$ in 2009 and $69 \%$ in 2008. Similar to 2013, white bass age and growth in 2014 showed only age- $0+$ and age- $1+$ fish. Relative weight values revealed acceptable body condition for all sizes of white bass (Table 26). The white bass population assessment rated "Fair"; an increase from the rating of "Poor: over the past two years (Table 27).

See the Stream Fisheries Investigation (F-40) Annual Performance Report for blue catfish sampling data. A total of 23,499 (7.7 fish/acre) blue catfish ( 6.0 - 12.0 in) were stocked in Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were completed from April through November at Taylorsville Lake. Three sites were sampled at Taylorsville Lake during 2014, including Big Beech Creek near Settlers Marina (no wake buoy line (Table 28)), the mouth of Ashes and Jack's Creek (no ski buoy line (Table 29)), and VanBuren / Chowning Lane Area (no ski buoy line (Table 30)). The thermocline appeared in May and became well established during the months of June, July, and August at Taylorsville Lake. Dissolved oxygen levels suitable for fish ( $\geq 4$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) could generally be found from $0-14 \mathrm{ft}$ deep during the summer months. There was a decline in oxygen throughout the lower portions of Taylorsville Lake during October; however, it was not as severe of a decline as it was in October 2013. These late season declines in oxygen may be a result of decomposition from significant blooms of bluegreen algae that occurred during the summer months at Taylorsville Lake. Lake temperatures peaked during the month of August in the lower 80's.

## Herrington Lake (2,410 acres)

Diurnal electrofishing studies were completed in April 2014 to monitor the crappie population. Upper, middle, and lower lake sections were sampled for a total of 5.5 hours. This year, a total of 117 crappie were collected, compared to 380 in 2012, 409 in 2011, 225 in 2010, 99 in 2009, 108 in 2008, 81 in 2007, and 84 in 2006 (Table 31). Catch was dominated by black crappie in the lower section of the lake, while white crappie dominated the mid and upper sections of the lake. However, the overall catch was dominated by white crappie, which made up $77 \%$ of the crappie sampled at Herrington Lake in 2014. Age and growth studies of white crappie indicated they reach 9.0 in between age 2 and age 3, and 11.0 in between age 3 and age 4 (Table 33). Age frequency of white crappie showed that their populations were dominated by age-3 fish, the 2011 year class (Table 34). A population assessment was developed for spring electrofishing of white and black crappie at Herrington Lake. The population assessment for white crappie indicated a "Fair" population for 2014, a decline from past years' assessments (Table 35). Age and growth studies also showed that black crappie reached 9.0 in. around age 2 (Table 36). Like white crappie, age- 3 was the dominate age for black crappie in 2014 (Table 37). The population assessment for black crappie indicated a "Fair" population for 2014 (Table 38), similar to past years.

Diurnal electrofishing studies were completed in April 2014 to monitor the black bass population. Upper, middle, and lower sections were sampled for a total of 7.5 hours ( 2.5 hours per section). Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE of black bass collected in the spring are presented in Table 39. Largemouth bass dominated the black bass fishery, with spotted bass comprising $6.6 \%$ of the bass sampled. No smallmouth bass were collected in 2014. Numbers of bass collected in 2014 ( 97.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) were lower than the lakes historic average of 115.2 fish $/ \mathrm{h}$. Changes to the overall catch rates over the past couple of years seem to be related to lake level during sampling. The higher the lake level the lower the catch rate of bass at Herrington Lake. The level during the 2014 spring electrofishing sample was slightly lower than last year, which may have led to a slight increase in the catch rate for largemouth bass. Catch rate for keeper bass ( $\geq 12.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) was $46.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$, equal to the lake's average ( 46.4 fish/hr) for catch rates of harvestable-size fish. The middle and upper sections of Herrington Lake (King's Mill and

Gwinn Island areas) continue to have the highest catch rates for largemouth bass in Herrington Lake. The PSD for largemouth bass was 69 which was much higher than the lake's average of 56 (Table 41). Additionally, the $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ value was 27 which was higher than the lake average of 23 . The largemouth bass population assessment score, based on spring electrofishing data, was 14 ("Good"), which is an average rating for Herrington Lake (Table 42). Fall electrofishing evaluated largemouth bass relative weight and index of year class strength (Tables 43-45). Body weights for largemouth bass in $2014\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{r}}=91\right)$ were almost equal to the lake's historical average ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=92$ ) (Table 44). The year class strength model for Herrington Lake indicated an average recruitment year for young-of-year largemouth bass in 2014. Age-0 CPUE ( 36.9 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was almost equal to the lake average ( $35.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ); however, largemouth bass were stocked into Herrington Lake in 2014. Fingerling (4.6-5.0 in) largemouth bass were stocked in October at a rate of 5.0 fish/acre, totaling 12,057 (no clip).

Gill netting for hybrid striped bass and white bass was completed in October 2014. During the 12 net-night sampling period, 34 hybrid striped bass and 25 white bass were collected (Table 46). Otoliths were taken from both species for age and growth determinations. Results of these studies indicated excellent growth rates for both hybrids (Tables 47-48) and white bass (Tables 51-52). Hybrid striped bass continue to reach 15.0 in between age 1 and 2 (Table 47), as they have historically. Of the hybrid striped bass sampled, $97 \%$ were age $1+$ or older (Table 48). The population assessment for hybrid striped bass indicated a "Fair" population, similar to the average rating of "Fair" (Table 50). White bass age and growth determinations showed they reached 9.0 in at age 1 this year and 12.0 in between age 1 and age 2 (Table 51). Of the white bass sampled, $44 \%$ were age $1+$ and older (Table 52). The major die-off of white bass in June of 2013 appears to have substantially reduced the population of white bass at Herrington Lake. The white bass population assessment indicated a "Fair" population, the same as last year (Table 54). Condition of hybrid striped bass and white bass are shown in Tables 49 and 53, respectively. Herrington Lake was stocked with 50,131 (20.8 fish/acre; 1.3-1.4 in) hybrid striped bass in June 2014. Only the reciprocal cross was stocked into Herrington Lake in 2014 (no OTC mark).

Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were completed from April through November at Herrington Lake. Three sites were sampled at Herrington Lake during 2014, including the mouth of Cane Run (no wake buoy line (Table 55)), near Gwynn Island Marina (no wake buoy line (Table 56)), and near King's Mill Marina (no wake buoy line (Table 57)). The thermocline appeared in May and became established during the months of June, July and August at Herrington Lake. However, near the dam at the Cane Run sample site a layer of dissolved oxygen > 4.0 ppm was observed below a layer of insufficient oxygen ( $<4 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). The thermocline was very well established from June through October. Dissolved oxygen levels suitable for fish ( $\geq 4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) could generally be found from 0-12 ft deep during the summer months. Lake temperatures peaked during the month of July in the lower 80 's.

## Guist Creek Lake (317 acres)

Spring diurnal electrofishing studies were completed for length frequency, CPUE and population assessment for largemouth bass in April 2014 (Table 58). Total largemouth bass catch rate ( $138.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was lower than the lake average of 160.4 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 59). The PSD for largemouth bass was 65 compared to the lake average of 67 (Table 60). The $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ was 39 compared to the lake average of 41 . The population assessment gave a rating of "Good", the average rating observed at Guist Creek Lake (Table 61). Fall largemouth bass sampling was conducted for relative weights, age and growth, and index for year class strength at age 0 and age 1 (Tables 62-64). Relative weights indicated good body condition for bass, especially for bass over 15.0 in (Table 63). Mean length of age-0 largemouth bass ( 4.0 in ) was the same as last year; however the catch rate of young of the year continued to decline ( $46.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 2012, $38.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 2013, and 27.3 in 2014). The year class strength model indicated below average recruitment (avg. $=44.7$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) for young-of-year largemouth bass in 2014 (Table 64). Therefore, fingerling (4.4-4.5 in) largemouth bass were stocked in October at a rate of 10.0 fish/acre, totaling 3,188 (no clip).

Guist Creek Lake was stocked with 26,000 ( 82.0 fish/acre; 1.5-1.9 in) saugeye in 2014. This was the second year of stocking of saugeye into Guist Creek Lake. The lake was sampled for saugeye on September 27, 2014 with a boat mounted electrofishing unit for a total of 3.0 hours (Table 65). Sampling yielded 37 saugeye (12.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) ranging in size from 7.5 in to 15.0 in . and averaging 10.6 inches.

Diurnal electrofishing studies were completed to evaluate the age and growth of the crappie population at Guist Creek Lake in September 2014. The literature has shown that saugeye predation can reduce crappie overcrowding, improving the growth of crappie. Therefore, this was an initial sample of the growth of crappie at Guist

Creek Lake. Age and growth studies of white crappie indicated they reach 9.0 in between age 2 and age 3 (Table 66). Additionally, age and growth studies showed black crappie growth to be slow, it took between age 3 and age 4 for a black crappie to grow to 9 inches (Table 67). The crappie population at Guist Creek Lake exhibited good growth, especially for a small impoundment.

Gill netting was completed in October for hybrid striped bass (Table 68). Four nets were fished for two nights ( 8 net-nights) in similar sites as in past years. A total of 31 hybrid striped bass were captured compared to 51 in 2011, 32 in 2010 and 26 in 2009. Age and growth studies were completed using otoliths. Calculations indicated hybrid striped bass continued to reach 15.0 in between age 2 and age 3, and 20.0 in between age 3 and age 4 (Tables 69-70). Relative weights of these hybrid striped bass continue to be below average ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=80$ ) for their size (Table 71). The population assessment indicated a rating of "Fair", an average population rating for Guist Creek Lake (Table 72). Guist Creek Lake was stocked with 19,029 (60.0 fish/acre; 1.8 in) hybrid striped bass in June 2014.

Channel catfish were sampled in October using 5 sets of 3 tandem hoop nets at Guist Creek Lake in 2014. Length frequency results for channel catfish showed a size distribution between 8.8 and 28.1 in (Table 73). The PSD and RSD $_{24}$ for channel catfish were 35 and 6, respectively (Table 74). Age and growth studies showed channel catfish reached 12.0 in between age 1 and 2, and 20.0 in between 4 and 5 years (Table 75). Relative weights indicated slightly below average condition for channel catfish (Table 76). Guist Creek Lake was stocked with 1,584 (5.0 fish/acre; 6.0-8.0 in) channel catfish in March 2014.

## A.J. Jolly Lake (175 acres)

Spring diurnal electrofishing was completed in April 2014 to assess the black bass population (Table 78). Results indicated largemouth bass catch rates ( 74.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) were lower than the lake's historical average ( 81.9 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) (Table 79). The PSD for largemouth bass was 65 and the $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ was 39 (Table 80). The population assessment indicated a "Fair" bass population (Table 81). Fall diurnal electrofishing was conducted for relative weights and the index of age 0 year class strength of largemouth bass in September (Tables 82-84). Relative weights indicated acceptable body condition $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=91\right)$ (Table 83). Fall sampling indicated slightly below average age 0 bass numbers, ( 19.5 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$; average $=21.3 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and the fall average size of age 0 bass in 2014 was equal to the lake's average of 4.5 in . (Table 84). Therefore, no largemouth bass were stocked into A.J. Jolly Lake in 2014.

Diurnal electrofishing was completed in July 2014 for length frequency and relative weights of blue catfish at A.J. Jolly Lake. Length frequency results for blue catfish showed a size distribution between 6.1 and 22.4 in (Table 85). Relative weights indicated acceptable body condition for blue catfish ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=90$ ) (Table 86). A.J. Jolly Lake was stocked with 1,750 (10.0 fish/acre; 4.0 - 8.0 in) blue catfish in April 2014.
A.J. Jolly Lake was stocked with 15,199 (86.9 fish/acre; 1.5-1.9 in) saugeye in 2014. This was the second year of stocking of saugeye into A.J. Jolly Lake. The lake was sampled for saugeye on October 8, 2014 with a boat mounted electrofishing unit for a total of 2.0 hours (Table 87). Sampling yielded 29 saugeye ( 14.5 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) ranging in size from 6.5 in to 13.8 in. and averaging 9.7 inches.

Diurnal electrofishing studies were completed to evaluate the age and growth of the crappie population at A.J. Jolly Lake in October 2014. The literature has shown that saugeye predation can reduce crappie over-crowding, improving the overall growth of crappie. Therefore, this was an initial sample of the growth of crappie at A.J. Jolly Lake.

A total of 182 crappie were collected in 1.0 hr of electrofishing (182.0 fish/hr) in 2014 (Table 88). Age and growth studies of white and black crappie showed crowding around 6.0 to 7.0 in (Tables $89-90$ ). The crappie population at A.J. Jolly Lake exhibited poor growth, therefore, the saugeye may have a positive effect of this population in a few years.

Channel catfish were sampled in October using tandem hoop nets at A.J Jolly Lake in 2014. Length frequency results for channel catfish showed a size distribution between 7.3 and 18.1 in (Table 91). The PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ for channel catfish were 18 and 0 , respectively (Table 92). Relative weights of channel catfish were acceptable $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=91\right)($ Table 93). A.J. Jolly Lake was stocked with 875 (5.0 fish/acre; $6.0-8.0$ in) channel catfish in April 2014.

## Beaver Lake (158 acres)

A spring diurnal electrofishing sample was completed in May 2014 to assess the black bass population (Table 95). The CPUE for all sizes was $225.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$, lower than the lake average of $240.3 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 96). Largemouth bass sampling continues to show the bass removal conducted in the spring of 2011 was beneficial for sustaining increases to the catch rates of $\geq 15.0$ in bass. The PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ for largemouth bass respectively, were 23 and 9 , compared to the current lake average of 29 and 4 (Table 97). The population assessment score indicated a "Good" bass population (Table 98), which is the most common assessment rating for Beaver Lake largemouth bass. Fall diurnal electrofishing was conducted for age and growth, relative weights, and the index of age 0 year class strength of largemouth bass at Beaver Lake (Tables 99-102). Largemouth bass growth rates at Beaver Lake indicated bass are reaching harvestable size ( 12.0 in ) between age 3 and age 4 (Table 100). Additionally, the age and growth study showed largemouth bass were reaching 15.0 in between age 5 and age 6 . The relative weight index continues to reflect below-average weights for most length groups of largemouth bass at Beaver Lake in 2014 $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=84\right)$, likewise, it is slightly lower than the lake average of 85 (Table 101). Fall sampling indicated below average numbers of age 0 bass, ( $94.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$; average $=104.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and the average size of largemouth bass (4.1 in; lake average $=4.1 \mathrm{in}$ ) in the fall of 2014 at Beaver Lake was equal to the lakes average of 4.1 in (Table 102).

An abbreviated ( 0.625 hr ) spring diurnal electrofishing sample was completed in May 2014 to assess the panfish populations (Tables 103-108). Length frequency results showed the majority of bluegill were in the 4.0 in and 6.0-7.0 in range, with most redear sunfish around the 7.0-10.0 in size (Table 103). The PSD for bluegill was 50 compared to the lake average of 25 . The $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ was 0 which is below the lake average of 1 . Redear sunfish PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$ were 78 and 42, respectively (Table 104). CPUE for all length groups of bluegill were equal or higher than last year, except for bluegill in the $\geq 8.0$ in group (Table 105). The total CPUE of bluegill in 2014 ( $507.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was more than double the lake average of 229.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. The population assessment for bluegill indicated a "Good" population rating, which is the same rating as 2013 (Table 106). The catch rate of redear sunfish $\geq 8.0$ in was 12.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ compared to $12.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ in 2013 and lower than the lake average of $21.9 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 107). Overall, catch rates for all sizes were similar to the catch rates of last year. The population assessment indicated an "Excellent" redear sunfish fishery (Table 108). Relative weights for bluegill and redear sunfish were collected during the fall diurnal electrofishing sample. Relative weight data for redear sunfish was very good for all length groups. Additionally, body condition of bluegill at Beaver Lake in 2014 was similar to previous years (Table 109).

Channel catfish were not sampled at Beaver Lake in 2014. Beaver Lake was stocked with $1,850(11.7$ fish/acre; $6.0-8.0$ in) channel catfish in March 2014.

No applications of aquatic herbicides were completed at Beaver Lake in 2014. No liquid fertilizer applications have been made since 2001. Finally, no shad were observed at Beaver Lake in 2014.

## Benjy Kinman Lake (88 acres)

The Boone Tract of the Kentucky River WMA, including Benjy Kinman Lake, was acquired by the Department on June 2, 2014. The largest waterbody ( 88 -acre lake) was named after Benjy Kinman, who served as a fisheries biologist, Fisheries Division director, and Department deputy commissioner. The lake was dedicated and opened to the public on August 5, 2014. After the acquisition, initial assessments of the fish populations were made on three of the water bodies on the property, including Benjy Kinman Lake. (Tables 110-112). During this sampling on Benjy Kinman Lake, a large number of rough fish were observed. On July 7, 2014, a total of 428 bigmouth buffalo, smallmouth buffalo, common carp, yellow bullhead, longnose gar, river carpsucker, and drum were removed from Benjy Kinman Lake. The average weight of removed rough fish was 5.5 lbs . Therefore, it was estimated that 2,338 lbs of rough fish were removed from Benjy Kinman Lake in 2014.

Fall largemouth bass sampling was conducted for the first time for relative weights, age and growth, and index for year class strength at age 0 and age 1 in September 2014 (Tables 113-116). The growth rates of largemouth bass at Benjy Kinman Lake were a little slow, however, similar largemouth bass growth occurs at many of the panfish managed lakes in the district. Largemouth bass growth rates indicated bass are reaching 12.0 in between age 3 and age 4 and reaching 15.0 in between age 5 and age 6 (Table 114). Currently, there is a no harvest regulation on largemouth bass at Benjy Kinman Lake. This regulation will be reevaluated in a few years after
additional data can be collected on the largemouth bass population at the lake. Relative weights indicated below average body condition for bass $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=84\right)$ with larger fish exhibiting better condition compared to smaller length groups (Table 115). The better condition of larger fish is due to the gizzard shad forage base. CPUE for both age-0 and age- $0 \geq 5.0$ in were collected for the first time at Benjy Kinman Lake (Table 116).

Diurnal electrofishing studies to evaluate the crappie population were completed for the first time at Benjy Kinman Lake in October 2014. A total of 58 crappie were collected in 2.5 hrs of electrofishing ( $23.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$; Table 117). Age and growth studies of white crappie indicated they reach 9.0 in around age 5 (Table 118). Additionally, age and growth studies showed black crappie growth to be slow (Table 119). Since its public opening, Benjy Kinman Lake has had a good reputation for crappie fishing, making it a very popular fishery. Due to the concern of crappie overharvest in a small lake, a daily limit of 15 fish was recommended for Benjy Kinman Lake. However, a size limit was not recommended due to the slow growth indicated by the age and growth studies.

## Boltz Lake (92 acres)

Spring nocturnal electrofishing was completed in April 2014 to assess the black bass population (Table 120). Results indicated largemouth bass catch rates ( $176.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) were lower than the lake's historical average (191.8 fish/hr; Table 121). The PSD for largemouth bass was 32 compared to the lake average of 44 (Table 122). The $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ was 14 compared to the lake average of 17 . The population assessment indicated a "Fair" bass population, the same as eight out of the past ten years (Table 123). Fall diurnal electrofishing was conducted for relative weights and the index of age 0 year class strength of largemouth bass in September (Tables 124-126). Relative weights indicated acceptable body condition $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=90\right)$, equal to the lake's average relative weight of 90 (Table 125). Fall sampling indicated above average numbers of age 0 bass, ( $38.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$; average $=52.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and the average size ( 4.0 in .) was smaller than the lake's average size of 4.2 in (Table 126). Boltz Lake was stocked with 2,424 (26.3 fish/acre; 5.6 in) largemouth bass in March 2014. Currently, Boltz Lake does not have a population of gizzard shad.

Spring diurnal electrofishing for bluegill was conducted in May 2014 (Tables 127). The overall catch rates for bluegill were lower in 2014 ( 320.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) than the lake average ( 498.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$; Table 128). The PSD for bluegill was 53 compared to the lake average of 24 (Table 129). The $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ was 0 compared to the lake average of 1 . The population assessment for bluegill indicated a "Fair" population, a decrease from the last four years of "Good" ratings (Table 130). Age and growth studies on bluegill showed that bluegill reached 6.0 in between age 3 and 4 (Table 131). The relative weight index reflected below-average condition for bluegill at Boltz Lake in 2014, because relative weight $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=83\right)$ was significantly below the lake average $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=90\right)$ (Table 132).

Channel catfish were sampled in October using tandem hoop nets at Boltz Lake in 2014. Length frequency results for channel catfish showed a size distribution between 10.1 and 15.2 in (Table 133). The PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ for channel catfish were 0 and 0 , respectively (Table 134). Relative weights indicated acceptable body condition for channel catfish $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=93\right)$, which was slightly higher than the average for the lake $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=92\right)$ (Table 135). Boltz Lake was stocked with 863 ( 9.4 fish/acre; $6.0-8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) channel catfish in April 2014. Blue catfish were not sampled at Boltz Lake in 2014. Boltz Lake was not stocked with blue catfish in 2014.

## Bullock Pen Lake (134 acres)

Spring nocturnal electrofishing was completed in April 2014 to assess the black bass population (Table 137). The catch rate for largemouth bass decreased for all length groups except 12.0-14.9 in and $\geq 20.0$ in, which increased (Table 138). The total catch rate of largemouth bass in 2014 ( $189.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was much higher than the lake's average catch rate of 137.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. The PSD for largemouth bass was 65 , slightly lower than the lake average of 69 (Table 139). The $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ for largemouth bass was 32 , lower than the lake average of 40 . The population assessment for largemouth bass was rated "Good"; the same as the last rating in 2012 (Table 140). Fall electrofishing was conducted diurnally in September to determine the relative weights and YOY year class strength for largemouth bass (Tables 141-143). Relative weights indicated acceptable body condition for bass ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=92$ ), but were lower than the lake's average $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=94\right)$. Larger fish exhibited better condition compared to smaller length groups, which is a function of the shad forage base (Table 142). CPUE for both age- 0 and age- $0 \geq 5.0$ in bass increased from last year (Table 143). Age-0 CPUE (16.0 fish/hr) was lower than the lake average ( $20.4 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ );
therefore, largemouth bass were stocked into Bullock Pen Lake in 2014. Fingerling (4.4 in) largemouth bass were stocked in October at a rate of 15.0 fish/acre, totaling 2,014 fish.

Bullock Pen Lake was stocked with 12,377 (92.4 fish/acre; 2.0 in) saugeye in May 2014. This was the second year of stocking of saugeye into Bullock Pen Lake. The lake was sampled for saugeye on September 30, 2014 with a boat mounted electrofishing unit for a total of 2.0 hours (Table 144). Sampling yielded 31 saugeye ( $15.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr)}$ ) ranging in size from 6.5 in to 14.1 in and averaging 10.4 in .

Diurnal electrofishing studies were completed to evaluate the age and growth of the crappie population at Bullock Pen Lake in September 2014. The literature has shown saugeye predation can reduce crappie overcrowding, improving the overall growth of crappie. Therefore, this was an initial sample of the growth of crappie at Bullock Pen Lake. Age and growth studies of white and black crappie showed crowding around 7.0 to 8.0 in (Tables 145-146). The crappie population at Bullock Pen Lake exhibited poor growth, therefore, the saugeye may have a positive effect of this population in a few years.

Channel catfish were sampled in October using tandem hoop nets at Bullock Pen Lake in October 2014. Length frequency results for channel catfish showed a size distribution between $8.1-22.8$ in (Table 147). The PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ for channel catfish were 12 and 0 , respectively (Table 148). Relative weights of channel catfish were acceptable $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=90\right)$ (Table 149). Bullock Pen Lake was stocked with 1,464 (10.9 fish/acre; $6-8$ in) channel catfish in April 2014. Blue catfish were not sampling at Bullock Pen Lake in 2014. Bullock Pen Lake was stocked with 732 ( 5.5 fish/acre; $4.0-8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) blue catfish in April 2014.

## Corinth Lake (96 acres)

Spring nocturnal electrofishing was completed in May 2014 to assess the black bass population (Table 151). The catch rate for largemouth bass decreased for all length groups except $<8.0$ in bass (Table 152). The total catch rate of largemouth bass in 2014 ( $189.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was slower than the lake's average catch rate of $232.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$. The PSD for largemouth bass was 17, lower than last years' value (23) but higher than the lake average of 21 (Table 153). The $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ for largemouth bass was 8 , slightly higher than the lake average of 7 . The population assessment for largemouth bass was rated "Fair"; a decline from last year's rating of "Good" (Table 154). Fall diurnal electrofishing for largemouth bass was conducted to determine year class strength and relative weight (Tables 155157). Relative weights of largemouth bass continue to be below average, except for largemouth bass $\geq 15.0$ in (Table 156). The overall relative weight in $2014\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{r}}=83\right)$ was almost equal to the average relative weight observed at Corinth Lake $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=84\right)$. Largemouth bass mean length at age 0 and catch rates of age- 0 and age- $0 \geq 5.0$ in decreased from last year (Table 157). Additionally, age-0 CPUE ( $56.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was lower than the lake average ( 98.2 fish/hr); however, largemouth bass were not stocked into Corinth Lake in 2014.

Spring diurnal electrofishing for bluegill and redear sunfish was completed in May 2014 to obtain length frequency, CPUE and population assessment data (Table 158). Bluegill PSD (43) was significantly higher than the lake average of 27 (Table 159). Bluegill catch rates ( $163.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) decreased in 2014 and were lower than the lake average ( 240.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) (Table 160). The population assessment indicated a "Good" population, an increase from the past ten years for "Fair" ratings (Table 161). The redear sunfish catch rate ( 44.8 fish/hr) decreased slightly in 2013 and was lower than the lake's average ( $64.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ). Redear sunfish PSD was 96 , much higher than the lake average of 55. Catch rate for redear sunfish $\geq 8.0$ in increased from 29.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ in 2013 to 33.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ in 2014 (Table 162). The population assessment for redear sunfish continued to be rated "Good" (Table 163). Relative weights and age and growth for bluegill and redear sunfish were collected during the fall diurnal electrofishing survey. Age and growth studies on bluegill showed that they reached 6.0 in around age 3 (Table 164). Age and growth studies indicated good growth rates with redear sunfish reaching 8.0 in between age 3 and age 4 (Table 165). Relative weights indicated good body condition for bluegill and redear sunfish (Table 166).

Channel catfish were not sampled at Corinth Lake in 2014. Corinth Lake was stocked with 972 (10.1 fish/acre; $6.0-8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) channel catfish in April 2014.

## Elmer Davis Lake (149 acres)

Spring nocturnal electrofishing studies were conducted in May 2014 for PSD, length frequency and CPUE for largemouth bass (Table 167). Total catch rate in 2014 ( $239.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was lower than the lake average of 309.1 fish/hr (Table 168). Largemouth bass PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ were 46 (average $=25$ ) and 11 (average $=7$ ), respectively in 2014 (Table 169). The population assessment indicated an "Good" bass population, the average rating for the last ten years at Elmer Davis Lake (Table 170).

Diurnal spring electrofishing for length frequency, CPUE, and population assessment was conducted for bluegill and redear sunfish in May 2014. The total bluegill catch rate in $2014(168.8 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr})$ was lower than the lake average 270.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 173). The PSD value for bluegill was 22 and continues to be lower than the lake average of 33 (Table 172). Likewise, the $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}(0)$ remains lower than the lake average of 3 . The population assessment for bluegill was found to be "Fair", a decrease from the lake average rating of "Good" (Table 174). The total catch rate of redear sunfish in 2014 ( 231.2 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) remained four times higher than the lake average of 71.8 fish/hr (Table 175), the second highest recorded catch rate since redear were stocked in 1983. The PSD for redear sunfish was 28 compared to the lake average of 52 . The $\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$ was 3 compared to the lake average of 18 (Table 172). The redear sunfish population assessment indicated a "Good" population, which is equal to the lake average rating of "Good" (Table 176). Gizzard shad removal efforts were conducted in 1994 and 1997 with success. However, a source for gizzard shad invasions can be attributed to the city of Owenton's water supply reservoir, Lower Thomas Lake, located in the drainage of Elmer Davis Lake. Gizzard shad enter Elmer Davis from weather events that cause water overflow from Lower Thomas Lake. This gizzard shad reestablishment has had a negative influence on the panfish populations at Elmer Davis Lake. In an effort to control the gizzard shad invasions, a gizzard shad removal was conducted at Upper and Lower Thomas in December 2014. A concentration of 0.2 ppm of $5 \%$ emulsified liquid rotenone was used to eradicate the gizzard shad on these lakes. The gizzard shad removal will be evaluated during 2015 spring sampling.

Channel catfish were not sampled at Elmer Davis in 2014. Elmer Davis Lake was stocked with 1,645 (11.0 fish/acre; $6.0-8.0$ in) channel catfish in March 2014.

## Kincaid Lake (183 acres)

No spring electrofishing was conducted on Kincaid Lake in 2014 to assess the black bass population. Diurnal fall electrofishing for relative weights and index of year class strength at age 0 was conducted in September (Tables 177-179). Relative weights of largemouth bass length groups were average for Kincaid Lake in 2014 (2014 $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}=92$; lake average $=92$ ) (Table 178). Age-0 CPUE ( $24.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was below the lake average ( $38.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) (Table 179); however, largemouth bass were not stocked into Kincaid Lake in 2014. Kincaid Lake has hosted a population of gizzard shad for decades.

Channel catfish were not sampled at Kincaid Lake in 2014. Kincaid Lake was stocked with 1,213 (6.6 fish/acre; $6.0-8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) channel catfish in April 2014.

## McNeely Lake (51 acres)

Spring nocturnal electrofishing studies were conducted in May 2014 for PSD, length frequency and CPUE for largemouth bass (Table 180). Total catch rate in 2014 ( $232.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was higher than the lake average of 215.1 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 181). Largemouth bass PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ were 18 (average $=35$ ) and 10 (average $=11$ ), respectively in 2014 (Table 182). The population assessment indicated a "Fair" bass population, lower than lake average of "Good" (Table 183).

Channel catfish were not sampled at McNeely Lake in 2014. McNeely Lake was stocked with 1,275 (25.0 fish/acre; 5.0-12.0 in) channel catfish in July 2014.

One application of an aquatic herbicide (Aquathol Super K, Dipostassium salt of Endothall) was made to sections of the shoreline of McNeely Lake on April $11^{\text {th }}$ to control curly-leafed pondweed (Potomogeton crispus). One application of an aquatic herbicide (Reward, Diquat Dibromide) was made to sections of the shoreline of McNeely Lake on July $22^{\text {nd }}$ to control areas of American waterwillow.

## Lincoln Homestead Park Lake

Length frequency, relative abundance, and CPUE of fish collected by electrofishing at Lincoln Homestead Park Lake in May 2014 are shown in Table 184. Studies show largemouth bass from 3.7 to 16.8 inches in fair numbers. Bluegill up to 8.2 in were collected as well as redear sunfish up to 9.2 in .

Table 1. Yearly summary of sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled and date.

| Water body | Species | Date | Time (24hr) | Gear | Weather | Water temp. F | Water level | Secchi (in) | Conditions | Pertinent sampling comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herrington | crappie | $\begin{gathered} 4 / 8 \\ 4 / 9 \\ 4 / 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1045 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1030 \end{aligned}$ | shock <br> shock <br> shock | party-mostly cloudy/ light breeze clear/ sunny/ cool breeze on water windy | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 741.9 \\ & 741.0 \\ & 739.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \mathrm{~L} \\ & 8 \mathrm{M} \\ & 13 \mathrm{U} \end{aligned}$ | good murky low numbers | good sample <br> fair sample <br> fair sample |
| Herrington | $\begin{gathered} \text { LMB/crappie } \\ \text { LMB/crappie } \\ \text { LMB } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 21 \\ & 4 / 22 \\ & 4 / 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 1030 \\ & 1030 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | shock shock shock | high clouds/ pleasant partly cloudy/ breezy sunny/ clear/ cool | $\begin{gathered} \hline 65-67 \\ 63 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 735.2 \\ & 735.2 \\ & 735.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \mathrm{U} \\ & 25 \mathrm{M} \\ & 55 \mathrm{~L} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { good } \\ & \text { good } \\ & \text { good } \end{aligned}$ | good sample good sample good sample |
| Bullock Pen | LMB | 4/21 | 2100 | shock | cloudy/ very light rain | 63 | normal | 20 | good | good sample |
| Boltz | LMB | 4/22 | 2045 | shock | clear/ cool | 62 | normal | 48 | good | good sample |
| Taylorsville | LMB | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 29 \\ & 4 / 30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1000 \\ & 1100 \end{aligned}$ | shock shock |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 552.6 \\ & 554.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | good B/A $\operatorname{good} B / N$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { good samples } \\ V=\text { Van Buren Area; } B=\text { Big Beech and } A=\text { Ashes Creeks } \end{gathered}$ |
| Guist Creek | LMB | 4/30 | 2040 | shock | post-frontal cool | 65-67 | high | 23 | fair | fair sample-lake high, hard to shock bank due to overhanging trees |
| Beaver | LMB/BG/RESF | 5/5 |  | shock | sunny / party sunny/ breezy | 63-67 | normal | 48 | good | good sample |
| McNeely | LMB | 5/6 | 1000 | shock | clear / sunny | 70 | normal |  | good | good sample |
| Corinth | LMB | 5/6 | 2045 | shock | clear/ pleasant | 70 | normal | 84 | good | good samples |
| Elmer Davis | LMB/BG/RESF | 5/7 | 1000 | shock | partly sunny/ high clouds/ breezy | 67-70 | normal | 48 | good | good sample |
| Boltz | BG | 5/12 | 1145 | shock |  |  | normal |  | good | good sample |
| Corinth | BG/RESF | 5/13 | 1000 | shock |  |  | normal |  | good | good sample |
| Lincoln Homestead | LMB/BG/RESF | 5/14 | 1100 | shock | cloudy/ prefrontal/ light breeze/ warm | 77 | normal | 14 | good | good sample |
| Boltz | LMB/BG | 9/8 | 1045 | shock | sunny/80s/low humidity | 78 | full/normal | 24 | good | good sample, many Imb with sores (bacterial infection) |
| Benjy Kinman | LMB | 9/9 | 2000 | shock | clear/cool | 81 | full/normal | 42 | good | first fall sample |
| Guist Creek | LMB | 9/10 | 1030 | shock | cloudy/windy/ prefrontal conditions | 78 | 3' low | 26 | good | good sample/fish jumping |
| Corinth | LMB/BG/RESF | 9/11 | 1030 | shock | overcast/drizzle/light rain/cold front | 77 | full/normal | 78 | good | good sample, excessive vegetation in some areas |
| Taylorsville | LMB | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 15 \\ & 9 / 16 \\ & 9 / 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1030 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | shock shock shock | mostly sunny overcast / light rain sunny / cool | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 73 \mathrm{~V} \\ & 76 \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 547.2 \\ & 547.2 \\ & 547.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 24 \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { good } \\ & \text { good } \\ & \text { good } \end{aligned}$ | $V=\text { Van Buren Area; } \mathrm{B}=\begin{gathered} \text { good sample } \\ = \\ \text { Big Beech and } A=\text { Ashes Creeks } \end{gathered}$ |
| Kincaid | LMB | 9/18 | 1030 | shock | mostly sunny/ breezy/ cool | 70 | normal | 34 | good | good sample |
| Bullock Pen | LMB | 9/19 | 1000 | shock | sunny / cool / light breeze | 70 | normal | 36 | good | good sample |
| Herrington | LMB | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 22 \\ & 9 / 23 \\ & 9 / 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1030 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | shock shock shock | sunny / cool / breeze sunny / NW light breeze sunny / cool | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 74 \\ & 73 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 737.7 \\ & 737.6 \\ & 737.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 72 \\ & 31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | good | good samples $9 / 22$ - lower section; 9/23 - mid section; 9/24 - upper section |
| Beaver | LMB/BG/RESF | 9/25 | 1000 | shock | sunny / clear / cool |  | normal |  | good | good sample |
| Guist Creek | crappie / saugeye | 9/29 | 1000 | shock | sunny / clear | 75 | normal |  | good | good sample |
| Bullock Pen | crappie / saugeye | 9/30 | 1000 | shock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mostly sunny / light } \\ \text { breeze } \end{gathered}$ | 72 | normal | 46 | good | good sample |
| Benjy Kinman | crappie | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 / 3 \\ & 10 / 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1300 \\ & 1000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | shock shock | cloudy / breezy cloudy / breezy | 68 | normal | 24 | good | good sample |
| AJ Jolly | crappie / saugeye | 10/8 | 1000 | shock | $\underset{\text { clear / sunny / breezy / }}{\text { cool }}$ | 62 | normal | 24 | good | good sample |


| Table 1 (co |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water body | Species | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (24 \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | Gear | Weather | Water temp. F | Water level | Secchi (in) | Conditions | Pertinent sampling comments |
| Boltz | channel catish | 10/9 | 1300 | hoop net | cloudy | 61 | normal | 36 | good | good sample |
| Bullock Pen | channel catish | 10/9 | 1000 | hoop net | cloudy | 62 | normal | 30 | good | good sample |
| Guist Creek | Morones / channel catfish | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 14 \\ & 10 / 15 \\ & 10 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { gillnet } \\ \text { I } \\ \text { hoop } \\ \text { net } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rain - major front } \\ & \text { cloudy } \\ & \text { cloudy } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 63 \\ & 63 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-3 \text { feet } \\ \text { low } \end{gathered}$ | 28 | good | good sample |
| Herrington | Morones | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 / 21 \\ & 10 / 22 \\ & 10 / 23 \\ & 10 / 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | gillnet | cloudy partly sunny mostly sunny mostly sunny | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64 \\ & 63 \\ & 63 \\ & 63 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 731.7 \\ & 730.7 \\ & 729.7 \\ & 728.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | good | good sample |
| Taylorsville | Morones/ crappie | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 28 \\ & 10 / 29 \\ & 10 / 30 \\ & 10 / 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & 1000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | gillnet trapnet | cloudy mostly sunny mostly sunny cloudy | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 63 \\ & 62 \\ & 62 \\ & 60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 544.6 \\ & 546.6 \\ & 546.6 \\ & 566.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | good | good sample |

Table 2. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 7.5 hours of 30 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Taylorsville Lake in April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Van Buren |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 18 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 28 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 186 | 74.4 (11.5) |
| Ashes Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 3 | 2 | 7 | 28 | 25 | 3 | 27 | 84 | 45 | 43 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 19 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 382 | 152.8 (28.8) |
| Big Beech Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 44 | 33 | 29 | 28 | 21 | 35 | 16 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 287 | 114.8 (12.6) |
| Natural | 4 | 12 | 20 | 45 | 47 | 20 | 44 | 145 | 94 | 96 | 90 | 77 | 76 | 43 | 22 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 854 | 113.9 (13.4) |
| 2010 stocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2.0 (0.0) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 4 | 12 | 20 | 45 | 47 | 20 | 44 | 146 | 94 | 96 | 90 | 77 | 76 | 43 | 22 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 855 | 114.0 (13.4) | Dataset = cfdpstvl.d14

Table 3. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Taylorsville Lake from 1984-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |
| 1984 | 50.4 (1.8) | 88.0 (6.0) | 6.0 (2.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 144.4 (5.6) |
| 1985 | 0.8 (0.6) | 43.8 (5.4) | 74.8 (9.2) | 3.4 (1.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 122.2 (14.4) |
| 1986 | 1.8 (0.2) | 11.2 (1.4) | 21.0 (1.8) | 24.4 (3.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 59.0 (5.4) |
| 1987 | 3.6 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.6) | 9.2 (1.0) | 29.2 (2.6) | 0.3 (0.1) | 48.0 (3.8) |
| 1988 | 3.2 (0.8) | 8.4 (1.2) | 6.0 (1.0) | 19.6 (3.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 37.2 (4.8) |
| 1989 | 58.6 (15.6) | 33.4 (5.8) | 22.2 (3.4) | 13.8 (3.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 128.2 (24.0) |
| 1990 | 57.0 (8.4) | 54.2 (6.8) | 22.8 (2.6) | 21.8 (3.4) | 0.5 (0.2) | 154.4 (15.0) |
| 1991 | 26.0 (2.8) | 37.2 (2.8) | 22.8 (2.1) | 11.8 (1.4) | 0.1 (0.1) | 98.6 (5.2) |
| 1992 | 58.5 (5.5) | 42.6 (2.5) | 36.9 (2.9) | 17.6 (1.6) | 0.1 (0.1) | 155.6 (7.3) |
| 1993 | 21.0 (3.6) | 53.2 (4.8) | 36.4 (13.8) | 14.8 (1.9) | 0.1 (0.1) | 128.3 (8.6) |
| 1994 | 25.1 (3.0) | 39.9 (3.6) | 40.7 (5.1) | 15.0 (1.5) | 0.1 (0.1) | 122.3 (9.8) |
| 1995 | 28.2 (3.5) | 69.6 (3.9) | 20.3 (1.3) | 11.6 (1.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 129.6 (6.8) |
| 1996 | 16.2 (2.4) | 41.0 (3.9) | 49.8 (3.2) | 16.0 (3.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 122.6 (9.8) |
| 1997 | 33.2 (6.3) | 43.4 (4.0) | 46.4 (1.8) | 15.2 (1.8) | 0.1 (0.1) | 138.3 (7.7) |
| 1998 | 20.0 (3.0) | 26.4 (2.7) | 30.5 (2.6) | 21.7 (2.6) | 0.4 (0.2) | 98.7 (7.2) |
| 1999 | 19.1 (2.8) | 38.7 (3.2) | 20.9 (3.0) | 22.7 (2.6) | 0.4 (0.39) | 101.3 (7.1) |
| 2000 | 17.7 (3.3) | 33.1 (3.9) | 16.1 (2.6) | 10.5 (1.5) | 0.5 (0.2) | 77.5 (6.1) |
| 2001 | 32.4 (4.1) | 44.1 (3.7) | 27.6 (3.6) | 15.5 (2.7) | 0.3 (0.2) | 119.6 (8.3) |
| 2002 | 33.7 (4.4) | 22.3 (2.2) | 12.8 (2.2) | 9.6 (1.8) | 0.5 (0.2) | 78.4 (7.0) |
| 2003 | 19.5 (2.9) | 58.5 (4.8) | 24.9 (2.2) | 15.2 (2.1) | 0.8 (0.4) | 118.1 (9.2) |
| 2004 | 14.1 (2.5) | 26.7 (2.7) | 42.9 (3.4) | 13.2 (1.6) | 0.3 (0.3) | 96.9 (5.2) |
| 2005 | 35.5 (5.9) | 35.7 (4.9) | 40.3 (4.3) | 34.3 (3.4) | 0.5 (0.4) | 145.7 (12.7) |
| 2006 | 20.3 (4.0) | 39.6 (3.7) | 20.3 (3.7) | 16.5 (2.7) | 0.3 (0.2) | 96.7 (11.0) |
| 2007 | 13.5 (2.5) | 35.5 (4.1) | 33.7 (3.6) | 14.4 (2.4) | 0.3 (0.2) | 97.1 (9.1) |
| 2008 | 13.9 (2.9) | 30.1 (2.8) | 33.6 (3.1) | 22.5 (3.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 100.1 (8.9) |
| 2009 | 15.9 (3.5) | 32.9 (3.6) | 22.3 (2.5) | 13.6 (2.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 84.7 (6.9) |
| 2010 | 45.7 (8.3) | 36.3 (2.7) | 49.7 (5.1) | 16.4 (1.8) | 0.3 (0.2) | 148.1 (12.4) |
| 2011 | Sampling was not conducted due to extreme weather and lake conditions. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 27.9 (4.0) | 59.1 (6.0) | 36.9 (3.0) | 14.5 (1.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 138.4 (8.6) |
| 2013 | 19.6 (2.1) | 49.9 (4.6) | 42.0 (4.5) | 22.1 (2.9) | 0.4 (0.2) | 133.6 (10.5) |
| 2014 | 17.1 (2.8) | 40.5 (7.6) | 35.1 (4.1) | 21.3 (2.3) | 0.5 (0.3) | 114.0 (13.4) |

Dataset $=$ cfdpstvl.d14- .d84

Table 4. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in each area of Taylorsville Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area | Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Beech | Largemouth bass | 250 | $59( \pm 6)$ | $28( \pm 6)$ |
| Ashes Creek | Largemouth bass | 317 | $50( \pm 5)$ | $18( \pm 4)$ |
| Van Buren | Largemouth bass | 160 | $73( \pm 7)$ | $20( \pm 6)$ |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 727 | $58( \pm 4)$ | $22( \pm 3)$ |

Dataset $=$ cfdpstvl.d14

Table 5. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Taylorsville Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessments).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 12.9 | 23.6 | 35.1 | 21.3 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 16 | Good |
| 2013 | Value | 13.1* | 17.2 | 42.0 | 22.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2012 | Value | 13.1* | 28.1 | 39.9 | 14.5 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2011 | Value | Sampling was not conducted due to extreme weather and lake conditions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 13.1 | 49.5 | 49.7 | 16.4 | 0.3 | 0.574 | 43.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 16 | Good |
| 2009 | Value | 12.9* | 14.6 | 22.3 | 13.6 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value | 12.9* | 12.2 | 33.6 | 22.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2007 | Value | 12.9* | 10.3 | 33.7 | 14.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2006 | Value | 12.9 | 17.5 | 20.3 | 16.5 | 0.3 | 0.824 | 56.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2005 | Value | 12.6* | 38.3 | 40.3 | 34.3 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 17 | Excellent |
| 2004 | Value | 12.6* | 14.9 | 42.9 | 13.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2003 | Value | 12.6* | 21.2 | 24.9 | 15.2 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2002 | Value | 12.6 | 34.8 | 12.8 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 0.495 | 39.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value | 10.8 | 20.5 | 27.6 | 15.5 | 0.3 | 0.539 | 41.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value | 10.1 | 14.1 | 16.1 | 10.5 | 0.5 | 0.455 | 36.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 8 | Fair |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 6. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 4.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Taylorsville Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |
| Van Buren |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 6 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 94 | 53.7 (10.3) |
| Ashes Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 3 | 6 |  | 2 | 19 | 24 | 17 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 103 | 68.7 (11.2) |
| Big Beech Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 5 | 17 | 26 | 6 | 10 | 26 | 16 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 146 | 97.3 (14.8) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 5 | 22 | 38 | 26 | 18 | 48 | 54 | 39 | 18 | 30 | 18 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 343 | 72.3 (7.8) |

Table 7. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from largemouth bass collected in the fall from Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 35 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 22 | 7.3 | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 15 | 7.1 | 10.8 | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 8 | 7.7 | 11.3 | 13.2 | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 6 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 14.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 2 | 9.6 | 13.4 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 17.1 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 7.5 | 12.8 | 14.6 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 16.9 | 17.2 |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 9.6 | 12.1 | 14.1 | 15.7 | 17.2 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 19.2 |
| Mean | 90 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| Smallest |  | 3.6 | 9.0 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 19.2 |
| Largest |  | 10.5 | 14.3 | 15.6 | 17.1 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 19.2 |
| Std Error |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 6.7 | 10.9 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 15.1 | 16.3 | 16.5 |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 7.3 | 11.5 | 13.7 | 15.7 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 19.4 |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagtvl.d14

Table 8. Numbers of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Taylorsville Lake on 15, 16, and 17 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Van Buren | 40 | 92 (1) | 19 | 92 (2) | 1 | 105 (0) | 60 | 92 (1) |
|  | Ashes | 62 | 91 (1) | 21 | 88 (3) | 8 | 93 (5) | 91 | 90 (1) |
|  | Big Beech | 56 | 88 (1) | 21 | 93 (2) | 5 | 99 (3) | 82 | 90 (1) |
|  | Total | 158 | 90 (1) | 61 | 91 (1) | 14 | 96 (3) | 233 | 91 (1) |

Dataset = cfdwrtvl.d14

Table 9. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Taylorsville Lake. Age 1 CPUE and standard error could not be calculated in 2010 due to prolonged flood conditions in spring.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 (Natural) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2001 | Total | 4.6 | 1.3 | 63.6 | 11.7 | 13.3 | 1.0 | 34.8 | 4.3 |
| 2002 | Total | 5.3 | 0.1 | 29.1 | 4.8 | 18.7 | 3.5 | 21.2 | 2.8 |
| 2003 | Total | 5.4 | 0.1 | 32.2 | 5.4 | 19.1 | 3.4 | 14.9 | 2.5 |
| 2004 | Total | 4.4 | 0.1 | 50.0 | 6.2 | 15.1 | 3.6 | 38.3 | 6.2 |
| 2005 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 31.8 | 4.2 | 15.3 | 2.5 | 17.5 | 3.8 |
| 2006 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 54.7 | 4.9 | 25.8 | 2.9 | 10.3 | 2.0 |
| 2007 | Total | 4.4 | 0.1 | 22.4 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 12.2 | 2.6 |
| 2008 | Total | 5.5 | 0.1 | 20.9 | 3.9 | 16.7 | 3.5 | 14.6 | 3.1 |
| 2009 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 90.2 | 14.5 | 39.8 | 6.5 | 49.5 | 8.7 |
| 2010 | Total | 5.2 | 0.1 | 45.2 | 4.9 | 27.7 | 3.3 | * | * |
| 2011 | Total | 4.8 | 0.1 | 40.4 | 2.8 | 17.8 | 1.6 | 27.5 | 3.8 |
| 2012 | Total | 5.1 | 0.1 | 54.4 | 5.3 | 27.8 | 3.3 | 17.2 | 2.2 |
| 2013 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 50.0 | 6.0 | 23.8 | 4.3 | 23.6 | 3.7 |
| 2014 | Total | 5.5 | 0.1 | 21.1 | 4.3 | 15.4 | 3.0 |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdwrtvl.d14

Table 10. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/nn) of each species of crappie collected at Taylorsville Lake in 48 net-nights during October 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| natural |  | 6 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 24 | 34 | 31 | 23 | 8 | 141 | 2.9 | 0.5 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 11 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total |  | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 29 | 38 | 33 | 23 | 12 | 157 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| Black crappie | 1 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 35 | 150 | 49 | 43 | 22 | 2 | 344 | 7.2 | 1.6 |

Table 11. PSD and $R_{10}$ values calculated for crappie collected at Taylorsville Lake in 48 net-nights during October 2014.

| Species | No. $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White crappie | 142 | $75( \pm 8)$ | $25( \pm 6)$ |
| Black crappie | 305 | $38( \pm 5)$ | $8( \pm 3)$ |

Dataset = cfdtntvl.d14

Table 12. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from white crappie trap netted and gill netted at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2014 | 1 | 4.7 |  |  |
| 2013 | 123 | 5.3 | 9.5 | 9.9 |
| 2012 | 12 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 9.9 |
| 2011 | 3 | 4.8 |  | 8.2 |
|  |  | 5.8 | 8.7 |  |
| Mean |  | 5.3 | 10.8 | 10.7 |
| Smallest | 139 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Largest |  | 7.4 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 9.8 | 11.1 |
| $95 \%$ ConLo |  | 5.1 |  |  |
| $95 \%$ ConHi |  |  |  |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagtvl.d14

Table 13. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of white crappie trap netted for 48 net-nights at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 6 | 9 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 13 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 1+ |  |  | 1 | 1 | 29 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 1 | 122 | 78 | 2.5 | 0.5 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 9 | 12 | 8 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 3+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 29 | 38 | 33 | 23 | 12 | 157 | 100 | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| (\%) | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 24 | 21 | 15 | 8 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdtntvl.d14 and cfdagtvl.d14
CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in white crappie $=2.2 \pm 0.4$ fish $/ \mathrm{nn} ; \geq 10.0$ in $=0.7 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$

Table 14. Population assessment for white crappie collected during fall trap netting at Taylorsville Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { age-1 } \\ \text { and older } \end{gathered}$ | Mean length age-2+ at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | CPUE age-1+ | CPUE age-0+ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Poor |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6^{*} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1}{0.02}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6^{*} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6^{*} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Poor |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Poor |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Poor |
| 2004 | Value <br> Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1^{*} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |

Table 15. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from black crappie trap netted at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

| Year class | No. | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2013 | 89 | 4.5 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 4 | 4.9 | 8.2 |  |  |
| 2011 | 28 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 9.1 |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.6 |
| Mean | 122 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 8.6 |
| Smallest |  | 3.1 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.6 |
| Largest |  | 7.0 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 8.6 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.4 | 7.5 | 8.8 |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 4.6 | 7.9 | 9.3 |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagtvl.d14

Table 16. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of black crappie trap netted for 48 net-nights at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Std } \\ & \text { Err } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 1 | 26 | 12 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 12 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 1+ |  |  |  | 2 | 35 | 146 | 47 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 249 | 72 | 5.2 | 1.4 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| $3+$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 1 | 44 | 13 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 4+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 35 | 150 | 49 | 43 | 22 | 2 | 344 | 100 | 7.2 | 1.61 |
| \% | 0 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 44 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdtntvl.d14 and cfdagtvl.d14
CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in black crappie $=2.4 \pm 0.4$ fish $/ \mathrm{nn} ; \geq 10.0$ in $=0.5 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$

Table 17. Population assessment for black crappie collected during fall trap netting at Taylorsville Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | CPUE age-1 and older | Mean length age-2 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | CPUE <br> age-1+ | CPUE age-0+ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 6.3 | 9.3 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 0.9 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value | 4.5 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 2.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value | 9.8 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 9.3 | $0 . .9$ |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value | 0.8 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value | 3.2 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | Poor |
| 2009 | Value | 0.2 | 9.8* | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value | 0.6 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value | 1.7 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.02 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | Poor |
| 2006 | Value | 3.3 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value | 5.8 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 0.04 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value | 12.0 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 11.7 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value | 1.3 | 10.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value | 2.2 | 10.2 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value | 1.8 | 10.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value | 0.8 | 9.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | Fair |

* Age data not collected

Table 18. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of crappie at Taylorsville Lake in October 2014.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5.0-7.9 in |  | 8.0-9.9 in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| White crappie | Total | 36 | 86 (2) | 71 | 96 (2) | 35 | 100 (1) | 142 | 94 (1) |
| Black crappie | Total | 60 | 89 (1) | 44 | 90 (1) | 22 | 93 (2) | 126 | 90 (1) |

Dataset $=$ cfdtntvl.d14

Table 19. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/nn) of white bass and hybrid striped bass collected during 8 net-nights of gill netting in Taylorsville Lake in October 2014: numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |
| White bass | 3 | 38 | 2 |  | 14 | 18 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 9.9 (2.7) |
| Hybrid striped bass | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 8 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 90 | 11.3 (4.0) |

Dataset = cfdgntvl.d14

Table 20. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from hybrid striped bass gill netted at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 63 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 13 | 8.8 | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 5 | 7.9 | 13.9 | 18.1 | 21.5 | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 7.6 | 14.2 | 19.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 21.5 | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 82 |  | 14.9 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smallest |  | 11.9 | 16.7 | 19.2 | 21.5 | 23.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largest |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Std Error |  | 9.5 | 14.4 | 17.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ ConLo |  | 10.2 | 15.3 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ ConHi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intercept Value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagtvl.d14

Table 21. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of hybrid striped bass gill netted for 8 net-nights at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Err } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1+ |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 8 | 21 | 28 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 75 | 8.4 | 2.3 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 15 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| 3+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 | 6 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 5+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 8 | 21 | 28 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 89 | 100 | 11.3 | 4.0 |
| \% | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 9 | 24 | 31 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdagtvl.d14 and cfdgntvl.d14

Table 22. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of hybrid striped bass collected at Taylorsville Lake in October 2014.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Hybrid striped bass | Total | 6 | 89 (2) | 57 | 82 (1) | 24 | 84 (2) | 87 | 83 (1) |

[^10]Table 23. Population assessment for hybrid striped bass collected during fall gill netting at Taylorsville Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { (excluding } \\ & \text { age } 0 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean length } \\ \text { age- } 2+\text { at } \\ \text { capture } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | CPUE age $1+$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Good |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 7 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 6 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 10 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 6 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1.104 | 66.9\% | 9 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.370 | 30.9\% | 6 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.798 | 55.0\% | 12 | Good |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1.262 | 71.7\% | 8 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.437 | 35.4\% | 4 | Poor |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.964 | 61.9\% | 6 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1.522 | 78.2\% | 9 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 0.658 | 48.2\% | 13 | Good |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 1.437 | 76.2\% | 10 | Good |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1.263 | 71.1\% | 8 | Fair |

Table 24. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from white bass gill netted at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 |
| 2013 | 38 | 8.2 |
| Mean | 38 | 8.2 |
| Smallest |  | 5.4 |
| Largest |  | 9.8 |
| Std Error | 0.1 |  |
| $95 \%$ ConLo | 7.9 |  |
| $95 \%$ ConHi | 8.5 |  |
| Intercept Value =0.00 |  |  |

Table 25. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of white bass gill netted for 8 net-nights at Taylorsville Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Err } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 3 | 38 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 54 | 5.4 | 1.1 |
| 1+ |  |  |  |  | 14 | 18 | 4 | 36 | 46 | 4.5 | 1.9 |
| Total | 3 | 38 | 2 |  | 14 | 18 | 4 | 79 | 100 | 9.9 | 2.7 |
| \% | 4 | 48 | 3 |  | 18 | 23 | 5 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdagtvl.d14 and cfdgntvl.d14

Table 26. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of white bass collected at Taylorsville Lake in October 2014.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 6.0-8.9 in |  | 9.0-11.9 in |  | $\geq 12.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| White bass | Total | 43 | 96 (1) | 32 | 94 (2) | 4 | 99 (2) | 79 | 96 (1) |

Dataset = cfdgntvl.d14

Table 27. Population assessment for white bass collected during fall gill netting at Taylorsville Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ (\text { excluding } \\ \text { age 0) } \end{gathered}$ | Mean length age-2+ at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | CPUE age $1+$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 6 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3^{\star} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 4 | Poor |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.037 | 64.5 | 5 | Poor |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1.506 | 77.8 | 11 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1.920 | 85.3 | 10 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NS } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.030 | 64.3 | 3 | Poor |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.157 | 68.6 | 6 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1.102 | 66.8 | 7 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1.040 | 64.6 | 6 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.054 | 65.2 | 6 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 2.030 | 86.9 | 8 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.944 | 61.1 | 7 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1.113 | 67.1 | 7 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 0.971 | 62.1 | 14 | Excellent |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.766 | 53.5 | 13 | Good |

* Age data not collected because no fish were captured at this age

Table 28. Dissolved oxygen and temperatures collected from Big Beech Creek, near Settler's Marina, at Taylorsville Lake during 2014.

|  | May 1 |  | May 20 |  | June 12 |  | July 16 |  | August 14 |  | September 17 |  | October 30 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { November } \\ 25 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | DO | Tem | DO | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tem } \\ \mathrm{p} \end{gathered}$ | DO | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tem } \\ \mathrm{p} \end{gathered}$ | DO | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tem } \\ \mathrm{p} \end{gathered}$ | DO | Tem <br> p | DO | Tem p | DO | Tem | DO | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tem } \\ \mathrm{p} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surfac } \\ & \text { e } \end{aligned}$ | 11.0 |  | 14.8 |  |  |  | 8.1 |  | 9.2 |  | 7.6 |  | 3.6 |  | 6.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 64.8 | 7 | 72.1 | 9.80 | 79.0 | 1 | 81.7 | 1 | 82.2 | 7 | 76.1 | 3 | 62.9 | 0 | 50.9 |
| 2 | 10.9 |  | 15.6 |  |  |  | 8.2 |  | 9.2 |  | 7.6 |  | 3.5 |  | 6.9 |  |
|  | 4 | 64.6 | 4 | 71.2 | 9.86 | 78.8 | 5 | 81.7 | 8 | 82.1 | 3 | 75.8 | 9 | 62.7 | 0 | 50.0 |
| 4 | 10.4 |  | 14.8 |  | 10.1 |  | 8.2 |  | 9.0 |  | 7.1 |  | 3.5 |  | 6.9 |  |
|  | 9 | 64.4 | 7 | 70.4 | 4 | 78.5 | 5 | 81.8 | 6 | 80.1 | 6 | 75.2 | 0 | 62.7 | 1 | 50.0 |
| 6 | 10.3 |  | 13.7 |  |  |  | 8.2 |  | 8.0 |  | 6.7 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.8 |  |
|  | 5 | 64.0 | 1 | 70.2 | 8.03 | 77.0 | 2 | 81.6 | 1 | 79.6 | 6 | 74.8 | 1 | 62.6 | 7 | 50.0 |
| 8 | 10.3 |  | 10.8 |  |  |  | 7.9 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.1 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.8 |  |
|  | 0 | 63.9 | 2 | 69.3 | 7.22 | 76.7 | 1 | 81.4 | 4 | 79.4 | 5 | 74.6 | 6 | 62.6 | 1 | 49.9 |
| 10 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  | 6.7 |  | 6.0 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.7 |  |
|  | 1 | 63.8 | 9.44 | 68.6 | 6.86 | 76.6 | 2 | 80.7 | 8 | 79.2 | 3 | 74.6 | 5 | 62.6 | 5 | 49.9 |
| 12 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.2 |  | 5.6 |  | 5.9 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.7 |  |
|  | 0 | 63.8 | 8.94 | 68.3 | 7.13 | 76.4 | 5 | 80.4 | 2 | 79.1 | 7 | 74.6 | 5 | 62.6 | 2 | 49.9 |
| 14 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.9 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.7 |  |
|  | 7 | 63.8 | 7.07 | 67.7 | 4.41 | 76.0 | 8 | 79.9 | 3 | 79.1 | 5 | 74.5 | 5 | 62.6 | 2 | 49.9 |
| 16 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 1.6 |  | 5.9 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.7 |  |
|  | 6 | 63.8 | 6.52 | 66.8 | 2.23 | 75.0 | 5 | 78.2 | 1 | 78.6 | 0 | 74.5 | 6 | 62.6 | 0 | 49.9 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |  | 5.8 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 7.65 | 62.3 | 6.95 | 66.6 | 0.36 | 70.9 | 0 | 76.8 | 3 | 77.8 | 5 | 74.5 | 7 | 62.6 | 8 | 49.9 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 5.7 |  | 3.3 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 7.90 | 61.3 | 6.00 | 66.1 | 0.27 | 68.8 | 7 | 74.5 | 6 | 77.2 | 1 | 74.5 | 9 | 62.6 | 8 | 49.9 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 5.5 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 7.87 | 61.1 | 5.86 | 65.4 | 0.23 | 67.4 | 3 | 72.0 | 3 | 76.4 | 1 | 74.5 | 0 | 62.6 | 8 | 49.9 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 5.0 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 7.58 | 60.4 | 4.71 | 64.4 | 0.21 | 66.4 | 2 | 69.1 | $1$ | 74.6 |  | 74.4 | 1 | 62.6 | 8 | 49.9 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.5 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 7.13 | 59.5 | 3.57 | 63.8 | 0.20 | 65.5 | 0 | 67.9 | 0 | 71.8 | 5 | 72.8 | 3 | 62.6 | 7 | 49.9 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 3.4 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 6.90 | 58.1 | 3.33 | 63.4 | 0.20 | 65.2 | 9 | 66.4 | 9 | 68.5 | 7 | 71.8 | 5 | 62.6 | 8 | 49.9 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 6.25 | 56.9 | 2.69 | 63.1 | 0.19 | 64.6 | 8 | 64.4 | 7 | 66.6 |  | 70.4 | 5 | 62.6 | 7 | 49.8 |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 3.4 |  | 6.6 |  |
|  | 6.15 | 55.3 | 1.89 | 62.2 | 0.17 | 63.0 | 7 | 62.9 | 6 | 63.5 | 4 | 65.1 | 8 | 62.5 | 5 | 49.8 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  | 6.4 |  |
|  | 5.82 | 54.1 | 1.34 | 61.5 | 0.16 | 62.5 | 7 | 61.3 | 5 | 61.9 | 2 | 62.2 | 6 | 62.2 | 7 | 49.8 |
| 45 | 5.25 | 52.8 | 0.40 |  |  |  | 0.1 6 | 60.1 | $0.1$ |  |  |  |  |  | 6.3 2 |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 0 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 4 | 59.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 29. Dissolved oxygen and temperatures collected from the mouth of Ashes and Jack's Creek at Taylorsville Lake during 2014.


Table 30. Dissolved oxygen and temperatures collected from the VanBuren/Chowning Lane Area at Taylorsville Lake during 2014.


Table 31. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of crappie collected in 5.5 hours of 15-minute electrofishing runs in Herrington Lake, April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Location/Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 4 |  |  | 36 | 18.0 (5.6) |
| Black crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 6 | 3.0 (2.1) |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 15 | 19 | 11 | 3 |  | 1 | 54 | 27.0 (4.5) |
| Black crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 2.0 (0.8) |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.3 (0.8) |
| Black crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 15 | 10.0 (3.1) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 22 | 36 | 17 | 7 |  | 1 | 92 | 16.7 (3.3) |
| Black crappie |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  | 25 | 4.6 (1.3) |

Dataset = cfdpsher.d14

Table 32. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values calculated for crappie electrofished from Herrington Lake during April 2014.

| Species | No. $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White crappie | 90 | $99( \pm 2)$ | $92( \pm 6)$ |
| Black crappie | 25 | $98( \pm 3)$ | $80( \pm 11)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsher.d14

Table 33. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from white crappie electrofished at Herrington Lake in 2014.

| Year class | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 2 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 3 | 4.9 | 8.8 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 19 | 4.3 | 8.4 | 10.9 |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 10.4 | 11.2 |  |
| 2009 | 10 | 4.5 | 8.4 | 10.3 | 11.6 | 13.0 |
| Mean | 36 | 4.4 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| Smallest |  | 2.5 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 12.0 |
| Largest |  | 6.4 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 15.5 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.1 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 12.4 |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 4.6 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 13.6 |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagher.d14

Table 34. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) per inch class of white crappie electrofished at Herrington Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 32 | 2 |  |  |  | 56 | 61 | 10.3 | 2.3 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 7 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 7 |  | 1 | 23 | 25 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 22 | 36 | 17 | 7 |  | 1 | 92 | 100 | 72.0 | 15.5 |
| (\%) | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 24 | 39 | 18 | 8 |  | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdpsher.d14 and cfdagher.d14
CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in white crappie $=16.2 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr} ; \geq 10.0 \mathrm{in}=15.1 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$

Table 35. Population assessment for white crappie collected during spring electrofishing at Herrington Lake from 2003-2014 (scoring based on lake-specific assessment).

| Year |  | Total CPUE | Mean length age-2 at capture | Spring CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-2 } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score |  |  |  | No sample |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 72.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 14 | Good |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 78.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 15 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 27.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value <br> Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 34.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 27.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Poor |

Table 36. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from black crappie electrofished at Herrington Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 2012 | 2 | 5.1 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 5 | 4.8 | 9.2 | 11.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 13.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 8 | 4.7 | 9.0 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 13.6 |  |
| Smallest |  | 3.7 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 13.6 |  |
| Largest |  | 5.5 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 13.6 |  |
| Std Error |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ ConLo |  | 4.3 | 8.6 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $95 \%$ ConHi |  |  |  | 9.1 | 9.3 | 11.7 |  |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagher.d14

Table 37. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) per inch class of black crappie collected during 5.5 hours of electrofishing at Herrington Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 22 | 2.8 | 0.9 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 7 | 27 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 65 | 8.1 | 2.6 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 10 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total | 1 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 27 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 56 | 100 | 12.4 | 3.6 |
| \% | 2 | 4 | 14 | 18 | 48 |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdpsher.d14 and cfdagher.d14
CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in black crappie $=4.6 \pm 1.3$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr} ; \geq 10.0 \mathrm{in}=3.6 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$

Table 38. Population assessment for black crappie collected during spring electrofishing at Herrington Lake from 2003-2014 (scoring based on lake-specific assessment).

| Year |  | Total CPUE | Mean length age-2 at capture | Spring CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | Spring CPUE $\geq 10.0$ in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-2 } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score |  |  |  | No Sample |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 22.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $7.8$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | Good |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 47.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 19 | Excellent |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | Poor |

Table 39. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 7.5 hours of 15-minute electrofishing runs in Herrington Lake, April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Location/Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 6 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 6 | 8 | 22 | 28 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 194 | 77.6 (7.6) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.4 (0.4) |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 36 | 35 | 16 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 38 | 27 | 18 | 16 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 270 | 108.0 (10.4) |
| Spotted bass | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 10.0 (2.8) |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 5 | 19 | 20 | 27 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 22 | 15 | 25 | 29 | 26 | 15 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 265 | 106.0 (12.7) |
| Spotted bass |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 10.4 (2.5) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 16 | 28 | 39 | 73 | 69 | 28 | 19 | 45 | 62 | 76 | 74 | 64 | 51 | 23 | 33 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 729 | 97.2 (6.4) |
| Spotted bass | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 6.9 (1.5) |

Table 40. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Herrington Lake from 1994-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $<8.0$ in | $8.0-11.9$ in | $12.0-14.9$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | Total |  |
| 1994 | $4.9(0.9)$ | $30.1(4.4)$ | $21.5(2.6)$ | $17.9(1.8)$ | $2.1(0.5)$ | 74.4 | $(5.4)$ |
| 1995 | $8.8(2.3)$ | $20.0(4.4)$ | $25.6(4.0)$ | $20.4(1.4)$ | $3.2(0.7)$ | 74.8 | $(9.6)$ |
| 1996 | $9.5(2.4)$ | $24.4(3.9)$ | $20.3(2.8)$ | $26.5(2.6)$ | $3.1(0.7)$ | 80.9 | $(6.7)$ |
| 1997 | $15.6(2.3)$ | $19.9(3.4)$ | $27.3(2.6)$ | $22.0(1.7)$ | $2.9(0.6)$ | 84.8 | $(6.1)$ |
| 1998 | $37.2(3.8)$ | $45.3(4.1)$ | $30.9(2.5)$ | $21.3(2.2)$ | $1.9(0.6)$ | 134.8 | $(7.2)$ |
| 1999 | $43.2(5.2)$ | $69.1(6.6)$ | $40.4(3.9)$ | $21.6(2.4)$ | $1.1(0.3)$ | 174.3 | $(14.3)$ |
| 2000 | $15.6(3.9)$ | $53.5(6.6)$ | $26.9(2.2)$ | $12.3(1.4)$ | $0.3(0.2)$ | 108.3 | $(10.8)$ |
| 2001 | $37.1(6.7)$ | $40.1(6.3)$ | $34.1(4.5)$ | $12.5(1.5)$ | $0.5(0.3)$ | 123.9 | $(15.3)$ |
| 2002 | $19.5(2.6)$ | $32.1(4.7)$ | $25.5(3.5)$ | $24.0(2.2)$ | $1.6(0.5)$ | 101.1 | $(9.7)$ |
| 2003 | $20.8(4.4)$ | $23.9(2.4)$ | $30.1(2.8)$ | $17.9(1.7)$ | $1.2(0.4)$ | 92.7 | $(4.2)$ |
| 2004 | $29.6(5.5)$ | $64.8(12.2)$ | $38.7(5.7)$ | $29.7(3.4)$ | $1.5(0.4)$ | 162.8 | $(23.9)$ |
| 2005 | $70.9(9.7)$ | $59.6(7.1)$ | $23.5(3.0)$ | $22.3(3.4)$ | $0.8(0.4)$ | 176.3 | $(15.4)$ |
| 2006 | $24.7(4.8)$ | $36.7(4.8)$ | $38.4(3.8)$ | $19.3(1.8)$ | $0.4(0.2)$ | 119.1 | $(9.2)$ |
| 2007 | $78.1(10.4)$ | $68.8(7.3)$ | $20.0(2.5)$ | $17.3(2.3)$ | $0.5(0.3)$ | 184.3 | $(17.1)$ |
| 2008 | $31.3(2.9)$ | $39.7(4.6)$ | $29.5(3.0)$ | $22.1(3.1)$ | $1.5(0.5)$ | 122.7 | $(8.6)$ |
| 2009 | $5.3(1.2)$ | $9.4(1.1)$ | $15.3(2.2)$ | $10.8(1.4)$ | $0.4(0.2)$ | 40.6 | $(4.4)$ |
| 2010 | $41.5(4.4)$ | $34.0(4.4)$ | $28.7(3.2)$ | $25.1(2.3)$ | $0.9(0.3)$ | 129.2 | $(10.2)$ |
| 2011 | $24.5(3.7)$ | $22.7(2.0)$ | $10.9(1.3)$ | $10.8(1.5)$ | $0.3(0.2)$ | 68.9 | $(1.4)$ |
| 2012 | $69.6(10.1)$ | $70.7(10.9)$ | $40.9(4.6)$ | $14.8(2.1)$ | $1.1(0.5)$ | 196.0 | $(23.7)$ |
| 2013 | $11.7(2.2)$ | $29.6(4.0)$ | $18.5(2.7)$ | $12.9(1.9)$ | $1.5(0.6)$ | 72.8 | $(7.0)$ |
| 2014 | $30.1(4.1)$ | $20.5(2.0)$ | $28.5(2.7)$ | $18.0(2.4)$ | $1.3(0.4)$ | 97.2 | $(6.4)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsher.d14-.d94

Table 41. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in each area of Herrington Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area | Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Lower | Largemouth bass | 179 | $78( \pm 6)$ | $40( \pm 7)$ |
| Middle | Largemouth bass | 175 | $70( \pm 7)$ | $22( \pm 7)$ |
| Upper | Largemouth bass | 149 | $57( \pm 8)$ | $15( \pm 6)$ |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 503 | $69( \pm 4)$ | $27( \pm 3)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsher.d14

Table 42. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Herrington Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 13.8* | 33.9 | 28.5 | 18.0 | 1.3 |  |  | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 13.8* | 15.1 | 18.5 | 12.9 | 1.5 |  |  | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 13.8* | 111.7 | 40.9 | 14.8 | 1.1 |  |  | 17 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 13.8 | 18.7 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 0.3 | 0.539 | 41.7\% | 10 | Fair |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 13.7* | 49.6^ | 28.7 | 25.1 | 0.9 |  |  | 16 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | $13.7 *$ | $6.2^{\wedge}$ | 15.3 | 10.8 | 0.4 |  |  | 11 | Fair |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 13.7* | $34.6{ }^{\wedge}$ | 29.5 | 22.1 | 1.5 |  |  | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 13.7 | 96.5 | 20.0 | 17.3 | 0.5 | 0.485 | 38.4\% | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 13.7* | 25.1^ | 38.4 | 19.3 | 0.4 |  |  | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 13.7* | $72.1{ }^{\wedge}$ | 23.5 | 22.3 | 0.8 |  |  | 16 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 13.7* | $33.5^{\wedge}$ | 38.7 | 29.7 | 1.5 |  |  | 16 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 13.7 | 20.9 | 30.1 | 17.9 | 1.2 | 0.498 | 39.2\% | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | 11.7* | 16.7^ | 25.5 | 24.0 | 1.6 |  |  | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 11.7 | 28.2 | 34.1 | 12.5 | 0.5 | 0.455 | 36.6\% | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Value | 11.0 | 13.1 | 26.9 | 12.3 | 0.3 | 0.620 | 46.2\% |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 10 | Fair |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 43. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 4.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs in Herrington Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 7 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 31 | 20.7 (3.6) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 (0.7) |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 20 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 105 | 70.0 (11.2) |
| Spotted bass | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4.7 (1.6) |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 37 | 9 | 2 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 123 | 82.0 (5.3) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 (0.7) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 57 | 12 | 7 | 38 | 32 | 20 | 8 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 259 | 57.6 (7.6) |
| Spotted bass | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 2.0 (0.7) |

Table 44. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Herrington Lake on 22, 23 and 24 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
|  | Lower | 12 | 85 (2) | 7 | 91 (3) | 3 | 94 (4) | 22 | 88 (2) |
|  | Middle | 18 | 95 (10) | 12 | 88 (2) | 8 | 99 (2) | 38 | 93 (5) |
|  | Upper | 12 | 87 (3) | 19 | 89 (2) | 2 | 95 (6) | 33 | 89 (2) |
|  | Total | 42 | 90 (4) | 38 | 89 (1) | 13 | 97 (2) | 93 | 91 (2) |

Dataset = cfdwrher.d14

Table 45. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Herrington Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 (Natural) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2001 | Total | 4.5 | 0.1 | 18.3 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 16.7 | 2.2 |
| 2002 | Total | 4.6 | 0.2 | 9.8 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 20.9 | 4.3 |
| 2003 | Total | 4.6 | 0.1 | 51.1 | 6.0 | 27.3 | 5.3 | 33.5 | 6.0 |
| 2004 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 15.6 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 72.1 | 9.5 |
| 2005 | Total | 5.3 | 0.1 | 24.2 | 5.1 | 16.9 | 4.5 | 25.1 | 4.9 |
| 2006 | Total | 4.8 | 0.1 | 40.9 | 5.8 | 20.4 | 4.3 | 96.5 | 11.6 |
| 2007 | Total | 5.1 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 34.6 | 3.0 |
| 2008 | Total | 5.1 | 0.1 | 25.8 | 4.9 | 13.8 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 1.2 |
| 2009 | Total | 4.7 | 0.1 | 109.8 | 16.2 | 55.1 | 15.5 | 49.6 | 5.4 |
| 2010 | Total | 5.8 | 0.1 | 22.0 | 3.4 | 17.6 | 3.3 | 26.6 | 3.6 |
| 2011 | Total | 5.8 | 0.1 | 54.5 | 7.8 | 43.8 | 6.7 | 111.7 | 17.7 |
| 2012 | Total | 5.4 | 0.1 | 33.6 | 6.2 | 21.8 | 4.9 | 11.3 | 2.1 |
| 2013 | Total | 4.5 | 0.1 | 49.1 | 4.9 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 33.9 | 4.3 |
| 2014 | Total | 4.7 | 0.1 | 36.9 | 6.0 | 20.0 | 3.5 |  |  |

Table 46. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/nn) of white bass and hybrid striped bass collected during 12 net-nights of gill netting in Herrington Lake in October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |  |  |
| White bass | 1 | 11 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 2.1 (0.8) |
| Hybrid striped bass |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 7 | 5 |  | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 34 | 2.8 (1.0) |

Dataset = cfdgnher.d14

Table 47. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from hybrid striped bass gill netted at Herrington Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 19 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 9 | 12.6 | 18.8 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2 | 14.1 | 17.2 | 20.1 |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 13.5 | 17.9 | 20.2 | 21.9 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 13.6 | 18.6 | 20.8 | 22.2 | 23.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 33 | 12.5 | 18.4 | 20.3 | 22.0 | 23.4 |
| Smallest |  | 9.5 | 16.6 | 20.0 | 21.8 | 23.4 |
| Largest |  | 14.8 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 22.2 | 23.4 |
| Std Error | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 12.1 | 17.9 | 20.0 | 21.8 |  |
| $95 \%$ ConHi | 13.0 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 22.2 |  |  |

Intercept Value $=0.00$
Dataset = cfdagher.d14

Table 48. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of hybrid striped bass gill netted for 12 netnights at Herrington Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { err } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 7 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 56 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 9 | 26 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 3+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 4+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 5+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 7 | 5 |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 34 | 100 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| \% | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 18 | 21 | 15 |  | 12 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdagher.d14 and cfdgnher.d14

Table 49. Number of fish and the relative weight $(\mathrm{Wr})$ for each length group of hybrid striped bass collected at Herrington Lake in October 2014.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Hybrid striped bass | Total | 0 |  | 0 |  | 33 | 89 (1) | 33 | 89 (1) |

Dataset = cfdgnher.d14

Table 50. Population assessment for hybrid striped bass collected during fall gill netting at Herrington Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessments).

| Year |  | CPUE <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { (excluding } \\ \text { age } 0 \text { ) }\end{array}$ | Mean length age- $2+$ at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | CPUE age $1+$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 8 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 7 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 7 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 11 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 1.211 | 70.2 | 10 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.109 | 66.3 | 8 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value <br> Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.912 | 59.8 | 11 | Good |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 1.122 | 67.4 | 11 | Good |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.633 | 46.9 | 8 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA | 7 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA | 7 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.601 | 45.2 | 8 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.770 | 53.7 | 11 | Good |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA | 8 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.9 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.282 | 72.3 | 12 | Good |

Table 51. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from white bass gill netted at Herrington Lake in 2014.

|  |  |  | Age |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2013 | 3 | 7.9 |  |  |
| 2012 | 5 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 14.6 |
| 2011 | 3 | 9.8 | 12.7 |  |
|  |  |  | 12.9 | 14.6 |
| Mean | 11 | 8.9 | 12.4 | 14.0 |
| Smallest |  | 4.7 | 13.7 | 15.1 |
| Largest |  | 10.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Std Error |  | 0.4 | 12.6 | 14.0 |
| $95 \%$ ConLo |  | 9.8 | 13.2 | 15.3 |
| $95 \%$ ConHi |  |  |  |  |

Intercept Value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagher.d14

Table 52. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of white bass gill netted for 12 net-nights at Herrington Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Std } \\ \text { err } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 1 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 56 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 1+ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 12 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 5 | 20 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 3+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1 | 11 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 100 | 2.1 | 0.8 |
| \% | 4 | 44 | 12 |  |  |  | 4 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdagher.d14 and cfdgnher.d14
Table 53. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of white bass collected at Herrington Lake in October 2014.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $6.0-8.9$ in |  | 9.0-11.9 in |  | $\geq 12.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| White bass | Total | 15 | 91 (1) | 10 | 92 (1) | 0 |  | 25 | 91 (1) |

Table 54. Population assessment for white bass collected during fall gill netting at Herrington Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \\ \text { Year } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { CPUE } \\ \text { (excluding } \\ \text { age 0) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mean length } \\ \text { age-2 at } \\ \text { capture }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { CPUE } \\ \geq 12.0 \text { in }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { CPUE } \\ \text { age } 1+\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Instantaneous } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \text { (z) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Annual } \\ \text { mortality } \\ \text { (AM) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { score }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Assessment } \\ \text { rating }\end{array} \\ \hline 2014 & \text { Value } & 0.9 & 14.0 & 0.8 & 0.3 & & & & 7 & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Score } & 1 & 4 & 1\end{array}\right)$

Table 55. Dissolved oxygen and temperatures collected at the mouth of Cane Run at Herrington Lake during 2014.

|  | April 8 |  | May 21 |  | June 12 |  | July 16 |  | August 14 |  | September 23 |  | October 20 |  | November 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp |
| Surface | 15.18 | 55.9 | 12.15 | 71.8 | 10.76 | 77.6 | 8.93 | 81.5 | 9.32 | 80.1 | 8.39 | 75.0 | 7.39 | 65.9 | 5.42 | 56.2 |
| 2 | 15.27 | 55.8 | 12.19 | 71.8 | 10.81 | 77.6 | 8.97 | 81.5 | 9.40 | 80.0 | 8.40 | 75.0 | 7.50 | 66.0 | 5.40 | 56.1 |
| 4 | 15.26 | 55.5 | 12.20 | 71.7 | 10.84 | 77.5 | 9.04 | 81.4 | 9.48 | 79.7 | 8.43 | 74.8 | 7.56 | 66.0 | 5.39 | 56.0 |
| 6 | 15.05 | 54.9 | 12.14 | 71.6 | 10.61 | 77.1 | 9.11 | 81.3 | 9.48 | 79.5 | 8.49 | 74.6 | 7.63 | 66.1 | 5.38 | 55.9 |
| 8 | 13.38 | 53.7 | 12.10 | 71.4 | 10.17 | 76.9 | 9.09 | 81.2 | 9.27 | 79.4 | 8.50 | 74.4 | 7.51 | 66.1 | 5.30 | 55.9 |
| 10 | 13.21 | 53.6 | 11.75 | 71.2 | 10.07 | 76.8 | 9.01 | 81.2 | 9.13 | 79.3 | 8.38 | 74.3 | 7.61 | 66.1 | 5.23 | 55.9 |
| 12 | 13.15 | 53.4 | 10.77 | 70.7 | 9.90 | 76.7 | 8.64 | 81.0 | 9.13 | 79.2 | 8.32 | 74.2 | 7.62 | 66.1 | 5.21 | 55.8 |
| 14 | 13.11 | 53.3 | 8.85 | 69.6 | 8.37 | 76.3 | 6.11 | 80.0 | 9.13 | 79.1 | 8.25 | 74.2 | 7.66 | 66.1 | 5.18 | 55.8 |
| 16 | 13.07 | 53.2 | 7.01 | 68.6 | 2.84 | 73.9 | 3.84 | 78.0 | 7.25 | 78.9 | 8.19 | 74.1 | 7.67 | 66.1 | 5.15 | 55.8 |
| 18 | 13.02 | 53.1 | 6.14 | 67.4 | 0.66 | 71.0 | 2.00 | 76.3 | 5.14 | 78.1 | 8.17 | 74.1 | 7.68 | 66.2 | 5.28 | 55.8 |
| 20 | 13.00 | 53.1 | 5.51 | 66.5 | 0.33 | 68.4 | 0.46 | 74.2 | 2.67 | 77.2 | 8.16 | 74.1 | 7.66 | 66.2 | 5.28 | 55.8 |
| 22 | 12.89 | 53.1 | 5.40 | 65.5 | 0.27 | 66.7 | 0.32 | 70.7 | 0.71 | 76.1 | 7.49 | 74.0 | 7.66 | 66.2 | 5.26 | 55.8 |
| 24 | 12.82 | 53.1 | 5.02 | 63.4 | 0.25 | 65.3 | 0.27 | 67.9 | 0.36 | 74.0 | 7.53 | 73.9 | 7.64 | 66.2 | 5.21 | 55.8 |
| 26 | 12.77 | 53.0 | 4.57 | 62.4 | 0.24 | 64.2 | 0.24 | 65.7 | 0.26 | 71.2 | 7.21 | 73.8 | 7.65 | 66.2 | 5.24 | 55.8 |
| 28 | 12.76 | 52.9 | 4.36 | 61.8 | 0.31 | 63.2 | 0.22 | 64.6 | 0.22 | 68.6 | 6.35 | 73.5 | 7.65 | 66.2 | 5.26 | 55.8 |
| 30 | 12.68 | 52.9 | 3.82 | 61.0 | 0.51 | 62.4 | 0.21 | 63.6 | 0.19 | 66.0 | 2.93 | 71.7 | 7.64 | 66.2 | 5.20 | 55.7 |
| 35 | 12.47 | 51.8 | 3.58 | 60.2 | 1.17 | 61.1 | 0.20 | 61.7 | 0.17 | 62.5 | 0.61 | 65.2 | 7.62 | 66.2 | 5.16 | 55.7 |
| 40 | 12.31 | 51.6 | 3.42 | 59.5 | 1.52 | 59.9 | 0.19 | 60.6 | 0.15 | 60.9 | 0.31 | 61.9 | 7.56 | 66.2 | 5.14 | 55.7 |
| 45 | 12.08 | 51.0 | 3.69 | 58.7 | 1.94 | 59.0 | 0.18 | 59.8 | 0.15 | 59.9 | 0.27 | 60.7 | 5.93 | 65.8 | 5.12 | 55.7 |
| 50 | 11.68 | 49.4 | 4.06 | 58.0 | 2.34 | 58.3 | 0.18 | 58.9 | 0.14 | 59.1 | 0.25 | 59.6 | 1.83 | 64.1 | 5.08 | 55.7 |
| 55 | 11.34 | 48.0 | 4.86 | 57.1 | 3.08 | 57.3 | 0.49 | 57.7 | 0.14 | 58.4 | 0.23 | 58.6 | 0.37 | 62.6 | 5.07 | 55.7 |
| 60 | 10.90 | 46.6 | 6.25 | 55.6 | 4.04 | 56.3 | 1.73 | 56.8 | 0.13 | 57.4 | 0.21 | 57.8 | 0.21 | 61.7 | 5.04 | 55.7 |
| 65 | 10.72 | 46.0 | 7.22 | 54.3 | 4.99 | 55.2 | 2.59 | 55.4 | 0.20 | 56.5 | 0.20 | 57.3 | 0.17 | 60.7 | 5.04 | 55.7 |
| 70 | 10.58 | 45.5 | 7.70 | 53.5 | 5.85 | 54.2 | 4.29 | 54.4 | 1.52 | 55.6 | 0.19 | 56.4 | 0.16 | 59.7 | 5.07 | 55.7 |
| 75 | 10.51 | 45.3 | 8.19 | 52.6 | 6.67 | 53.3 | 4.98 | 53.5 | 3.21 | 54.4 | 0.18 | 56.2 | 0.15 | 59.1 | 5.13 | 55.7 |
| 80 | 10.47 | 45.1 | 8.50 | 51.7 | 7.38 | 52.6 | 6.73 | 52.4 | 4.36 | 53.1 | 1.09 | 54.0 | 0.14 | 58.3 | 5.16 | 55.7 |
| 85 | 10.41 | 44.9 | 8.79 | 51.7 | 7.94 | 51.3 | 7.05 | 51.0 | 5.71 | 52.1 | 3.34 | 52.6 | 0.13 | 57.7 |  |  |
| 90 | 10.38 | 44.8 | 9.00 | 49.6 | 8.18 | 50.3 | 7.30 | 50.0 | 6.00 | 51.0 | 4.77 | 51.5 | 0.13 | 57.0 | 5.24 | 55.8 |
| 95 | 10.21 | 44.4 | 8.93 | 48.8 | 8.09 | 49.2 | 7.44 | 49.2 | 6.27 | 49.8 | 5.05 | 50.2 | 0.12 | 56.4 |  |  |
| 100 | 9.91 | 43.8 | 8.89 | 47.7 | 8.12 | 47.8 | 7.59 | 48.2 | 6.37 | 48.8 | 5.42 | 49.0 | 0.11 | 55.7 | 4.77 | 55.6 |
| 110 | 9.80 | 43.3 | 8.70 | 46.3 | 7.95 | 46.6 | 7.66 | 46.7 | 6.47 | 47.2 | 5.28 | 47.5 | 0.11 | 53.8 | 4.68 | 55.0 |
| 120 | 9.65 | 42.2 | 0.75 | 45.9 | 7.84 | 45.8 | 7.73 | 45.9 | 6.42 | 46.3 | 5.07 | 46.4 | 0.97 | 52.3 | 3.84 | 54.3 |
| 130 | 9.51 | 41.5 | 0.57 | 45.8 | 7.76 | 45.1 | 7.68 | 45.0 | 6.41 | 45.3 | 5.17 | 45.6 | 1.06 | 50.9 | 0.52 | 52.8 |
| 140 | 9.38 | 40.8 | 0.46 | 45.8 | 7.49 | 44.3 | 7.27 | 44.2 | 6.40 | 44.8 | 4.92 | 44.8 | 2.64 | 48.5 | 0.32 | 51.3 |
| 150 | 9.05 | 40.4 | 0.40 | 45.7 | 6.83 | 43.3 | 6.39 | 43.4 | 5.25 | 43.9 | 3.90 | 44.2 | 3.47 | 46.9 | 0.27 | 49.8 |
| 160 | 8.48 | 39.9 | 0.38 | 45.7 | 5.75 | 42.6 | 5.38 | 42.8 | 3.21 | 43.3 | 2.57 | 43.5 | 1.95 | 45.6 | 0.23 | 48.0 |
| 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.83 | 43.1 | 0.97 | 45.6 |  |  |

Table 56. Dissolved oxygen and temperatures collected near Gwinn Island Marina at Herrington Lake during 2014.

|  | April 8 |  | May 21 |  | June 12 |  | July 16 |  | August 14 |  | September 23 |  | October 22 |  | November 24 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp |
| Surface | 7.77 | 60.5 | 9.93 | 71.0 | 10.34 | 77.3 | 7.24 | 80.5 | 7.14 | 79.6 | 6.18 | 74.9 | 4.85 | 64.2 | 5.60 | 55.2 |
| 2 | 7.77 | 59.3 | 9.97 | 70.9 | 10.38 | 77.3 | 7.23 | 80.6 | 7.30 | 79.5 | 6.15 | 74.9 | 4.85 | 64.3 | 5.56 | 55.2 |
| 4 | 7.73 | 58.1 | 9.99 | 70.8 | 10.37 | 77.1 | 7.25 | 80.7 | 7.43 | 79.5 | 6.11 | 74.8 | 4.85 | 64.4 | 5.51 | 55.1 |
| 6 | 7.70 | 56.5 | 9.72 | 69.9 | 10.17 | 77.0 | 7.21 | 80.7 | 7.53 | 79.4 | 6.10 | 74.7 | 4.85 | 64.4 | 5.51 | 55.1 |
| 8 | 7.65 | 56.2 | 9.24 | 69.5 | 9.99 | 76.9 | 7.15 | 80.7 | 7.51 | 79.3 | 6.08 | 74.5 | 4.85 | 64.5 | 5.47 | 55.1 |
| 10 | 7.63 | 56.0 | 7.60 | 68.8 | 8.75 | 76.5 | 6.97 | 80.7 | 7.35 | 79.3 | 5.89 | 74.5 | 4.83 | 64.5 | 5.45 | 55.1 |
| 12 | 7.59 | 55.9 | 6.10 | 67.8 | 2.32 | 74.3 | 7.08 | 80.7 | 6.90 | 79.3 | 5.74 | 74.4 | 4.84 | 64.5 | 5.43 | 55.0 |
| 14 | 7.56 | 55.8 | 4.27 | 66.7 | 0.43 | 72.4 | 6.94 | 80.6 | 7.03 | 79.2 | 5.59 | 74.3 | 4.83 | 64.5 | 5.41 | 55.0 |
| 16 | 7.53 | 55.8 | 3.04 | 65.4 | 0.25 | 69.8 | 1.33 | 78.9 | 6.68 | 79.1 | 5.49 | 74.3 | 4.83 | 64.6 | 5.40 | 55.0 |
| 18 | 7.51 | 55.7 | 2.91 | 64.6 | 0.21 | 68.2 | 0.45 | 77.7 | 0.44 | 78.0 | 5.50 | 74.3 | 4.84 | 64.6 | 5.39 | 55.0 |
| 20 | 7.52 | 55.5 | 2.85 | 63.8 | 0.19 | 67.3 | 0.33 | 76.1 | 0.25 | 76.9 | 5.60 | 74.3 | 4.85 | 64.6 | 5.38 | 55.0 |
| 22 | 7.52 | 55.4 | 2.93 | 62.9 | 0.17 | 66.3 | 0.26 | 72.8 | 0.22 | 75.7 | 5.70 | 74.2 | 4.83 | 64.6 | 5.38 | 54.9 |
| 24 | 7.54 | 55.2 | 3.03 | 62.4 | 0.17 | 65.9 | 0.23 | 69.7 | 0.20 | 73.6 | 5.89 | 74.2 | 4.82 | 64.6 | 5.32 | 54.8 |
| 26 | 7.57 | 55.0 | 3.59 | 61.4 | 0.16 | 63.9 | 0.20 | 97.7 | 0.17 | 70.6 | 2.39 | 73.8 | 4.80 | 64.6 | 5.30 | 54.8 |
| 28 | 7.60 | 54.9 | 3.75 | 61.1 | 0.14 | 62.9 | 0.18 | 65.8 | 0.16 | 68.7 | 0.37 | 73.5 | 4.80 | 64.6 | 5.24 | 54.7 |
| 30 | 7.61 | 54.9 | 4.35 | 60.3 | 0.16 | 62.0 | 0.18 | 64.5 | 0.15 | 66.5 | 0.24 | 70.4 | 4.81 | 64.6 | 5.17 | 54.6 |
| 35 | 7.80 | 53.9 | 4.69 | 59.6 | 1.28 | 60.9 | 0.17 | 61.7 | 0.13 | 63.6 | 0.21 | 65.4 | 4.83 | 64.6 | 5.18 | 54.5 |
| 40 | 8.41 | 51.5 | 4.90 | 58.9 | 2.18 | 59.5 | 0.15 | 60.5 | 0.13 | 61.6 | 0.18 | 62.2 | 4.76 | 64.5 | 5.25 | 54.4 |
| 45 | 8.63 | 50.1 | 5.02 | 58.6 | 2.45 | 58.9 | 0.15 | 59.6 | 0.13 | 60.5 | 0.17 | 60.6 | 3.86 | 63.9 | 5.31 | 54.3 |
| 50 | 8.79 | 49.3 | 4.06 | 57.7 | 2.76 | 58.1 | 0.15 | 58.8 | 0.12 | 59.4 | 0.16 | 59.6 | 3.59 | 63.4 | 5.35 | 54.2 |
| 55 | 9.25 | 47.5 | 3.88 | 56.4 | 2.70 | 57.1 | 0.44 | 57.8 | 0.12 | 58.3 | 0.15 | 58.7 | 3.11 | 62.8 | 5.43 | 54.0 |
| 60 | 9.38 | 46.6 | 4.04 | 55.3 | 2.68 | 56.4 | 0.74 | 56.6 | 0.11 | 57.7 | 0.15 | 57.8 | 3.08 | 62.6 | 5.53 | 53.8 |
| 65 | 9.45 | 46.1 | 4.42 | 54.2 | 2.80 | 55.2 | 0.95 | 55.7 | 0.11 | 56.7 | 0.14 | 56.8 |  |  | 5.61 | 53.7 |
| 70 | 9.51 | 45.3 | 4.59 | 53.3 | 2.86 | 54.5 | 0.57 | 54.8 | 0.10 | 55.3 | 0.13 | 56.1 |  |  | 5.60 | 53.6 |
| 75 | 9.47 | 44.9 | 4.66 | 52.2 | 2.79 | 53.7 | 0.34 | 54.4 | 0.09 | 54.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 9.31 | 44.7 | 4.22 | 51.4 | 0.42 | 53.3 | 0.34 | 54.4 | 0.09 | 54.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 9.23 | 44.8 | 0.33 | 51.3 | 0.19 | 53.3 | 0.34 | 54.4 | 0.09 | 54.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 9.22 | 44.7 | 0.23 | 51.3 | 0.14 | 53.3 | 0.34 | 54.3 | 0.09 | 54.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | 9.22 | 44.7 | 0.14 | 51.2 | 0.11 | 53.3 | 0.33 | 54.3 | 0.14 | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 9.21 | 44.7 | 0.14 | 51.2 | 0.10 | 53.3 | 0.32 | 54.2 | 0.14 | 54.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | 9.23 | 44.7 | 0.10 | 51.2 | 0.09 | 53.3 | 0.31 | 54.2 | 0.13 | 54.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 9.23 | 44.6 | 0.09 | 51.2 | 0.09 | 53.3 | 0.31 | 54.2 | 0.13 | 54.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | 9.23 | 44.6 | 0.08 | 51.1 | 0.08 | 53.3 | 0.30 | 54.2 | 0.13 | 54.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | 9.22 | 44.6 | 0.08 | 51.1 | 0.07 | 53.3 | 0.30 | 54.2 | 0.12 | 54.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 9.22 | 44.6 | 0.07 | 51.1 | 0.07 | 53.0 | 0.29 | 54.2 | 0.12 | 54.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 9.22 | 44.5 | 0.07 | 51.1 | 0.07 | 53.3 | 0.28 | 54.2 | 0.12 | 54.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 57. Dissolved oxygen and temperatures collected near Kings Mill Marina at Herrington Lake during 2014.

|  | April 8 |  | May 21 |  | June 12 |  | July 16 |  | August 14 |  | September 24 |  | October 20 |  | November 24* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp | DO | Temp |
| Surface | 10.14 | 60.0 | 12.65 | 72.2 | 10.25 | 77.5 | 8.09 | 81.8 | 7.44 | 80.3 | 5.31 | 75.5 | 5.54 | 63.7 |  |  |
| 2 | 10.17 | 59.8 | 12.66 | 72.1 | 10.35 | 77.4 | 80.5 | 82.0 | 7.55 | 80.1 | 5.32 | 75.2 | 5.54 | 63.7 |  |  |
| 4 | 10.11 | 59.5 | 13.04 | 71.9 | 10.48 | 77.0 | 7.83 | 81.9 | 7.78 | 79.8 | 4.90 | 74.0 | 5.37 | 63.7 |  |  |
| 6 | 9.98 | 58.6 | 12.50 | 71.3 | 10.25 | 76.8 | 7.26 | 81.8 | 7.35 | 79.6 | 4.57 | 73.7 | 5.28 | 63.7 |  |  |
| 8 | 9.65 | 57.8 | 11.90 | 70.9 | 9.15 | 76.6 | 6.82 | 81.9 | 6.69 | 79.5 | 4.28 | 73.5 | 5.18 | 63.7 |  |  |
| 10 | 9.53 | 56.2 | 11.42 | 70.8 | 7.74 | 76.3 | 6.21 | 81.9 | 6.55 | 79.5 | 4.23 | 73.5 | 5.10 | 63.7 |  |  |
| 12 | 9.43 | 55.7 | 10.43 | 70.2 | 3.66 | 75.2 | 2.20 | 81.3 | 6.70 | 79.4 | 4.13 | 73.4 | 5.10 | 63.6 |  |  |
| 14 | 9.37 | 55.5 | 4.62 | 67.2 | 0.39 | 72.9 | 0.88 | 80.3 | 6.49 | 79.4 | 4.12 | 73.4 | 5.21 | 63.5 |  |  |
| 16 | 9.35 | 55.2 | 3.85 | 65.1 | 0.30 | 70.6 | 0.40 | 78.0 | 3.23 | 79.2 | 4.15 | 73.3 | 5.37 | 63.4 |  |  |
| 18 | 9.27 | 54.9 | 4.27 | 64.7 | 0.24 | 68.4 | 0.29 | 75.0 | 1.04 | 78.3 | 4.14 | 73.3 | 5.45 | 63.1 |  |  |
| 20 | 9.18 | 54.6 | 4.54 | 64.3 | 0.22 | 66.7 | 0.25 | 70.8 | 0.39 | 77.3 | 4.04 | 73.3 | 5.73 | 62.6 |  |  |
| 22 | 9.10 | 54.3 | 4.71 | 63.6 | 0.21 | 65.6 | 0.23 | 68.8 | 0.24 | 75.5 | 3.99 | 73.3 | 6.45 | 60.5 |  |  |
| 24 | 9.02 | 54.2 | 4.26 | 62.7 | 0.20 | 64.7 | 0.20 | 67.2 | 0.21 | 74.5 | 3.93 | 73.3 | 6.83 | 60.1 |  |  |
| 26 | 8.96 | 54.1 | 4.03 | 62.2 | 0.19 | 64.1 | 0.18 | 66.0 | 0.20 | 72.9 | 3.77 | 73.2 | 6.89 | 59.8 |  |  |
| 28 | 8.94 | 54.0 | 3.45 | 61.6 | 0.18 | 63.3 | 0.17 | 64.9 | 0.19 | 70.5 | 3.60 | 73.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 8.90 | 54.0 | 3.54 | 61.3 | 0.17 | 62.9 | 0.17 | 63.8 | 0.17 | 68.8 | 3.60 | 73.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 8.72 | 53.8 | 2.89 | 61.1 | 0.16 | 61.7 | 0.17 | 63.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 8.45 | 53.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*No November reading was taken because buoys were removed at marina

Table 58. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 3.0 hours of 15 -minute nocturnal electrofishing runs in Guist Creek Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 56 | 17 | 26 | 31 | 21 | 31 | 43 | 40 | 43 | 29 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 413 | 137.7 (15.7) |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 6.0 (2.0) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 56 | 17 | 26 | 31 | 22 | 32 | 44 | 40 | 43 | 29 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 416 | 138.7 (15.8) |

Table 59. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Guist Creek Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $<8.0$ in | $8.0-11.9$ in | $12.0-14.9$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | Total |
| 1992 | $12.0(2.1)$ | $16.8(2.7)$ | $38.4(5.2)$ | $41.2(4.7)$ | $3.2(1.0)$ | $108.4(7.2)$ |
| 1993 | $22.7(2.6)$ | $25.5(2.7)$ | $23.8(2.7)$ | $51.6(5.0)$ | $5.5(1.1)$ | $123.6(9.1)$ |
| 1994 | $19.2(2.7)$ | $29.8(3.7)$ | $19.6(2.6)$ | $40.2(3.9)$ | $2.0(0.5)$ | $108.8(8.6)$ |
| 1995 | $18.2(3.0)$ | $40.6(3.8)$ | $23.2(2.4)$ | $47.2(5.5)$ | $5.0(1.3)$ | $129.2(9.2)$ |
| 1996 | $32.6(5.5)$ | $28.8(3.6)$ | $44.8(2.8)$ | $58.2(5.2)$ | $5.8(1.1)$ | $164.4(10.6)$ |
| 1997 |  |  |  | $N S$ |  |  |
| 1998 | $20.3(3.1)$ | $45.3(4.9)$ | $18.7(3.5)$ | $72.7(12.3)$ | $5.0(1.3)$ | $157.0(14.5)$ |
| 1999 | $53.5(6.9)$ | $56.8(10.2)$ | $41.7(6.3)$ | $51.3(3.4)$ | $8.0(1.3)$ | $203.3(19.4)$ |
| 2000 | $26.7(6.1)$ | $19.3(2.4)$ | $23.0(2.9)$ | $41.3(5.4)$ | $3.0(1.0)$ | $110.3(7.6)$ |
| 2001 | $39.0(5.3)$ | $42.0(3.6)$ | $17.3(2.7)$ | $46.3(5.2)$ | $1.7(0.6)$ | $144.7(10.1)$ |
| 2002 | $43.3(9.9)$ | $32.3(7.7)$ | $23.3(3.1)$ | $41.3(7.8)$ | $2.0(1.4)$ | $134.3(18.6)$ |
| 2003 | $27.7(6.7)$ | $96.7(9.9)$ | $31.0(4.6)$ | $49.7(4.0)$ | $2.7(0.9)$ | $205.0(19.7)$ |
| 2004 | $30.7(6.0)$ | $62.7(6.5)$ | $58.0(7.0)$ | $54.3(5.9)$ | $3.7(1.0)$ | $205.7(17.0)$ |
| 2005 | $84.3(12.2)$ | $67.0(6.3)$ | $63.0(5.6)$ | $70.3(7.5)$ | $4.7(1.4)$ | $284.7(25.6)$ |
| 2006 | $30.0(6.6)$ | $69.3(8.2)$ | $30.3(3.3)$ | $68.7(6.4)$ | $3.3(1.5)$ | $198.3(19.0)$ |
| 2007 | $23.3(3.0)$ | $59.3(6.3)$ | $42.0(4.3)$ | $58.0(5.5)$ | $3.7(1.2)$ | $182.7(11.6)$ |
| 2008 | $24.0(3.6)$ | $19.7(2.3)$ | $41.3(5.6)$ | $73.0(10.3)$ | $4.7(1.5)$ | $158.0(12.9)$ |
| 2009 | $12.0(2.7)$ | $23.3(4.7)$ | $19.3(3.7)$ | $35.7(6.0)$ | $4.3(1.0)$ | $90.3(11.3)$ |
| 2010 | $46.8(4.1)$ | $25.3(2.6)$ | $26.3(2.9)$ | $47.3(4.6)$ | $3.0(0.8)$ | $145.8(8.4)$ |
| 2011 | $34.3(2.6)$ | $67.7(7.0)$ | $35.0(3.9)$ | $50.3(4.7)$ | $5.3(1.6)$ | $187.3(9.7)$ |
| 2012 | $19.7(5.2)$ | $81.7(7.5)$ | $30.0(4.1)$ | $36.7(3.8)$ | $4.7(1.2)$ | $168.0(7.2)$ |
| 2013 | $21.3(7.0)$ | $44.0(5.1)$ | $51.0(5.4)$ | $63.0(7.4)$ | $5.7(2.0)$ | $179.3(11.6)$ |
| 2014 | $13.3(2.4)$ | $43.3(5.4)$ | $32.7(4.6)$ | $49.3(6.8)$ | $4.3(1.3)$ | $138.7(15.8)$ |
| $D$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsgcl.d14- d92

Table 60. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring nocturnal electrofishing samples in Guist Creek Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 376 | $65( \pm 5)$ | $39( \pm 5)$ |

[^11]Table 61. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Guist Creek Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 12.2* | 3.7 | 32.7 | 49.3 | 4.3 |  |  | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 12.2 | 17.0 | 51.0 | 63.0 | 5.7 |  |  | 18 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 11.0* | 13.3 | 30.0 | 36.7 | 4.7 |  |  | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 11.0* | 16.4 | 34.7 | 50.7 | 5.7 |  |  | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 11.0* | $31.5^{\wedge}$ | 26.3 | 47.3 | 3.0 | 0.341 | 28.9 | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 11.0 | 6.7 | 19.3 | 35.7 | 4.3 |  |  | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 11.5* | $8.1^{\wedge}$ | 41.3 | 73.0 | 4.7 |  |  | 16 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 11.5* | $15.5^{\wedge}$ | 42.0 | 58.0 | 3.7 |  |  | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 11.5* | $15.2^{\wedge}$ | 30.3 | 68.7 | 3.3 |  |  | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 11.5 | 21.4 | 63.0 | 70.3 | 4.7 | 0.510 | 40.0 | 18 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 10.2* | $22.1 \wedge$ | 58.0 | 54.3 | 3.7 |  |  | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 10.2* | $16.3^{\wedge}$ | 31.0 | 49.7 | 2.7 |  |  | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | 10.2* | $23.8{ }^{\wedge}$ | 23.3 | 41.3 | 2.0 |  |  | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 10.2 | 25.7 | 17.3 | 46.3 | 1.7 | 0.289 | 25.1 | 11 | Fair |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Value | 10.0 | 16.8 | 23.0 | 41.3 | 3.0 | 0.161 | 14.9 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 10 | Good |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 62. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Guist Creek Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 3 | 18 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 28 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 5 |  | 1 | 204 | $136.0(9.9)$ |
| Dataset = cfdwrgcl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdwrgcl.d14

Table 63. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Guist Creek Lake on 10 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 52 | 91 (1) | 31 | 94 (2) | 40 | 100 (1) | 123 | 94 (1) |

Table 64. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Guist Creek Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2000 | Total | 3.6 | 0.1 | 19.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  | 25.7 | 5.3 |
| 2001 | Total | 3.9 | 0.1 | 65.3 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 23.8 | 6.7 |
| 2002 | Total | 4.7 | 0.1 | 47.3 | 7.6 | 19.3 | 2.8 | 16.3 | 3.3 |
| 2003 | Total | 4.0 | 0.1 | 30.7 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 22.1 | 4.8 |
| 2004 | Total | 4.0 | 0.1 | 40.7 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 21.4 | 4.2 |
| 2005 | Total | 4.5 | 0.1 | 24.5 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 15.2 | 4.5 |
| 2006 | Total | 3.9 | 0.1 | 50.7 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 4.2 | 15.5 | 2.2 |
| 2007 | Total | 3.8 | 0.2 | 12.7 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 8.1 | 2.0 |
| 2008 | Total | 3.2 | 0.1 | 139.3 | 23.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 2.4 |
| 2009 | Total | 3.7 | 0.1 | 51.3 | 9.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 31.5 | 3.1 |
| 2010 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 41.3 | 4.2 | 18.7 | 2.0 | 16.4 | 1.6 |
| 2011 | Total | 4.4 | 0.1 | 34.7 | 13.2 | 7.3 | 3.9 | 13.3 | 4.2 |
| 2012 | Total | 4.1 | 0.1 | 46.0 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 21.3 | 7.0 |
| 2013 | Total | 4.0 | 0.1 | 38.7 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| 2014 | Total | 4.0 | 0.1 | 27.3 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 0.7 |  |  |

Table 65. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of saugeye collected in 3.0 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs in Guist Creek Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| Saugeye | 2 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 37 | 12.3 (4.3) |

Dataset = cfdwrgcl.d14

Table 66. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from white crappie collected in the fall from Guist Creek Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 20 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 20 | 4.9 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 8 | 4.8 | 7.7 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 4.9 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 2 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| Mean | 53 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| Smallest |  | 3.8 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| Largest |  | 5.6 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 9.8 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.6 | 7.6 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.1 |  |  |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 4.8 | 8.1 | 9.8 | 11.3 | 12.9 |  |  |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdaggcl.d14

Table 67. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from black crappie collected in the fall from Guist Creek Lake in 2014.


Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdaggcl.d14

Table 68. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/nn) of hybrid striped bass collected during 8 net-nights of gill netting in Guist Creek Lake in October 2014: numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |  |  |
| Hybrid striped bass | 2 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 3.9 (0.8) |

Dataset = cfdgngcl.d14

Table 69. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from hybrid striped bass gill netted at Guist Creek Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 2013 | 5 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 9 | 8.1 | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 11 | 8.5 | 14.4 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 10.2 | 17.2 | 22.3 | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 9.2 | 13.4 | 18.0 | 23.0 | 24.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 1 | 11.0 | 17.6 | 19.6 | 22.3 | 23.1 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 25.8 | 26.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 9.4 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 18.8 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 21.5 | 22.2 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 26.2 |
| Mean | 29 | 8.3 | 14.3 | 19.4 | 22.0 | 22.5 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 23.7 | 24.5 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 26.2 |
| Smallest |  | 5.5 | 9.2 | 16.5 | 18.8 | 19.5 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 21.5 | 22.2 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 26.2 |
| Largest |  | 11.6 | 17.6 | 22.3 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 25.8 | 26.7 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 24.9 | 25.6 | 26.2 |
| Std Error |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 7.8 | 13.5 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 18.9 | 19.5 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 8.9 | 15.0 | 20.2 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 25.9 | 26.9 | 27.9 | 28.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intercept Value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdaggcl.d14

Table 70. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) per inch class of hybrid striped bass gill netted for 8 netnights at Guist Creek Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% CPUE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Err } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 910 |  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| 0+ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 1+ |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 16 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 29 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| $3+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 35 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| 4+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 9+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 15+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 100 | 3.9 | 0.8 |
| \% | 6 |  | 3 | 10 | 3 |  | 3 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  | 23 | 6 | 3 |  | 6 | 3 | 3 | 100 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdaggcl.d14 and cfdgngcl.d14

Table 71. Number of fish and the relative weight $(\mathrm{Wr})$ for each length group of hybrid striped bass collected at Guist Creek Lake in October 2014.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Hybrid striped bass | Total | 3 | 88 (2) | 4 | 87 (3) | 24 | 78 (2) | 31 | 80 (1) |

Table 72. Population assessment for hybrid striped bass collected during fall gill netting at Guist Creek Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ (\text { excluding } \\ \text { age 0) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean length } \\ \text { age- } 2+\text { at } \\ \text { capture } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | CPUE age $1+$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score |  |  |  | No Sample |  |  |
| 2012 | Value Score |  |  |  | No Sample |  |  |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | Poor |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | Poor |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Good |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | Poor |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | Good |

Table 73. Length composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish at Guist Creek Lake. Channel catfish were collected using baited, tandem hoop nets ( 72 hours soak time) that were set on 6 October 2014. Nets were pulled three days after setting them and 5 sets of tandem nets were used for the sampling event.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Average per set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 2 | 38 | 62 | 58 | 58 | 29 | 27 | 21 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 399 | 79.8 (20.6) |

Dataset $=$ cfdhngcl.d14

Table 74. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ values obtained for channel catfish from tandem hoop net samples in Guist Creek Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $_{24}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel catfish | 297 | $35( \pm 5)$ | $6( \pm 3)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdhngcl.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 75. Mean length at capture of channel catfish sampled from Guist Creek Lake in 2014.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1+$ | $2+$ | $3+$ | $4+$ | $5+$ | $6+$ | $7+$ | $8+$ | $9+$ | $10+$ | $11+$ | $12+$ | $13+$ | $14+$ |
| Number of fish | 11 | 12 | 6 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Mean length (in.) | 10.8 | 13.1 | 15.1 | 17.7 | 21.3 | 22.4 | 22.5 | 25.7 | 23.1 |  | 22.6 | 24.8 | 24.1 |  |
| Std error | $(0.5)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.6)$ | $(0.9)$ | $(0.8)$ | $(1.7)$ | $(2.6)$ | $(3.1)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |
| Smallest (in.) | 8.8 | 10.8 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 14.8 | 17.8 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 20.0 | 22.6 | 24.8 | 24.1 |  |  |
| Largest (in.) | 15.6 | 15.8 | 16.8 | 23.7 | 26.6 | 27.4 | 24.1 | 28.2 | 26.1 | 22.6 | 24.8 | 24.1 |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdaggcl.d14

Table 76. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of channel catfish collected at Guist Creek Lake in October 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11.0-15.9 in |  | 16.0-23.9 in |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Channel catfish | Total | 96 | 88 (1) | 83 | $98(2)$ | 18 | 109 (4) | 197 | 94 (1) |

Dataset = cfdhngcl.d14

Table 77. CPUE (fish/set) for each length group of channel catfish collected by hoop net from Guist Creek Lake from 2006-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\geq 12.0 \mathrm{in}$ | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0 \mathrm{in}$ | Total |
| 2006 | $43.8(12.5)$ | $6.0(2.1)$ | $1.8(0.8)$ | $274.2(95.6)$ |
| 2007 | $208.2(106.1)$ | $60.0(32.6)$ | $13.0(7.6)$ | $382.0(184.4)$ |
| 2008 | $87.4(24.4)$ | $26.6(10.4)$ | $7.4(2.9)$ | $107.2(29.2)$ |
| 2009 | $45.4(11.9)$ | $22.2(5.8)$ | $4.4(1.6)$ | $73.0(16.0)$ |
| 2010 | $42.0(10.3)$ | $18.8(4.4)$ | $4.6(1.6)$ | $78.6(19.9)$ |
| 2011 | $13.2(3.2)$ | $4.6(1.7)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | $31.6(7.3)$ |
| 2012 | $21.8(12.0)$ | $8.2(5.5)$ | $2.4(1.6)$ | $50.2(26.4)$ |
| 2013 |  | No Sample |  |  |
| 2014 | $47.8(14.0)$ | $25.0(9.5)$ | $11.2(3.3)$ | $79.8(20.6)$ |

Dataset = cfdhngcl.d14-.d06

Table 78. Length frequency, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 2.50 hours of 15-minute electrofishing runs in A.J. Jolly Lake, April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 20 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 10 | 8 | 19 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 187 | 74.8 (9.1) |

Dataset = cfdpsajj.d14

Table 79. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from A.J. Jolly Lake from 1996-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |
| 1996 | 18.5 (2.8) | 13.5 (1.7) | 24.0 (5.7) | 9.5 (2.5) | 0.0 | 65.5 (7.4) |
| 1997 | 11.6 (1.9) | 37.2 (3.8) | 19.6 (2.1) | 20.4 (2.6) | 0.8 (0.5) | 88.8 (4.7) |
| 1998 | 11.5 (1.9) | 42.5 (8.0) | 24.5 (2.4) | 25.5 (3.5) | 2.0 (1.1) | 104.0 (11.6) |
| 1999 | 5.0 (2.4) | 21.0 (6.1) | 32.0 (6.5) | 26.0 (4.5) | 4.0 (1.3) | 84.0 (13.7) |
| 2000 | 27.0 (5.4) | 25.0 (4.3) | 9.5 (1.5) | 20.0 (3.3) | 1.5 (0.7) | 81.5 (7.9) |
| 2001 | 35.5 (5.9) | 48.5 (5.7) | 12.0 (2.4) | 26.0 (5.2) | 2.0 (1.1) | 122.0 (13.5) |
| 2002 | 10.0 (2.1) | 44.5 (8.2) | 9.5 (1.5) | 18.0 (3.1) | 0.5 (0.5) | 82.0 (10.5) |
| 2003 | 14.5 (4.3) | 40.5 (4.2) | 19.0 (4.3) | 7.5 (2.2) | 0.0 | 81.5 (7.7) |
| 2004* ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 55.5 (10.4) | 19.5 (4.0) | 12.5 (1.8) | 7.0 (2.0) | 0.0 | 94.5 (14.9) |
| 2006 | 28.0 (6.9) | 23.5 (3.5) | 5.5 (2.0) | 2.5 (1.1) | 0.0 | 59.5 (7.6) |
| 2007 | 31.6 (4.4) | 36.8 (5.9) | 15.2 (2.3) | 14.0 (2.8) | 0.0 | 97.6 (11.2) |
| 2008 | 7.2 (1.4) | 14.8 (4.1) | 14.8 (2.7) | 8.0 (3.1) | 0.0 | 44.8 (6.2) |
| 2009 | 15.6 (2.4) | 19.6 (2.6) | 12.8 (2.9) | 12.8 (2.7) | 2.0 (0.9) | 60.8 (7.7) |
| 2010 | 12.4 (2.6) | 22.8 (4.0) | 20.8 (3.8) | 21.2 (3.7) | 1.6 (0.9) | 77.2 (8.9) |
| 2011 | 26.8 (5.0) | 12.8 (3.3) | 12.4 (2.9) | 20.4 (3.4) | 0.8 (0.8) | 72.4 (10.1) |
| 2012 | 35.6 (6.0) | 32.4 (6.9) | 19.6 (2.4) | 20.0 (4.8) | 0.4 (0.4) | 107.6 (14.5) |
| 2013 | 11.6 (2.6) | 23.2 (3.7) | 24.0 (5.1) | 17.2 (2.9) | 1.6 (0.9) | 76.0 (9.9) |
| 2014 | 13.6 (2.8) | 21.2 (2.9) | 16.0 (3.2) | 24.0 (5.1) | 2.0 (0.9) | 74.8 (9.1) |

Dataset = cfdpsajj.d96 - d014
*No spring sample was done in 2004

Table 80. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in A.J. Jolly Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 153 | $65( \pm 8)$ | $39( \pm 8)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdpsaji $d 14$ |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdpsajj.d14

Table 81. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at A.J. Jolly Lake in 2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 11.9* | 8.0 | 16.0 | 24.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2013 | Value | 11.9* | 10.4 | 24.0 | 17.2 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2012 | Value | 11.9* | 27.2 | 19.6 | 20.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value | 11.9 | 26.0 | 12.4 | 20.4 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value | 11.8* | 4.0 | 20.8 | 21.2 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 12 | Good |

[^12]Table 82. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 2.0 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in A.J. Jolly Lake in October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 12 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 30 | 13 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 152 | 76.0 (8.6) |

Table 83. Number of fish and the relative weight ( Wr ) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at A.J. Jolly Lake on 13 October 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 57 | 85 (2) | 27 | 97 (7) | 19 | 100 (2) | 103 | 91 (2) |

Table 84. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at A.J. Jolly Lake.

|  |  | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | Area | Mean <br> length | Std. <br> error | CPUE | Std. in <br> error | CPUE | Std. <br> error | CPUE | Std. <br> error |
| 2004 | Total | 3.5 | 0.1 | 36.7 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 49.8 | 9.2 |
| 2005 | Total | 4.3 | 0.1 | 16.0 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 23.7 | 5.7 |
| 2006 | Total | 4.1 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 28.5 | 4.5 |
| 2007 | Total | 4.4 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| 2008 | Total | 4.6 | 0.1 | 29.7 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 12.0 | 2.0 |
| 2009 | Total | 4.2 | 0.2 | 8.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| 2010 | Total | 5.2 | 0.1 | 42.4 | 5.2 | 26.8 | 4.1 | 26.0 | 4.6 |
| 2011 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 22.0 | 3.6 | 13.5 | 4.2 | 27.2 | 4.8 |
| 2012 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 22.0 | 3.6 | 12.0 | 2.9 | 10.4 | 2.2 |
| 2013 | Total | 4.5 | 0.1 | 23.0 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 8.0 | 2.0 |
| 2014 | Total | 4.5 | 0.2 | 19.5 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 2.8 |  |  |

Table 85. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of blue catfish collected in 1.78 hours in A.J. Jolly Lake in October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Blue catfish | 2 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 43 | 41 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 158 | 89.7 (16.5) |

Dataset = cfdbcajj.d14

Table 86. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of blue catfish collected at
A.J. Jolly Lake on 3 July 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12.0-19.9 in |  | 20.0-29.9 in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Blue catfish | Total | 32 | 90 (2) | 1 | 87 | 33 | 90 (2) |

Dataset = cfdwraji.d14
Table 87. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of saugeye collected in 2.0 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs in A.J. Jolly Lake in October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |
| Saugeye | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 29 | 14.5 (4.3) |

Table 88. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of white and black crappie collected in 1.0 hour of 15-minute electrofishing runs for crappie in A.J. Jolly Lake in October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |
| White crappie |  | 1 | 8 | 86 | 4 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 109 | 109.0 (42.7) |
| Black crappie | 1 |  | 13 | 55 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 73 | 73.0 (36.8) |

Dataset = cfdwrajj.d14

Table 89. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from white crappie sampled at A.J. Jolly Lake in fall 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 8 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 7 | 4.8 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 23 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 5 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 8.1 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 8 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.4 |  |  |
| 2007 | 3 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.8 |  |
| 2006 | 2 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 10.6 | 11.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 57 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 11.3 |
| Smallest |  | 2.4 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 7.7 |
| Largest | 6.5 | 8.7 | 11.0 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 14.9 |  |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 3.6 |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.1 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 4.3 |
| 95\% ConHi |  |  | 4.7 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 11.1 |

Intercept Value $=0.00$
Dataset = cfdagajj.d14

Table 90. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from black crappie sampled at A.J. Jolly Lake in fall 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 6 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 21 | 4.0 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 4 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.4 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.4 |  |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 40 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.5 |
| Smallest |  | 3.0 | 4.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.5 |
| Largest |  | 5.2 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 |
| Std Error | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |
| $95 \%$ ConLo |  | 3.9 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 6.2 |  |
| $95 \%$ ConHi |  | 4.2 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 6.4 |  |

Intercept Value $=0.00$
Dataset = cfdagajj.d14

Table 91. Length composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish at A.J. Jolly Lake. Channel catfish were collected using baited, tandem hoop nets ( 72 hours soak time) that were set on 6 October 2014. Nets were pulled three days after setting them, and 3 sets of tandem nets were used for the sampling event.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Average per set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 2 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 34 | 25 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 179 | 35.8 (10.9) |

Dataset = cfdhnajj.d14

Table 92. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ values obtained for channel catfish from tandem hoop net samples in A.J. Jolly Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $_{24}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel catfish | 5 | $18( \pm 7)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdhnajj.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 93. Number of fish and the relative weight $(\mathrm{Wr})$ for each length group of channel catfish collected at A.J. Jolly Lake in October 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11.0-15.9 in |  | 16.0-23.9 in |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Channel catfish | Total | 96 | 91 (1) | 21 | 92 (4) |  |  | 117 | 91 (1) |

Dataset = cfdhnajj.d14

Table 94. CPUE (fish/set) for each length group of channel catfish collected by hoop net from A.J. Jolly Lake from 2007-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\geq 12.0$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | Total |
| 2007 | $3.4(1.4)$ | $0.6(0.4)$ | 0.0 | $68.0(18.7)$ |
| 2008 | $9.4(2.8)$ | $1.6(0.6)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | $75.0(7.7)$ |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | $5.6(2.5)$ | $1.6(0.9)$ | 0.0 | $18.0(3.9)$ |
| 2011 | $18.2(6.1)$ | $3.2(1.7)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | $41.6(10.0)$ |
| 2012 | $2.8(1.2)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | 0.0 | $8.6(5.3)$ |
| 2013 | $12.4(3.6)$ | $2.8(1.0)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | $34.2(13.9)$ |
| 2014 | $16.8(3.7)$ | $7.0(1.8)$ | 0.0 | $35.8(10.9)$ |

Dataset = cfdhnajj.d14

Table 95. Length frequency, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.50 hours of 15-minute electrofishing runs in Beaver Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 11 | 40 | 38 | 7 | 50 | 75 | 66 | 53 | 38 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 450 | 225.0 (21.2) |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsbvr.d14

Table 96. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Beaver Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |
| 1992 | 7.1 (2.1) | 105.3 (8.6) | 4.9 (1.1) | 19.1 (4.8) | 9.3 (3.3) | 136.4 (5.6) |
| 1993 | 22.5 (3.9) | 59.5 (5.3) | 76.0 (7.9) | 13.0 (4.3) | 8.5 (2.8) | 171.0 (12.2) |
| 1994 | 22.5 (2.8) | 5.5 (2.5) | 41.5 (3.3) | 28.5 (4.5) | 6.5 (2.8) | 96.5 (6.9) |
| 1995 | 73.0 (8.4) | 37.5 (5.9) | 10.0 (3.8) | 34.0 (7.0) | 6.0 (2.3) | 154.5 (9.9) |
| 1996 | 81.0 (11.6) | 47.0 (6.3) | 8.0 (2.0) | 37.5 (2.9) | 3.0 (0.7) | 173.5 (17.8) |
| 1997 | 84.5 (12.2) | 99.5 (16.7) | 8.5 (2.1) | 42.5 (9.6) | 6.0 (3.2) | 235.0 (34.1) |
| 1998 | 36.0 (4.2) | 206.5 (17.6) | 14.5 (4.8) | 30.5 (6.6) | 5.5 (1.7) | 287.5 (22.8) |
| 1999 | 42.0 (11.0) | 71.5 (7.3) | 17.0 (2.6) | 22.0 (3.5) | 7.5 (1.6) | 152.5 (18.1) |
| 2000 | 56.0 (7.7) | 26.5 (5.6) | 28.5 (2.2) | 24.5 (2.9) | 3.0 (1.3) | 137.0 (9.8) |
| 2001 | 142.5 (8.6) | 66.5 (8.6) | 25.5 (1.5) | 39.0 (6.1) | 4.0 (1.5) | 273.5 (17.1) |
| 2002 | 55.5 (10.8) | 97.0 (13.6) | 16.0 (2.1) | 32.0 (4.9) | 2.5 (1.1) | 200.5 (26.8) |
| 2003 | 142.5 (9.1) | 131.5 (12.9) | 20.0 (3.0) | 18.0 (2.4) | 2.0 (0.8) | 312.0 (20.4) |
| 2004 | 154.5 (5.5) | 198.0 (15.1) | 48.0 (7.5) | 17.0 (3.7) | 2.0 (0.8) | 417.5 (20.3) |
| 2005 | 68.5 (11.4) | 298.0 (22.7) | 42.0 (7.7) | 15.0 (3.5) | 4.5 (1.4) | 423.5 (21.6) |
| 2006 | 115.0 (11.3) | 217.5 (36.5) | 40.0 (3.7) | 10.0 (2.3) | 2.5 (1.1) | 382.5 (34.9) |
| 2007 | 30.5 (4.8) | 176.5 (31.1) | 42.5 (9.6) | 10.0 (2.7) | 3.0 (1.0) | 259.5 (40.4) |
| 2008 | 44.5 (6.6) | 203.5 (22.4) | 61.0 (6.0) | 8.5 (1.8) | 2.0 (0.8) | 317.5 (29.4) |
| 2009 | 14.5 (2.8) | 146.5 (28.5) | 84.5 (15.6) | 3.5 (2.1) | 0.5 (0.5) | 249.0 (45.3) |
| 2010 | 76.7 (6.8) | 99.8 (8.5) | 58.9 (4.5) | 2.9 (0.7) | 0.2 (0.2) | 238.2 (14.3) |
| 2011 | 23.5 (5.8) | 56.0 (8.2) | 70.5 (5.9) | 6.5 (1.5) | 0.0 (0.0) | 156.5 (13.7) |
| 2012 | 97.0 (11.6) | 81.5 (6.4) | 73.5 (6.8) | 14.0 (2.9) | 2.5 (1.1) | 266.0 (12.5) |
| 2013 | 60.0 (8.8) | 137.3 (12.3) | 48.7 (9.3) | 16.7 (2.4) | 1.3 (0.8) | 262.7 (16.4) |
| 2014 | 73.5 (10.7) | 116.0 (12.5) | 21.0 (3.3) | 14.5 (2.7) | 2.0 (1.1) | 225.0 (21.2) |

Dataset = cfdpsbvr.d14-.d92

Table 97. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in Beaver Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 303 | $23( \pm 5)$ | $9( \pm 3)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsbvr.d14

Table 98. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Beaver Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $47.3$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.293 | 25.4 | 12 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.0^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.622 | 46.3 | 11 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108.3^{\wedge} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.7^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{4}^{97.6^{\wedge}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.540 | 41.7 | 14 | Good |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.7^{*} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.4^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 17 | Excellent |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.5^{\wedge} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 99. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Beaver Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 5 | 65 | 51 | 20 | 1 | 25 | 20 | 27 | 53 | 35 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 333 | 222.0 (24.1) |

Dataset = cfdwrbvr.d14

Table 100. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from largemouth bass collected in the fall from Beaver Lake in 2014.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 2013 | 22 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 18 | 5.7 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 25 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 4 | 5.5 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 3 | 6.4 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 4 | 7.5 | 11.3 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 17.3 | 18.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 1 | 6.3 | 9.5 | 11.7 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 19.2 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 5.9 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 16.9 |  |
| 2002 | 1 | 5.3 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 16.9 |
| Mean | 79 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 11.3 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 15.9 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 16.9 |
| Smallest |  | 3.8 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 13.8 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 16.9 |
| Largest |  | 8.7 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 17.4 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 15.9 | 16.3 | 16.9 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 5.5 | 9.1 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 13.3 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 13.6 | 14.1 | 15.6 |  |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 5.9 | 9.7 | 11.7 | 14.1 | 15.7 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 18.3 | 19.1 | 15.9 |  |  |

Table 101. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Beaver Lake on 25 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 99 | 83 (1) | 21 | 84 (2) | 10 | 95 (3) | 130 | 84 (1) |

Dataset = cfdwrbvr.d14

Table 102. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Beaver Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2000 | Total | 3.7 | 0.1 | 127.3 | 32.9 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 47.8 | 5.7 |
| 2001 | Total | 4.6 | 0.1 | 139.3 | 28.1 | 40.7 | 13.9 | 35.4 | 8.9 |
| 2002 | Total | 4.4 | 0.1 | 104.0 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 4.6 | 133.2 | 9.3 |
| 2003 | Total | 3.7 | 0.1 | 117.3 | 22.0 | 0.0 |  | 97.6 | 5.0 |
| 2004 | Total | 3.7 | 0.1 | 86.7 | 17.1 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 38.7 | 10.7 |
| 2005 | Total | 4.0 | 0.03 | 199.3 | 26.3 | 18.7 | 4.1 | 108.3 | 10.2 |
| 2006 | Total | 4.3 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| 2007 | Total | 4.6 | 0.1 | 175.3 | 31.2 | 46.7 | 4.6 | 23.5 | 4.4 |
| 2008 | Total | 3.4 | 0.1 | 21.3 | 11.9 | 0.0 |  | 4.5 | 1.4 |
| 2009 | Total | 5.0 | 0.1 | 112.7 | 21.9 | 56.7 | 10.7 | 76.7 | 6.8 |
| 2010 | Total | 4.0 | 0.1 | 38.7 | 14.1 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 23.4 | 5.4 |
| 2011 | Total | 4.2 | 0.05 | 142.0 | 23.9 | 18.0 | 4.1 | 94.5 | 11.1 |
| 2012 | Total | 4.3 | 0.04 | 124.6 | 24.6 | 17.7 | 4.0 | 50.0 | 7.1 |
| 2013 | Total | 3.8 | 0.06 | 78.7 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 47.3 | 7.4 |
| 2014 | Total | 4.1 | 0.06 | 94.7 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 3.5 |  |  |

Table 103. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected in 0.625 hours of 7.5 -minute electrofishing runs in Beaver Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | CPUE |
| Species | 2 | 19 | 90 | 49 | 83 | 75 |  |  |  | 317 | $507.2(37.4)$ |
| Bluegill | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 22.4 (3.0) |  |

[^13]Table 104. PSD and RSD values calculated for sunfish collected during 0.625 hours of electrofishing at Beaver Lake during May 2014. Fish were collected in 7.5-minute runs.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 316 | $50( \pm 5)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| Redear sunfish | 14 | $78( \pm 22)$ | $42( \pm 27)$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a Bluegill }=\text { RSD }_{8} ; \text { Redear }}=\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$ |  |  |  |
| Dataset $=$ cfdpsbvr.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 105. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected from Beaver Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <3.0 in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |
| 1992 | 1.3 (0.9) | 54.2 (10.2) | 80.9 (15.1) | 0.0 | 136.4 (24.0) |
| 1993 | 2.5 (1.1) | 47.0 (6.2) | 79.5 (10.0) | 0.0 | 129.0 (12.6) |
| 1994 | 2.5 (1.1) | 130.0 (21.0) | 20.0 (4.0) | 0.0 | 152.5 (24.2) |
| 1995 | 2.0 (1.1) | 174.0 (18.4) | 16.5 (4.7) | 0.0 | 192.5 (17.3) |
| 1996 | 0.5 (0.5) | 184.5 (27.3) | 65.5 (11.5) | 0.0 | 250.5 (34.5) |
| 1997 | 2.5 (1.1) | 58.0 (12.6) | 86.5 (14.4) | 0.5 (0.5) | 147.5 (27.4) |
| 1998 | 0.5 (0.5) | 28.0 (4.3) | 88.0 (15.0) | 0.5 (0.5) | 117.0 (19.0) |
| 1999 | 14.0 (4.5) | 13.0 (5.5) | 10.5 (3.0) | 0.0 | 37.5 (8.3) |
| 2000 | 50.0 (12.7) | 322.0 (23.1) | 32.0 (13.6) | 7.5 (3.8) | 411.5 (41.2) |
| 2001 | 19.0 (5.1) | 211.5 (16.0) | 122.0 (15.2) | 0.0 | 352.5 (20.2) |
| 2002 | 5.6 (1.7) | 175.2 (22.9) | 152.8 (27.7) | 0.0 | 333.6 (44.7) |
| 2003 | 33.6 (6.4) | 141.6 (17.5) | 128.8 (21.9) | 0.0 | 304.0 (30.1) |
| 2004 | 36.0 (16.0) | 118.4 (32.4) | 143.2 (29.3) | 0.0 | 297.6 (56.4) |
| 2005 | 21.6 (4.5) | 109.6 (14.6) | 97.6 (19.3) | 4.0 (2.2) | 232.8 (19.7) |
| 2006 | 20.1 (4.9) | 60.9 (8.6) | 55.7 (13.5) | 8.3 (2.9) | 145.1 (24.7) |
| 2007 | 12.0 (2.6) | 34.4 (4.6) | 53.6 (9.5) | 2.4 (1.7) | 102.4 (10.4) |
| 2008 | 69.6 (11.1) | 112.4 (13.3) | 38.0 (6.3) | 4.0 (1.4) | 224.0 (24.6) |
| 2009 | 17.2 (5.1) | 60.4 (10.0) | 40.4 (5.9) | 1.6 (0.9) | 119.6 (15.3) |
| 2010 | 35.6 (8.2) | 134.8 (10.6) | 24.4 (5.9) | 4.4 (1.5) | 199.2 (17.5) |
| 2011 | 68.4 (20.3) | 299.2 (47.8) | 51.6 (8.1) | 5.2 (1.9) | 424.4 (70.4) |
| 2012 | 5.6 (2.1) | 131.2 (26.1) | 59.2 (15.1) | 0.0 | 196.0 (32.1) |
| 2013 | 1.6 (1.1) | 192.8 (16.5) | 77.6 (9.8) | 1.6 (1.6) | 273.6 (23.4) |
| 2014 | 1.6 (1.6) | 252.8 (33.4) | 252.8 (56.6) | 0.0 | 507.2 (37.4) |

Dataset = cfdpsbvr.d14 - .d92

Table 106. Population assessment for bluegill collected during spring electrofishing at Beaver Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessments).

| Year |  | Mean length age-2 at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 252.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 11 | Good |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 12 | Good |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 10 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.834 | 55.6 | 12 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.594 | 44.8 | 9 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.723 | 51.5 | 9 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.497 | 39.2 | 8 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.666 | 48.6 | 9 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 9 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 101.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.340 | 28.8 | 10 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 143.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 9 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 128.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 9 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 152.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 10 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 122.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 11 | Good |

* Age data not collected

Table 107. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected from Beaver Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <3.0 in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |
| 1992 | 0.4 (0.4) | 10.2 (2.8) | 90.2 (12.9) | 1.8 (1.0) | 0.4 (0.4) | 102.7 (13.2) |
| 1993 | 0.0 | 2.0 (1.5) | 57.0 (10.7) | 5.0 (2.0) | 0.0 | 64.0 (12.2) |
| 1994 | 0.0 | 6.5 (1.8) | 8.0 (2.6) | 2.5 (1.3) | 0.0 | 17.0 (4.1) |
| 1995 | 0.0 | 2.0 (1.1) | 12.5 (3.6) | 7.0 (2.7) | 0.0 | 21.5 (5.2) |
| 1996 | 0.0 | 6.0 (2.0) | 5.5 (2.5) | 8.0 (2.6) | 0.0 | 19.5 (5.1) |
| 1997 | 0.0 | 13.0 (1.8) | 9.0 (2.1) | 8.0 (1.7) | 0.0 | 30.0 (1.5) |
| 1998 | 0.0 | 3.5 (1.2) | 9.0 (2.0) | 9.5 (4.6) | 0.0 | 22.0 (5.7) |
| 1999 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 (0.5) | 7.5 (1.8) | 2.0 (1.1) | 8.0 (2.0) |
| 2000 | 1.0 (0.7) | 5.5 (2.0) | 3.5 (1.8) | 6.0 (2.0) | 1.5 (1.1) | 16.0 (3.7) |
| 2001 | 0.5 (0.5) | 34.5 (6.9) | 30.0 (6.8) | 8.5 (2.9) | 0.5 (0.5) | 73.5 (10.5) |
| 2002 | 0.0 | 49.6 (11.1) | 77.6 (18.1) | 7.2 (3.9) | 0.8 (0.8) | 134.4 (27.8) |
| 2003 | 0.8 (0.8) | 21.6 (6.1) | 87.2 (15.0) | 7.2 (3.3) | 0.0 | 116.8 (20.0) |
| 2004 | 0.0 | 38.4 (9.0) | 44.0 (8.7) | 26.4 (7.4) | 0.0 | 108.8 (17.1) |
| 2005 | 1.6 (1.1) | 46.4 (7.0) | 80.8 (12.4) | 62.4 (10.8) | 0.0 | 191.2 (22.6) |
| 2006 | 0.4 (0.4) | 46.1 (6.2) | 82.2 (6.2) | 35.7 (5.7) | 0.0 | 164.4 (13.8) |
| 2007 | 0.0 | 25.2 (6.1) | 74.0 (13.5) | 32.4 (6.6) | 0.0 | 125.3 (23.2) |
| 2008 | 10.0 (2.7) | 15.2 (2.5) | 58.4 (12.2) | 90.4 (16.5) | 0.0 | 174.0 (26.8) |
| 2009 | 0.8 (0.6) | 23.6 (4.8) | 26.8 (4.8) | 29.6 (5.8) | 0.0 | 80.8 (11.5) |
| 2010 | 0.4 (0.4) | 21.6 (3.9) | 27.6 (4.4) | 33.6 (7.0) | 1.2 (0.9) | 83.2 (10.5) |
| 2011 | 0.0 | 13.6 (3.4) | 11.2 (2.0) | 23.2 (4.9) | 0.0 | 48.0 (6.3) |
| 2012 | 0.0 | 5.6 (1.7) | 28.8 (4.3) | 68.0 (12.9) | 9.6 (2.6) | 102.4 (14.1) |
| 2013 | 0.0 | 6.4 (2.6) | 3.2 (1.3) | 12.0 (4.7) | 2.4 (1.7) | 21.6 (5.2) |
| 2014 | 0.0 | 3.2 (2.0) | 6.4 (1.6) | 12.8 (5.4) | 4.8 (3.2) | 22.4 (3.0) |

Dataset = cfdpsbvr.d14 - .d92

Table 108. Population assessment for redear sunfish collected during spring electrofishing at Beaver Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Years to 8.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 8.8* | 2-2+ | 12.8 | 4.8 |  |  | 14 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 8.8 | 2-2+ | 12.0 | 2.4 |  |  | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 7.5 | 3-3+ | 68.0 | 9.6 | 0.342 | 29.0 | 16 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 7.6 | 3-3+ | 23.2 | 1.6 | 0.398 | 32.8 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 7.5 | 4-4+ | 33.6 | 1.2 | 0.435 | 35.3 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 6.7 | 4-4+ | 29.6 | 0.0 | 0.413 | 33.9 | 11 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 6.3 | 4-4+ | 90.4 | 0.0 | 0.243 | 21.6 | 10 | Fair |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 6.4 | 4-4+ | 32.4 | 0.0 | 0.898 | 59.3 | 10 | Fair |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 5.7 | 4-4+ | 35.7 | 0.0 | 0.410 | 33.6 | 9 | Fair |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 6.4 | 4-4+ | 62.4 | 0.0 | 0.373 | 31.1 | 10 | Fair |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 6.6* | 4-4+* | 26.4 | 0.0 |  |  | 11 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 6.6 | 4-4+ | 7.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 9 | Fair |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | 6.4* | 3-3+* | 7.2 | 0.8 |  |  | 10 | Fair |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 6.4 | 3-3+ | 8.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 10 | Fair |

* Age data not collected

Table 109. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Beaver Lake on 25 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Wr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |  |  |
| Bluegill | 3.0-5.9 in |  | $6.0-7.9$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 77 | 94 (2) | 50 | 83 (1) | 0 |  |  |  | 127 | 90 (1) |
|  | $1.0-3.9$ in |  | $4.0-6.9$ in |  | 7.0-9.0 in |  | $\geq 9.0$ in |  |  |  |
| Redear sunfish | 0 |  | 5 | 100 (3) | 3 | 103 (3) | 7 | 90 (1) | 15 | 96 (2) |

Dataset = cfdwrbvr.d14

Table 110. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of fish species collected in 0.75 hours of electrofishing in Benjy Kinman Lake on June 5, 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 87 | 116.0 |
| Bluegill | 1 | 4 | 7 | 23 | 40 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 105.3 |
| Redear sunfish |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6.7 |

Table 111. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of fish species collected in 0.50 hours of electrofishing in the 15 -acre pond on the Kentucky River WMA (Boone Tract) on June 5, 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 18 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 84 | 168.0 |
| Bluegill | 2 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 | 162.0 |

Table 112. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of fish species collected in 0.34 hours of electrofishing in the 6 -acre pond on the Kentucky River WMA (Boone Tract) on June 5, 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 37 | 108.8 |
| Bluegill | 1 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 23 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 170.6 |

Table 113. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.75 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Benjy Kinman Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 13 | 14 | 5 |  | 7 | 20 | 20 | 32 | 29 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 171 | 85.5 (15.5) |

Table 114. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from largemouth bass collected in the fall from Benjy Kinman Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 2013 | 18 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 15 | 6.1 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 6 | 5.8 | 8.8 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 24 | 6.4 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 12.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 7 | 6.8 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 4 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 14.1 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 14.9 | 15.7 | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 3 | 5.9 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 16.9 | 17.6 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 2 | 6.2 | 8.9 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 15.6 | 16.5 | 17.1 |  |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 4.1 | 8.8 | 11.6 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 19.1 |  |
| 2003 | 1 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 15.1 |
| Mean | 82 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 14.7 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 15.1 |
| Smallest |  | 4.1 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 14.9 | 15.1 |
| Largest |  | 9.0 | 12.8 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 18.3 | 19.1 | 20.3 | 21.0 | 19.1 | 15.1 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 5.8 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 12.8 |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 6.3 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 15.6 | 16.4 | 17.8 | 18.7 | 20.2 | 21.1 |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagbkl.d14

Table 115. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Benjy Kinman Lake on 9 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 101 | 83 (1) | 12 | 86 (1) | 19 | 92 (2) | 132 | 84 (1) |

Table 116. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Benjy Kinman Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2014 | Total | 4.2 | 0.1 | 16.0 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 1.3 |  |  |

Table 117. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of white and black crappie collected in 2.50 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for crappie in Benjy Kinman Lake in October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |  |
| White crappie | 5 | 28 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 38 | 15.2 (6.0) |
| Black crappie | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 20 | 8.0 (3.7) |

Dataset $=$ cfdwrbkl.d14

Table 118. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from white crappie collected in the fall from Benjy Kinman Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 2012 | 3 | 4.6 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 1 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 5 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 6 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.4 |  |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| Mean | 20 | 4.4 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| Smallest |  | 3.3 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| Largest |  | 5.5 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.1 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 |  |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 4.6 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 9.8 |  |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagbkl.d14

Table 119. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from black crappie collected in the fall from Benjy Kinman Lake in 2014.


Table 120. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 2.0 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs in Boltz Lake, April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural | 1 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 40 | 26 | 19 | 24 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 206 | 103.0 (11.5) |
| 2013 |  | 99 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 53.0 (8.5) |
| 2012 |  |  |  | 2 | 14 | 19 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 19.5 (2.1) |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4.0 (0.0) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 105 | 8 | 23 | 54 | 45 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 352 | 176.0 (17.2) |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsbol.d14

Table 121. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Boltz Lake from 1991-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |
| 1991 |  | 43.6 (4.9) | 10.8 (2.0) | 6.5 (1.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 60.8 (6.6) |
| 1993 | 25.2 (6.4) | 70.0 (4.8) | 12.0 (2.3) | 7.3 (2.2) | 0.7 (0.7) | 114.8 (8.9) |
| 1994 | 48.4 (9.5) | 45.0 (5.7) | 32.4 (6.5) | 3.6 (1.4) | 1.0 (0.7) | 129.6 (9.6) |
| 1995 | 155.2 (10.8) | 50.0 (3.3) | 31.5 (3.9) | 6.0 (1.7) | 1.5 (1.1) | 242.4 (10.4) |
| 1997 | 34.8 (8.6) | 183.6 (29.4) | 36.8 (4.6) | 14.4 (2.2) | 1.8 (1.0) | 268.8 (38.6) |
| 1998 | 43.2 (6.0) | 172.0 (18.8) | 22.4 (3.3) | 9.6 (2.2) | 2.5 (0.7) | 247.2 (24.8) |
| 1999 | 87.2 (16.6) | 369.6 (42.4) | 90.4 (16.0) | 12.8 (6.8) | 4.8 (2.3) | 560.0 (31.2) |
| 2000 | 92.0 (30.4) | 148.0 (7.7) | 226.4 (18.4) | 8.8 (2.9) | 0.8 (0.8) | 475.2 (16.8) |
| 2001 | 24.0 (5.2) | 212.8 (15.8) | 133.6 (13.0) | 9.6 (3.5) | 0.0 (0.0) | 380.0 (26.3) |
| 2002 | 5.6 (2.7) | 101.6 (20.1) | 67.2 (11.4) | 45.6 (9.2) | 0.8 (0.8) | 220.0 (27.3) |
| 2003 | 10.7 (2.9) | 39.3 (10.4) | 61.3 (12.9) | 40.0 (5.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 151.3 (25.1) |
| 2004 | 64.0 (12.9) | 38.5 (4.9) | 19.5 (4.4) | 25.5 (5.9) | 2.0 (0.8) | 147.5 (22.9) |
| 2005 | 69.0 (10.1) | 39.5 (4.0) | 21.0 (2.4) | 20.0 (6.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 149.5 (8.4) |
| 2006 | 11.5 (1.4) | 48.0 (4.7) | 17.0 (3.7) | 18.0 (2.9) | 1.0 (0.7) | 94.5 (9.9) |
| 2007 | 28.5 (3.8) | 37.0 (2.4) | 17.0 (3.9) | 20.0 (3.9) | 1.0 (0.7) | 102.5 (11.8) |
| 2008 | 19.0 (2.2) | 43.5 (7.3) | 18.5 (2.1) | 17.5 (3.0) | 4.0 (1.5) | 98.5 (7.1) |
| 2009 | 10.0 (2.5) | 39.5 (3.2) | 22.0 (3.9) | 29.5 (5.1) | 4.0 (1.5) | 101.0 (8.1) |
| 2010 | 50.5 (5.6) | 51.0 (4.9) | 32.5 (4.4) | 24.5 (2.4) | 4.0 (1.3) | 148.5 (10.7) |
| 2011 | 13.0 (3.8) | 55.5 (4.6) | 33.0 (5.7) | 19.0 (4.2) | 3.5 (1.2) | 120.5 (7.4) |
| 2012 | 4.5 (1.2) | 35.0 (4.0) | 15.5 (2.8) | 11.0 (2.5) | 2.5 (1.5) | 66.0 (4.9) |
| 2013 | 66.5 (14.6) | 67.5 (6.7) | 17.5 (2.0) | 13.5 (2.6) | 2.5 (1.1) | 165.0 (13.6) |
| 2014 | 68.5 (10.5) | 73.0 (6.5) | 18.5 (3.5) | 16.0 (3.6) | 2.5 (0.7) | 176.0 (17.2) |

Dataset = cfdpsbol.d14-.d91

Table 122. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in Boltz Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 215 | $32( \pm 6)$ | $14( \pm 5)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsbol.d14

Table 123. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Boltz Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 12.0-14.9 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 9 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.378 | 31.5 | 11 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 0.290 | 25.2 | 13 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{\star} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.5^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.358 | 30.1 | 9 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.6^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.5^{\wedge} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 8 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.6^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.0^{\wedge} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.377 | 31.4 | 10 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.334 | 28.4 | 12 | Good |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.349 | 29.5 | 8 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.0 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 226.4 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.550 | 42.3 | 12 | Good |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 124. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Boltz Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 6 | 19 | 27 | 6 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 156 | 104.0 (18.1) |

Dataset = cfdwrbol.d14

Table 125. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Boltz Lake on 8 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 43 | 88 (1) | 17 | 87 (2) | 11 | 99 (2) | 71 | 90 (1) |

Table 126. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Boltz Lake.

| Year class | No. of fish | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 1997 | 145 | 4.2 | 0.04 | 96.7 | 11.3 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 25.9 | 4.4 |
| 1998 | 147 | 5.0 | 0.05 | 98.0 | 12.0 | 48.0 | 5.8 | 77.7 | 31.0 |
| 1999 | 170 | 5.2 | 0.07 | 113.3 | 16.2 | 68.7 | 13.0 | 55.0 | 24.7 |
| 2000 | 19 | 3.0 | 0.27 | 12.7 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 1. | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 2001 | 46 | 3.2 | 0.09 | 30.7 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 2002 | 50 | 3.7 | 0.10 | 28.6 | 7.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2003* | 27 | 3.7 | 0.15 | 18.0 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 7.0 | 2.2 |
| 2004* | 80 | 4.1 | 0.07 | 53.3 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 15.0 | 3.4 |
| 2005* | 34 | 3.9 | 0.11 | 22.7 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| 2006 | 90 | 4.6 | 0.06 | 60.0 | 7.5 | 18.7 | 3.7 | 20.5 | 3.6 |
| 2007 | 17 | 4.2 | 0.21 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| 2008 | 108 | 3.6 | 0.07 | 72.0 | 11.9 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.6 |
| 2009 | 51 | 4.6 | 0.13 | 34.0 | 8.9 | 13.3 | 2.0 | 16.7 | 3.6 |
| 2010 | 54 | 4.9 | 0.11 | 36.0 | 5.8 | 18.0 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 2.7 |
| 2011 | 91 | 4.7 | 0.08 | 60.7 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| 2012 | 127 | 4.4 | 0.07 | 84.7 | 12.2 | 18.7 | 5.6 | 21.5 | 4.3 |
| 2013* | 102 | 4.4 | 0.09 | 68.0 | 16.2 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| 2014 | 58 | 4.0 | 0.10 | 38.7 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 3.3 |  |  |

*Only includes wild largemouth bass CPUE for age-1 year class, stocked largemouth bass were marked by fin clip and removed from dataset.

Table 127. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill collected in 1.25 hour of 7.5 -minute electrofishing runs in Boltz Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total | CPUE |
| Bluegill | 14 | 53 | 107 | 21 | 96 | 109 | 400 | $320.0(37.6)$ |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsbol.d14

Table 128. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected from Boltz Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $<3.0$ in | $3.0-5.9$ in | $6.0-7.9$ in | $\geq 8.0$ in | Total |
| 1991 | $0.5(0.5)$ | $60.8(8.5)$ | $10.8(2.1)$ |  | $72.4(9.6)$ |
| 1993 | $15.2(7.4)$ | $57.2(15.8)$ | $10.0(5.2)$ | $02.8(24.0)$ |  |
| 1994 | $26.0(7.3)$ | $131.6(17.6)$ | $30.5(5.1)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $188.4(25.6)$ |
| 1995 | $50.0(9.8)$ | $232.5(31.7)$ | $57.6(12.8)$ | $1.5(0.7)$ | $347.6(46.0)$ |
| 1997 | $91.5(16.9)$ | $43.0(7.5)$ | $39.2(7.0)$ | $5.4(2.0)$ | $179.2(19.9)$ |
| 1998 | $886.9(210.8)$ | $94.6(13.8)$ | $53.1(7.7)$ | $13.1(2.3)$ | $1047.7(216.9)$ |
| 1999 | $144.6(30.7)$ | $140.0(51.5)$ | $35.4(6.9)$ | $6.9(3.1)$ | $326.2(62.3)$ |
| 2000 | $1799.2(73.5)$ | $393.8(19.4)$ | $10.8(3.2)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $2204.6(63.8)$ |
| 2001 | $167.8(51.5)$ | $257.7(40.0)$ | $11.5(3.8)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $437.7(60.0)$ |
| 2002 | $174.6(26.8)$ | $396.2(45.6)$ | $16.9(3.6)$ | $587.7(62.4)$ |  |
| 2003 | $156.9(49.4)$ | $373.1(26.3)$ | $51.5(16.5)$ | $581.5(47.7)$ |  |
| 2004 | $313.3(29.9)$ | $261.1(27.2)$ | $31.8(12.0)$ | $606.2(58.8)$ |  |
| 2005 | $131.5(16.0)$ | $205.4(34.3)$ | $15.4(5.4)$ | $352.3(35.8)$ |  |
| 2006 | $229.0(42.0)$ | $367.0(41.6)$ | $39.0(12.0)$ |  | $635.0(63.5)$ |
| 2007 | $208.8(29.9)$ | $135.2(23.1)$ | $30.4(8.2)$ | $374.4(44.3)$ |  |
| 2008 | $202.4(28.5)$ | $263.2(33.7)$ | $41.6(5.8)$ | $507.2(54.2)$ |  |
| 2009 | $5.6(1.7)$ | $165.6(29.4)$ | $44.8(12.6)$ |  | $216.0(34.5)$ |
| 2010 | $73.6(18.7)$ | $84.8(15.4)$ | $100.8(23.6)$ |  | $259.2(32.2)$ |
| 2011 | $331.2(46.3)$ | $237.6(34.0)$ | $164.0(42.4)$ |  | $732.8(78.4)$ |
| 2012 | $63.2(21.8)$ | $401.6(54.5)$ | $119.2(21.1)$ |  | $584.0(62.2)$ |
| 2013 | $36.8(11.5)$ | $162.4(20.0)$ | $117.6(19.7)$ |  | $316.8(33.8)$ |
| 2014 | $11.2(3.0)$ | $144.8(21.1)$ | $164.0(28.2)$ |  | $320.0(37.6)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsbol.d14

Table 129. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ values calculated for bluegill collected during 1.25 hours of electrofishing at Boltz Lake during May 2014. Fish were collected in 7.5-minute runs.

| Species | No. $\geq 3.0$ in | PSD | $R^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 386 | $53( \pm 5)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsbol.d14

Table 130. Population assessment for bluegill collected during spring electrofishing at Boltz Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessments).

| Year |  | Mean length age-2 at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+* \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 117.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 11 | Good |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 11 | Good |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.522 | 40.7 | 11 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 11 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.904 | 59.5 | 7 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.095 | 66.6 | 7 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | NA | NA | 9 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.830 | 56.4 | 8 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-4+ \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.097 | 66.6 | 5 | Poor |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-4+ \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.012 | 63.7 | 5 | Poor |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.379 | 31.5 | 8 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.640 | 80.6 | 6 | Poor |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.794 | 83.4 | 7 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.593 | 79.7 | 9 | Fair |

* Age data not collected

Table 131. Mean back calculated lengths (in.) at each annulus for otoliths from bluegill collected in the fall from Boltz Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 20 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 13 | 2.8 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 9 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 10 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 54 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| Smallest |  | 1.7 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| Largest |  | 4.4 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $95 \%$ ConLo |  | 2.7 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 3.0 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| Inercent value $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagbol.d14

Table 132. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of bluegill collected at Boltz Lake on 8 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No. | Wr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |  |  |
|  | $3.0-5.9$ in |  | $6.0-7.9$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  | Total |  |
| Bluegill | 62 | 88 (2) | 39 | 75 (1) | 0 |  |  |  | 101 | 83 (1) |

Dataset = cfdwrbol.d14

Table 133. Length composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish at Boltz Lake. Channel catfish were collected using baited, tandem hoop nets ( 72 hours soak time) that were set on 6 October 2014. Nets were pulled three days after setting them, and 3 sets of tandem nets were used for the sampling event.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Average per set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 2.3 (2.3) |

Dataset = cfdhnbol.d14

Table 134. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ values obtained for channel catfish from tandem hoop net samples in Boltz Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $_{24}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel catfish | 5 | $0( \pm 0)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdhnbol.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 135. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of channel catfish collected at Boltz Lake in October 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11.0-15.9 in |  | 16.0-23.9 in |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Channel catfish | Total | 5 | 93 (5) |  |  |  |  | 5 | 93 (5) |

Dataset = cfdhnbol.d14

Table 136. CPUE (fish/set) for each length group of channel catfish collected by hoop net from Boltz Lake from 2009-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\geq 12.0$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | Total |
| 2006 | $43.8(12.5)$ | $6.0(2.1)$ | $1.8(0.8)$ | $274.2(95.6)$ |
| 2007 | $31.2(3.3)$ | $6.4(1.0)$ | $0.8(0.4)$ | $76.8(12.7)$ |
| 2008 | $9.6(3.1)$ | $1.6(0.8)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | $27.4(7.2)$ |
| 2009 | $29.8(14.0)$ | $4.0(1.6)$ | $0.2(0.2)$ | $57.8(27.7)$ |
| 2010 | $15.6(3.8)$ | $3.6(1.3)$ | $0.4(0.4)$ | $32.6(9.0)$ |
| 2011 | No Sample |  |  |  |
| 2012 | $1.7(4.7)$ | $1.0(1.0)$ | $0.3(0.3)$ | $2.3(1.2)$ |
| 2013 | No Sample |  |  |  |
| 2014 | $1.3(1.3)$ | $0.3(0.3)$ | 0.0 | $2.3(2.3)$ |

Dataset = cfdhnbol.d14-.d06

Table 137. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 2.0 hours of 15 -minute nocturnal electrofishing runs in Bullock Pen Lake, April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 28 | 31 | 26 | 38 | 54 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 379 | 189.5 (14.0) |

Dataset = cfdpsbpl.d14

Table 138. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Bullock Lake from 1991-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |
| 1991 |  | 36.6 | 22.8 | 16.4 | 1.7 (0.7) | 75.2 |
| 1994 | 10.0 (2.3) | 17.5 (2.8) | 37.6 (3.6) | 40.0 (9.9) | 2.5 (1.1) | 104.0 (12.4) |
| 1995 | 7.0 (1.6) | 36.4 (4.7) | 33.2 (4.4) | 40.8 (5.6) |  | 117.6 (9.9) |
| 1996 | 10.5 (2.5) | 26.5 (4.6) | 26.0 (6.0) | 30.5 (6.1) |  | 93.6 (11.6) |
| 1997 | 18.0 (3.5) | 71.6 (8.7) | 34.4 (3.3) | 34.4 (6.1) | 2.0 (0.9) | 158.4 (17.3) |
| 1998 | 18.0 (4.4) | 43.6 (4.8) | 39.6 (9.2) | 33.2 (7.2) | 3.5 (1.6) | 139.2 (19.2) |
| 1999 | 14.0 (3.6) | 40.4 (4.0) | 35.2 (4.0) | 38.4 (12.0) | 0.5 (0.5) | 128.0 (14.0) |
| 2000 | 14.5 (4.8) | 35.5 (5.0) | 21.0 (3.1) | 42.4 (9.8) | 0.5 (0.5) | 113.5 (6.5) |
| 2001 | 9.0 (3.2) | 33.5 (4.3) | 38.5 (7.2) | 66.0 (15.2) | 2.5 (1.1) | 147.2 (16.4) |
| 2002 | 6.5 (1.7) | 29.5 (3.0) | 41.5 (7.2) | 54.5 (10.4) | 1.5 (0.7) | 132.0 (16.5) |
| 2003 | 9.0 (2.5) | 19.5 (2.3) | 32.5 (4.1) | 56.5 (8.8) | 0.5 (0.5) | 117.5 (9.8) |
| 2004 | 6.5 (1.3) | 31.5 (3.7) | 45.0 (8.5) | 57.5 (11.4) | 2.5 (1.5) | 140.5 (13.4) |
| 2005 | 9.5 (1.3) | 17.0 (2.6) | 38.0 (5.8) | 63.0 (13.7) | 3.5 (1.4) | 127.5 (15.5) |
| 2006 | 13.5 (4.3) | 35.5 (6.0) | 25.5 (3.9) | 62.5 (8.4) | 1.0 (0.7) | 137.0 (8.7) |
| 2007 | 17.5 (3.5) | 44.5 (6.7) | 32.0 (2.8) | 44.0 (8.1) | 0.5 (0.5) | 138.0 (6.1) |
| 2008 | 9.5 (2.9) | 47.5 (5.8) | 75.0 (5.7) | 62.5 (9.3) | 1.5 (1.1) | 194.5 (11.7) |
| 2009 | 5.5 (2.0) | 45.5 (7.4) | 42.5 (5.0) | 54.0 (5.4) | 7.5 (1.2) | 147.5 (13.8) |
| 2010 | 33.0 (7.1) | 26.8 (3.7) | 28.3 (3.4) | 44.3 (6.2) | 1.8 (0.6) | 132.3 (13.9) |
| 2011 | 22.0 (4.3) | 39.0 (5.4) | 31.0 (3.3) | 43.0 (6.4) | 0.5 (0.5) | 135.0 (11.2) |
| 2012 | 25.5 (2.4) | 80.5 (7.9) | 43.0 (4.1) | 63.5 (10.0) | 3.0 (1.3) | 212.5 (9.4) |
| 2013 | No sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 13.0 (2.7) | 61.5 (8.5) | 57.0 (6.9) | 58.0 (3.2) | 4.5 (1.4) | 189.5 (14.0) |

Dataset = cfdpsbpl.d14-.d91

Table 139. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in Bullock Pen Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 353 | $65( \pm 5)$ | $32( \pm 6)$ |

Dataset = cfdpsbpl.d14

Table 140. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Bullock Pen Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Spring CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\qquad$ | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2013 | Value Score |  |  |  | No s | mple |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.422 | 34.4 | 10 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.2^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.2^{\star} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.2^{\star} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.2^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.238 | 21.2 | 11 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0^{\wedge} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.323 | 27.6 | 10 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.375 | 31.2 | 13 | Good |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.174 | 16.0 | 11 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.186 | 17.0 | 9 | Fair |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 141. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Bullock Pen Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 14 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 167 | 111.3 (14.4) |

Dataset = cfdwrblp.d14

Table 142. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Bullock Pen Lake on 19 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 49 | 86 (1) | 48 | 90 (1) | 40 | 101 (1) | 137 | 92 (1) |

Table 143. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Bullock Pen Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. <br> error |
| 1997 | Total | 3.6 | (0.1) | 34.0 | (11.9) | 0.7 | (0.7) | 3.0 | (1.7) |
| 1998 | Total | 3.5 | (0.1) | 28.0 | (8.4) | 1.3 | (1.3) | 4.0 | (0.9) |
| 1999 | Total | 3.7 | (0.1) | 30.0 | (6.1) | 2.0 | (1.4) | 6.8 | (2.6) |
| 2000 | Total | 3.8 | (0.3) | 6.3 | (1.5) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2001 | Total | 3.6 | (0.2) | 12.0 | (2.7) | 1.3 | (0.8) | 0.5 | (0.5) |
| 2002 | Total | 3.1 | (0.1) | 17.3 | (4.6) | 0.0 |  | 1.8 | (0.7) |
| 2003 | Total | 3.3 | (0.1) | 22.0 | (8.1) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2004 | Total | 4.1 | (0.2) | 16.0 | (3.7) | 4.0 | (1.5) | * |  |
| 2005 | Total | 3.5 | (0.1) | 28.0 | (8.1) | 2.0 | (0.9) | 2.5 | (1.3) |
| 2006 | Total | 4.2 | (0.2) | 4.0 | (1.5) | 0.0 |  | 3.4 | (1.1) |
| 2007 | Total | 4.1 | (0.2) | 6.7 | (2.0) | 0.7 | (0.7) | 2.1 | (1.1) |
| 2008 | Total | 4.1 | (0.2) | 20.7 | (5.6) | 5.3 | (1.7) | 0.8 | (0.5) |
| 2009 | Total | 4.5 | (0.4) | 8.7 | (2.4) | 4.7 | (1.9) | 3.7 | (1.4) |
| 2010 | Total | 4.8 | (0.1) | 42.7 | (8.0) | 20.0 | (3.7) | 5.1 | (1.6) |
| 2011 | Total | 3.8 | (0.1) | 38.0 | (4.2) | 5.3 | (2.0) | 9.5 | (1.1) |
| 2012 | Total | 4.0 | (0.1) | 22.7 | (5.2) | 1.3 | (0.8) | NS | NS |
| 2013 | Total | 4.0 | (0.2) | 14.7 | (2.0) | 1.3 | (0.8) | 2.5 | (0.7) |
| 2014 | Total | 4.0 | (0.2) | 16.0 | (3.1) | 4.0 | (1.5) |  |  |

*Largemouth bass were stocked, and were not able to be distinguished from the wild age-1 largemouth bass

Table 144. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of saugeye collected in 2.0 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs in Bullock Pen Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |
| Saugeye | 1 | 8 |  |  | 10 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 31 | 15.5 (0.9) |

Dataset = cfdwrbpl.d14

Table 145. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from white crappie collected in the fall from Bullock Pen Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 2013 | 12 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 16 | 4.4 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 12 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 5 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 8 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 10 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 8.7 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 3 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 9.0 |  |  |
| 2006 | 2 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.3 |  |
| 2005 | 3 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 8.0 |
| Mean | 71 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 8.0 |
| Smallest |  | 2.8 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.6 |
| Largest |  | 6.1 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 10.5 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.1 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 4.5 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 10.5 |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset = cfdagbpl.d14

Table 146. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from black crappie collected in the fall from Bullock Pen Lake in 2014.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2013 | 12 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 4.9 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 3 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 7.8 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 3 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.9 |  |  |
| 2008 | 2 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.1 |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| Mean | 24 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 9.3 |
| Smallest |  | 3.4 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 9.3 |
| Largest |  | 5.0 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 4.0 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 4.3 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 9.2 |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset = cfdagbpl.d14

Table 147. Length composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/set) of channel catfish at Bullock Pen Lake. Channel catfish were collected using baited, tandem hoop nets ( 72 hours soak time) that were set on 6 October 2014. Nets were pulled three days after setting them and 3 sets of tandem nets were used for the sampling event

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Average per set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Channel catfish | 18 | 46 | 36 | 45 | 41 | 23 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 3 | 253 | 84.3 (13.6) |

Dataset = cfdhnbpl.d14

Table 148. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{24}$ values obtained for channel catfish from tandem hoop net samples in Bullock Pen Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $_{24}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Channel catfish | 153 | $12( \pm 7)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdhnbpl.d14 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdhnbpl.d14

Table 149. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of channel catfish collected at Bullock Pen Lake in October 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11.0-15.9 in |  | 16.0-23.9 in |  | $\geq 24.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Channel catfish | Total | 117 | 90 (1) | 18 | 94 (3) | 0 |  | 135 | 90 (1) |

Dataset = cfdhnbpl.d14

Table 150. CPUE (fish/set) for each length group of channel catfish collected by hoop net from Bullock Pen Lake from 2007-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  |  | Length group |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\geq 12.0$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | $1.0(0.6)$ |
| 2007 | $44.0(24.6)$ | $6.2(4.3)$ | $0.4(0.4)$ | $43.0(102.7)$ |
| 2008 | $10.8(3.2)$ | $2.8(1.1)$ | $0.6(0.4)$ | $64.6(39.9)$ |
| 2009 | $25.0(12.1)$ | $6.0(2.9)$ | $1.2(1.0)$ | $69.0(20.4)$ |
| 2010 | $36.2(5.6)$ | $15.2(2.1)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $31.7(11.7)$ |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | $5.0(1.5)$ | $1.3(0.3)$ | $1.3(0.3)$ | $84.3(13.6)$ |
| 2013 |  | $9.3(1.9)$ |  |  |
| 2014 | $36.0(8.7)$ |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdhnbpl.d14-.d07

Table 151. Length frequency, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 2.0 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs in Corinth Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 7 | 15 | 22 | 14 | 8 | 46 | 78 | 115 | 66 | 28 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 435 | 217.5 (10.4) |

Dataset $=$ cfdpscor.d14

Table 152. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Corinth Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $<8.0$ in | $8.0-11.9$ in | $12.0-14.9$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | Total |
| 1992 | $31.0(9.3)$ | $22.5(5.3)$ | $5.0(2.6)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $58.5(9.8)$ |
| 1993 | $34.0(8.2)$ | $111.3(11.5)$ | $7.3(2.4)$ | $2.0(1.4)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $154.7(13.5)$ |
| 1996 | $53.5(10.1)$ | $174.5(16.7)$ | $14.5(2.0)$ | $4.5(1.6)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $247.0(18.1)$ |
| 1998 | $15.5(3.2)$ | $111.5(9.8)$ | $19.0(3.0)$ | $4.0(1.7)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $150.0(14.4)$ |
| 1999 | $137.0(14.2)$ | $56.5(5.2)$ | $24.5(4.3)$ | $3.5(1.2)$ | $1.0(0.7)$ | $221.5(16.4)$ |
| 2000 | $312.8(47.0)$ | $136.0(18.2)$ | $22.4(6.5)$ | $4.8(2.3)$ | $1.6(1.0)$ | $476.0(63.7)$ |
| 2001 | $127.2(16.6)$ | $231.2(8.0)$ | $20.8(5.1)$ | $9.6(3.2)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $388.8(13.5)$ |
| 2002 | $40.7(8.1)$ | $153.3(21.7)$ | $13.3(2.9)$ | $16.7(2.8)$ | $1.3(1.3)$ | $224.0(28.7)$ |
| 2003 | $58.0(13.6)$ | $146.0(16.4)$ | $23.3(3.8)$ | $6.0(2.0)$ | $0.7(0.7)$ | $233.3(28.2)$ |
| 2004 | $23.0(4.8)$ | $77.5(5.0)$ | $40.0(4.3)$ | $5.0(1.5)$ | $1.0(1.0)$ | $145.5(8.0)$ |
| 2005 | $45.5(3.9)$ | $115.0(9.3)$ | $72.0(10.0)$ | $20.5(3.0)$ | $2.5(1.3)$ | $253.0(16.0)$ |
| 2006 | $15.0(2.7)$ | $74.5(6.8)$ | $29.0(1.3)$ | $34.5(4.7)$ | $1.5(0.7)$ | $153.0(8.8)$ |
| 2007 | $88.5(14.8)$ | $106.0(7.0)$ | $21.5(3.4)$ | $22.5(3.5)$ | $5.5(2.4)$ | $238.5(17.6)$ |
| 2008 | $52.0(9.7)$ | $199.0(17.0)$ | $69.5(4.8)$ | $37.5(3.9)$ | $7.5(1.9)$ | $358.0(25.2)$ |
| 2009 | $30.0(8.0)$ | $82.5(11.2)$ | $17.5(4.5)$ | $27.5(4.4)$ | $6.0(2.1)$ | $157.5(23.4)$ |
| 2010 | $77.5(7.0)$ | $60.0(8.3)$ | $8.5(1.6)$ | $21.0(4.9)$ | $4.0(1.3)$ | $167.0(13.6)$ |
| 2011 | $90.0(9.8)$ | $177.0(11.2)$ | $37.0(5.2)$ | $33.0(3.9)$ | $8.5(2.1)$ | $337.0(19.3)$ |
| 2012 | $32.5(6.1)$ | $175.0(15.3)$ | $37.0(4.9)$ | $23.5(4.0)$ | $8.5(2.3)$ | $268.0(21.2)$ |
| 2013 | $24.5(4.5)$ | $161.0(15.3)$ | $22.5(5.4)$ | $24.5(6.6)$ | $4.5(1.9)$ | $232.5(17.3)$ |
| 2014 | $33.0(5.5)$ | $152.5(9.7)$ | $17.0(3.8)$ | $15.0(2.6)$ | $3.0(1.5)$ | $189.5(14.0)$ |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdpscor.d14 - .d92

Table 153. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in Corinth Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 369 | $17( \pm 4)$ | $8( \pm 2)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdpscor.d14 |  |  |  |

Table 154. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Corinth Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).


* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 155. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Corinth Lake on 11 September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 3 | 77 | 5 | 4 | 21 | 31 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 28 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 241 | 160.7 (19.0) |

Table 156. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Corinth Lake on 11 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 72 | 82 (1) | 18 | 83 (5) | 6 | 97 (2) | 96 | 83 (1) |

Table 157. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Corinth Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 1999 | Total | 4.3 | 0.1 | 74.0 | 12.3 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 293.2 | 46.0 |
| 2000 | Total | 4.3 | 0.1 | 35.3 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 63.4 | 10.9 |
| 2001 | Total | 4.6 | 0.1 | 112.7 | 15.6 | 32.0 | 6.8 | 35.3 | 7.4 |
| 2002 | Total | 4.6 | 0.1 | 163.3 | 13.7 | 42.0 | 4.5 | 54.3 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | Total | 4.1 | 0.1 | 73.7 | 9.2 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 21.1 | 5.1 |
| 2004 | Total | 4.0 | 0.1 | 74.0 | 6.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 32.4 | 4.2 |
| 2005 | Total | 4.4 | 0.1 | 41.3 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 11.1 | 2.7 |
| 2006 | Total | 4.9 | 0.1 | 176.5 | 15.2 | 78.0 | 9.9 | 86.7 | 14.3 |
| 2007 | Total | 5.1 | 0.04 | 152.7 | 31.2 | 89.3 | 28.8 | 47.7 | 9.1 |
| 2008 | Total | 5.1 | 0.1 | 112.7 | 15.0 | 66.0 | 12.9 | 21.8 | 5.4 |
| 2009 | Total | 4.5 | 0.1 | 17.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 39.7 | 3.3 |
| 2010 | Total | 5.9 | 0.04 | 140.0 | 9.9 | 134.0 | 8.2 | 90.2 | 9.8 |
| 2011 | Total | 4.3 | 0.06 | 116.7 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 3.7 | 24.5 | 4.9 |
| 2012 | Total | 5.0 | 0.06 | 52.9 | 5.0 | 26.2 | 3.0 | 13.0 | 4.6 |
| 2013 | Total | 4.2 | 0.05 | 170.7 | 18.6 | 34.7 | 7.4 | 29.0 | 4.3 |
| 2014 | Total | 3.4 | 0.04 | 56.7 | 8.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |

Table 158. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected in 1.25 hours of 7.5-minute electrofishing runs in Corinth Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| Bluegill |  | 6 | 18 | 56 | 38 | 26 | 55 | 5 |  |  |  |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redear sunfish |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 11 | 20 | 21 | 1 | 56 | $44.8(16.0)$ |

Dataset $=$ cfdpscor.d14

Table 159. PSD and RSD values calculated for sunfish collected during 1.25 hours of electrofishing at Corinth Lake during May 2014. Fish were collected in 7.5-minute runs.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | $R^{2} D^{a}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 198 | $43( \pm 7)$ | $2( \pm 2)$ |
| Redear sunfish | 55 | $96( \pm 5)$ | $40( \pm 14)$ |

```
"a}\mathrm{ Bluegill = RSD8; Redear = RSD9
Dataset = cfdpscor.d14
```

Table 160. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected from Corinth Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |
| 1992 | 3.0 (1.7) | 36.0 (24.9) | 49.0 (8.5) | 10.0 (5.5) | 98.0 (30.4) |
| 1993 | 2.7 (1.3) | 42.0 (13.1) | 54.0 (10.9) | 20.7 (5.2) | 119.3 (26.2) |
| 1996 | 6.0 (3.9) | 75.0 (12.0) | 54.5 (14.5) | 1.5 (0.8) | 137.0 (25.9) |
| 1998 | 2.0 (1.1) | 80.0 (19.4) | 50.5 (10.3) | 3.0 (1.0) | 135.5 (23.7) |
| 1999 | 42.0 (17.1) | 113.0 (16.5) | 32.5 (7.2) | 17.0 (5.8) | 204.5 (26.6) |
| 2000 | 8.8 (2.5) | 270.4 (20.1) | 100.8 (12.0) | 20.8 (3.6) | 400.8 (25.9) |
| 2001 | 7.2 (4.0) | 185.6 (18.0) | 140.0 (14.8) | 5.6 (2.1) | 338.4 (23.5) |
| 2002 | 2.4 (1.2) | 140.0 (16.7) | 56.8 (12.1) | 0.0 | 199.2 (26.6) |
| 2003 | 14.2 (6.2) | 164.4 (14.1) | 91.6 (10.7) | 0.9 (0.9) | 271.1 (23.3) |
| 2004 | 17.6 (4.9) | 174.4 (15.9) | 61.6 (10.9) | 0.0 | 253.6 (22.7) |
| 2005 | 12.0 (4.2) | 262.4 (32.7) | 82.4 (22.2) | 0.0 | 356.8 (47.8) |
| 2006 | 40.4 (6.0) | 211.2 (17.9) | 32.8 (6.4) | 0.0 | 284.4 (14.7) |
| 2007 | 13.2 (2.6) | 148.8 (12.1) | 98.0 (10.2) | 0.0 | 260.0 (17.9) |
| 2008 | 4.8 (1.2) | 180.4 (13.7) | 105.2 (12.4) | 0.4 (0.4) | 290.8 (18.8) |
| 2009 | 9.2 (4.0) | 151.6 (15.3) | 166.8 (19.4) | 0.0 | 327.6 (30.6) |
| 2010 | 9.4 (2.6) | 126.6 (11.1) | 55.1 (6.9) | 0.0 | 191.1 (15.5) |
| 2011 | 32.0 (6.9) | 222.8 (16.4) | 60.0 (10.5) | 0.0 | 314.8 (27.0) |
| 2012 | 2.4 (1.2) | 240.0 (24.6) | 56.8 (6.1) | 0.0 | 299.2 (27.7) |
| 2013 | 0.8 (0.8) | 60.0 (4.7) | 106.4 (13.3) | 0.0 | 167.2 (15.7) |
| 2014 | 4.8 (2.1) | 89.6 (14.4) | 64.8 (10.4) | 4.0 (1.3) | 163.2 (23.1) |

[^14]Table 161. Population assessment for bluegill collected during spring electrofishing at Corinth Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-2 at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | Good |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Fair |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Fair |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | Good |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | Good |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 16 | Excellent |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 162. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected from Corinth Lake from 1992-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<3.0$ in | 3.0-5.9 in | 6.0-7.9 in | $\geq 8.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |
| 1992 | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| 1993 | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.0 (2.0) | 1.3 (1.3) | 2.0 (2.0) |
| 1996 | 0.5 (0.5) | 7.0 (2.8) | 5.5 (2.7) | 10.5 (3.5) | 4.0 (1.7) | 23.5 (3.9) |
| 1998 | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.0 (0.8) | 0.5 (0.5) | 19.0 (4.3) | 15.5 (3.3) | 23.5 (4.0) |
| 1999 | 0.0 (0.0) | 3.7 (1.6) | 2.7 (1.1) | 5.3 (1.5) | 3.2 (1.1) | 21.5 (3.5) |
| 2000 | 0.0 (0.0) | 14.4 (4.1) | 33.6 (15.8) | 52.8 (6.6) | 16.8 (4.2) | 100.8 (21.9) |
| 2001 | 1.6 (1.1) | 20.8 (5.0) | 54.4 (9.2) | 72.8 (10.0) | 44.0 (8.7) | 149.6 (15.6) |
| 2002 | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.0 (1.8) | 6.4 (2.0) | 82.4 (15.4) | 52.0 (8.7) | 92.8 (15.9) |
| 2003 | 0.9 (0.9) | 11.6 (3.6) | 11.6 (2.4) | 28.4 (5.2) | 24.9 (5.6) | 52.4 (6.1) |
| 2004 | 0.8 (0.8) | 13.6 (1.7) | 17.6 (5.2) | 19.2 (5.2) | 14.4 (3.3) | 51.2 (6.8) |
| 2005 | 0.0 (0.0) | 38.4 (4.4) | 28.8 (6.4) | 31.2 (11.1) | 3.2 (1.8) | 98.4 (17.3) |
| 2006 | 0.0 (0.0) | 19.6 (3.9) | 54.0 (6.6) | 7.6 (1.5) | 0.4 (0.4) | 81.2 (7.2) |
| 2007 | 0.0 (0.0) | 5.2 (1.3) | 37.6 (7.1) | 21.2 (5.5) | 0.0 (0.0) | 64.0 (11.7) |
| 2008 | 0.0 (0.0) | 10.4 (2.2) | 33.6 (4.5) | 27.6 (5.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 71.6 (7.9) |
| 2009 | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.4 (1.0) | 65.2 (7.6) | 38.0 (7.5) | 0.4 (0.4) | 105.6 (14.1) |
| 2010 | 0.9 (0.5) | 7.1 (1.5) | 18.9 (3.0) | 12.0 (2.5) | 0.0 (0.0) | 38.9 (5.0) |
| 2011 | 1.6 (0.7) | 26.0 (4.5) | 36.8 (3.0) | 20.0 (3.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 84.4 (8.0) |
| 2012 | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.8 (2.1) | 38.4 (8.4) | 24.0 (5.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 67.2 (14.2) |
| 2013 | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.6 (1.1) | 25.6 (3.7) | 29.6 (7.0) | 0.8 (0.8) | 56.8 (8.6) |
| 2014 | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.8) | 10.4 (3.8) | 33.6 (15.2) | 0.8 (0.8) | 44.8 (16.0) |

Dataset = cfdpscor.d14

Table 163. Population assessment for redear sunfish collected during spring electrofishing at Corinth Lake from 2002-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years to } \\ & 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 8.1 | 3-3+ | 33.6 | 0.8 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 7.8* | 3-3+* | 29.6 | 0.8 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 7.8 | 3-3+ | 24.0 | 0.0 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 7.8 | 3-3+ | 20.0 | 0.0 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 7.1 | 3-3+ | 12.0 | 0.0 | 11 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 7.7 | 3-3+ | 38.0 | 0.4 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 8.0 | 3-3+ | 27.6 | 0.0 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 7.6 | 3-3+ | 21.2 | 0.0 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 7.3 | 3-3+* | 7.6 | 0.4 | 11 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 7.6 | 3-3+ | 31.2 | 3.2 | 14 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 9.1* | 2-2+* | 19.2 | 14.4 | 16 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 9.1* | 2-2+* | 28.4 | 24.9 | 16 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | 9.1 | 2-2+ | 82.4 | 52.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Excellent |

* Age data not collected

Table 164. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from bluegill collected in the fall from Corinth Lake in 2014.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 2013 | 33 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 9 | 3.0 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 7 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 6 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 7.4 |  |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 7.6 |  |
| 2007 | 1 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 58 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Smallest |  | 1.4 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Largest |  | 4.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.6 |  |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 2.6 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 7.3 |  |  |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 3.0 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.4 |  |  |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset $=$ cfdagcor.d14

Table 165. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for otoliths from redear sunfish collected in the fall from Corinth Lake in 2014.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2013 | 21 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 4 | 3.6 | 7.1 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 3 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 8.1 |  |  |
| 2010 | 5 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 8.9 |  |
| 2009 | 2 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 9.2 |
| Mean | 35 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 9.2 |
| Smallest |  | 2.4 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.8 |
| Largest |  | 5.8 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.5 |
| Std Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 95\% ConLo |  | 3.3 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 8.4 |
| 95\% ConHi |  | 3.7 | 7.0 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 9.9 |

Intercept value $=0.00$
Dataset = cfdagcor.d14

Table 166. Number of fish and the relative weight $(\mathrm{Wr})$ for each length group of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Corinth Lake on 11 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | Wr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. $\quad \mathrm{Wr}$ | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |  |  |
|  | $3.0-5.9$ in | $6.0-7.9$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  | Total |  |
| Bluegill | 7196 (2) | 49 | 88 (1) | 0 |  |  |  | 120 | 93 (1) |
|  | $1.0-3.9$ in |  | 6.9 in |  | . 0 in | $\geq 9.0$ in |  | Total |  |
| Redear sunfish |  | 20 | 100 (2) | 9 | 95 (2) | 6 | 96 (3) | 35 | 98 (1) |

Dataset = cfdwrcor.d14

Table 167. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 2.0 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs in Elmer Davis Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 38 | 46 | 29 | 64 | 88 | 79 | 47 | 24 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 479 | 239.5 (31.7) |

Dataset = cfdpselm.d14

Table 168. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from Elmer Davis Lake from 1996-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $<8.0$ in | $8.0-11.9$ in | $12.0-14.9$ in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in | Total |
| 1996 | $102.0(15.3)$ | $163.5(19.5)$ | $37.0(6.2)$ | $9.5(3.4)$ | $4.5(1.4)$ | $312.0(32.7)$ |
| 1997 | $113.5(20.1)$ | $252.0(27.2)$ | $39.0(5.6)$ | $19.0(3.7)$ | $5.5(1.8)$ | $423.5(43.9)$ |
| 1998 | $52.5(9.5)$ | $93.3(6.8)$ | $16.8(2.3)$ | $7.5(1.7)$ | $3.2(1.1)$ | $170.1(15.1)$ |
| 1999 | $253.5(32.9)$ | $47.0(8.3)$ | $36.0(6.9)$ | $17.5(5.5)$ | $2.5(1.1)$ | $354.0(45.4)$ |
| 2000 | $134.5(14.7)$ | $136.5(11.0)$ | $31.5(6.0)$ | $29.0(4.4)$ | $2.0(1.3)$ | $331.5(21.3)$ |
| 2001 | $121.0(17.0)$ | $220.0(21.2)$ | $18.5(2.4)$ | $21.0(4.1)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $380.5(24.9)$ |
| 2002 | $99.0(16.3)$ | $124.0(12.3)$ | $4.0(1.3)$ | $10.0(2.7)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $237.0(26.2)$ |
| 2003 | $96.0(10.2)$ | $189.5(16.5)$ | $14.5(3.9)$ | $15.0(2.7)$ | $3.5(1.6)$ | $315.0(25.1)$ |
| 2004 | $107.5(10.0)$ | $123.5(10.0)$ | $22.0(3.5)$ | $15.0(1.7)$ | $3.5(1.6)$ | $268.0(17.4)$ |
| 2005 | $93.0(10.6)$ | $197.0(11.2)$ | $60.0(10.4)$ | $15.0(2.4)$ | $3.5(1.2)$ | $365.0(27.2)$ |
| 2006 | $74.5(11.5)$ | $123.5(12.2)$ | $40.5(7.9)$ | $6.5(1.8)$ | $1.0(0.7)$ | $245.0(15.4)$ |
| 2007 | $32.5(5.8)$ | $137.0(16.4)$ | $41.5(10.3)$ | $8.0(2.8)$ | $1.0(0.7)$ | $219.0(28.9)$ |
| 2008 | $149.0(17.9)$ | $188.0(20.7)$ | $45.0(5.6)$ | $14.5(4.0)$ | $2.0(1.3)$ | $396.5(35.2)$ |
| 2009 | $36.0(6.0)$ | $192.5(19.0)$ | $76.0(9.0)$ | $28.0(3.8)$ | $6.5(2.3)$ | $332.5(30.2)$ |
| 2010 | $41.0(5.0)$ | $147.5(17.9)$ | $71.5(12.3)$ | $24.0(5.0)$ | $3.0(1.3)$ | $284.0(33.5)$ |
| 2011 | $51.0(6.2)$ | $152.5(20.4)$ | $69.5(8.1)$ | $23.0(4.5)$ | $3.5(1.2)$ | $296.0(30.9)$ |
| 2012 | $83.5(8.8)$ | $197.5(10.9)$ | $85.5(7.3)$ | $27.5(3.7)$ | $4.5(1.2)$ | $394.0(12.4)$ |
| 2013 |  |  |  | No Sample |  |  |
| 2014 | $27.5(4.1)$ | $113.5(13.8)$ | $75.0(14.2)$ | $23.5(4.0)$ | $4.5(1.4)$ | $239.5(31.7)$ |

Dataset = cfdpselm.d14 - .d96

Table 169. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in Elmer Davis Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 424 | $46( \pm 5)$ | $11( \pm 3)$ |

Dataset = cfdpselm.d14

Table 170. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at Elmer Davis Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Spring CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | Sample |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 0.392 | 32.5 | 17 | Excellent |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8^{*} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8^{*} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8^{*} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.489 | 38.6 | 13 | Good |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.9^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.1^{\wedge} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.1^{\wedge} \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 15 | Good |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.481 | 38.2 | 13 | Good |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.5^{\wedge} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80.6^{\wedge} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 10 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.516 | 40.3 | 10 | Fair |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.618 | 46.1 | 13 | Good |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 171. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected in 1.25 hours of 7.5 -minute electrofishing runs in Elmer Davis Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | CPUE |
| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 211 | $168.8(26.5)$ |
| Bluegill | 2 | 20 | 64 | 59 | 24 | 20 | 22 |  |  |  | 21 | 289 |

Dataset = cfdpselm.d14

Table 172. PSD and RSD values calculated for sunfish collected during 1.25 hours of electrofishing at Elmer Davis Lake during May 2014. Fish were collected in 7.5-minute runs.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 189 | $22( \pm 6)$ |  |
| Redear sunfish | 271 | $28( \pm 5)$ | $3( \pm 2)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bluegill $=$ RSD $_{8}$; Redear $=$ RSD $_{9}$
Dataset $=$ cfdpselm.d14

Table 173. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected from Elmer Davis Lake from 1994-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Year | $<3.0$ in | $3.0-5.9$ in | $6.0-7.9$ in | $\geq 8.0$ in | Total |
| 1994 | $1.0(0.7)$ | $12.0(3.0)$ | $29.0(5.7)$ | $1.5(1.1)$ | $43.5(6.0)$ |
| 1995 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1996 | $42.0(7.9)$ | $75.0(9.7)$ | $55.0(11.2)$ | $20.0(5.4)$ | $192.0(22.5)$ |
| 1997 | $0.5(0.5)$ | $79.5(12.5)$ | $59.0(16.3)$ | $5.5(2.1)$ | $144.5(28.6)$ |
| 1998 | $2.7(1.1)$ | $17.1(4.5)$ | $7.7(1.6)$ | $2.9(1.1)$ | $30.4(5.8)$ |
| 1999 | $579.5(74.5)$ | $502.0(65.4)$ | $23.0(7.6)$ | $5.0(3.4)$ | $1,109.5(130.9)$ |
| 2000 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2001 | $1.5(0.8)$ | $109.5(28.0)$ | $157.0(23.5)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $268.5(49.6)$ |
| 2002 | $33.6(11.8)$ | $78.4(19.3)$ | $272.8(55.3)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $385.6(78.2)$ |
| 2003 | $17.6(4.7)$ | $89.6(12.9)$ | $151.2(30.1)$ | $2.4(1.7)$ | $260.8(37.1)$ |
| 2004 | $40.0(8.7)$ | $100.8(13.7)$ | $119.2(29.8)$ | $8.8(3.9)$ | $268.8(44.7)$ |
| 2005 | $38.4(11.4)$ | $92.8(16.1)$ | $59.2(9.8)$ | $8.8(3.0)$ | $199.2(23.9)$ |
| 2006 | $162.4(35.9)$ | $115.2(20.1)$ | $42.4(8.5)$ | $16.0(4.5)$ | $336.0(43.8)$ |
| 2007 | $7.6(1.8)$ | $81.2(7.4)$ | $42.8(9.7)$ | $9.2(2.4)$ | $140.8(14.9)$ |
| 2008 | $34.4(5.7)$ | $133.2(24.7)$ | $58.8(9.3)$ | $6.8(2.3)$ | $233.2(33.0)$ |
| 2009 | $8.8(1.8)$ | $58.1(6.5)$ | $33.9(3.7)$ | $1.1(0.5)$ | $101.9(7.3)$ |
| 2010 | $51.6(12.8)$ | $126.8(16.2)$ | $26.8(4.1)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $205.2(23.4)$ |
| 2011 | $112.4(19.6)$ | $226.0(18.9)$ | $50.0(7.3)$ | $5.6(2.5)$ | $394.0(36.2)$ |
| 2012 | $42.4(7.3)$ | $254.4(39.6)$ | $68.8(15.0)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $366.4(57.9)$ |
| 2013 | $49.6(18.2)$ | $179.2(28.4)$ | $54.4(14.8)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $284.0(56.5)$ |
| 2014 | $17.6(7.4)$ | $117.6(25.5)$ | $33.6(10.2)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $168.8(26.5)$ |
| Dataset $=$ cfdpselm.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 174. Population assessment for bluegill collected during spring electrofishing at Elmer Davis Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessments).

| Year |  | Mean length age-2 at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+* \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - |  | 8 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-3+ \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 9 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 1.305 | 72.9 | 10 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 11 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.471 | 77.0 | 8 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.9 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 9 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.748 | 52.7 | 11 | Good |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.718 | 51.2 | 11 | Good |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 0.464 | 37.1 | 15 | Excellent |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.729 | 51.7 | 11 | Good |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 128.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 12 | Good |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 153.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 12 | Good |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 273.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 12 | Good |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-2+ \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | 11 | Good |

* Age data not collected

Table 175. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected from Elmer Davis Lake from 1994-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $<3.0$ in | $3.0-5.9$ in | $6.0-7.9$ in | $\geq 8.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ in | Total |
| 1994 | 0.0 | $0.5(0.5)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $2.5(2.0)$ | $1.5(1.5)$ | $3.5(1.9)$ |
| 1995 |  |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1996 |  | $7.5(1.6)$ | $23.5(3.3)$ | $4.0(1.1)$ | $1.0(0.7)$ | $35.0(4.6)$ |
| 1997 | 0.0 | $1.0(1.0)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $13.0(3.8)$ | $0.5(0.5)$ | $14.5(4.6)$ |
| 1998 | 0.0 | $0.3(0.3)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0.3(0.3)$ |
| 1999 | 0.0 | $19.0(4.4)$ | $13.0(2.2)$ | $20.5(5.3)$ | 0.0 | $52.5(7.5)$ |
| 2000 |  |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2001 | 0.0 | $3.5(2.1)$ | $21.0(5.1)$ | $3.5(1.6)$ | $1.0(0.7)$ | $28.0(4.8)$ |
| 2002 | $0.8(0.8)$ | $4.0(1.8)$ | $8.8(4.7)$ | $15.2(4.2)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $28.8(6.1)$ |
| 2003 | $1.6(1.1)$ | $7.2(5.5)$ | $31.2(7.4)$ | $19.2(6.2)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $59.2(13.5)$ |
| 2004 | $4.0(2.7)$ | $8.0(3.4)$ | $66.4(18.4)$ | $24.8(9.7)$ | $3.2(2.4)$ | $103.2(29.1)$ |
| 2005 | 0.0 | $11.2(2.4)$ | $54.4(16.7)$ | $63.2(18.6)$ | $4.8(1.8)$ | $128.8(26.9)$ |
| 2006 | 0.0 | $12.8(4.0)$ | $4.8(1.8)$ | $30.4(6.5)$ | $4.0(1.3)$ | $51.2(10.0)$ |
| 2007 | $0.4(0.4)$ | $1.6(0.7)$ | $18.0(3.5)$ | $15.6(3.4)$ | $2.0(1.1)$ | $35.6(5.6)$ |
| 2008 | $1.2(0.7)$ | $13.2(2.7)$ | $40.8(9.2)$ | $17.6(5.3)$ | $2.8(1.5)$ | $72.8(14.7)$ |
| 2009 | $0.8(0.6)$ | $5.6(1.3)$ | $18.7(3.2)$ | $6.4(1.8)$ | $1.9(0.7)$ | $31.5(4.3)$ |
| 2010 | $1.2(0.9)$ | $3.2(1.4)$ | $23.6(2.7)$ | $13.2(2.9)$ | $0.8(0.6)$ | $41.2(4.7)$ |
| 2011 | $4.8(1.7)$ | $22.4(4.5)$ | $6.8(2.0)$ | $58.0(8.5)$ | $2.4(1.3)$ | $92.0(10.3)$ |
| 2012 | $5.6(2.6)$ | $31.2(5.3)$ | $44.0(9.3)$ | $31.2(7.2)$ | $4.8(1.3)$ | $112.0(11.6)$ |
| 2013 | $32.8(16.3)$ | $149.6(40.1)$ | $39.2(13.6)$ | $20.8(5.6)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $242.4(67.2)$ |
| 2014 | $0.8(0.8)$ | $146.4(37.0)$ | $56.8(19.7)$ | $27.2(7.8)$ | $0.8(0.8)$ | $231.2(53.2)$ |

Dataset = cfdpselm.d14

Table 176. Population assessment for redear sunfish collected during spring electrofishing at Elmer Davis Lake from 2001-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Years to 8.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 7.7* | 3-3+* | 27.2 | 0.8 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 7.7 | 3-3+ | 20.8 | 0.8 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 7.7 | 3-3+ | 31.2 | 4.8 | 15 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 8.7 | 2-2+ | 58.0 | 2.4 | 14 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 8.4 | 2-2+ | 13.2 | 1.2 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 8.0 | 3-3+ | 6.4 | 1.9 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 8.8 | 2-2+ | 17.6 | 2.8 | 15 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 8.6 | 2-2+ | 15.6 | 2.0 | 14 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 8.8 | 2-2+ | 30.4 | 4.0 | 15 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 8.7 | 2-2+ | 63.2 | 4.8 | 15 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 9.0* | 2-2+* | 24.8 | 3.2 | 14 | Excellent |
|  | Score |  | + | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 9.0 | 2-2+ | 19.2 | 0.8 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | , | 1 |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | $6.5^{*}$ | 4-4+* | 15.2 | 0.8 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 6.5 | 4-4+ | 3.5 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 |  | 1 | 9 | Fair |

* Age data not collected

Table 177. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.5 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing runs in Kincaid Lake in September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | CPCUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 30 | 4 | 3 |  | 4 | 28 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 11 | 2 |  | 1 | 219 | $146.0(17.5)$ |
| Dataset = cfdwrkin.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Dataset $=$ cfdwrkin.d14

Table 178. Number of fish and the relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Kincaid Lake on 18 September 2014; standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Total | 69 | 90 (1) | 38 | 93 (2) | 43 | 93 (2) | 150 | 92 (1) |

Table 179. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean length (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall in electrofishing samples at Kincaid Lake.

| Year class | No. of fish | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 1999 | 25 | 3.1 | (0.2) | 16.7 | (5.7) | 0.0 |  | 1.5 | (1.10) |
| 2000 | 11 | 3.1 | (0.2) | 4.7 | (1.6) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2001 | 36 | 2.9 | (0.1) | 20.6 | (6.7) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2002 | 76 | 2.6 | (0.1) | 43.4 | (10.6) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2003 | 33 | 2.8 | (0.1) | 22.0 | (4.7) | 0.0 |  | 1.0 | (0.7) |
| 2004 | 19 | 3.0 | (0.1) | 12.7 | (4.3) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2005 | 259 | 2.5 | (0.03) | 129.5 | (19.3) | 0.0 |  | 1.5 | (0.7) |
| 2006 | 64 | 2.7 | (0.1) | 42.7 | (11.9) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2007 | 29 | 3.2 | (0.1) | 19.3 | (4.8) | 0.7 | (0.7) | 1.0 | (0.7) |
| 2008 | 42 | 3.3 | (0.1) | 28.0 | (2.1) | 0.0 |  | 2.5 | (1.1) |
| 2009 | 47 | 2.7 | (0.04) | 31.3 | (8.2) | 0.0 |  | 1.3 | (0.5) |
| 2010 | 80 | 4.2 | (0.1) | 53.3 | (12.0) | 14.0 | (3.4) | 5.0 | (1.7) |
| 2011 | 112 | 3.8 | (0.1) | 74.7 | (28.8) | 7.3 | (4.2) | 4.5 | (1.4) |
| 2012 | 71 | 3.4 | (0.1) | 47.3 | (9.1) | 0.7 | (0.7) | 1.0 | (0.7) |
| 2013 | 56 | 3.6 | (0.1) | 37.3 | (13.8) | 0.0 |  | NS |  |
| 2014 | 37 | 2.6 | (0.1) | 24.7 | (7.4) | 0.0 |  |  |  |

Dataset = cfdwrkin.d14

Table 180. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 1.0 hour of 15-minute electrofishing runs for black bass in McNeely Lake in May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 47 | 52 | 41 | 27 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 232 | $232.0 .3)$ |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsmcl.d14

Table 181. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from McNeely Lake from 1996-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |
| 1996 | 77.3 (9.2) | 6.7 (2.0) | 18.0 (3.4) | 23.3 (2.8) | 0.0 (0.0) | 125.3 (11.0) |
| 1998 | 80.0 (11.1) | 134.7 (18.6) | 7.3 (2.2) | 14.0 (3.4) | 0.7 (0.7) | 236.0 (26.0) |
| 1999 | 71.0 (10.6) | 161.0 (4.4) | 27.0 (7.4) | 22.0 (5.3) | 2.0 (1.2) | 281.0 (7.5) |
| 2000 | 44.7 (5.0) | 144.7 (13.4) | 104.7 (13.8) | 20.7 (2.2) | 4.0 (1.5) | 314.7 (24.7) |
| 2001 | 71.3 (10.1) | 144.0 (6.4) | 97.7 (16.4) | 31.3 (3.8) | 2.7 (1.3) | 346.0 (28.1) |
| 2002 | 28.7 (3.0) | 48.0 (12.5) | 43.3 (4.8) | 9.3 (1.7) | 0.0 (0.0) | 129.3 (30.3) |
| 2003 | 44.7 (8.2) | 96.0 (12.4) | 56.0 (10.7) | 27.3 (3.2) | 1.3 (0.8) | 224.0 (19.7) |
| 2004 | 27.3 (4.3) | 58.0 (8.9) | 23.3 (4.3) | 28.0 (3.9) | 2.7 (1.3) | 136.7 (15.6) |
| 2005 | 23.3 (6.3) | 76.7 (5.9) | 46.0 (4.9) | 30.0 (6.2) | 1.3 (0.8) | 176.0 (8.6) |
| 2006 | 56.0 (5.6) | 72.7 (12.1) | 37.3 (6.5) | 24.0 (2.5) | 1.3 (0.8) | 190.0 (14.6) |
| 2007 | 14.7 (1.7) | 98.0 (11.9) | 46.7 (13.1) | 40.0 (8.9) | 1.3 (1.3) | 199.3 (30.8) |
| 2008 | 127.3 (6.5) | 124.0 (14.6) | 58.7 (6.6) | 20.7 (4.6) | 1.3 (0.8) | 330.7 (21.5) |
| 2009 | 66.7 (12.3) | 73.3 (10.9) | 28.0 (7.7) | 12.0 (3.3) | 1.3 (0.8) | 180.0 (17.2) |
| 2010 | 49.3 (2.2) | 92.7 (11.5) | 14.7 (2.0) | 14.0 (3.5) | 1.3 (0.8) | 170.7 (12.8) |
| 2011 | 76.0 (14.9) | 64.7 (14.5) | 27.3 (4.2) | 14.7 (2.7) | 2.7 (2.0) | 182.7 (18.8) |
| 2012 | 40.8 (7.5) | 109.6 (12.9) | 31.2 (8.4) | 21.6 (6.1) | 0.8 (0.8) | 203.2 (24.0) |
| 2013 | No Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 26.0 (6.2) | 167.0 (11.8) | 18.0 (2.6) | 21.0 (3.0) | 3.0 (1.0) | 232.0 (16.3) |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsmcl.d14 - d96

Table 182. PSD and RSD $_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass from spring electrofishing samples in McNeely Lake in 2014; confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 206 | $18( \pm 5)$ | $10( \pm 4)$ |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsmcl.d14

Table 183. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring electrofishing at McNeely Lake from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Spring CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ 12.0-14.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Instantaneous mortality <br> (z) | Annual mortality (AM) | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5^{*} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value Score |  |  |  |  | No Sample |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.356 | 30.0 | 9 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.4^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.4^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.8^{\wedge} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 11 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.4^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.8^{\wedge} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 130.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.527 | 40.9 | 16 | Good |
| 2007 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.0^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3^{\wedge} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.0^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50.7^{\wedge} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 14 | Good |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.0^{*} \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $12.7^{\wedge}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 13 | Good |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.319 | 27.3 | 13 | Good |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8^{*} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.0^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 12 | Good |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8^{*} \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.3^{\wedge} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 8 | Fair |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 0.392 | 32.4 | 15 | Good |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 10.4^{*} \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.7^{\wedge} \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104.7 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 15 | Good |

* Age data not collected
${ }^{\wedge}$ Calculations based on age data gathered in previous years
-Instantaneous and annual mortality not calculated in years where age and growth data are not collected

Table 184. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass and sunfish collected in 0.375 hours of electrofishing in Lincoln Homestead Lake, May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| Bluegill | 5 | 20 | 89 | 60 | 93 | 26 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 296 | 789.3 (167.3) |
| Redear sunfish |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 22 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 77.3 (28.2) |
| Largemouth bass |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  | 5 | 33 | 88.0 (28.1) |

Dataset = cfdpslhl.d14

## CENTRAL FISHERIES DISTRICT

## Stream Fishery Surveys - Warmwater Streams

## FINDINGS

Stream sampling conditions for 2014 are summarized in Table 1.
Diurnal electrofishing for black bass and rock bass was conducted during March and April 2014 at various locations on Elkhorn Creek. These studies were conducted to assess the black bass, especially smallmouth bass and rock bass populations. Length distribution and CPUE data of black bass and rock bass from Elkhorn Creek are presented in Table 2. Smallmouth bass comprised $39 \%$ of the black bass sampled in the North Fork Elkhorn Creek, whereas, smallmouth bass comprised $90 \%$ of the black bass sampled on the main stem Elkhorn Creek. No spotted bass were collected in North Fork Elkhorn Creek and represented 2\% of the black bass population in the main stem Elkhorn Creek. Largemouth bass comprised $60 \%$ of the black bass sampled in the North Fork Elkhorn Creek and $8 \%$ of the black bass sampled in the main stem Elkhorn Creek. Total catch rate of smallmouth bass on Elkhorn Creek was higher than the 7 year average of 62.5 fish/hr, which constitutes sampling done by Central Fishery District personnel (Table 3). The current catch rate of smallmouth bass ( $86.8 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) is slightly lower than the historical average of 92.6 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. The number of sampling efforts range from a low of 9 in 1982 and 1988-1989 to a high of 40 collected in 1991. The current catch rate of rock bass ( 39.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) was much higher than the historical catch rate ( $30.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) (Table 4). The smallmouth bass population assessment score for the North Fork Elkhorn Creek was 14 (Table 5), which results in a "Good" rating. The rock bass population assessment score for North Fork Elkhorn Creek was 7 (Table 6), which results in a "Fair" rating. The largemouth bass population assessment score for North Fork Elkhorn Creek was 14 (Table 7), which results in a "Good" rating. Fish populations on the North Fork Elkhorn Creek are effected by two dams in the vicinity of the Great Crossing areas. For the main stem Elkhorn Creek, the smallmouth bass population assessment score was 20 (Table 8), which results in an "Excellent" rating. The rock bass population assessment score was 10 (Table 9), which results in a "Good" rating. Finally, the largemouth bass population assessment score was 8 (Table 10), which results in a "Fair" rating.

Diurnal electrofishing for black bass and rock bass was conducted during April 2014 at various locations on Floyds Fork. These studies were conducted to assess the black bass, especially smallmouth bass and rock bass populations. Length distribution and CPUE data of black bass and rock bass from Floyds Fork are presented in Table 11. Smallmouth bass comprised $39 \%$ of the black bass sampled in Floyds Fork, whereas, largemouth bass comprised $36 \%$ of the sampled black bass. Finally, spotted bass represented $25 \%$ of the black bass population in Floyd's Fork. The catch rate of smallmouth bass on Floyds Fork was lower in 2014 ( 7.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) compared to the historical average ( $12.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) (Table 12). Additionally, the current catch rate of rock bass ( $11.8 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) was slightly lower than the historical average ( $13.4 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) (Table 13). The smallmouth bass population assessment score for Floyds Fork was 13 (Table 14), which results in a "Good" rating. The rock bass population assessment score for Floyds Fork was 6 (Table 15), which results in a "Fair" rating. The largemouth bass population assessment score for Floyds Fork was 6 (Table 16), which results in a "Poor" rating. The assessment ratings for smallmouth bass, rock bass and largemouth bass for the Floyd's Fork were very similar to previous years.

A voluntary creel survey was conducted at Parklands of the Floyds Fork from April 2014 through March 2015. Creel survey cards and drop boxes were available at all the open access sites which included: 1) North Beckley Paddling Access, 2) PNC Achievement Center at the Creekside Paddling Access, 3) Fisherville Paddling Access and 4) John Floyd Fields. Forty-five completed surveys were collected indicating that $71.4 \%$ of fishing trips were upstream of the Fisherville Paddling Access which was expected since the downstream paddling access sites were still being developed during this creel survey (Figure 1). However, $17.1 \%$ of the trips did occur between the Fisherville and Seatonville Paddling access sites, with $11.4 \%$ of the trips downstream of the Seatonville Paddling Access. The duration of most fishing trips were between 2.0-3.0 hrs ( $64.3 \%$ ), while anglers reported trips length that ranged from 1.0-7.0 hrs. Seventy-three percent of anglers caught $\leq 5$ fish/trip, $19.5 \%$ of anglers reported 6-10 fish/trip, with only $7.3 \%$ of anglers reporting catches $>10$ fish/trip. Of the anglers who caught fish, $80.0 \%$ did not harvest the fish they caught, indicating that catch and release may be heavily practiced at the Parklands of the Floyds Fork. Overall, most anglers (39.0\%) fished for anything, followed by trout (31.7\%), smallmouth bass (24.4\%) and sunfish ( $4.9 \%$; Figure 1). During this period, $70.5 \%$ of anglers reported being satisfied with their fishing trip, $11.4 \%$ were neutral and $18.2 \%$ were dissatisfied mainly due to lack of fish caught (Figure 1). Most anglers reported living within 20 miles of the park with one response from Myrtle Beach, SC (Figure 2). Most anglers did not complete the
section of the survey card that reported the catch and harvest of different size classes for smallmouth bass, largemouth bass and rock bass, resulting in no reportable data.

Table 1. Yearly summary of sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled and date.

| Water body | Species | Date | Time (24hr) | Gear | Weather | Water temp. F | Water level | Secchi (in) | Conditions | Pertinent sampling comments ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Fork | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elkhorn | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 3.91 ft |  |  |  |
| Creek (Great | Rock |  |  |  |  |  | Peaks Mill |  |  |  |
| Crossings) | Bass | 3/31 | 1000 | shock | sunny | 49 | gauge | clear | good | good sample |
|  | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.93 ft . at |  |  |  |
| Floyd's Fork | Rock |  |  |  |  |  | Fisherville |  |  |  |
| (Miles Park) | Bass | 4/1 | 1000 | shock | partly sunny | 53 | Gauge | 20 | good | good sample |
|  | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floyd's Fork | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.93ft. at |  |  |  |
| (Fisherville | Rock |  |  |  |  |  | Fisherville |  |  |  |
| Ramp) | Bass | 4/1 | 1000 | shock | partly sunny | 51 | Gauge | 20 | good | good sample |
|  | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floyd's Fork | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.93 ft . at |  |  |  |
| (Bob White | Rock |  |  |  |  |  | Fisherville |  |  |  |
| House) | Bass | 4/1 | 1200 | shock | partly sunny | 55 | Gauge | 24 | good | good sample |
|  | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floyd's Fork | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 1.93 ft . at |  |  |  |
| (Cane Run | Rock |  |  |  |  |  | Fisherville |  |  |  |
| Access) | Bass | 4/1 | 1300 | shock | partly sunny | 58 | Gauge | 20 | good | good sample |
|  | Black |  |  |  | Overcast light |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elkhorn | Bass/ |  |  |  | rain turned |  | 3.51 ft |  |  |  |
| Creek (Peaks | Rock |  |  |  | sunny and |  | Peaks Mill |  |  |  |
| Mill) | Bass | 4/2 | 1000 | shock | warm | 56 | gauge | clear | good | good sample |
|  | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elkhorn | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 3.47 ft |  |  |  |
| Creek | Rock |  |  |  | sunny / breezy |  | Peaks Mill |  |  |  |
| (Hatchery) | Bass | 4/16 | 1100 | shock | and cool | 52 | gauge | clear | good | good sample |
| Elkhorn | Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Creek | Bass/ |  |  |  |  |  | 3.35 ft |  |  |  |
| (Jackson | Rock |  |  |  | sunny / light |  | Peaks Mill |  |  |  |
| Hole) | Bass | 4/17 | 1000 | shock | breeze | 53 | gauge | clear | good | good sample |

Table 2. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in 7.5 hours of 30 -minute electrofishing runs for black bass in Elkhorn Creek in March-April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |
| Below dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CPUE |
| Great Crossings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 7.0 (3.0) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 30 | 15.0 (4.2) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 46 | 23.0 (1.9) |
| Jackson Hole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  | 6 | 22 | 34 | 40 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 | 59.0 (14.7) |
| Smallmouth bass | 6 | 2 | 41 | 39 | 28 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 1 |  | 225 | 112.5 (8.5) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1.5 (0.5) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 9 | 4.5 (3.2) |
| Peaks Mill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  | 2 | 7 | 21 | 21 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 29.5 (7.2) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 4 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 110 | 55.0 (13.2) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.0 (0.6) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 31 | 15.5 (5.5) |
| Hatchery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  |  | 2 | 11 | 27 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 29.5 (1.5) |
| Smallmouth bass | 2 | 1 | 18 | 36 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 1 |  | 186 | 93.0 (4.5) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  | , |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2.5 (1.5) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 4.5 (1.3) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass | 1 | 14 | 44 | 85 | 72 | 32 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 31.4 (6.1) |
| Smallmouth bass | 8 | 3 | 66 | 90 | 51 | 43 | 44 | 49 | 47 | 41 | 34 | 35 | 26 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 551 | 68.9 (10.4) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1.3 (0.4) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 95 | 11.9 (2.5) |

Dataset = cfdpsehc.d14

Table 3. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of smallmouth bass collected from main stem Elkhorn Creek (Forks of Elkhorn to Confluence with Kentucky River) from 1984-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors. Number of samples and locations varies between years.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <4.0 in | 4.0-8.9 in | $>9.0$ in | >12.0 in | >14.0 in |  |
| 1982 | 0.0 (0.0) | 34.9 (10.6) | 24.7 (4.9) | 4.7 (1.4) | 1.6 (1.1) | 59.6 (13.7) |
| 1983 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 1985 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 1986 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 1987 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 1.9 (1.0) | 42.8 (13.4) | 40.8 (12.4) | 2.0 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.0) | 85.5 (26.1) |
| 1989 | 1.6 (0.6) | 22.4 (5.9) | 41.0 (8.8) | 9.2 (2.1) | 2.0 (0.6) | 64.9 (14.1) |
| 1990 | 0.2 (0.1) | 41.0 (9.3) | 62.1 (7.7) | 18.2 (2.7) | 2.7 (0.3) | 103.2 (12.1) |
| 1991 | 4.4 (1.0) | 59.3 (6.5) | 65.2 (5.5) | 14.6 (1.5) | 2.4 (0.4) | 128.9 (10.9) |
| 1992 | 1.0 (0.4) | 81.4 (9.0) | 56.6 (6.9) | 6.9 (1.5) | 0.7 (0.3) | 138.9 (12.8) |
| 1993 | 0.8 (0.3) | 46.6 (10.2) | 80.2 (7.2) | 23.0 (3.2) | 3.6 (0.9) | 127.6 (12.5) |
| 1994 | 4.4 (1.1) | 51.2 (9.0) | 81.1 (8.8) | 42.2 (6.0) | 8.7 (2.2) | 136.8 (13.1) |
| 1995 | 10.3 (3.5) | 51.5 (10.0) | 75.2 (8.6) | 43.8 (6.0) | 15.8 (2.7) | 137.0 (14.0) |
| 1996 | 3.7 (1.0) | 40.7 (8.4) | 57.8 (6.3) | 36.7 (5.0) | 15.1 (2.5) | 102.2 (9.0) |
| 1997 | 4.0 (1.1) | 62.6 (10.1) | 43.1 (4.7) | 20.6 (2.5) | 9.3 (1.4) | 109.7 (13.2) |
| 1998 | 9.6 (1.9) | 48.9 (6.0) | 46.3 (3.0) | 18.3 (1.9) | 7.5 (1.1) | 104.7 (8.4) |
| 1999 | 1.0 (0.3) | 42.1 (7.6) | 41.7 (3.1) | 12.9 (2.1) | 4.8 (0.9) | 84.8 (8.2) |
| 2000 | 11.3 (2.0) | 48.1 (6.2) | 67.0 (5.1) | 29.5 (3.0) | 10.3 (1.4) | 126.4 (8.8) |
| 2001 | 8.0 (1.7) | 29.9 (4.0) | 48.5 (3.1) | 26.9 (2.1) | 10.3 (1.1) | 86.4 (7.0) |
| 2002 | 2.5 (1.2) | 56.1 (6.3) | 49.9 (4.2) | 24.2 (2.6) | 12.0 (1.5) | 108.5 (8.4) |
| 2003 | 5.5 (1.5) | 27.4 (3.1) | 44.4 (4.0) | 15.5 (1.5) | 6.7 (1.0) | 77.3 (6.5) |
| 2004 | 4.9 (2.2) | 29.0 (2.8) | 52.6 (4.8) | 16.8 (1.9) | 6.9 (0.9) | 86.5 (6.4) |
| 2005 | 1.5 (0.4) | 37.3 (6.2) | 47.0 (4.1) | 21.8 (2.2) | 7.0 (0.9) | 85.8 (8.5) |
| 2006 | 11.4 (4.6) | 18.2 (4.1) | 77.4 (8.6) | 42.6 (6.3) | 16.1 (2.4) | 107.0 (11.1) |
| 2007 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 0.7 (0.3) | 20.3 (4.8) | 22.3 (3.9) | 11.8 (3.2) | 5.7 (2.1) | 43.3 (7.1) |
| 2009 | 2.8 (0.8) | 29.0 (9.3) | 35.0 (6.6) | 13.3 (3.6) | 8.3 (2.3) | 66.8 (13.2) |
| 2010 | 0.2 (0.2) | 31.7 (8.7) | 36.7 (5.2) | 13.0 (3.1) | 5.5 (1.7) | 68.5 (12.7) |
| 2011 | 1.7 (0.7) | 20.7 (4.6) | 36.8 (3.6) | 10.7 (1.9) | 4.5 (1.6) | 59.2 (6.2) |
| 2012 | 9.4 (1.9) | 27.6 (4.6) | 18.0 (2.7) | 5.9 (1.0) | 2.1 (0.8) | 55.0 (7.8) |
| 2013 | 1.6 (0.5) | 18.9 (3.1) | 37.5 (5.9) | 20.9 (3.8) | 10.2 (2.6) | 58.0 (7.2) |
| 2014 | 1.3 (0.7) | 40.8 (7.5) | 44.7 (5.2) | 23.7 (3.5) | 12.0 (2.7) | 86.8 (8.7) |

Dataset $=$ cfdpsehc.d14 - .d08 and bbrpselk.d82, .d88 - .d06

Table 4. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of rock bass collected from main stem Elkhorn Creek (Forks of Elkhorn to Confluence with Kentucky River) from 1984-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors. Number of samples and location varies between years.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <4.0 in | 4.0-5.9 in | $>6.0$ in | $>8.0$ in |  |
| 1982 | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.6) | 10.5 (3.1) | 1.9 (1.2) | 11.8 (3.5) |
| 1983 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1985 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1986 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1987 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 1988 | 0.7 (0.56) | 7.1 (2.2) | 22.4 (6.5) | 1.3 (0.9) | 30.2 (8.7) |
| 1989 | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.1 (0.9) | 19.6 (4.2) | 4.7 (1.3) | 23.6 (4.9) |
| 1990 | 0.6 (0.2) | 5.9 (1.5) | 17.9 (2.6) | 3.3 (0.8) | 24.4 (3.9) |
| 1991 | 1.4 (0.5) | 16.2 (2.7) | 32.8 (3.3) | 4.1 (0.6) | 50.4 (5.6) |
| 1992 | 0.7 (0.2) | 9.8 (3.0) | 37.1 (4.9) | 2.2 (0.4) | 47.5 (7.3) |
| 1993 | 0.1 (0.1) | 5.7 (1.8) | 34.4 (4.8) | 8.8 (1.4) | 40.2 (6.1) |
| 1994 | 0.0 (0.0) | 3.6 (1.0) | 28.8 (3.8) | 11.2 (1.4) | 32.3 (4.5) |
| 1995 | 2.0 (0.7) | 6.3 (1.2) | 22.9 (3.2) | 10.6 (1.6) | 31.3 (4.6) |
| 1996 | 3.0 (0.9) | 6.7 (2.1) | 16.3 (2.2) | 6.2 (1.1) | 25.9 (4.2) |
| 1997 | 0.9 (0.4) | 12.0 (2.4) | 19.4 (3.0) | 4.0 (0.8) | 32.3 (4.9) |
| 1998 | 1.5 (0.5) | 8.0 (1.7) | 28.2 (3.7) | 3.5 (0.7) | 37.7 (5.5) |
| 1999 | 4.0 (1.1) | 9.1 (1.5) | 27.3 (2.9) | 3.7 (0.7) | 40.4 (4.8) |
| 2000 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2002 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2003 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2005 | 0.8 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.6) | 18.6 (3.6) | 5.8 (0.8) | 21.0 (4.3) |
| 2006 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2008 | 0.3 (0.2) | 4.3 (1.1) | 22.0 (5.4) | 4.2 (1.0) | 26.7 (6.5) |
| 2009 | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.8 (1.2) | 13.5 (3.2) | 3.8 (1.1) | 18.3 (4.1) |
| 2010 | 0.8 (0.6) | 10.2 (2.1) | 23.7 (3.1) | 4.5 (0.9) | 34.7 (3.8) |
| 2011 | 0.2 (0.2) | 7.8 (2.3) | 19.5 (4.8) | 3.0 (0.7) | 27.5 (6.8) |
| 2012 | 2.9 (0.7) | 4.4 (0.9) | 18.5 (4.1) | 1.6 (0.6) | 25.8 (5.0) |
| 2013 | 0.2 (0.2) | 4.7 (1.4) | 17.6 (4.7) | 4.6 (1.1) | 22.6 (5.3) |
| 2014 | 0.0 (0.0) | 8.3 (2.6) | 31.0 (4.3) | 5.5 (1.1) | 39.3 (6.5) |

Dataset = cfdpsehc.d14 - .d08 and bbrpselk.d82, .d88 - d.99, .d05

Table 5. Population assessment for smallmouth bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in the North Fork Elkhorn Creek from 2008-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-8.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 9.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 14.0 \mathrm{in} \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.0 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 14 | Good |
| 2013 | Value | 0.5 | 10.5 | 16.5 | 9.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 16 | Good |
| 2012 | Value | 2.0 | 22.5 | 15.5 | 5.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 19 | Excellent |
| 2011 | Value | 1.0 | 16.0 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 17 | Excellent |
| 2010 | Value | 0.0 | 15.5 | 14.5 | 5.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 15 | Good |
| 2009 | Value | 1.0 | 22.8 | 20.3 | 5.0 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 19 | Excellent |
| 2008 | Value | 0.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 13 | Good |

Table 6. Population assessment for rock bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in the North Fork Elkhorn Creek from 2008-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-5.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value | 0.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | Poor |
| 2011 | Value | 0.0 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | Poor |
| 2010 | Value | 0.5 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value | 2.8 | 9.3 | 20.3 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | Good |
| 2008 | Value | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | Poor |

Table 7. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in the North Fork Elkhorn Creek from 2008-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-8.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 9.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.0 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 5.0 | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 1.5 | 12.5 | 21.5 | 11.0 | 2.5 |  | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 16 |  |
| 2012 | Value | 0.0 | 14.5 | 19.0 | 10.5 | 5.0 |  | Good |
|  | Score | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 |  |
| 2011 | Value | 0.0 | 4.5 | 26.5 | 13.5 | 4.5 |  | Good |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 13 |  |
| 2010 | Value | 0.0 | 15.0 | 39.5 | 18.5 | 4.5 |  | Good |
|  | Score | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 |  |
| 2009 | Value | 0.3 | 6.3 | 41.8 | 23.8 | 6.3 |  | Excellent |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 17 |  |
| 2008 | Value | 0.0 | 3.5 | 16.5 | 9.0 | 3.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 13 | Good |

Table 8. Population assessment for smallmouth bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in the main stem Elkhorn Creek from 2000-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-8.9 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 9.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 14.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 19 | Excellent |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 18 | Excellent |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 19 | Excellent |
| 2007 | Value Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2005 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2004 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2003 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2002 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2001 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.9 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |
| 2000 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Excellent |

Table 9. Population assessment for rock bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in the main stem Elkhorn Creek from 2008-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-5.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Good |
| 2013 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | Good |
| 2011 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.5 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.7 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | Good |
| 2009 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.5 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value Score | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Fair |

Table 10. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in the main stem Elkhorn Creek from 2008-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-8.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 9.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value | 0.0 | 2.0 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value | 0.0 | 6.5 | 3.50 | 1.0 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | Fair |
| 2011 | Value | 0.0 | 2.50 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Fair |
| 2010 | Value | 0.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | Fair |
| 2009 | Value | 0.0 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | Fair |
| 2008 | Value | 0.0 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | Fair |

Table 11. Length distribution and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass and rock bass collected in 4.75 hours of 15-minute electrofishing runs for black bass in April 2014 in the Floyd's Fork;

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |
| Miles Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canoe Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  | 3 | 6 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 17.7 (7.0) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4.6 (2.8) |
| Spotted bass |  |  | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 22 | 12.6 (3.1) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 5 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 32 | 18.3 (9.4) |
| Bob White House at Echo Trail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass | 1 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 36.0 (24.0) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 13 | 26.0 (2.0) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2.0 (2.0) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Fisherville Canoe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 3.0 (1.9) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 (1.0) |
| Cane Run Canoe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6.67 (2.7) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2.67 (1.3) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.33 (1.3) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 (0.0) |
| Below Bardstown Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2.67 (1.3) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 11 | 14.67 (12.7) |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 (0.0) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2.67 (2.7) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rock bass | 1 | 7 | 16 | 18 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 11.8 (4.0) |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 37 | 7.8 (2.7) |
| Spotted bass |  | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 24 | 5.1 (1.8) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 6 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 35 | 7.4 (3.9) |

[^15]Table 12. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of smallmouth bass collected from Floyd's Fork from 2007-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors. Number of samples and locations varies between years.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <4.0 in | 4.0-8.9 in | $>9.0$ in | >12.0 in | >14.0 in |  |
| 2007 | 0.0 (0.0) | 7.0 (4.7) | 2.0 (1.2) | 1.0 (1.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 9.0 (5.3) |
| 2008 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  | NS |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 1.00 (0.5) | 7.0 (2.7) | 7.5 (2.0) | 2.8 (1.1) | 1.8 (0.7) | 15.5 (4.4) |
| 2013 | 0.3 (0.4) | 7.8 (3.8) | 8.0 (2.3) | 2.7 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 16.0 (4.6) |
| 2014 | 0.0 | 2.3 (1.5) | 5.5 (1.9) | 2.3 (0.8) | 1.7 (0.6) | 7.8 (2.7) |

Dataset = cfdpsflf.d14-.d07

Table 13. Electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of rock bass collected from Floyd's Fork from 2007-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors. Number of samples and location varies between years.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <4.0 in | 4.0-5.9 in | $>6.0$ in | >8.0 in |  |
| 2007 | 2.0 (1.2) | 10.0 (10.0) | 5.0 (3.8) | 1.0 (1.0) | 17.0 (14.4) |
| 2008 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  | NS |  |  |
| 2012 | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.53) | 11.0 (3.3) | 1.7 (0.7) | 12.8 (3.6) |
| 2013 | 0.0 | 1.3 (0.75) | 10.7 (3.5) | 2.2 (1.5) | 11.9 (3.7) |
| 2014 | 0.0 | 1.7 (0.93) | 10.1 (3.4) | 3.0 (1.3) | 11.8 (4.0) |

Dataset = cfdpsflf.d14-.d07

Table 14. Population assessment for smallmouth bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in Floyd's Fork from 2012-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-8.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 9.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 14.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 0.3 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 0.5 |  | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 13 |  |
| 2012 | Value | 1.0 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 1.8 |  | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 16 |  |

Table 15. Population assessment for rock bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in Floyd's Fork from 2012-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-5.9 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.0 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 3.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | Fair |
| 2013 | Value | 0.0 | 1.3 | 10.7 | 2.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | Fair |
| 2012 | Value | 0.6 | 1.2 | 11.0 | 1.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | Fair |

Table 16. Population assessment largemouth bass collected by boat electrofishing gear in Floyd's Fork from 2012-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \leq 4.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 4.0-8.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 9.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 0.0 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 6 | Poor |
|  | Score | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 0.3 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | Poor |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 |  | Fair |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 |  |

Figure 1. Summary of voluntary creel survey conducted at the Parklands of the Floyds Fork from April 2014-March 2015.

## Floyds Fork Stream Angler Survey

* Anglers, please fill out a survey for each fishing trip
Date_ Home zipcode _T Total hours fished today 1.0-7.0 hrs

Location: Fisherville Upstream 71.4\% Fisherville-Seatonville 17.1\% Seatonville Downstream 11.4\%
Total number of fish caught today 0-20 fish Total number of fish kept today 0-15 fish
What species of fish did you primarily fish for today (check only one)?
Smallmouth bass 24.4\% Largemouth bass 0\% Rock bass 0\% Catfish 0\% Trout 31.7\%
Sunfish 4.9\% Anything 39.0\% Other (list name) $\qquad$
For each species listed below please write in the number of each size (inches) caught and kept on the line provided. Leave blank if you did not catch or keep any of that size.

| Inches | Smallmouth bass |  | Largemouth bass |  | Inches | Rock bass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Caught | Kept | Caught | Kept |  | Caught | Kept |
| less than 9 |  |  |  |  | Less than 6 |  |  |
| 9-11 |  |  |  |  | 6-8 |  |  |
| 12-14 |  |  |  |  | Greater than 8 |  |  |
| Greater than 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

What is your overall level of satisfaction with your fishing trip today?
Very satisfied 27.3\% Satisfied 43.2\% Neutral 11.4\% Dissatisfied 4.5\% Very dissatisfied 13.6\%
Please use back of survey for any comments or suggestions.

Figure 2. Distribution of angler responses to voluntary creel survey conducted at Parklands of the Floyds Fork by zip code.


# CENTRAL FISHERIES DISTRICT 

Trout Stream Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

The Dix River (Herrington Lake tailwater) was electrofished for trout on November 6, 2014. Results from the electrofishing are presented in Table 1. The CPUE for rainbow trout was 93.3 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ compared to the historic average of 40.9 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$. CPUE for brown trout was $62.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ compared to the historical average of $28.2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$. Historical catch rates of rainbow trout and brown trout are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Annual weather data and tailwater flow parameters for Herrington Lake tailwater are summarized in Table 4. Data is collected from the USGS 03286200 gauge and rainfall data is collected from the USGS 03285000 gauge or National Weather Service ID (DNK2). Tailwater observations appear to have a significant relationship to how the trout perform in Dix River Tailwater. During years of high flow and rainfall, there appears to be lower than average survival of trout from year to year and in some cases a reduction in the overall trout population. During years of low flow or rainfall the trout appear to flourish and high numbers of trout will survive to the next year. Overall, this Dix River tailwater trout fishery is strongly influenced by these yearly variations of weather and water conditions.

Table 1. Relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of trout collected during 0.75 hours of diurnal electrofishing on the Dix River (Herrington Lake tailwater) on 6 November, 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Rainbow trout |  | 15 | 33 | 20 | 2 |  | 70 | 93.3 | 31.5 |
| Brown trout | 4 | 14 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 47 | 62.7 | 44.7 |

Dataset $=$ cfdlfdix.d14

Table 2. Total CPUE (fish/hr) of rainbow trout collected during diurnal electrofishing on the Dix River (Herrington Lake tailwater) for previous years' sampling.

| Year | Total | CPUE | Std Err |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 | 5 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| 1997 | 26 | 11.6 | 6.2 |
| 1998 | 27 | 9.9 | 5.0 |
| 1999 | 40 | 26.7 | 10.5 |
| 2000 | 100 | 50.0 | 19.9 |
| 2001 | 160 | 80.0 | 38.2 |
| 2002 | 36 | 18.0 | 14.9 |
| 2003 | 5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 2004 |  | No Sample (NS) |  |
| 2005 | 86 | 43.0 | 19.5 |
| 2006 | 41 | 32.2 | 27.0 |
| 2007 | 113 | 60.0 | 22.7 |
| 2008 | 95 | 85.0 | 37.5 |
| 2009 | 83 | 83.0 | 26.1 |
| 2010 | 39 | 39.0 | 21.0 |
| 2011 | 9 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 2012 | 39 | 47.8 | 33.2 |
| 2013 | $N S$ | $N S$ | $N S$ |
| 2014 | 70 | 93.3 | 31.9 |

Dataset = cfdlfdix.d96-d14

Table 3. Total CPUE (fish/hr) of brown trout collected during diurnal electrofishing on the Dix River (Herrington Lake tailwater) for previous years' sampling.

| Year | Total | CPUE | Std err |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1996 |  | None collected |  |
| 1997 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1998 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1999 | 29 | 19.3 | 10.1 |
| 2000 | 24 | 12.0 | 8.8 |
| 2001 | 35 | 17.5 | 10.4 |
| 2002 | 9 | 4.5 | 3.9 |
| 2003 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 2004 |  | No Sample |  |
| 2005 | 36 | 18.0 | 8.4 |
| 2006 | 38 | 30.3 | 28.9 |
| 2007 | 108 | 57.1 | 33.2 |
| 2008 | 125 | 108.0 | 45.0 |
| 2009 | 52 | 52.0 | 29.4 |
| 2010 | 58 | 58.0 | 34.5 |
| 2011 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 7 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| 2013 | NS | NS | $N$ |
| 2014 | 47 | 62.7 | 44.7 |

Dataset = cfdlfdix.d96-d14

Table 4. Annual weather data and tailwater parameters for Herrington Lake tailwater. Tailwater data is collected from USGS 03286200 gauge and rainfall data is collected from USGS 03285000 gauge or National Weather Service ID (DNK2).

| Year | Annual Average <br> Gauge Height <br> $* *$ | Annual Average <br> Discharge | Days over 10 feet <br> gauge height <br> $* *$ | Annual Rainfall for <br> Danville, KY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 7.1 | $586.9^{* *}$ | 669.2 | 53 |

Gauge height above 10 feet have probable backwater from Kentucky River.

* In 2010, gauging stations was down for 29.6 days due to extremely high water conditions in the tailwater - 29 days are included.
** In 2014, average gauge height was not recorded until August, therefore, the number of days the gauge exceeded 10 was not calculated. Additionally, gauging station was down for about 20 days during high water events.


# NORTHEASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT 

## Project A: Lake and Tailwaters Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

All sampling conditions can be found in Table 1. This includes dates, temperatures, secchi depths and any other pertinent sampling information during the sampling events.

## Cave Run Lake (8,720a)

Muskellunge sampling
On 31 March, $1-2$ April and 21 April, Cave Run Lake was diurnally electrofished for an assessment of the muskellunge population. In total, 184 fish ( 10.2 fish per electrofishing hour (fish/hr)) were captured ranging in size from 11.0 to 51.0 in (Table 2). The majority of the fish came from the middle and lower sections of the lake, and the largest fish captured in 2014 came from the lower portion of the lake. Overall relative weights $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ were slightly below average (Table 3). This most likely was due to the well below average weights of fish in the 20.0 30.0 in. range, as the remaining categories fell within their average values. Lengths and weights of known age muskellunge are very similar to past studies done on Cave Run Lake with a 3 year old fish exceeding 30.0 in . and a 4 year old fish falling just short of the minimum size limit of 36.0 in . (Table 4). Lengths and weights of known sex fish also echo past studies and show that males are generally smaller than the females (Table 5). The assessment of the muskellunge population in 2014 increased to an excellent mark and was higher than the 1995-2013 average (Table 6).

In October 2014, Cave Run Lake was stocked with 2,900 young-of-year muskellunge. Stocked fish continue to be marked to indicate their spawning year as is noted in the table below.

| Year | Marking | Number <br> Stocked | Average <br> Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Left Cheek Wire Tag | 2,900 | $13.3^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 2013 | Right Pectoral Fin Clip | 2,800 | $12.6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 2012 | Left Pelvic Fin Clip | 1,923 | 12.4 " |
| 2011 | Right Pelvic Fin Clip | 2,800 | $12.8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 2010 | Left Pectoral Fin Clip | 2,811 | $12.5^{\prime \prime}$ |

## Black bass sampling (Spring)

On 29 and 30 April, the middle and upper sections of Cave Run Lake were nocturnally electrofished for an assessment of the black bass population. The lower section was not sampled due to rapidly rising waters at the time of the sample. In total 688 largemouth bass, 137 spotted bass and 13 smallmouth bass were captured from these 2 sections (Table 7). The majority of the spotted bass and all of the smallmouth bass were captured in the middle section. Overall, largemouth bass comprised the majority of the samples ( $>80 \%$ ) followed by spotted bass and smallmouth bass (Table 7). Overall Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) of largemouth bass was higher than the 1990 2013 average (Table 8). This was driven by the higher than ever capture rates of largemouth bass over 15.0 and 20.0 in. The remaining categories fell either right at or slightly above the $1990-2013$ average (Table 8). Following Willis, et. Al 1993, Proportional Stock Densities (PSD) and Relative Stock Densities ( $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ ) indicate a balanced population with $39 \%$ of the largemouth bass in the population exceeding 12.0 in . and $18 \%$ exceeding 15.0 in . (Table 9). Overall, the largemouth bass population on Cave Run Lake was rated as good (Table 10). The high capture rates of larger fish indicate that the current slot limit regulation is continuing to provide larger fish and needs to remain in place. Furthermore, continued high capture rates of age- 1 fish in the spring determined that regardless of the success of the spawning class Cave Run Lake would not be stocked and for that reason fall sampling was canceled for 2014.

## White bass sampling

From 21 - 24 October, the population of white bass in Cave Run Lake was assessed using 150' 3-panel gill nets. In total, 35 white bass were captured ranging in size from 7.0 - 13.0 in . (Table 11). Across all size ranges relative
weights were down from previous years (Table 12). Due to the die off of white bass experienced in 2013, only 2 age classes of fish were captured (age-0 and age-1; Table 13) and age-1 fish made up over $90 \%$ of the fish collected (Table 14). The overall assessment of the white bass fishery at Cave Run Lake was poor in 2014, which was the lowest ever and somewhat expected given the die off experienced in 2013 (Table 15).

## Creel survey

From 01 March to 31 October, a roving creel survey was conducted on Cave Run Lake. In 2014 there were slightly more trips on the lake when compared to past creel surveys but lower than normal number of hours fishing (Table 16). Anglers caught and harvested fewer fish, but the catch rate (in terms of number of fish caught per hour of fishing) was similar to past years (Table 16). As is typical with Cave Run Lake, the majority of the anglers are male ( $88.5 \%$ ), who were residents ( $84.6 \%$ ) that primarily fished by casting ( $72.1 \%$ ) from a boat ( $94.4 \%$; Table 16). Similar to the overall results, crappie, catfish, panfish and white bass anglers caught fewer fish in total, but catch rates were actually higher or similar when compared to past creel surveys (Table 17). The only species that showed a decline in the catch rates were largemouth bass, but these anglers did catch bigger fish when compared to previous years; it should also be noted that catch rates in past years have varied from $0.3-0.7 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ (average of $0.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) so the 2014 catch rate does not vary much from this range (Table 17). Overall, anglers harvested around $9 \%$ of muskellunge caught and $19 \%$ of the largemouth bass caught (Table 18). Angler have to fish about 4 hours to catch a largemouth bass and 20 hours to catch a muskellunge on the lake, but this varies from month to month with May and June being the most successful months to catch a largemouth bass and March, June and October being the most successful months to catch a muskellunge (Table 19). Similarly, catch rates of crappie varied by month with April, July and September providing some of the highest catch rates (Table 20).

Recently, there have been specific questions raised by the public regarding the effectiveness of the slot limit regulation on largemouth bass at Cave Run Lake. The original goals of the slot limit were to provide for an increase in growth rates which would result in fewer smaller fish and more larger fish. Results from the 2014 creel survey help to demonstrate that the slot limit is successful in reaching these goals. Overall, the catch rates of largemouth bass on the lake are lower when compared to previous years ( 0.316 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ in 2014 versus $0.412 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ on average; Table 21). However, catch rates of fish in the $13.0-15.9$ in protective slot, over 16.0 in and over 20.0 in were much higher than in past years. Meaning the decline in catch rates was because of lower catch rates of smaller fish (Table 21). In terms of time on the water; it takes roughly 45 minutes longer to catch a largemouth bass of any size in 2014, but significantly less time to catch a fish in the slot limit ( 2 hours less), over 16.0 in ( 10 hours less) or over 20.0 in (400 hours less; Table 22). The differences, when comparing 2014 catch rates to previous years, is that under the slot limit fish make up a smaller percentage of the fish caught ( $24.2 \%$ versus $71.8 \%$; Table 22 ). With all this in mind, the goal of decreasing the numbers of smaller fish and increasing the numbers of larger fish has obviously been met with the slot limit regulation in place.

## Angler attitude survey

In conjunction with the creel survey, anglers were asked a series of questions pertaining to their attitudes towards fishing on Cave Run Lake (Table 23). Anglers were only surveyed once in the year. Overall, the most fished for species were bass, crappie and muskie. As is typical there is a very even split on the number of anglers who prefer to fish for each of these species. Those that fished for bass are overall satisfied (95\%). Those who were not satisfied were disappointed in the size of the fish caught. Most anglers who fished for bass feel as if their catch rates of fish over 15.0 in has stayed the same when compared to previous years. About half of the bass anglers surveyed fish tournaments at a rate of $1-6$ a year. Similarly, the majority of the anglers who fished for muskellunge were satisfied ( $99 \%$ ) and they were most satisfied with the number of fish they caught and the size of these fish. Muskellunge anglers feel as if their catches of fish over and under the 36-0 in minimum size limit have increased in the last 3 years. Both crappie anglers and white bass anglers were satisfied with their fishing experiences $(95 \%$ and $62.5 \%$, respectively). The majority of Cave Run anglers fish $1-10$ times a month. Anglers support the $13.0-15.9$ in protective slot limit on largemouth bass ( $84 \%$ ) and the 36.0 in minimum size limit on muskellunge ( $85 \%$ ). The majority of anglers rated the habitat in the lake as excellent (64.8\%) and most know about and utilize department placed habitat and feel as though it has improved their fishing.

## Grayson Lake (1,512a)

## Black bass sampling (Spring/Fall)

From 21 - 23 April, Grayson Lake was nocturnally electrofished for an assessment of the black bass population. In total, 973 largemouth bass were collected ranging in size from $3.0-21.0 \mathrm{in}, 243$ spotted bass were collected ranging in size from $3.0-12.0$ in and 9 smallmouth bass were collected ranging in size from $4.0-8.0$ in (Table 24). As is normal for Grayson Lake, the numbers of spotted bass and smallmouth bass increased closer to the dam and the majority of the black bass collected (overall) were largemouth bass. Only 4 of the 973 largemouth bass collected were hatchery raised fish (Table 25). CPUE by individual size classes were at or near average for all size classes of fish with the exception of the $<8.0$ in. class which was much lower (Table 26). PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ demonstrate that the lake shows balance concerning the largemouth bass population with $39 \%$ of the population over 12.0 in and $11 \%$ over 15.0 in (Table 27). The overall assessment of the largemouth bass fishery at Grayson Lake continues to be classified as Good (Table 28).

From 22-24 September, Grayson Lake was nocturnally electrofished for an assessment of largemouth bass age-0 year class strength. This assessment determined that Grayson Lake did not need to be stocked in 2014 (Table 29).

## Crappie sampling

On 17 October, the upper portion of Grayson Lake was diurnally electrofished for an assessment of the crappie population. In total, 88 crappie were collected and $92 \%$ of these were white crappie (Table 30). Relative weights ranged from $85-95 \%$ and generally were better for the larger size class of fish (Table 31). The majority of the fish collected were under the 8.0 and 10.0 in range (Table 32). White crappie collected for age and growth purposes reached 7.0 in within 4 years, but struggled to grow out of this inch class (Table 33). The majority of the fish captured were between 2 and 4 year of age and in the $6.0-7.0$ in size range (Table 34). The overall assessment of the crappie population at Grayson Lake was poor (Table 35). The factors that contribute to this poor rating are growth rates and capture rates of smaller ( $\leq$ age- 1 ) and larger ( $\geq 8.0 \mathrm{in}$ ) fish. Assessment of this population drastically varies from poor to excellent; however, it continuously demonstrates poor growth rates (mean length of age- 2 fish at capture) and low catch rates of age- 0 fish. The assessment score is dictated by the ability (or lack thereof) to capture fish over age-1. This is most likely due to inadequate sampling techniques and efforts need to be made to determine a more suitable sampling technique.

## Hybrid striped bass sampling

From $04-07$ of November, the population of hybrid striped bass at Grayson Lake was assessed using 125' 5-panel gill nets. In total, 76 fish were caught ranging in size from $8.0-26.0$ in (Table 36). Relative weights ranged from the mid-70's to mid-80's (Table 37) which should cause some concern about growth rates, but those appear to be fairly normal (Table 38). Hybrid striped bass reached 20.0 in by age- 4 and some potentially by age-3. The majority of the fish sampled were between 0 and 3 years of age, but there were 4 fish collected that were 11 years old (some of the original stockings; Table 39). The overall assessment of the hybrid striped bass fishery was fair (Table 40) but it should be noted that the assessment parameters used were for 250 ' gill nets while $125^{\prime}$ nets were used on Grayson Lake. With time and repeated sampling an individual lake assessment will be developed to better assess this fish population.

## Clear Creek Lake (40a)

## Black bass sampling (Spring)

On 29 April, Clear Creek Lake was diurnally electrofished for assessment of the largemouth bass population. In total, 136 fish ( 348.7 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) were collected ranging in size from $3.0-21.0$ in (Table 41). Catch rates for fish in the $\geq 15.0$ and $\geq 20.0$ in ranges were slightly above the 10 year average, while catch rates for fish in the $8.0-11.9$ in and $12.0-14.9$ in ranges were slightly below the 10 year average (Table 42). PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values show a slight tilt towards a panfish population with relatively small percentages of fish over the 12.0 in and 15.0 in mark (Table 43). Growth rates are on the slower side (Table 44) and the majority of the fish captured were less than 3 years old and under 11.0 in (Table 45). The overall assessment of the largemouth bass population was good, but growth rates raise some concern and need continued monitoring (Table 46).

## Sunfish sampling

On 22 May, Clear Creek Lake was diurnally electrofished for assessment of the bluegill and redear sunfish populations. The majority of the fish captured while sampling were either bluegill or redear sunfish $(42 \%$ and $46 \%$, respectively; Table 47). Bluegill increased or were near the mean for all size classes of fish (Table 48), while PSD $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ were down (Table 49). Growth rates for bluegill were good, reaching 6.4 in at age-2 (Table 50). However, the majority of the fish sampled were age-1 ranging in size from $3.0-6.0$ in (Table 51). The overall assessment of the bluegill population was good (Table 52).

All sizes classes of redear sunfish showed an increase over the mean (Table 53) and while a third of the fish captured were over 7.0 in, there were no fish captured that reached a preferred size ( 10.0 in ; Table 54 ). Growth rates for the fish that were captured were good (Table 55), but not enough larger fish were aged to properly determine all of the growth parameters (Table 56). Using previous data for the "Years to 8.0 in" category, the overall assessment of the redear sunfish population at Clear Creek Lake was fair (Table 57).

Black bass sampling (Fall)
On 02 October, Clear Creek Lake was diurnally electrofished for assessment of largemouth bass relative weights. In total, 78 fish ( $156.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) were collected ranging in size from $3.0-18.0$ in (Table 58). Relative weights were in the $80 \%$ range for all size classes of fish (Table 59).

## Greenbo Lake (181a)

## Black bass sampling (Spring/Fall)

On 24 April, Greenbo Lake was nocturnally electrofished for assessment of the largemouth bass population. In total, 306 fish were captured ranging in size from $2.0-23.0$ in (Table 60). The majority of the size ranges all demonstrated near mean catch rates with the exception of the $12.0-14.9$ in category which was more than double the 15 year average (Table 61). The high capture rates of fish in the $12.0-14.9$ in range lead to the highest PSD value ever obtained on Greenbo Lake but $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values remain on the low side (Table 62). The overall assessment of the largemouth bass fishery at Greenbo Lake was good (Table 63).

On 25 September, Greenbo Lake was nocturnally electrofished for an assessment of largemouth bass age-0 year class strength. This assessment determined that Greenbo Lake did not need to be stocked in 2014 (Table 64).

## Mill Creek Lake (41a)

## Black bass sampling (Spring)

On 07 May, Mill Creek Lake was diurnally electrofished for an assessment of the largemouth bass population. In total, 232 fish were captured ranging in size from $3.0-22.0$ in (Table 65). Catch rates across all size classes were higher than the 1990 - 2013 average (Table 66), but the majority of the fish were under the 12.0 in range (Table 67). The overall assessment of the largemouth bass fishery was good, but excellent ratings were obtained for catch rates of fish $\geq 20.0$ in (Table 68).

## Lake Reba (76a)

## Black bass sampling (Spring/Fall)

On 21 April, Lake Reba was diurnally electrofished for assessment of the largemouth bass fishery. In total, 370 fish were captured ranging in size from $3.0-22.0$ in (Table 69). Of those 370, only 21 (6\%) were stocked fish that represented 2 different year classes (Table 70). All size ranges (with the exception of the $<8.0$ in category) were above the almost 20 year average (Table 71). The majority of the fish were greater than 12.0 in and a quarter of these exceeded 15.0 in (Table 72). The overall assessment of the largemouth bass fishery was excellent (Table 73).

On 22 September, Lake Reba was diurnally electrofished for an assessment of largemouth bass age- 0 year class strength. This assessment determined that Lake Reba did not need to be stocked in 2014 (Table 74).

## Smoky Valley Lake (36a)

## Black bass sampling (Spring)

On 08 May, Smoky Valley Lake was diurnally electrofished for an assessment of the largemouth bass fishery. In total, 208 fish were captured ranging in size from 3.0 - 13.0 in with one fish in the 18.0 in range (Table 75). All size ranges (with the exception of the $8.0-11.9$ in category) were below the $1990-2013$ average in capture rates (Table 76). The majority of the fish in the lake remain below the 12.0 and 15.0 in benchmarks (Table 77). The assessment of the largemouth bass fishery in the lake was fair (Table 78).

## Sunfish sampling

On 27 May, Smoky Valley Lake was diurnally electrofished for an assessment of the bluegill fishery. In total, 195 sunfish were captured and of these, $78 \%$ were bluegill ranging in size from $3.0-7.0$ in (Table 79). Catch rates of bluegill were near the 1990 - 2013 average for all size categories of fish (Table 80). PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ values remain on the low size with the majority of the fish over 3.0 in being below 6.0 in (Table 81). These low catch rates of larger fish will lead to low assessment ratings (Table 82).

## Lake Wilgreen (169a)

Black bass sampling (Spring)
On 06 May, Lake Wilgreen was diurnally electrofished for assessment of the largemouth bass population. In total, 385 fish ( 256.7 fish/hr) were captured ranging in size from $2.0-21.0$ in (Table 83). Catch rates were below average for the $<8.0$ and $8.0-11.9$ in range, but were substantially above average for catch rates of fish in the $\geq 15.0$ and $\geq$ 20.0 in range (Table 84). PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values were very interesting as they showed that not only were the majority of the fish above 12.0 in , but almost half the fish captured were over 15.0 in (Table 85). Low capture rates of age-1 fish lead to a good rating in spite of the excellent ratings of capture rates of fish over 15.0 and 20.0 in (Table 86)

## Sunfish sampling

On 19 May, Lake Wilgreen was diurnally electrofished for assessment of the bluegill and redear sunfish populations. In total, 1,200 sunfish were captured and of these, $88 \%$ were bluegill and $3 \%$ were redear sunfish (Table 87). The remaining fish were green sunfish, warmouth and hybrid sunfish. Bluegill capture rates by size classes were above average, with the exception of fish over 8.0 in (Table 88). PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ values echo a proportional lack of fish over the 6.0 and 8.0 in benchmarks (Table 89). Lack of these larger fish will lead to lower assessment ratings (Table 90).

Redear sunfish were below average across all size classes (Table 91), and in spite of this, the majority of the fish were in the $6.0-7.0$ in range which brought up the PSD value (Table 92). All in all, the low catch rates of fish over 8.0 and 10.0 in will lead to lower assessment scores in the future (Table 93).

Table 1: Yearly summary of sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled and date.

| Water body | Species | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Date } \\ (2014) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Time } \\ & 24 \mathrm{hr} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Gear | Weather | Water Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) | Water level | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Secchi } \\ \text { (in) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Conditions | Pertinent sampling comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cave Run Lake | Muskie | 3/31 | 1000 | electro | clear | 46.20 | 727.49 | 36 | good | upper section |
| Cave Run Lake | Muskie | 4/1 | 1000 | electro | sunny/wind | 47.50 | 727.93 | 14 | good | middle section |
| Cave Run Lake | Muskie | 4/2 | 1000 | electro | prt cloudy | 49.50 | 727.73 | 25 | good | lower section |
| Cave Run Lake | Muskie | 4/21 | 1000 | electro | overcase | 67.00 | 728.48 | - | good | upper section (finish up after boat problems) |
| Cave Run Lake | LMB | 4/29 | 1930 | electro | overcast | 67.60 | 729.82 | 54 | good | upper section |
| Cave Run Lake | LMB | 4/30 | 2015 | electro | clear | 62.20 | 731.04 | 47 | okay | middle section; lake rising. |
| Cave Run Lake | WB | 10/21 | 830 | gill net | overcast/rain | low 60 | 727.76 | - | good |  |
| Cave Run Lake | WB | 10/22 | 830 | gill net | overcast/cold | low 60 | 727.43 | - | good |  |
| Cave Run Lake | WB | 10/23 | 830 | gill net | sunny/cool | low 60 | 727.01 | - | good |  |
| Cave Run Lake | WB | 10/24 | 830 | gill net | cool/fog | low 60 | 726.91 | - | good |  |
| Grayson Lake | LMB | 4/21 | 2030 | electro | clear | 63.80 | 645.28 | 25 | good | upper section |
| Grayson Lake | LMB | 4/22 | 2030 | electro | clear/cool | 63.50 | 645.30 | 48 | good | middle section |
| Grayson Lake | LMB | 4/23 | 2030 | electro | overcast | 61.70 | 645.31 | 48 | good | lower section |
| Grayson Lake | LMB | 9/22 | 1930 | electro | clear | 69.20 | n/a | 36 | good | upper section; < 10" LM B only sampled |
| Grayson Lake | LMB | 9/23 | 1945 | electro | overcast | 68.70 | n/a | - | good | middle section; < 10" LMB only sampled |
| Grayson Lake | LMB | 9/24 | 2000 | electro | clear/cold | 72.70 | n/a | - | good | lower section; < 10" LM B only sampled |
| Grayson Lake | BC/WC | 10/7 | 900 | electro | sunny | 60's | 645.66 | - | good | upper section |
| Grayson Lake | HSB | 114 | 900 | gill net | nice | 50's | 645.08 | - | good | lower and middle sections |
| Grayson Lake | HSB | 115 | 900 | gill net | rain | 50's | 645.05 | - | good | lower and middle sections |
| Grayson Lake | HSB | 116 | 900 | gill net | cold/wind | 50's | 645.14 | - | good | lower and middle sections |
| Grayson Lake | HSB | 117 | 900 | gill net | cold/wind | 50's | 645.24 | - | good | lower and middle sections |
| Clear Creek Lake | LMB | 4/29 | 1000 | electro | sunny | - | normal | - | good |  |
| Clear Creek Lake | BG/RE | 5/22 | 1000 | electro | sunny | - | normal | 51 | good |  |
| Clear Creek Lake | LMB | 10/2 | 1000 | electro | sunny | - | normal | - | good |  |
| Greenbo Lake | LMB | 4/24 | 2000 | electro | clear | 60.80 | normal | 146 | good |  |
| Greenbo Lake | LMB | 9/25 | 2000 | electro | clear | 71.90 | normal | - | good | < 10" LMB only sampled |
| M ill Creek Lake | LMB | 5/7 | 830 | electro | sunny | 64.50 | normal | 52 | good |  |
| Lake Reba | LMB | 4/21 | 915 | electro | sunny | 63.50 | normal | 38 | good |  |
| Lake Reba | LMB | 9/22 | 900 | electro | sunny/wind | 69.20 | normal | 24 | good | < 10" LMB only sampled |
| Smoky Valley | LMB | 5/8 | 930 | electro | prt cloudy | 68.30 | normal | 18-24 | good |  |
| Smoky Valley | BG/RE | 5/27 | 1000 | electro | sunny | - | normal | - | good |  |
| Lake Wilgreen | LMB | 5/6 | 1000 | electro | prt cloudy | 64.60 | normal | 27 | good |  |
| Lake Wilgreen | BG/RE | 5/19 | 930 | electro | sunny | 68.90 | normal | 25 | good |  |

Table 2. Relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of muskellunge collected in the upper, middle and lower sections during 6.0 hours (18.0 hours total) of 30-minute runs in each area of Cave Run Lake (31 March and 01, 02, 21 April).

nedmuscr.d14

Table 3. Number of fish and mean relative weight $\left(W_{r}\right)$ values for length groups of Muskellunge collected across all lake units in Cave Run Lake from 2003 to present.

| Year | $\leq 20.0$ in |  |  | 20.1-30.0 in |  |  | 30.1-38.0 in |  |  | $\geq 38.1$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. | N | W | s.e. | N | W | s.e. | N | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. | N | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. |
| 2014 | 30 | 80 | 1 | 24 | 89 | 1 | 57 | 90 | 1 | 29 | 91 | 2 | 140 | 88 | 1 |
| 2013 | 11 | 79 | 2 | 4 | 95 | 2 | 41 | 94 | 1 | 17 | 92 | 3 | 73 | 91 | 1 |
| 2012 | 14 | 75 | 1 | 28 | 87 | 2 | 58 | 102 | 12 | 20 | 86 | 1 | 120 | 93 | 6 |
| 2011 | 23 | 83 | 2 | 29 | 93 | 1 | 40 | 91 | 1 | 27 | 88 | 2 | 119 | 89 | 1 |
| 2010 | 19 | 79 | 1 | 64 | 92 | 1 | 52 | 94 | 2 | 18 | 90 | 1 | 153 | 91 | 1 |
| 2009 | 12 | 88 | 4 | 11 | 97 | 1 | 36 | 93 | 1 | 23 | 93 | 1 | 82 | 93 | 1 |
| 2008 | 27 | 76 | 1 | 40 | 114 | 17 | 48 | 94 | 1 | 11 | 89 | 1 | 126 | 96 | 6 |
| 2007 | 35 | 84 | 1 | 9 | 102 | 4 | 18 | 95 | 3 | 14 | 92 | 2 | 76 | 90 | 1 |
| 2006 | 17 | 75 | 1 | 13 | 88 | 2 | 26 | 89 | 1 | 13 | 87 | 1 | 69 | 85 | 1 |
| 2005 | 26 | 81 | 4 | 23 | 91 | 1 | 38 | 89 | 1 | 22 | 85 | 2 | 109 | 87 | 1 |
| 2004 | 10 | 79 | 2 | 10 | 90 | 3 | 32 | 87 | 1 | 15 | 80 | 1 | 67 | 85 | 1 |
| 2003 | 22 | 82 | 3 | 16 | 96 | 3 | 33 | 92 | 2 | 9 | 87 | 2 | 80 | 90 | 1 |
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Table 4. Mean lengths and weights of known age muskellunge from Cave Run Lake captured from 2011 to present (standard errors are in parentheses)

|  | Age Class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4 |
| 2011 | N $=33$  <br> $\mathrm{~L}=$ 14.9 $(0.15)$ <br> W $=$ 0.59 <br> $(0.02)$   |  |  |  |
| 2012 | $\mathrm{N}=$ 61  <br> $\mathrm{~L}=$ 14.4 $(0.09)$ <br> $\mathrm{W}=$ 0.49 $(0.01)$ | $\begin{array}{rcc\|} \mathrm{N} & =15 & \\ \mathrm{~L} & =23.4 & (0.47) \\ \mathrm{W} & =2.78 & (0.24) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 2013 | $\begin{array}{rcc\|} \mathrm{N} & =74 \\ \mathrm{~L} & =13.9 & \\ \mathrm{~W} & =0.12) \\ & 0.50 & (0.01) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rcc} \mathrm{N} & =2 & \\ \mathrm{~L} & =22.3 & (2.80) \\ \mathrm{W} & =2.60 & (1.40) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rcc} \mathrm{N} & =7 & \\ \mathrm{~L} & =31.0 & (0.37) \\ \mathrm{W} & =7.50 & (0.49) \end{array}$ |  |
| 2014 | $\mathrm{N}=$ 73  <br> $\mathrm{~L}=$ 14.7 $(0.12)$ <br> $\mathrm{W}=$ 0.55 $(0.01)$ | $\begin{array}{rcc\|} \mathrm{N} & =23 & \\ \mathrm{~L} & =23.4 & (0.42) \\ \mathrm{W} & =2.93 & (0.19) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | N $=9$  <br> $\mathrm{~L}=$ 31.7 $(0.38)$ <br> W $=8.06$ $(0.40)$ | $\begin{array}{rlr} \mathrm{N} & =15 \\ \mathrm{~L} & =34.0 & (0.82) \\ \mathrm{W} & =10.19 & (0.91) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Average | $\begin{array}{rlr\|} \hline \mathrm{L} & =14.5 & (0.21) \\ \mathrm{W} & =0.53 & (0.02) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rlr\|} \hline \mathrm{L} & =23.0 & (0.37) \\ \mathrm{W} & =2.77 & (0.10) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rlr\|} \hline \mathrm{L} & =31.3 & (0.36) \\ \mathrm{W}= & 7.78 & (0.28) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L} & =34.0 \\ \mathrm{~W} & =10.19 \end{aligned}$ |
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Table 5. Mean lengths and weights of known sex muskellunge from Cave Run Lake captured from 2011 to present (standard errors are in parentheses)

|  | Sex |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females |
| 2011 | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | $\mathrm{N}=54$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}=31.15$ (0.96) | $L=34.58$ (0.96) |
|  | $\mathrm{W}=8.20$ (0.69) | $W=12.01$ (0.93) |
| 2012 | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | $\mathrm{N}=37$ |
|  | $L=33.74$ (0.40) | L= 37.64 (0.76) |
|  | $\mathrm{W}=9.68$ (0.33) | $W=14.42$ (0.98) |
| 2013 | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | $\mathrm{N}=25$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}=34.51$ (0.76) | $\mathrm{L}=37.00$ (0.81) |
|  | $W=10.88$ (0.57) | $W=14.73$ (1.04) |
| 2014 | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | $\mathrm{N}=34$ |
|  | $\mathrm{L}=34.92$ (0.39) | $\mathrm{L}=39.74$ (0.81) |
|  | $W=10.99$ (0.41) | $W=17.97$ (1.24) |
| Average | L= 33.58 (0.85) | L= 37.24 (1.06) |
|  | $\mathrm{W}=9.94$ (0.65) | $W=14.78$ (1.22) |

Table 6. Muskellunge assessment for Cave Run Lake spring electrofishing from 1995 to present.

| Year |  | Spring CPUE age-1 | $\begin{aligned} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 30.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Spring CPUE $\geq 36.0$ in | Spring CPUE $\geq 40.0$ in | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 4.1 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 18 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 3.5 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 16 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 6.8 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 16 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 2.6 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 2.7 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 3.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 11 | Fair |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 2.9 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 17 | Excellent |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 1.3 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 1.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 11 | Fair |
|  | Score | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 2002a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 2.3 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 15 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 2000 | Value | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 10 | Fair |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 1999 | Value | 1.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 9 | Fair |
|  | Score | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 1998 | Value | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | Value | 5.2 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| 1995 | Value | 2.9 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 14 | Good |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |

Table 7. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 2.0 hours ( 4.0 hours total) of 30-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs in each area of Cave Run Lake on 29, 30 April.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Upper | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 7.0 | 4.4 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 4 | 9 | 21 | 29 | 38 | 7 | 11 | 31 | 46 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 309 | 154.5 | 15.3 |
| Middle | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
|  | Spotted bass |  | 6 | 20 | 16 | 8 | 21 | 27 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 123 | 61.5 | 13.0 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 1 | 5 | 24 | 39 | 36 | 23 | 20 | 54 | 59 | 41 | 22 | 22 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  | 379 | 189.5 | 18.3 |
| Total | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 | 6 | 20 | 16 | 8 | 28 | 30 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137 | 34.3 | 12.1 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 5 | 14 | 45 | 68 | 74 | 30 | 31 | 85 | 105 | 56 | 42 | 42 | 11 | 23 | 17 | 18 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 688 | 172.0 | 12.9 |
| nedpsdcr.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 838 |  |  |

Table 8. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Cave Run Lake from 1990-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 8.0 in. |  | 8.0-11.9 in. |  | 12.0-14.9 in. |  | $\geq 15.0$ in. |  | $\geq 20.0$ in. |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 59.0 | 7.5 | 69.3 | 10.6 | 23.8 | 3.4 | 20.0 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 172.0 | 12.9 |
| 2013 | 93.0 | 6.1 | 56.7 | 5.0 | 20.7 | 2.3 | 17.7 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 188.0 | 10.1 |
| 2012 | 46.0 | 6.7 | 88.0 | 4.9 | 25.5 | 3.6 | 18.3 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 177.8 | 10.7 |
| 2011a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 25.8 | 6.2 | 23.3 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 61.0 | 8.5 |
| 2007 | 67.5 | 7.2 | 43.3 | 3.5 | 19.9 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 138.7 | 10.7 |
| 2006 | 50.7 | 10.1 | 48.5 | 7.7 | 14.7 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 124.0 | 19.1 |
| 2005 | 75.0 | 13.1 | 41.7 | 6.4 | 14.7 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 138.5 | 22.2 |
| 2004 | 29.0 | 3.0 | 60.7 | 5.9 | 26.0 | 3.0 | 14.1 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 129.8 | 10.1 |
| 2003 | 41.0 | 6.0 | 64.6 | 5.2 | 24.8 | 2.3 | 20.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 150.6 | 13.0 |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 22.8 | 3.7 | 54.7 | 5.4 | 27.6 | 2.3 | 12.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 117.7 | 8.6 |
| 2000 | 45.1 | 4.9 | 78.3 | 6.5 | 26.8 | 2.9 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 159.3 | 10.7 |
| 1999 | 67.6 | 7.2 | 51.3 | 3.5 | 21.6 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  | 149.0 | 8.7 |
| 1998 | 18.7 | 3.5 | 17.9 | 2.9 | 20.6 | 2.1 | 6.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  | 64.0 | 7.6 |
| 1997 | 37.1 | 3.6 | 50.4 | 5.2 | 24.6 | 2.6 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 116.5 | 10.4 |
| 1996 | 58.9 | 6.5 | 42.4 | 4.0 | 15.3 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  | 116.1 | 9.5 |
| 1995 | 27.8 | 5.3 | 80.5 | 11.5 | 36.6 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 151.3 | 17.9 |
| 1994 | 62.5 | 7.0 | 54.7 | 7.9 | 38.8 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 159.6 | 15.5 |
| 1993 | 47.1 | 5.4 | 110.7 | 10.3 | 36.2 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 198.8 | 15.3 |
| 1992 | 52.0 | 4.3 | 77.9 | 5.1 | 21.9 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 152.8 | 6.8 |
| 1991 | 32.5 | 4.7 | 64.5 | 4.9 | 31.0 | 2.1 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 134.3 | 7.2 |
| 1990 | 23.3 | 2.7 | 43.0 | 2.7 | 18.5 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 88.2 | 5.8 |

Table 9. PSD and RSD ${ }_{a}$ values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Cave Run Lake; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area <br> Upper | Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in. | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smalllmouth bass | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 13 | 8 | ( $\pm 15$ ) | 8 | ( $\pm 15$ ) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 201 | 49 | $( \pm 07)$ | 27 | ( $\pm 06$ ) |
| Middle | Smalllmouth bass | 8 | 13 | ( $\pm 25$ ) |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 73 | 10 | ( $\pm 07$ ) |  |  |
|  | Largemouth bass | 251 | 31 | $( \pm 06)$ | 10 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| Total | Smallmouth bass | 8 | 13 | ( $\pm 25$ ) |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 86 | 9 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 02)$ |
|  | Largemouth bass | 452 | 39 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 18 | $( \pm 04)$ |

nedpsdcr.d14
$a=$ Largemouth bass $=R_{\text {RD }}^{15}$, spotted and smallmouth bass $=R_{14}$

Table 10. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Cave Run Lake 2000-present (scoring based on statewide assessment).


Table 11. Length frequency and CPUE for white bass collected in 16 net-nights of sampling at Cave Run Lake from 21-24 October.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. <br> Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| White bass | 1 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 20 | 6 | 35 | 2.2 | 0.6 |

Table 12. Number of fish and relative weight $\left(W_{r}\right)$ for each length group of white bass collected at Cave Run Lake from 2007-2014. s.e. $=$ standard error

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.0-8.9 in |  |  | 9.0-11.9 in |  |  | $\geq 12.0$ in |  |  |
|  | No. | W | s.e. | No. | W | s.e. | No. | Wr | s.e. |
| 2014 |  |  |  | 34 | 85 | 1 | 25 | 85 | 1 |
| 2011 | 19 | 89 | 2 | 40 | 109 | 20 | 173 | 96 | 1 |
| 2008 | 22 | 93 | 2 | 19 | 90 | 2 | 94 | 92 | 1 |
| 2007 | 4 | 95 | 4 | 16 | 88 | 2 | 49 | 93 | 1 |

Table 13. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for white bass collected from Cave Run Lake in October 2014; includes 95\% confidence interval (CI) for mean length for each age class.

|  |  | Age |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Number | 1 |
| 2014 | 0 |  |
| 2013 | 33 | 8.8 |
|  |  |  |
| Mean | 33 | 8.8 |
| Number |  | 33 |
| Smallest |  | 7.1 |
| Largest |  | 0.3 |
| Std. Error | 0.1 |  |
| $95 \%$ Cl $( \pm)$ | 0.6 |  |
| nedaagcr.d14 |  |  |

Table 14. Age frequency and CPUE of white bass sampled in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  | CPUE |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 7 | 20 | 6 | 33 | 94 | 2.1 | 0.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 20 | 6 | 35 | 100 |  |  |  |
| $\%$ | 3 | 3 |  | 20 | 57 | 17 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

nedwtbcr.d14; nedaagcr.d14

Table 15. Population assessment using statewide criteria for white bass based on fall sampling from 1993 through 2014 at Cave Run Lake.

| Year |  | CPUE <br> age-1 and older | Mean length age-2 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 12.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 2.1 |  | 1.6 | 2.1 | 5 | Poor |
|  | Score | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2011 | Value | 21.4 | 11.6 | 17.3 | 3.4 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 9.0 | 12.9 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 9 | Fair |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 4.3 | 12.9 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 7 | Fair |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 13.3 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 17.9 | 13.6 | 4.9 | 15.1 | 13 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| 1998 | Value | 13.6 | 13.4 | 9.3 | 4.4 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1993 | Value | 10.0 | 13.0 | 6.8 | 3.1 | 12 | Good |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  |  |

nedwtbcr.d14, d11, d07, d05, d03, d98, d93

Table 16. Fishery statistics derived from a daytime creel survey at Cave Run Lake during 2014 creel (March through October) as compared to findings from 2007, 2003, 1998 and 1994.

|  | 2014 | 2007 | 2003 | 1998 | 1994 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fishing trips |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of fishing trips | 30,264 | 23,316 | 26,208 | 33,354 | 24,406 |
| (per acre) | (03.66) | (02.82) | (03.17) | (04.03) | (02.95) |
| Fishing pressure |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total man-hours (S.E.) | 122,001 (2,383) | 120,079 (2,644) | 177,202 (2,653) | 134,650 $(2,311)$ | 117,788 (8,358) |
| Man hours/acre | 14.75 | 14.52 | 21.43 | 16.28 | 14.24 |
| Catch/harvest |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of fish caught (S.E.) | 86,386 (9,683) | 130,113 (10,507) | 187,881 (11,843) | 128,352 (12,798) | 124,513 (12,143) |
| No. of fish harvested (S.E.) | 42,465 (5,235) | 61,966 (5,672) | 99,936 (7,249) | 64,507 (6,564) | 53,778 |
| Lbs. of fish harvested | 24,898 | 29,248 | 54,818 | 31,197 | 31,347 |
| Harvest rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish/hour | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.46 |
| Fish/acre | 5.13 | 7.49 | 12.08 | 7.80 | 6.50 |
| Lbs/acre | 3.01 | 3.54 | 6.63 | 3.77 | 3.79 |
| Catch rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish/hour | 0.74 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 0.96 | 1.06 |
| Fish/acre | 10.45 | 15.73 | 22.72 | 15.52 | 15.06 |
| Misc. characteristics (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 88.50 | 89.40 | 90.10 | 86.80 | 84.30 |
| Female | 11.50 | 10.60 | 9.90 | 13.20 | 15.80 |
| Resident | 84.60 | 91.00 | 91.30 | 85.50 | 79.90 |
| Non-resident | 15.40 | 9.00 | 8.70 | 14.20 | 20.10 |
| Method (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Still fishing | 25.40 | 40.50 | 34.20 | 37.90 | 38.80 |
| Casting | 72.10 | 56.70 | 57.60 | 58.10 | 55.50 |
| Fly fishing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | t | t |
| Trolling | 2.40 | 2.80 | 7.70 | 6.90 | 5.60 |
| Spider Rig | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mode (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat | 94.40 | 90.60 | 92.40 | 94.00 | 91.60 |
| Bank | 5.50 | 9.30 | 7.40 | 5.60 | 7.80 |
| Dock | t | t | t | t | 0.60 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (S.E) = Standard error } \\ & t<0.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 17. Fish harvest statistics derived from the 2014 creel survey at Cave Run Lake.

|  | White Crappie | Black Crappie | Crappie Group | Largemouth | Spotted Bass | Smallmouth Bass | Black Bass Group | Bluegill | Warmouth | Redear Sunfish | Panfish Group | Muskie | Channel Catfish | Flathead Catfish | Catfish Group | White Bass | Drum | Common Carp | Anything |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number caught | 44187 | 12420 | 56608 | 13599 | 534 | 205 | 14338 | 12061 | 122 | 44 | 12228 | 2180 | 803 | 85 | 888 | 34 | 50 | 16 |  |
| (per acre) | 5.3 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Number harvested | 23982 | 7645 | 31627 | 2650 | 95 |  | 27445 | 6894 | 38 | 44 | 6976 | 212 | 787 | 52 | 839 | 17 | 33 | 16 |  |
| (per acre) | 2.9 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \% of total number harvested | 56.5 | 18.0 | 74.5 | 6.2 | 0.2 |  | 6.5 | 16.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 16.4 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Pounds harvested | 9301.4 | 4434.9 | 13736.3 | 3.4 | 41.2 |  | 3428.4 | 1122.4 | 8.9 | 39.7 | 171.0 | 4776.4 | 1283.2 | 367.3 | 1650.5 | 6.0 | 58.3 | 70.7 |  |
| (per acre) | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \%of total pounds harvested | 37.4 | 17.8 | 55.2 | 13.6 | 0.2 |  | 13.8 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 19.2 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |
| M ean length (in) | 9.37 | 10.26 |  | 13.47 | 9.75 |  |  | 6.33 | 7.00 | 11.00 |  | 40.73 | 17.41 | 26.50 |  | 9.00 | 16.00 | 21.00 |  |
| M ean weight (lb) | 0.37 | 0.58 |  | 1.26 | 0.44 |  |  | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.90 |  | 18.24 | 1.72 | 7.44 |  | 0.35 | 1.76 | 4.34 |  |
| Number fishing trips for that species |  |  | 5710.4 |  |  |  | 10667.8 |  |  |  | 1236.8 | 14480.8 |  |  | 773.4 |  |  |  | 394.6 |
| \%of all trips |  |  | 18.9 |  |  |  | 35.3 |  |  |  | 4.1 | 37.9 |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |  | 1.3 |
| Hours fished for that species (per acre) |  |  | 23020.1 <br> (2.8) |  |  |  | 43004.4 <br> (5.2) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4985.8 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | 46282.0 <br> (5.6) |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3117.7 \\ (0.4) \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1590.8 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Number harvested fishing for that species |  |  | 31457 |  |  |  | 2599 |  |  |  | 5,468 | 194 |  |  | 761 |  |  |  |  |
| Pounds harvested fishing for that species |  |  | 13606.3 |  |  |  | 3327.6 |  |  |  | 907.0 | 4503.0 |  |  | 1401.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Number harvested per hour fishing for that species |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.8 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| \%success fishing <br> for that species |  |  | 65.9 |  |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |  | 48.4 | 1.3 |  |  | 28.3 |  |  |  | 16.1 |

Table 18. Length distribution (length of released fish are estimates) for each species of fish harvested (H) or released (R) at Cave Run Lake from March through October 2014.


Table 19. Monthly black bass and muskie angling success at Cave Run Lake during the 2014 creel survey period.


Table 20. Monthly crapppie angling success at Cave Run Lake during the 2014 creel survey period.

|  | Trips Fishing For | Hours Fishing For | Catch |  | Harvest |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fishing for | Total Catch | Fishing for | Total Catch | Mean Length ( In ) |  | Mean Weight (lb) |  |
| March | 130.65 | 526.67 | 657 | 657 | 657 | 657 | 7.8 | - | 0.19 | - |
| April | 1,658.05 | 6,792.84 | 16,209 | 16,340 | 7,742 | 7,742 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 0.38 | 0.63 |
| May | 491.17 | 1,980.01 | 4,053 | 4,071 | 1,284 | 1,302 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 0.39 | 0.45 |
| June | 472.15 | 1,903.36 | 3,487 | 3,601 | 2,363 | 2,379 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 0.33 | 0.67 |
| July | 1,038.40 | 4,186.06 | 12,287 | 12,288 | 7,231 | 7,231 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 0.38 | 0.49 |
| August | 561.12 | 2,262.03 | 4,539 | 4,606 | 3,196 | 3,247 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 0.51 | 0.69 |
| September | 992.52 | 4,001.11 | 11,840 | 11,972 | 6,706 | 6,727 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 0.39 | 0.52 |
| October | 339.35 | 1,368.00 | 3,008 | 3,073 | 2,278 | 2,342 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 0.40 | 0.60 |
| Total | 5,710.41 | 23,020.07 | 56,080 | 56,608 | 31,457 | 31,627 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 | 10.3 | 0.37 | 0.58 |

* Mean Length and Mean Weight the first number is white crappie, and the second (in italics) is black crappie. Both of these numbers
are based off of the fish harvested.

Table 21. Total catch and catch rates of largemouth bass in size classes relating to the slot limit regulation from 2014, 2007, 2003, 1998 and 1994.

|  Hours <br> Year Fishing |  |  | Harvested |  |  |  | Catch and Release |  |  |  |  | Total (Harvested and Catch and Release) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | <13.0" | $\geq 16.0$ " | $\geq 20.01$ | Total | < 13.0" | "Slot" | $\geq 16.0$ " | $\geq 20.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Total | <13.0" | "Slot" | $\geq 16.0$ " | $\geq 20.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Total |
| 2014 | 43,004 | Total per hour | 1,813 | 837 | 120 | 2,650 | 5,562 | 3,812 | 1,574 | 389 | 10,948 | 7,375 | 3,812 | 2,411 | 509 | 13,598 |
|  |  |  | 0.042 | 0.019 | 0.003 | 0.062 | 0.129 | 0.089 | 0.037 | 0.009 | 0.255 | 0.171 | 0.089 | 0.056 | 0.012 | 0.316 |
| 2007 | 34,497 | Total | 4,568 | 195 | 20 | 4,763 | 15,226 | 2,930 | 1,318 | 59 | 19,474 | 19,794 | 2,930 | 1,513 | 79 | 24,237 |
|  |  | per hour | 0.132 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.138 | 0.441 | 0.085 | 0.038 | 0.002 | 0.565 | 0.574 | 0.085 | 0.044 | 0.002 | 0.703 |
| 2003 | 55,956 | Total | 6,860 | 783 | 71 | 7,643 | 18,872 | 4,722 | 3,215 | 188 | 26,809 | 25,732 | 4,722 | 3,998 | 259 | 34,452 |
|  |  | per hour | 0.123 | 0.014 | 0.001 | 0.137 | 0.337 | 0.084 | 0.057 | 0.003 | 0.479 | 0.460 | 0.084 | 0.071 | 0.005 | 0.616 |
| 1998 | 47,813 | Total | 3,760 | 874 | 21 | 4,634 | 4,172 | 6,183 | 766 | 41 | 11,121 | 7,932 | 6,183 | 1,640 | 62 | 15,755 |
|  |  | per hour | 0.079 | 0.018 | 0.000 | 0.097 | 0.087 | 0.129 | 0.016 | 0.001 | 0.233 | 0.166 | 0.129 | 0.034 | 0.001 | 0.330 |
| Average$(07,03,98)$ |  | Total | 5,063 | 617 | 37 | 5,680 | 12,757 | 4,612 | 1,766 | 96 | 19,135 | 17,819 | 4,612 | 2,384 | 133 | 24,815 |
|  |  | per hour | 0.083 | 0.009 | 0.001 | 0.093 | 0.216 | 0.075 | 0.028 | 0.001 | 0.319 | 0.300 | 0.075 | 0.037 | 0.002 | 0.412 |
| 1994* | 35,389 | Total | 0 | 874 | 117 | 874 | 11,206 | 5,588 | 514 | 58 | 17,308 | 11,206 | 6,170 | 1,388 | 175 | 18,764 |
|  |  | per hour | 0.000 | 0.025 | 0.003 | 0.025 | 0.317 | 0.158 | 0.015 | 0.002 | 0.489 | 0.317 | 0.174 | 0.039 | 0.005 | 0.530 |

* Lake w as under a 15.0 in. minimum size limit.

Table 22. Number of hours to catch specfic-size largemouth bass and percentage of catch for those size classes of largemouth bass in 2014, 2007, 2003, 1998 and 1994 creels.

|  | Hours to Catch |  |  |  |  | \% of Total Catch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <12.9" | 13.0-15.9" | $\geq 16.0$ " | $\geq 20.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Total | $\leq 12.9{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 13.0-15.9" | $\geq 16.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $\geq 20.0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 2014 | 5.83 | 11.28 | 17.84 | 84.49 | 3.16 | 24.24 | 28.03 | 17.73 | 3.74 |
| 2007 | 1.74 | 11.77 | 22.8 | 436.67 | 1.42 | 81.67 | 12.09 | 6.25 | 0.33 |
| 2003 | 2.17 | 11.85 | 14 | 216.05 | 1.62 | 74.69 | 13.71 | 11.60 | 0.75 |
| 1998 | 6.03 | 7.73 | 29.15 | 771.18 | 3.03 | 50.35 | 39.24 | 10.41 | 0.39 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average } \\ (07,03,98) \end{gathered}$ | 3.33 | 13.39 | 26.74 | 486.89 | 2.43 | 71.81 | 18.58 | 9.61 | 0.54 |
| 1994* | 3.16 | 5.74 | 25.51 | 202.22 | 1.89 | 59.72 | 30.88 | 7.40 | 0.93 |

* Lake w as under a 15.0 in. minimum size limit.

Table 23. Angler attitude survey conducted during 2014 creel survey on Cave Run Lake.
3. Which species do you fish for at Cave Run Lake (check all that apply)?

Crappie $=43.4 \%$; Bass $=41.9 \%$; Muskie $=40.9 \%$; White Bass $=4.0 \%$; Catfish $=$
4. Which species do you fish for most at Cave Run Lake (check only one)?

Bass $=32.8 \% ;$ Muskie $=32.8 \% ;$ Crappie $=32.8 \% ;$ Bluegill $=0.5 \% ;$ Catfish $=0.5 \%$;

## Bass Anglers

5. What level of satisfaction do you have with bass fishing at Cave Run Lake?

| Very Satisfied | $66.7 \%$ | Somewhat | $28.6 \%$ | Total | $95.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | Somewhat | $1.2 \%$ | Total | $1.2 \%$ |
| Neutral | $2.4 \%$ | No Opinion | $1.2 \%$ |  |  |

5a. If you responded with somewhat or very dissatisfied in question 5 - what is the single most reason for your dissatisfaction?
*Note: These numbers are percentages ONLY of those who were dissatisfied (1.2\%)
Size of fish 100.0\%
6. Over the last 3 years has your catch rate of largemouth bass 15 inched and greater at Cave

| Increased | $36.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Stayed the Same | $51.2 \%$ |
| Declined | $3.6 \%$ |
| I don't know | $8.3 \%$ |

7. Do you fish bass tournaments on Cave Run Lake?

$$
\text { Yes }=48.8 \% \quad \text { No }=51.2 \%
$$

7a. About how many bass tournamnets did you fish on Cave Run Lake in the last 12 months?

| $1-6=58.5 \%$ | $7-12=34.1 \%$ | $13-24=4.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $13-24=4.9 \%$ | $\geq 25=2.4 \%$ |  |

## Crappie Anglers

8. What level of satisfaction do you have with crappie fishing at Cave Run Lake?

| Very Satisfied | $82.9 \%$ | Somewhat | $12.2 \%$ | Total | $95.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | Somewhat | $2.4 \%$ | Total | $2.4 \%$ |
| Neutral | $2.4 \%$ | No Opinion | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |

8a. If you responded with somewhat or very dissatisfied in question 8 - what is the single most reason for your dissatisfaction?
*Note: These numbers are percentages ONLY of those who were dissatisfied (2.4\%)
Size of fish 100.0\%

## Muskie Anglers

9. What level of satisfaction do you have with muskie fishing at Cave Run Lake?

| Very Satisfied | $87.8 \%$ | Somewhat | $11.0 \%$ | Total | $98.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | Somewhat | $0.0 \%$ | Total | $0.0 \%$ |
| Neutral | $1.2 \%$ | No Opinion | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |

9a. If you responded with somewhat or very dissatisfied in question 9 - what is the single most reason for your dissatisfaction?
*Note: These numbers are percentages ONLY of those who were dissatisfied ( $0.0 \%$ )

## No Responses (no dissatisfied anglers)

9b. If you responded with somewhat or very satisfied in question 9 - what is the single most
reason for your satisfaction?
*Note: These numbers are percentages ONLY of those who were satisfied (98.8\%)

| Number of fish | $44.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Size of Fish | $32.9 \%$ |
| The regular stockings | $11.4 \%$ |
| I like the regulations | $2.9 \%$ |
| All of the above | $2.9 \%$ |
| Just like the battle | $2.9 \%$ |
| Get away from cold/people | $1.4 \%$ |
| Catch rates | $1.4 \%$ |

Table 23 cont.
10. Over the last 3 years has your catch rate of muskie less than 36 inches at Cave Run Lake:

| Increased | $64.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Stayed the Same | $15.2 \%$ |
| Declined | $3.8 \%$ |
| I don't know | $16.5 \%$ |

11. Over the last 3 years has your catch rate of muskie greater than 36 inches at Cave Run Lake:

| Increased | $63.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stayed the Same | $20.3 \%$ |
| I don't know | $16.5 \%$ |

12. About what percentage of legal muskie did you keep in the last 3 years at Cave Run Lake?
I didn't keep any or very few $\quad 72.5 \%$
About 25\% 10.0\%

About 50\% 10.0\%
About 75\% 36.8\%
All or Almost All 3.8\%
13. Do you fish muskie tournaments on Cave Run Lake?

Yes $=27.5 \% \quad$ No $=72.5 \%$
13a. About how many muskie tournamnets did you fish on Cave Run Lake in the last 12 months?

$$
1-2=86.4 \% \quad 3-4=13.6 \% \quad \geq 4=0.0 \%
$$

## White Bass Anglers

14. What level of satisfaction do you have with white bass fishing at Cave Run Lake?

| Very Satisfied | $62.5 \%$ | Somewhat | $0.0 \%$ | Total | $62.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Dissatisfied | $0.0 \%$ | Somewhat | $12.5 \%$ | Total | $12.5 \%$ |
| Neutral | $25.0 \%$ | No Opinion | $0.0 \%$ |  |  |

14a. If you responded with somewhat or very dissatisfied in question 14 - what is the single most

> reason for your dissatisfaction?
*Note: These numbers are percentages ONLY of those who were dissatisfied (12.5\%)
No white bass in the lake 100.0\%
All Anglers
15. On average, how many times do you fish Cave Run Lake each month?

| $\leq 1$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 - 1 0}$ | $33.7 \%$ |
| $\geq 10$ | $19.9 \%$ |

16. Do you support or oppose the current 13-16 inch slot limit on largemouth bass at Cave Run

| Support | $84.8 \%$ | Oppose | $13.2 \%$ | No <br> Opinion | $2.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

16a. What largemouth bass size limit do you prefer at Cave Run Lake?

| Keep it as it is | $78.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ inch minimum size limit | $13.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ inch minimum size limit | $2.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ inch minimum size limit | $2.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ inch minimum size limit | $1.0 \%$ |
| Catch and release only | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ inch minimum size limit | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ inch minimum size limit | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8} \boldsymbol{- 2 0}$ inch protective slot | $0.5 \%$ |

17. Do you support or oppose the current 36 inch minimum size limit on muskie at Cave Run

| Support | $84.8 \%$ | Oppose | $13.2 \%$ | No <br> Opinion | $2.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 23 cont.
17a. What muskie size limit do you prefer at Cave Run Lake?

| Keep it as it is | $64.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ inch minimum size limit | $14.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ inch minimum size limit | $11.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ inch minimum size limit | $2.6 \%$ |
| 50 inch minimum size limit | $2.6 \%$ |
| Catch and release only | $2.1 \%$ |
| No size limit | $1.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ inch minimum size limit | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ inch minimum size limit | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ inch minimum size limit | $0.5 \%$ |

18. How would you rate the existing fish habitat on Cave Run Lake (both natural and department

| Excellent | $64.8 \%$ | Good | $33.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Fair | $1.5 \%$ | Poor | $0.0 \%$ |

19. Were you aware the department places fish habitat within the lake?

$$
\text { Yes }=68.2 \% \quad \text { No }=31.8 \%
$$

19a. Do you regularly fish the department habitat?

$$
\text { Yes }=68.4 \% \quad \text { No }=31.6 \%
$$

19b. Do you feel fishing the department placed habitat has improved your fishing results?

$$
\text { Yes }=100 \% \quad \text { No }=0.0 \%
$$

Table 24. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 5.5 hours ( 2.0 hours in the upper and middle areas and 1.5 hours in the lower area) of nocturnal electrofishing for black bass in Grayson Lake on 21-23 April.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Upper | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 2 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 42 | 23 | 32 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 213 | 106.5 | 11.1 |
| Middle | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 2 | 13 |  | 13 | 22 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | 36.0 | 12.4 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 5 | 68 | 67 | 26 | 20 | 96 | 49 | 44 | 32 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 452 | 226.0 | 11.6 |
| Lower | Smallmouth bass |  | 4 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 | 39 | 9 | 19 | 32 | 23 | 15 | 17 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160 | 106.7 | 9.8 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 1 | 21 | 29 | 12 | 9 | 60 | 52 | 32 | 56 | 8 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 308 | 205.3 | 17.4 |
| Total | Smallmouth bass |  | 4 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | Spotted bass | 3 | 52 | 9 | 32 | 57 | 34 | 22 | 21 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 | 44.2 | 13.6 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 8 | 94 | 112 | 49 | 31 | 198 | 124 | 108 | 105 | 31 | 17 | 22 | 17 | 10 | 6 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 973 | 176.9 | 18.3 |

nedpsdgl.d14

Table 25. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of stocked* and wild largemouth bass collected in 5.5 hours of nocturnal electrofishing at Grayson Lake.

| Type | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Wild | 8 | 94 | 112 | 49 | 31 | 198 | 124 | 108 | 102 | 31 | 17 | 21 | 17 | 10 | 6 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 969 | 157.5 | 16.4 |
| Stocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.7 | 0.3 |

Table 26. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Grayson Lake from 1999-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 53.5 | 10.7 | 97.3 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 1.6 | 13.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 176.9 | 18.3 |
| 2013 | 75.2 | 11.3 | 78.2 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 1.5 | 16.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 182.8 | 14.4 |
| 2012 | 67.0 | 11.4 | 91.0 | 6.5 | 16.8 | 2.2 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 188.0 | 16.1 |
| 2011 ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 22.8 | 4.0 | 41.0 | 4.2 | 17.0 | 2.7 | 12.7 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 93.5 | 10.3 |
| 2008 | 25.7 | 7.2 | 22.5 | 4.4 | 11.5 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 63.3 | 11.5 |
| 2007 | 48.0 | 8.0 | 46.8 | 3.8 | 16.0 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 115.8 | 11.6 |
| 2006 | 18.8 | 2.9 | 55.5 | 7.4 | 23.7 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 103.3 | 10.1 |
| 2005 | 50.1 | 8.0 | 70.2 | 7.9 | 25.1 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 148.3 | 15.9 |
| 2004 | 162.3 | 22.0 | 77.8 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 255.9 | 31.9 |
| 2003 | 128.3 | 10.7 | 79.5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 216.3 | 15.1 |
| 2002 | 132.5 | 17.9 | 54.5 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 194.8 | 22.7 |
| 2001 | 220.8 | 30.6 | 54.2 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 283.9 | 30.2 |
| 2000 | 143.3 | 20.6 | 65.7 | 5.9 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 229.1 | 25.9 |
| 1999 | 172.7 | 21.6 | 102.4 | 10.1 | 24.1 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 303.8 | 31.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nedpsdgl.d14-d12; d09-d99 } \\ & a=\text { No sample } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 27. PSD and RSD ${ }_{a}$ values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Grayson Lake; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area | Species | No. $\geq 8.0$ in. | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | Smalllmouth bass | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 11 | 36 | $( \pm 3.0)$ | - | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 177 | 36 | $( \pm 7.0)$ | 19 | $( \pm 6.0)$ |
| Middle | Smalllmouth bass | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass | 44 | 9 | ( $\pm 9.0)$ | - | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 266 | 17 | ( $\pm 5.0$ ) | 6 | ( $\pm 3.0$ ) |
| Lower | Smalllmouth bass | 5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Spotted bass | 92 | 5 | ( $\pm 5.0$ ) | - | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 236 | 15 | $( \pm 5.0)$ | 11 | $( \pm 4.0)$ |
| Total | Smallmouth bass | 5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Spotted bass | 147 | 9 | $( \pm 5.0)$ | - | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 679 | 39 | ( $\pm 3.0$ ) | 11 | ( $\pm 2.0$ ) |

nedpsdgl.d14
${ }_{a}=$ Largemouth bass $=R S D_{15}$, spotted and smallmouth bass $=R S D_{14}$

Table 28. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Grayson Lake from 2000 - present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Spring CPUE age-1 | Spring CPUE $12.0-14.9$ in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 2 | 46.9 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 2.2 | 12 | Good |  |  |
|  | Score |  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | Value | 2 | 73.2 | 13.2 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 12 | Good |  |  |
|  | Score |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 2 | 48.5 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 12 | Good |  |  |
|  | Score |  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011a | Value Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 11.6 | 19.9 | 17.0 | 12.7 | 0.8 | 10 | Fair | -0.361 | 30.30\% |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 11.6 | 21.3 | 11.5 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 7 | Poor | -0.445 | 35.90\% |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 10.7 | 45.9 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 9 | Fair | -0.538 | 41.60\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 10.7 | 17.3 | 23.7 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 8 | Fair | -5.350 | 41.50\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 10.7 | 46.8 | 25.1 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 10 | Fair | -0.731 | 51.90\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 10.7 | 40.4 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 8 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Value | 10.7 | 125.2 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 9 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | 10.7 | 127.2 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 9 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 10.7 | 218.1 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 9 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Value | 10.5 | 130.8 | 13.4 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 10 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

nedpsdgl.d14
$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 29. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in September while nocturnal electrofishing at Grayson Lake.

| Year class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in. |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2014 | Total | 4.6 | 0.04 | 101.8 | 15.7 | 31.8 | 8.3 |  |  |
| 2013 | Total | 4.3 | 0.04 | 81.3 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 3.3 | 46.9 | 9.5 |
| 2012 | Total | 4.5 | 0.04 | 139.1 | 23.0 | 41.8 | 6.1 | 65.7 | 9.1 |
| 2011 | Total | 4.0 | 0.04 | 83.6 | 15.0 | 11.1 | 2.6 | 48.5 | 12.0 |
| 2010 | Total | 4.8 | 0.04 | 98.2 | 17.3 | 42.0 | 6.9 | * | * |
| 2009 | Total | 4.1 | 0.06 | 33.1 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 1.4 | * | * |
| 2008 | Total | 4.1 | 0.04 | 66.0 | 16.4 | 8.7 | 2.8 | 19.9 | 3.8 |
| 2007 | Total | 4.3 | 0.07 | 44.9 | 9.2 | 12.9 | 2.8 | 29.8 | 10.0 |
| 2006 | Total | 4.1 | 0.04 | 87.1 | 17.9 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 45.9 | 8.0 |
| 2005 | Total | 4.0 | 0.04 | 72.3 | 17.0 | 11.7 | 2.2 | 17.3 | 2.8 |
| 2004 | Total | 4.3 | 0.08 | 40.4 | 5.7 | 11.3 | 2.1 | 46.8 | 7.8 |
| 2003 | Total | 4.3 | 0.03 | 59.1 | 6.8 | 10.4 | 1.7 | 158.9 | 21.7 |

* No sample collected due to high water
nedbsigl.d14-d13; nedwrsgl.d12-d03; nedpsdgl.d14-d12, d09-d04
nedaaggl.d03, d08

Table 30. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for each species of crappie collected at Grayson Lake while electrofishing for 1.5 hr (6-15-minute runs) on 17 October.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie | 1 | 35 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 81 | 54.0 | 13.2 |  |
| Black crappie |  | 5 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |  |
| nedcwrgl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 31. Number of fish and relative weight $\left(W_{r}\right)$ for each length group of crappie collected at Grayson Lake in 2014; s.e. $=$ standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5.0-7.9 in |  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | No. | Wr | s.e. | No. | Wr | s.e. | No. | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. | No. | Wr | s.e. |
| White crappie | 67 | 89 | 1 | 10 | 81 | 2 | 3 | 94 | 8 | 80 | 88 | 1 |
| Black crappie | 6 | 124 | 32 | 1 | 79 | - |  |  |  | 7 | 117 | 28 |

nedcwrgl.d14

Table 32. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values for crappie collected while electrofishing Grayson Lake; $95 \%$ confidence limits are in parentheses.

|  | No. $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD |  | RSD $_{10}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White crappie | 81 | 16 | $( \pm 8)$ | 14 | $( \pm 28)$ |
| Black crappie | 7 | 4 | $( \pm 4)$ |  |  |
| nedcwrgl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |

nedcwrgl.d14

Table 33. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for white crappie collected from Grayson Lake in October 2014, includes 95\% confidence interval (Cl) for mean length for each age class.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2013 | 1 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 6 | 3.6 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 8 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 8 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 7.5 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 2 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 8.9 |  |  |
| 2008 | 4 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.3 |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| Mean |  | 3.4 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.4 |
| Number | 31 | 31 | 30 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| Smallest |  | 2.6 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 7.3 |
| Largest |  | 4.4 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 9.8 | 9.9 | 7.5 |
| Std. Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 95\% CI ( $\pm$ ) |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.8 |

Table 34. Age frequency and CPUE of white crappie from Grayson Lake in October 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  | CPUE | Std. <br> error |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 2 |  | 23 |  |  |  |  | 23 | 29 | 15.6 | 3.8 |
| 3 |  | 8 | 13 | 2 |  |  | 23 | 28 | 15.1 | 3.7 |
| 4 |  | 4 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 24 | 13.0 | 3.4 |
| 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| 6 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 8 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
| 7 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 4.3 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1 | 35 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 81 | 100 |  |  |
| $\%$ | 1 | 43 | 40 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 100 |  |  |  |
| nedcwrgl.d14; nedaaggl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 35. Population assessment for white crappie based on samples collected during the fall at Grayson Lake from 2005-2012 (scoring based on lake-specific assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-2 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq \text { age -1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 54.0 | 8.7 | 6 | Poor | -0.752 | 52.80\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013a | ValueScore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 1 | 2.0 | 11.5 | 125.2 | 27.3 | 12 | Good |  |  |
|  | Score |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 6.6 | 0.7 | 13.5 | 124.0 | 24.7 | 12 | Good | -0.425 | 34.60\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 6.4 | 0.5 | 16.8 | 69.3 | 10.3 | 10 | Fair | -0.384 | 56.60\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 6.4 | 1.7 | 27.6 | 104.6 | 16.0 | 12 | Fair | -0.754 | 53.00\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 5.6 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 21.6 | 6.0 | 5 | Poor | -0.900 | 59.30\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 5.6 | 39.6 | 83.3 | 228.8 | 42.4 | 17 | Excellent | -1.185 | 69.40\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 5.1 | 1.3 | 9.9 | 41.3 | 16.7 | 8 | Fair | -0.233 | 20.80\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

nedcwrgld12-d05; nedaaggl.d05, d06, d08, d10
$\mathrm{a}=$ No sample

Table 36. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for hybrid striped bass collected at Grayson Lake while gill netting (17 net-nights) on 4-7 November.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 2425 | 26 |  |  |  |
| Hybrid striped bass | 18 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 76 | 4.5 | 0.7 |
| nedhybgl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 37. Number of fish and relative weight $\left(W_{r}\right)$ for each length group of hybrid
striped bass collected at Grayson Lake in 2014; s.e. = standard error.

| Year | Length Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8.0-11.9 in |  |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | No. | Wr | s.e. | No. | Wr | s.e. | No. | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. | No. | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. |
| 2014 | 23 | 79 | 2 | 10 | 76 | 2 | 43 | 83 | 1 | 76 | 81 | 1 |

Table 38. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for hybrid striped bass collected from Grayson Lake in November 2014, includes 95\% confidence interval (CI) for mean length for each age class.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 2014 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 13 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 21 | 8.7 | 14.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 9 | 9.3 | 14.1 | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 4 | 9.0 | 14.3 | 17.3 | 19.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 5 | 8.2 | 14.3 | 17.2 | 20.1 | 21.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 4 | 9.6 | 15.9 | 19.7 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 25.2 | 25.5 | 25.8 | 26.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 8.8 | 14.4 | 17.7 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 25.2 | 25.5 | 25.8 | 26.1 |
| Number | 56 | 56 | 43 | 22 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Smallest |  | 6.7 | 12.2 | 15.4 | 17.4 | 20.7 | 23.8 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 24.9 | 25.1 | 25.4 |
| Largest |  | 10.5 | 16.3 | 20.2 | 22.4 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 25.4 | 25.9 | 26.1 | 26.4 | 26.6 |
| Std. Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 95\% Cl ( $\pm$ ) |  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| nedaggld14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nedaaggl.d14

Table 39. Age frequency and CPUE of hybrid striped bass sampled using gill nets for 17 net-nights at Grayson Lake in November 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 28 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 15 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 27 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 16 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total | 18 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  | 6 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 75 | 100 |  |  |
| \% | 24 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 |  | 8 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 100 |  |  |  |

nedhybgl.d14; nedaaggl.d14

Table 40. Population assessment for hybrid striped bass based on samples collected during the fall at Grayson Lake in 2014 (scoring based on lake-specific assessment for 250' nets).

|  | CPUE <br> $\geq$ age -1 | Mean length <br> age-2 | CPUE <br> age-1 | CPUE <br> $\geq 15.0$ in | Total <br> score | Assessment <br> rating | Instantaneous <br> mortality ( $z$ ) | Annual <br> mortality (A)\% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Value | 3.2 | 17.30 | 2.5 | 0.7 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | Score | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | Fair | -0.352 | $29.70 \%$ |
| nedhybgl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nedhybgl.d14

Table 41. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 0.375 hour ( $3-7.5$ minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing largemouth bass in Clear Creek Lake on 29 April.

| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 5 | 9 | 4 | 35 | 27 | 17 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 136 | 348.7 | 57.3 |

Table 42. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Clear Creek
Lake.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 205.1 | 21.9 | 118.0 | 33.9 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 5.1 | 348.7 | 57.3 |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 80.0 | 20.1 | 234.7 | 41.4 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 341.3 | 49.4 |
| 2011a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 82.7 | 10.7 | 36.0 | 9.2 | 16.0 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 261.3 | 31.4 |
| 2008 | 378.0 | 66.4 | 162.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 562.0 | 55.1 |
| 2007 | 197.3 | 23.2 | 149.3 | 11.6 | 46.7 | 19.2 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 405.3 | 35.3 |
| 2006 | 136.0 | 20.1 | 189.3 | 13.3 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 7.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 349.3 | 16.2 |
| 2005 | 168.0 | 42.3 | 80.0 | 28.8 | 13.3 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 2.7 |  |  | 266.7 | 65.7 |
| 2004 | 122.7 | 27.1 | 109.3 | 26.3 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 13.3 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 261.3 | 34.7 |

nedpsdcc.d04-09, 12
$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake not sampled
Table 43. Largemouth bass PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values from spring electrofishing at
Clear Creek Lake; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 56 | 18 | $( \pm 10)$ | 13 | $( \pm 09)$ |
| $2013_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 98 | 10 | $( \pm 06)$ | 6 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| $2011_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2010_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 36 | 12 | $( \pm 14)$ | 5 | $( \pm 09)$ |
| 2008 | 92 | 28 | $( \pm 10)$ | 5 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2007 | 78 | 11 | $( \pm 07)$ | 8 | $( \pm 06)$ |
| 2006 | 80 | 19 | $( \pm 26)$ | 6 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2005 | 37 |  |  |  | 5 |

nedpsdgl.d14
$a=$ Lake not sampled

Table 44. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for largemouth bass collected from Clear Creek Lake in October 2014, includes 95\% confidence interval (CI) for mean length for each age class.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2014 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 4 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 23 | 5.0 | 6.9 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 6 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 9.1 |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 5.2 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 11.0 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 6.4 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 12.3 | 13.4 |
| Mean |  | 5.0 | 7.2 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| Number |  | 35 | 31 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| Smallest |  | 3.9 | 5.8 | 8.3 | 11.0 |  |
| Largest |  | 6.4 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 12.3 |  |
| Std. Error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |  |
| 95\% Cl ( $\pm$ ) |  | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.5 |  |

Table 45. Age frequency and CPUE of largemouth bass from Clear Creek Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 5 | 9 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 14 | 46.2 | 8.9 |
| 1 |  |  |  | 21 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 19 | 61.5 | 12.7 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 14 | 24 | 15 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 65 | 52 | 167.8 | 37.7 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 15 | 11 | 37.3 | 9.0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 10.3 | 3.4 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Total | 5 | 9 | 4 | 35 | 27 | 17 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 127 | 100 |  |  |
| \% | 4 | 7 | 3 | 28 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

Table 46. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Clear Creek Lake in 2014, 2012 and 2009 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

nedpsdcc.d14
$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 47. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for each species of sunfish collected at Clear Creek Lake while electrofishing for 0.5 hours ( $4-7.5$-minute runs) on 22 May.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |
| CPUE | Std. <br> error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redear sunfish | 31 | 37 | 25 | 53 | 41 | 16 | 203 | 406.0 | 46.1 |
| Bluegill | 101 | 44 | 9 | 15 | 16 | 2 | 187 | 374.0 | 64.9 |
| Warmouth |  | 8 | 19 | 18 | 5 |  | 50 | 100.0 | 15.1 |
| Green sunfish |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| nedsuncc.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 48. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill collected at Clear Creek Lake.


Table 49. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ values obtained for bluegill taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Clear Creek Lake; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 3.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 187 | 18 | $( \pm 06)$ | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| $2013_{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 65 | 43 | $( \pm 12)$ | 2 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2011 | 102 | 26 | $( \pm 09)$ |  |  |
| 2010 | 124 | 15 | $( \pm 06)$ |  |  |
| 2009 | 130 | 16 | $( \pm 06)$ |  |  |
| 2008 | 92 | 39 | $( \pm 10)$ |  |  |

nedpsdcc.d14
$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake not sampled

Table 50. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for bluegill collected from Clear Creek Lake in October 2014, includes 95\% confidence interval (CI) for mean length for each age class.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2014 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 16 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 4 | 2.4 | 4.4 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 11 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 6.0 |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 6.8 |
| Mean |  | 2.7 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.8 |
| Number | 31 | 33 | 17 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| Smallest |  | 1.8 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 5.9 |  |
| Largest |  | 4.1 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 6.9 |  |
| Std. Error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 |  |
| 95\% Cl ( $\pm$ ) |  | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.1 |  |

Table 51. Age frequency and CPUE of bluegill from Clear Creek Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch Class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | CPUE | Std. <br> error |  |
| 0 | 34 |  |  |  |  | 34 | 18 | 67.3 | 20.5 |
| 1 | 67 | 44 | 9 | 2 |  | 122 | 66 | 245.0 | 48.1 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 9 |  | 9 | 5 | 17.1 | 11.1 |
| 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 13 | 17 | 9 | 34.8 | 18.0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 101 | 44 | 9 | 15 | 16 | 185 | 100 |  |  |
| $\%$ | 55 | 24 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 100 |  |  |  |
| nedsuncc.d14; nedaagcc.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 52. Population assessment of bluegill based on samples collected at Clear Creek Lake from 20062014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

nedsuncc.d09-14; nedaagcc.d09, nedaagcc.d14
a = Lake not sampled

Table 53. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of redear sunfish collected at Clear Creek Lake.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Total <br> (excl. $<3.0 \mathrm{in})$ <br> CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 6.0$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |  |
| 2014 |  |  | 186.0 | 13.2 | 188.0 | 30.0 | 220.0 | 33.1 | 32.0 | 33.1 | 0.0 |  | 406.0 | 46.1 | 406.0 |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  | 22.0 | 6.8 | 122.0 | 38.6 | 124.0 | 38.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 146.0 | 36.6 | 146.0 |
| 2011 | 16.0 | 9.8 | 76.0 | 19.7 | 330.0 | 78.5 | 368.0 | 103.5 | 38.0 | 32.7 | 0.0 |  | 460.0 | 124.3 | 444.0 |
| 2010 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 260.0 | 62.4 | 358.0 | 86.9 | 364.0 | 90.4 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 |  | 636.0 | 146.4 | 624.0 |
| 2009 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 238.4 | 37.8 | 129.6 | 68.4 | 131.2 | 70.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  | 374.4 | 98.8 | 369.6 |
| 2008 | 58.0 | 29.6 | 170.0 | 26.8 | 22.0 | 9.5 | 26.0 | 10.5 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 254.0 | 43.7 | 196.0 |
| 2007 |  |  | 112.0 | 15.0 | 104.0 | 35.3 | 148.0 | 41.9 | 44.0 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 260.0 | 52.5 | 260.0 |
| 2006 | 60.8 | 18.7 | 60.8 | 18.0 | 24.0 | 10.4 | 28.8 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 150.4 | 23.4 | 89.6 |

${ }_{a}=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 54. PSD and $R S D_{10}$ values obtained for redear sunfish taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Clear Creek Lake; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 4.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 172 | 33 | $( \pm 07)$ |  |  |
| $2013_{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 69 | 45 | $( \pm 12)$ | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2011 | 215 | 46 | $( \pm 07)$ |  |  |
| 2010 | 292 | 15 | $( \pm 04)$ |  |  |
| 2009 | 202 | 7 | $( \pm 07)$ | 2 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2008 | 59 | 7 | $( \pm 06)$ |  |  |

nedpsdcc.d14
$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake not sampled

Table 55. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for redear sunfish collected from Clear Creek Lake in October 2014, includes 95\% confidence interval (Cl) for mean length for each age class.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2014 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 22 | 3.2 |  |  |
| 2012 | 10 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| 2011 | 5 | 3.1 | 4.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6.2 |
| Mean |  | 3.1 | 4.8 | 5 |
| Number |  | 37 | 15 | 5.0 |
| Smallest |  | 2.0 | 3.5 | 7.0 |
| Largest |  | 4.3 | 6.0 | 0.4 |
| Std. Error | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 |  |
| 95\% Cl $( \pm)$ | 0.4 | 0.6 |  |  |

Table 56. Age frequency and CPUE of redear sunfish from Clear Creek Lake in 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\%$ | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Std. <br>

error\end{array}\right]\)

Table 57. Population assessment of redear sunfish based on samples collected at Clear Creek Lake in 2014 2009 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years to } \\ & 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring CPUE } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 7.3 | 5-5+ | 32.0 | 0.0 | 7 | Fair | -0.313 | 26.80\% |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value |  |  | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value |  |  | 38.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value |  |  | 6.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 6.1 | 5-5+ | 1.6 | 0.0 | 6 | Poor | -1.495 | 77.60\% |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

nedsuncc.d09-14; nedaagcc.d09, nedaagcc.d14
${ }_{a}=$ Lake not sampled

Table 58. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 0.5 hours (4-7.5-minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing largemouth bass in Clear Creek Lake on 02 October.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 6 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 78 | 156.0 | 20.8 |

Table 59. Number of fish and relative weight $\left(W_{r}\right)$ for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Clear Creek Lake in 2014; s.e. = standard error.

| Species | Length groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8.0-11.9 in |  |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | No. | W | s.e. | No. | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. | No. | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | s.e. | No. | W | s.e. |
| Largemouth bass | 34 | 83 | 1 | 1 | 82 | - | 2 | 89 | 5 | 37 | 83 | 1 |

Table 60. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 1.5 hours of nocturnal electrofishing (6-15-minute runs) at Greenbo Lake (Greenup Co.) on 24 April.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 28 | 86 | 72 | 16 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 306 | 204.0 | 16.0 |

Table 61. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Greenbo Lake.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 28.0 | 7.2 | 52.7 | 3.0 | 116.0 | 16.1 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 204.0 | 16.0 |
| 2013 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 78.7 | 7.4 | 75.3 | 17.3 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 176.7 | 22.4 |
| 2012 | 25.3 | 4.8 | 111.3 | 11.8 | 64.7 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 210.0 | 21.1 |
| 2011 | 46.0 | 13.1 | 91.3 | 9.3 | 58.0 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 202.0 | 14.8 |
| 2010 | 78.0 | 12.9 | 87.3 | 3.5 | 45.3 | 9.3 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 224.0 | 11.3 |
| 2009 | 44.7 | 9.4 | 60.0 | 8.7 | 50.0 | 8.0 | 18.0 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 172.7 | 16.7 |
| 2008 | 24.0 | 7.2 | 27.3 | 5.8 | 19.3 | 2.8 | 9.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 80.0 | 15.2 |
| 2007 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 11.8 | 48.7 | 13.3 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 164.7 | 21.5 |
| 2006 | 28.0 | 5.3 | 66.0 | 12.2 | 50.0 | 7.8 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 162.7 | 19.8 |
| 2005 | 42.0 | 20.3 | 58.7 | 9.6 | 28.0 | 3.4 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 142.0 | 22.5 |
| 2004 | 14.0 | 2.9 | 116.8 | 9.9 | 58.8 | 7.5 | 16.8 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 206.4 | 14.1 |
| 2003 | 101.3 | 20.6 | 76.0 | 18.7 | 45.3 | 4.3 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 233.3 | 41.4 |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 79.0 | 8.1 | 64.0 | 3.3 | 42.0 | 8.1 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 190.0 | 4.8 |
| 2000 | 41.0 | 9.0 | 90.0 | 15.7 | 26.0 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 1.6 |  |  | 161.0 | 24.8 |
| 1999 | 88.0 | 14.3 | 84.0 | 5.7 | 26.0 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 204.0 | 17.4 |
| 1998 | 77.0 | 26.7 | 119.0 | 16.7 | 57.0 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 260.0 | 27.2 |

$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake not sampled

Table 62. Largemouth bass PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values from spring electrofishing at Greenbo Lake; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 264 | 70 | $( \pm 06)$ | 4 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2013 | 244 | 52 | $( \pm 06)$ | 5 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2012 | 277 | 40 | $( \pm 06)$ | 5 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2011 | 234 | 51 | $( \pm 06)$ | 4 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2010 | 219 | 40 | $( \pm 07)$ | 9 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2009 | 192 | 53 | $( \pm 07)$ | 14 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2008 | 84 | 51 | $( \pm 11)$ | 17 | $( \pm 08)$ |
| 2007 | 188 | 46 | $( \pm 07)$ | 7 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2006 | 202 | 51 | $( \pm 07)$ | 14 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2005 | 150 | 41 | $( \pm 08)$ | 13 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| nedpsdgb. d14 -d05 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 63. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Greenbo Lake from 2004 - present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean length } \\ & \text { age-3 } \\ & \text { at capture } \end{aligned}$ | Spring CPUE age-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ 12.0-14.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value | 3 | 21.3 | 116.0 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 15 | Good | -0.812 | 56.60\% |
|  | Score |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | Value |  | 3.8 | 75.3 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 12 | Good |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 11.2 | 2.0 | 64.7 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 13 | Good |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value |  | 9.5 | 58.0 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 11 | Fair | -0.597 | 45.00\% |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 10.7 | 5.3 | 45.3 | 13.3 | 2.0 | 11 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value | 10.7 | 3.2 | 50.0 | 18.0 | 2.7 | 13 | Good | -0.415 | 34.00\% |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value | 10.7 | 1.0 | 19.3 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 9 | Fair | -0.642 | 47.40\% |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 10.7 | 16.0 | 48.7 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 11 | Fair | -0.687 | 49.70\% |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value | 11.7 | 35.6 | 50.0 | 18.7 | 7.3 | 17 | Excellent | -0.521 | 40.70\% |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 11.7 | 46.7 | 28.0 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 14 | Good | -0.493 | 39.00\% |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Value | 11.7 | 33.6 | 58.8 | 16.8 | 4.0 | 16 | Good | -0.557 | 42.70\% |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

nedpsdgb.d14

Table 64. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 , and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall while nocturnal electrofishing (diurnal sampling in 2012) at Greenbo Lake.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2014 | 4.2 | 0.15 | 51.3 | 10.8 | 15.3 | 4.1 |  |  |
| 2013 | 3.3 | 0.06 | 99.3 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 21.3 | 6.3 |
| 2012 | 3.5 | 0.04 | 219.3 | 35.0 | 13.3 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 1.4 |
| 2011 | 3.5 | 0.15 | 44.0 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| 2010 | 3.9 | 0.14 | 40.7 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 2.6 | 9.5 | 2.8 |
| 2009 | 5.1 | 0.16 | 48.0 | 6.0 | 26.0 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.4 |
| 2008 | 3.5 | 0.06 | 82.0 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| 2007 | 3.9 | 0.09 | 44.7 | 11.3 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 2006 | 3.6 | 0.10 | 45.3 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| 2005 | 3.8 | 0.12 | 32.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 35.6 | 5.5 |
| 2004 | 3.6 | 0.17 | 20.0 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 46.7 | 21.2 |
| 2003 | 4.4 | 0.12 | 45.0 | 7.7 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 33.6 | 2.1 |

Table 65. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for largemouth bass collected in 1.0 hour of nocturnal electrofishing (4-15-minute runs) at Mill Creek Lake (Powell/Wolfe Co.) on 07 May.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 5 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 43 | 59 | 38 | 27 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 232 | 232.0 | 11.9 |

[^16]Table 66. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Mill Creek Lake.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<8.0$ in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 27.0 | 3.8 | 155.0 | 14.3 | 32.0 | 7.8 | 18.0 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 232.0 | 11.9 |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 27.0 | 11.5 | 97.0 | 12.4 | 20.0 | 5.4 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 158.0 | 27.8 |
| 2011a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 43.0 | 8.1 | 65.0 | 6.6 | 41.0 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 161.0 | 10.0 |
| 2009 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 52.0 | 5.4 | 44.0 | 3.3 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 117.0 | 3.4 |
| 2008 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 89.0 | 10.8 | 38.0 | 3.5 | 12.0 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 149.0 | 11.0 |
| 2007 | 31.0 | 5.3 | 84.0 | 15.9 | 31.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 2.5 |  |  | 153.0 | 22.3 |
| 2006 | 45.0 | 18.5 | 108.0 | 11.0 | 22.0 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 4.4 |  |  | 182.0 | 28.7 |
| 2005a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 50.4 | 16.1 | 68.0 | 4.6 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 283.0 | 35.9 |
| 2003a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 36.0 | 8.5 | 59.0 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 115.0 | 17.5 |
| 2000 | 39.0 | 11.4 | 70.0 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 125.0 | 21.6 |
| 1999 | 29.0 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 11.4 | 70.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 |  |  | 78.0 | 20.9 |
| $1998_{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 91.0 | 21.0 | 178.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 282.0 | 12.0 |
| $1993{ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 150.0 | 4.0 |
| 1991 | 86.1 | 6.1 | 31.5 | 2.5 | 19.2 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.3 |  |  | 176.0 | 40.0 |
| $1990{ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nedps } \\ & \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{La} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mc.d12 } \\ & \text { e not } \end{aligned}$ | - d04; mpled | dlmbm | d03 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 67. Largemouth bass PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values from spring electrofishing at Mill Creek Lake; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 205 | 24 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 9 | ( $\pm 04$ ) |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 131 | 26 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 11 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |
| 2011a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 118 | 45 | ( $\pm 09$ ) | 10 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |
| 2009 | 108 | 52 | ( $\pm 09$ ) | 11 | $( \pm 06)$ |
| 2008 | 139 | 36 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 9 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2007 | 122 | 31 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 6 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2006 | 137 | 21 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 5 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2005a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 114 | 25 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 6 | ( $\pm 04$ ) |
| 2003a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 79 | 25 | ( $\pm 10)$ | 9 | $( \pm 06)$ |
| 2000 | 86 | 19 | ( $\pm 08)$ | 5 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 1999 | 49 | 18 | $( \pm 11)$ | 4 | $( \pm 06)$ |
| 1998a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 72 | 39 | ( $\pm 11$ ) | 8 | $( \pm 06)$ |
| 1996a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 191 | 7 | $( \pm 04)$ | 3 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| 1993a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 60 | 27 | ( $\pm 11$ ) | 7 | ( $\pm 06$ ) |
| 1991 | 47 | 40 | $( \pm 14)$ | 4 | $( \pm 06)$ |
| 1990 a |  |  |  |  |  |
| nedps a $=$ La | c.d12-d04; not sampled | $03-$ |  |  |  |

Table 68. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Mill Creek Lake from 2000 - present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

nedpsdmc.d14
$a=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 69. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 1.0 hour (4-15-minute runs) of diurnal electrofishing largemouth bass in Lake Reba on 21 April.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 11 | 23 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 53 | 54 | 40 | 24 | 31 | 25 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 |  | 1 | 370 | 370.00 | 22.72 |

nedpsdlr.d14

Table 70. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of stocked and wild largemouth bass collected in 1.0 hour of diurnal electrofishing at Lake Reba.

| Type | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Wild | 11 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 25 | 52 | 54 | 39 | 24 | 30 | 25 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 |  | 1 | 349 | 349.0 | 26.6 |
| Stocked |  | 15 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 21.0 | 5.0 |

nedstkIr.d14; nedwldIr.d14

Table 71. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for various length groups of largemouth bass collected at Lake Reba from 1995-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 56.0 | 11.0 | 144.0 | 12.4 | 95.0 | 10.8 | 75.0 | 18.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 370.0 | 22.7 |
| 2013 | 60.1 | 7.8 | 102.4 | 7.7 | 63.3 | 11.0 | 27.1 | 8.7 | 0.0 |  | 252.9 | 26.9 |
| 2012 | 103.3 | 16.5 | 90.7 | 9.0 | 68.0 | 8.2 | 16.7 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 278.7 | 13.5 |
| 2011 | 66.0 | 11.4 | 108.7 | 16.8 | 106.0 | 18.6 | 25.3 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 306.0 | 35.8 |
| 2010 | 67.7 | 8.1 | 118.3 | 19.4 | 57.7 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 246.0 | 26.8 |
| 2009 | 47.3 | 7.6 | 238.7 | 12.9 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 26.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 404.7 | 23.4 |
| 2008 | 77.3 | 18.4 | 208.0 | 28.4 | 34.0 | 6.3 | 12.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 |  | 332.0 | 47.1 |
| 2007 | 134.7 | 20.9 | 216.7 | 45.9 | 60.7 | 5.2 | 18.7 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 430.7 | 52.2 |
| 2006 | 189.3 | 18.9 | 70.7 | 13.5 | 26.0 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 292.0 | 27.1 |
| 2005 | 53.3 | 9.3 | 57.3 | 8.1 | 45.3 | 4.3 | 13.3 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 169.3 | 16.4 |
| 2004 | 30.0 | 8.9 | 125.3 | 21.5 | 51.3 | 9.2 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  | 213.3 | 26.0 |
| 2003 | 110.0 | 17.9 | 126.0 | 10.9 | 52.0 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 296.0 | 27.3 |
| 2002 | 138.0 | 33.6 | 140.0 | 31.3 | 31.0 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  | 314.0 | 67.0 |
| 2001 | 196.0 | 25.0 | 32.0 | 15.1 | 9.3 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  | 241.3 | 32.4 |
| 2000 | 104.1 | 17.3 | 35.1 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |  | 151.7 | 11.3 |
| 1999 | 122.7 | 29.4 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 18.0 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 158.7 | 27.3 |
| 1998 | 76.0 | 23.7 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 23.0 | 5.5 | 21.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 130.0 | 28.5 |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 104.0 | 32.2 | 7.0 | 3.4 | 15.0 | 5.7 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 |  | 140.0 | 28.8 |
| 1995 | 160.0 | 52.9 | 21.0 | 7.7 | 74.0 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  | 258.0 | 61.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { nedpsdlr.d95 - Present } \\ & \mathrm{a}=\text { Lake not sampled } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 72. Largemouth bass PSD and RSD $_{15}$ values from spring electrofishing at Lake Reba; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD |  | $R^{2 S D}{ }_{15}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 314 | 54 | $( \pm 06)$ | 24 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2013 | 243 | 47 | $( \pm 06)$ | 14 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2012 | 263 | 48 | $( \pm 06)$ | 10 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2011 | 360 | 55 | $( \pm 05)$ | 11 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2010 | 270 | 35 | $( \pm 06)$ | 4 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2009 | 536 | 33 | $( \pm 04)$ | 7 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2008 | 382 | 18 | $( \pm 04)$ | 5 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2007 | 444 | 27 | $( \pm 04)$ | 6 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2006 | 154 | 31 | $( \pm 07)$ | 6 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 2005 | 174 | 51 | $( \pm 07)$ | 11 | $( \pm 05)$ |
| 2004 | 275 | 32 | $( \pm 06)$ | 4 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2003 | 279 | 32 | $( \pm 05)$ | 4 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2002 | 176 | 20 | $( \pm 06)$ | 3 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2001 | 33 | 30 | $( \pm 16)$ | 9 | $( \pm 10)$ |
| 2000 | 43 | 28 | $( \pm 14)$ | 19 | $( \pm 12)$ |
| 1999 | 98 | 72 | $( \pm 12)$ | 50 | $( \pm 13)$ |
| 1998 | 26 | 81 | $( \pm 10)$ | 39 | $( \pm 13)$ |
| $1997_{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 54 | 96 | $( \pm 08)$ | 62 | $( \pm 19)$ |
| 1995 | 54 | 79 | $( \pm 08)$ | 3 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| nedpsdlr.d95 - Present |  |  |  |  |  |
| a Lake not sampled |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 73. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Lake Reba from 2000 - present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

nedpsdlr.d14

Table 74. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 , and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall while electrofishing at Lake Reba.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0 \mathrm{in}$. |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2014 | 4.1 | 0.05 | 375.0 | 29.6 | 74.0 | 16.5 |  |  |
| 2013 | 3.9 | 0.09 | 80.0 | 16.4 | 12.0 | 4.4 | 50.0 | 8.9 |
| 2012 | 4.5 | 0.10 | 129.1 | 16.8 | 37.2 | 6.0 | 54.6 | 9.4 |
| 2011 | 4.4 | 0.00 | 334.9 | 44.8 | 84.4 | 19.5 | 76.0 | 14.9 |
| 2010 | 3.9 | 0.10 | 58.7 | 18.9 | 10.7 | 4.8 | 57.3 | 10.5 |
| 2009 | 4.0 | 0.09 | 58.7 | 15.6 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 47.1 | 7.0 |
| 2008 | 4.2 | 0.09 | 58.7 | 15.6 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 65.3 | 7.1 |
| 2007 | 4.3 | 0.06 | 44.0 | 11.2 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 113.0 | 27.2 |
| 2006 | 4.3 | 0.04 | 175.3 | 35.9 | 30.0 | 8.7 | 183.7 | 22.1 |
| 2005 | 5.2 | 0.06 | 225.0 | 48.6 | 133.0 | 30.2 | 192.0 | 19.5 |
| 2004 | 4.2 | 0.08 | 76.7 | 9.6 | 15.3 | 1.9 | 61.0 | 10.4 |
| 2003 | 3.7 | 0.15 | 23.3 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 47.3 | 14.0 |

nedbsilr.d14-d12, nedwrslr.d11-d03, nedpsdlr.d12-d02

Table 75. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for largemouth bass collected in 0.75 hours of nocturnal electrofishing (3-15-minute runs) at Smoky Valley Lake (Carter Co.) on 05 May.

| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inch | class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 6 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 20 | 28 | 51 | 38 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 208 | 273.9 | 42.6 |

Table 76. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for various length groups of largemouth bass collected at Smoky Valley Lake from 1990-Present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<8.0$ in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 71.1 | 16.6 | 177.4 | 28.8 | 24.4 | 5.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 273.9 | 42.6 |
| 2013 | 100.9 | 8.5 | 109.8 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 |  |  | 221.6 | 6.5 |
| 2012 | 112.1 | 21.8 | 98.9 | 22.3 | 12.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 224.7 | 41.4 |
| 2011 | 150.0 | 34.0 | 69.0 | 8.7 | 10.0 | 6.2 |  |  |  |  | 229.5 | 31.8 |
| 2010 | 47.7 | 9.3 | 65.9 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 117.9 | 15.3 |
| 2009 | 97.0 | 6.6 | 145.0 | 23.7 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 383.0 | 153.4 |
| 2008 | 155.0 | 23.3 | 199.0 | 34.4 | 46.0 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  | 607.0 | 260.2 |
| 2007 | 119.0 | 21.8 | 229.0 | 32.5 | 37.0 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 |  |  | 573.0 | 223.4 |
| 2006 | 112.0 | 12.8 | 256.0 | 33.8 | 62.0 | 8.7 | 4.0 | 1.6 |  |  | 633.5 | 234.4 |
| 2005 | 54.4 | 10.2 | 190.4 | 22.7 | 63.2 | 9.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  | 397.6 | 90.9 |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 117.3 | 11.6 | 180.0 | 14.1 | 46.7 | 12.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |  |  | 346.7 | 11.6 |
| 2000 | 68.0 | 13.0 | 218.0 | 22.1 | 69.0 | 13.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 356.0 | 46.8 |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 135.0 | 32.2 | 132.0 | 25.5 | 75.0 | 15.1 | 3.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 546.0 | 264.9 |
| 1997 | 46.0 | 8.9 | 63.0 | 6.0 | 39.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 1.9 |  |  | 151.0 | 3.8 |
| 1996 | 30.0 | 5.8 | 77.0 | 11.5 | 50.0 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 1.9 |  |  | 160.0 | 14.3 |
| 1995 | 41.0 | 14.4 | 104.0 | 21.9 | 84.0 | 17.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 231.0 | 43.7 |
| 1994 | 72.0 | 5.9 | 104.0 | 14.5 | 94.0 | 10.5 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 277.0 | 13.2 |
| 1993 | 34.7 | 18.3 | 58.7 | 28.6 | 24.7 | 13.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 122.0 | 63.1 |
| 1992 | 43.4 | 8.9 | 96.1 | 10.9 | 94.0 | 6.8. | 7.3 | 3.5 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 261.0 | 36.8 |
| 1991 | 18.0 | 2.6 | 129.0 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 171.0 | 16.9 |
| 1990 | 58.7 | 9.7 | 109.2 | 21.8 | 34.1 | 1.2 | 18.6 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 352.0 | 158.0 |

nedpsdsv.d14, d09-05, d96, nedsprsv.d10, nedlmbsv.d01-00, d98-97, d95-d90
$a=$ Lake not sampled

Table 77. Largemouth bass PSD and RSD $_{15}$ values from spring electrofishing at Smoky Valley Lake; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 156 | 12 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 1 | ( $\pm 01$ ) |
| 2013 | 105 | 10 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 2 | ( $\pm 03$ ) |
| 2012 | 101 | 13 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2011 | 70 | 14 | ( $\pm 08$ ) |  |  |
| 2010 | 67 | 6 | ( $\pm 06)$ | 1 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2009 | 160 | 9 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2008 | 245 | 19 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |  | $( \pm 00)$ |
| 2007 | 268 | 15 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 1 | ( $\pm 01$ ) |
| 2006 | 322 | 20 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2005 | 318 | 25 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 0 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 172 | 22 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 1 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| 2000 | 288 | 24 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 0 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 210 | 37 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 1997 | 105 | 40 | ( $\pm 09$ ) | 3 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 1996 | 130 | 41 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 2 | ( $\pm 03$ ) |
| 1995 | 190 | 45 | $\pm \pm 07)$ | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 1994 | 205 | 49 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 3 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 1993 | 131 | 33 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 5 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 1992 | 213 | 51 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 4 | ( $\pm 03$ ) |
| 1991 | 153 | 16 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 4 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 1990 | 194 | 30 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 11 | $( \pm 04)$ |

Table 78. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Smoky Valley lake from 2000-present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Spring <br> CPUE age-1 | Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value |  | 70.1 | 24.4 | 1.0 | $0.0$ | 10 | Fair | -0.936 | 60.80\% |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | $1$ | $0$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | Value |  | 80.0 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 10 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value | 11.50 | 68.0 | 12.8 | 1.0 | $0.0$ | 9 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | $0$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value |  | 150.5 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 | Poor |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value | 9.6 | 34.9 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5 | Poor | -0.787 | 54.50\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value |  | 9.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 4 | Poor | -0.223 | 20.00\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value |  | 56.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 | Poor | -0.550 | 22.50\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 9.6 | 7.0 | 37.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 6 | Poor | -0.513 | 40.10\% |
|  | Score | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | Value |  | 70.1 | 62.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 12 | Good | -0.579 | 43.90\% |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value | 3 | 19.1 | 36.2 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 10 | Fair | -0.353 | 29.80\% |
|  | Score |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| 2001 | Value | 11.0 | 23.1 | 46.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Value |  | 44.0 | 69.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 10 | Fair |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

nedpsdsv.d14
$a=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 79. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for sunfish collected in 0.75 hours of nocturnal electrofishing (3-15-minute runs) at Smoky Valley Lake (Carter Co.) on 24 May.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. <br> error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 73 | 35 | 15 | 25 | 5 |  | 153 | 204.0 |
| 44.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green sunfish | 8 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 35 | 46.7 | 19.6 |
| Longear sunfish | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 7 | 9.3 | 3.5 |
| nedsunsv.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nedsunsv.d14

Table 80. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for various length groups of bluegill collected at Smoky Valley Lake from 1990-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Total <br> (excl. $<3.0 \mathrm{in})$ <br> CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 6.0$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |  |
| 2014 |  |  | 164.0 | 41.6 | 40.0 | 18.0 | 40.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 |  | 204.0 | 44.2 | 204.0 |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  | 210.6 | 53.0 | 25.6 | 5.4 | 26.6 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 237.1 | 47.4 | 237.1 |
| 2011 | 742.0 | 78.1 | 105.0 | 23.7 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 13.0 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 860.0 | 60.0 | 118.0 |
| 2010 | 216.9 | 69.4 | 167.0 | 36.8 | 28.6 | 6.0 | 29.6 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 384.0 | 97.4 | 167.1 |
| 2009 | 203.0 | 34.5 | 214.0 | 44.3 | 24.0 | 10.7 | 25.0 | 11.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 442.0 | 64.4 | 239.0 |
| 2008 |  |  | 53.0 | 14.4 | 31.0 | 13.7 | 31.0 | 13.7 |  |  | 84.0 | 22.7 | 84.0 |
| 2007 |  |  | 89.1 | 17.1 | 10.3 | 5.2 | 11.4 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 67.4 | 13.3 | 67.4 |
| 2006 | 464.0 | 116.5 | 88.0 | 15.2 | 16.0 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 4.3 |  |  | 568.0 | 125.8 | 104.0 |
| 2005 | 164.0 | 41.5 | 169.0 | 30.3 | 38.0 | 8.9 | 42.0 | 8.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 307.0 | 70.1 | 143.0 |
| 2004 | 24.8 | 6.8 | 139.3 | 22.0 | 25.6 | 4.8 | 26.5 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 190.6 | 27.3 | 165.8 |
| 2003 | 200.0 | 61.1 | 102.0 | 30.3 | 107.0 | 34.0 | 111.0 | 34.0 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 345.0 | 106.9 | 145.0 |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  | 152.0 | 12.9 | 48.0 | 12.7 | 53.3 | 12.7 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 205.3 | 11.6 | 205.3 |
| 2000 |  |  | 128.0 | 44.6 | 66.0 | 20.3 | 67.0 | 20.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 195.0 | 61.0 | 195.0 |
| 1999a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 |  |  | 116.0 | 4.0 | 90.0 | 2.0 | 90.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 206.0 | 6.0 | 206.0 |
| 1997 |  |  | 98.0 | 46.0 | 86.0 | 42.0 | 90.0 | 42.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 188.0 | 88.0 | 188.0 |
| 1996a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  | 78.0 | 2.0 | 58.0 | 4.0 | 60.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 138.0 | 2.0 | 138.0 |
| 1994 |  |  | 190.0 | 10.0 | 52.0 | 12.0 | 56.0 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 246.0 | 22.0 | 246.0 |
| 1993 | 97.0 | 37.0 | 68.0 | 16.0 | 19.0 | 8.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 370.0 | 90.0 | 273.0 |
| 1992 | 144.0 | 96.8 | 105.3 | 13.5 | 46.7 | 17.0 | 54.7 | 17.0 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 304.0 | 76.1 | 160.0 |
| 1991 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 98.0 | 2.0 | 46.0 | 34.0 | 50.0 | 34.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 154.0 | 34.0 | 148.0 |
| 1990 | 76.0 | 20.0 | 642.0 | 154.0 | 182.0 | 32.0 | 184.0 | 32.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 902.0 | 206.0 | 826.0 |

nedsunsv.d14; nedsunsv.d12-d03; nedpsdsv.d01-d00; nedsunsv.d98-d97; d95-d90
$a=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 81. Bluegill PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ values from spring electrofishing at Smoky Valley Lake; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 3.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 153 | 20 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | - | - |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 231 | 11 | $( \pm 04)$ | 0 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2011 | 118 | 11 | ( $\pm 06)$ | 1 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2010 | 185 | 15 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2009 | 239 | 10 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 0 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2008 | 84 | 37 | $( \pm 10)$ |  |  |
| 2007 | 88 | 11 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2006 | 104 | 15 | ( $\pm 07$ ) |  |  |
| 2005 | 211 | 20 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 2 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2004 | 194 | 16 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 2003 | 213 | 52 | $( \pm 07)$ | 2 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 154 | 26 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 3 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 2000 | 195 | 34 | $( \pm 07)$ | 1 | $( \pm 01)$ |
| 1999a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 103 | 44 | ( $\pm 10)$ |  |  |
| 1997 | 94 | 48 | $( \pm 10)$ | 2 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 1996a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 69 | 43 | ( $\pm 12$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 03)$ |
| 1994 | 123 | 23 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 2 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 1993 | 88 | 23 | ( $\pm 09$ ) | 1 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 1992 | 120 | 34 | ( $\pm 09$ ) | 5 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 1991 | 74 | 34 | ( $\pm 11$ ) | 3 | $( \pm 04)$ |
| 1990 | 413 | 22 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 0 | $( \pm 00)$ |
| nedsunsv.d14; nedsunsv.d12-d03; nedpsdsv.d01-d00; nedsunsv.d98-d97; d95-d90 a = Lake was not sampled |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 82. Population assessment of bluegill based on samples collected at Smoky Valley lake from 2000-present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

nedsunsv.d14; nedsunsv.d12-d03; nedpsdsv.d01-d00

Table 83. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for largemouth bass collected in1.5 hours of nocturnal electrofishing (6-15-minute runs) at Lake Wilgreen (Madison Co.) on 06 May.

| Species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nch | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 35 | 21 | 32 | 18 | 30 | 26 | 41 | 46 | 34 | 24 | 18 | 11 | 2 | 385 | 256.7 | 21.0 |

Table 84. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for various length groups of largemouth bass collected at Lake Wilgreen from 1990-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |
| 2014 | 18.7 | 2.5 | 71.3 | 7.1 | 49.3 | 9.7 | 117.3 | 12.0 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 256.7 | 21.0 |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 58.0 | 13.1 | 118.0 | 11.0 | 46.7 | 10.2 | 78.7 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 301.3 | 25.1 |
| 2011 | 84.0 | 18.0 | 66.0 | 12.9 | 25.3 | 4.1 | 42.0 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 217.3 | 31.2 |
| 2010 | 42.7 | 5.7 | 79.3 | 14.4 | 53.3 | 6.5 | 51.3 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 226.7 | 21.7 |
| 2009 | 19.3 | 5.6 | 76.0 | 14.2 | 52.0 | 12.0 | 50.0 | 9.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 197.3 | 26.5 |
| 2008 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 24.7 | 5.9 | 18.7 | 3.8 | 10.7 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 62.7 | 9.0 |
| 2007 | 238.7 | 25.9 | 194.7 | 16.1 | 115.3 | 15.0 | 18.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 567.3 | 30.6 |
| 2006 | 56.7 | 9.9 | 195.3 | 8.6 | 148.0 | 15.8 | 22.0 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 422.0 | 29.1 |
| 2005 | 86.7 | 17.9 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 108.7 | 23.0 | 6.0 | 2.7 |  |  | 371.3 | 45.3 |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 89.2 | 11.1 | 376.8 | 41.0 | 48.0 | 6.3 | 12.8 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 526.8 | 50.2 |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 361.0 | 51.0 | 274.0 | 10.6 | 58.0 | 12.3 | 6.0 | 1.2 |  |  | 699.0 | 57.0 |
| 1999 | 152.0 | 6.3 | 235.0 | 29.6 | 43.0 | 11.8 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 438.0 | 42.9 |
| 1998a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 149.0 | 47.8 | 247.0 | 24.8 | 90.0 | 19.8 | 15.0 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 601.0 | 73.0 |
| 1995 | 77.0 | 22.7 | 382.0 | 45.3 | 42.0 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 511.0 | 71.6 |
| 1994 | 298.0 | 79.5 | 427.0 | 50.1 | 46.0 | 7.4 | 24.0 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 795.0 | 122.0 |
| 1993a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 244.0 | 42.4 | 100.0 | 22.3 | 70.7 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 426.7 | 64.1 |
| 1991 | 72.0 | 6.1 | 206.7 | 16.7 | 58.7 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 342.7 | 18.7 |
| 1990a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nedpsdlw.d14; d12-d05, d03, nedlmblw.d00-d99, d96-d94, d92-d91
$a=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 85. Largemouth bass PSD and RSD $_{15}$ values from spring electrofishing at Lake Wilgreen; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD |  | RSD ${ }_{15}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 357 | 70 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 49 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 365 | 52 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 32 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |
| 2011 | 200 | 51 | ( $\pm 07$ ) | 32 | ( $\pm 06)$ |
| 2010 | 276 | 57 | ( $\pm 06)$ | 28 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |
| 2009 | 267 | 57 | ( $\pm 06)$ | 28 | ( $\pm 05$ ) |
| 2008 | 81 | 54 | ( $\pm 11$ ) | 20 | ( $\pm 09$ ) |
| 2007 | 493 | 41 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 6 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| 2006 | 548 | 47 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 6 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| 2005 | 427 | 40 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 2 | ( $\pm 01$ ) |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 1094 | 14 | ( $\pm 02$ ) | 3 | ( $\pm 01$ ) |
| 2002a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 338 | 19 | ( $\pm 04$ ) | 2 | ( $\pm 01$ ) |
| 1999 | 286 | 18 | $( \pm 04)$ | 3 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 1998a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 352 | 30 | ( $\pm 05$ ) | 4 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| 1995 | 434 | 12 | ( $\pm 03$ ) | 2 | ( $\pm 01$ ) |
| 1994 | 497 | 14 | ( $\pm 03$ ) | 5 | $( \pm 02)$ |
| 1993a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 137 | 45 | ( $\pm 08$ ) | 7 | ( $\pm 04$ ) |
| 1991 | 203 | 24 | ( $\pm 06$ ) | 2 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| $1990{ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

nedpsdlw.d14; d12-d05, d03, nedlmblw.d00-d99, d96-d94, d92-d91
a = Lake was not sampled

Table 86. Population assessment of largemouth bass based on samples collected at Lake Wilgreen from 2000-present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

nedpsdlw.d14
$a=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 87. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) for sunfish collected in 1.25 hours of nocturnal electrofishing (10-7.5-minute runs) at Lake Wilgreen (Madison Co.) on 19 May.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Bluegill | 146 | 363 | 319 | 211 | 13 | 1,052 | 841.6 | 66.7 |
| Green sunfish | 24 | 30 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 97 | 77.6 | 18.9 |
| Redear sunfish | 1 | 1 |  | 11 | 19 | 32 | 25.6 | 5.7 |
| Warmouth | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 12.0 | 4.0 |
| Hybrid sunfish | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 4 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
| nedsunlw.d14 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,200 |  |  |

Table 88. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for various length groups of bluegill collected at Lake Wilgreen from 1990-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Total } \\ \text { (excl. }<3.0 \mathrm{in}) \end{array} \\ \hline \text { CPUE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 6.0$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |  |
| 2014 |  |  | 662.4 | 62.9 | 179.2 | 34.6 | 179.2 | 34.6 | 0.0 |  | 841.6 | 66.7 | 841.6 |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  | 638.4 | 57.0 | 74.4 | 15.3 | 74.4 | 15.3 | 0.0 |  | 712.8 | 57.9 | 712.8 |
| 2011 | 476.0 | 58.6 | 630.4 | 90.9 | 92.8 | 24.7 | 92.8 | 24.7 | 0.0 |  | 1199.2 | 158.0 | 723.2 |
| 2010 | 464.0 | 14.1 | 380.8 | 28.9 | 57.6 | 14.9 | 57.6 | 14.9 | 0.0 |  | 484.8 | 43.9 | 20.8 |
| 2009 | 105.0 | 23.3 | 287.0 | 36.2 | 109.0 | 27.4 | 110.0 | 27.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 502.0 | 55.7 | 397.0 |
| 2008 | 50.0 | 17.0 | 115.0 | 17.1 | 45.0 | 17.3 | 45.0 | 17.3 | 0.0 |  | 210.0 | 38.8 | 160.0 |
| 2007 |  |  | 283.2 | 26.7 | 88.8 | 16.7 | 88.8 | 16.7 | 0.0 |  | 372.0 | 39.4 | 372.0 |
| 2006 | 279.2 | 51.3 | 409.6 | 34.5 | 64.8 | 20.4 | 67.2 | 20.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 756.0 | 79.7 | 476.8 |
| 2005 | 211.2 | 67.0 | 576.8 | 73.2 | 40.8 | 10.8 | 41.6 | 11.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 829.6 | 122.7 | 618.4 |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2003{ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 354.4 | 91.6 | 496.8 | 99.2 | 177.6 | 18.6 | 177.6 | 18.6 | 0.0 |  | 1028.8 | 196.2 | 674.4 |
| 2001a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  | 298.0 | 79.6 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 109.0 | 16.4 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 407.0 | 83.2 | 407.0 |
| 1999 |  |  | 214.0 | 50.0 | 120.0 | 64.0 | 140.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 354.0 | 110.0 | 354.0 |
| 1998a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  |  | 128.0 | 32.0 | 202.0 | 86.0 | 212.0 | 84.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 340.0 | 116.0 | 340.0 |
| 1995 |  |  | 332.0 | 148.0 | 208.0 | 8.0 | 216.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 548.0 | 160.0 | 548.0 |
| 1994 | 72.0 | 44.0 | 458.0 | 242.0 | 294.0 | 74.0 | 294.0 | 74.0 | 0.0 |  | 824.0 | 360.0 | 752.0 |
| 1993a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 201.3 | 27.1 | 892.0 | 74.8 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 142.7 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1236.0 | 84.3 | 1034.7 |
| 1991 | 197.3 | 60.8 | 126.7 | 19.2 | 134.7 | 19.6 | 144.0 | 22.7 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 468.0 | 86.2 | 270.7 |
| 1990 ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

nedsunlw.d14; d12-d05; d02; d00-99; d96-94; d91-92
$\mathrm{a}=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 89. Bluegill PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$ values from spring electrofishing at Lake Wilgreen; confidence limits are in parentheses.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year \& No. $\geq 3.0$ in \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{PSD} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{$\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$} <br>
\hline 2014 \& 1052 \& 21 \& $( \pm 02)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{2013a} <br>
\hline 2012 \& 891 \& 10 \& $( \pm 02)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2011 \& 904 \& 13 \& $( \pm 02)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2010 \& 548 \& 13 \& $( \pm 03)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2009 \& 397 \& 28 \& $( \pm 04)$ \& 0 \& $( \pm 00)$ <br>
\hline 2008 \& 160 \& 28 \& $( \pm 07)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2007 \& 465 \& 24 \& $( \pm 04)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline 2006 \& 596 \& 14 \& $( \pm 03)$ \& 1 \& ( $\pm 01$ ) <br>
\hline 2005 \& 773 \& 7 \& $( \pm 02)$ \& 0 \& $( \pm 00)$ <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{2004a} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{2003a} <br>
\hline 2002 \& 843 \& 26 \& $( \pm 03)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{2001a} <br>
\hline 2000 \& 407 \& 27 \& $( \pm 04)$ \& 2 \& $( \pm 01)$ <br>
\hline 1999 \& 177 \& 40 \& $( \pm 07)$ \& 6 \& $( \pm 03)$ <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{1998a} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{1997a} <br>
\hline 1996 \& 170 \& 62 \& $( \pm 07)$ \& 3 \& ( $\pm 03$ ) <br>
\hline 1995 \& 274 \& 39 \& $( \pm 06)$ \& 1 \& $( \pm 01)$ <br>
\hline 1994 \& 376 \& 39 \& $( \pm 05)$ \& - \& - <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{1993a} <br>
\hline 1992 \& 776 \& 14 \& $( \pm 02)$ \& 0 \& $( \pm 00)$ <br>
\hline 1991 \& 203 \& 53 \& $( \pm 07)$ \& 3 \& $( \pm 03)$ <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{$1990{ }_{\text {a }}$} <br>
\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { nedsunlv } \\
& \mathrm{a}=\text { Lake } \\
& -=\text { No fis }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& d14; d12-d05 was not samp over 8.0" ca \& 99;

eterm \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& ; \mathrm{d} 91-9 \\
& \mathrm{SD}_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 90. Population assessment of bluegill based on samples collected at Lake Wilgreen from 2000-present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-2 at capture | Years to 6.0 in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 6.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value |  |  | 179.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value |  |  | 74.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value |  |  | 92.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value |  |  | 57.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value |  |  | 110.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value |  |  | 45.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 4.8 | 4 | 88.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 9 | Fair | -0.156 | 10.90\% |
| 2006 | Value |  |  | 67.2 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value |  |  | 41.6 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Value | 5.5 | $3$ | $177.6$ | $0.0$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | $0$ | 11 | Good | -0.360 | 30.20\% |
| 2001a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Value | $4.4$ | $3$ | $109.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | $2$ | 3 | $4$ | $2$ | 11 | Good |  |  |

Table 91. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for various length groups of redear sunfish collected at Lake Wilgreen from 1995-present.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Total } \\ \text { (excl. }<3.0 \text { in) } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { CPUE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 6.0$ in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. | CPUE | s.e. |  |
| 2014 |  |  | 1.6 | 1.1 | 24.0 | 5.5 | 24.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 25.6 | 5.7 | 25.6 |
| $2013{ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  | 21.6 | 6.1 | 19.2 | 6.3 | 20.0 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  | 41.6 | 9.9 | 41.6 |
| 2011 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 12.0 | 5.5 | 24.0 | 8.5 | 24.8 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  | 39.2 | 13.7 | 36.8 |
| 2010 |  |  | 12.0 | 4.3 | 14.4 | 3.7 | 18.4 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  | 30.4 | 6.6 | 30.4 |
| 2009 |  |  | 11.0 | 4.8 | 13.0 | 5.6 | 27.0 | 6.6 | 14.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 38.0 | 8.5 | 38.0 |
| 2008 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 11.0 | 7.7 | 12.0 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  | 33.6 | 21.8 | 30.6 |
| 2007 |  |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 4.4 | 16.8 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 |  | 22.0 | 4.5 | 22.0 |
| 2006 |  |  | 20.0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 15.2 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 35.2 | 11.0 | 35.2 |
| 2005 |  |  | 4.0 | 2.5 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 14.4 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 |  | 26.3 | 6.5 | 26.3 |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  |  | 20.8 | 9.9 | 44.0 | 11.0 | 48.8 | 12.0 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 |  | 77.3 | 20.0 | 77.3 |
| 2001a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 2.5 | 18.0 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 18.0 | 12.8 | 18.0 |
| 1999 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 14.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 |
| 1998a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  |  | 6.0 | 2.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 36.0 | 12.0 | 36.0 |
| 1995 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |

nedsunlw.d12-d05; d02; d00-99; d96-95
${ }_{a}=$ Lake was not sampled

Table 92. Redear sunfish PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values from spring electrofishing at Smoky Valley Lake; confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 4.0$ in | PSD |  | $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 31 | 61 | $( \pm 17)$ | - | - |
| 2013a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 48 | 13 | $( \pm 09)$ | - | - |
| 2011 | 37 | 14 | $( \pm 11)$ | - | - |
| 2010 | 36 | 25 | $( \pm 14)$ | - | - |
| 2009 | 33 | 67 | $( \pm 16)$ | 18 | $( \pm 13)$ |
| 2008 | 13 | 31 | ( $\pm 26$ ) | - | - |
| 2007 | 22 | 55 | ( $\pm 21$ ) | - | - |
| 2006 | 40 | 38 | ( $\pm 15)$ | 20 | $( \pm 13)$ |
| 2005 | 21 | 57 | $( \pm 22)$ | 5 | $( \pm 09)$ |
| 2004a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 81 | 23 | $( \pm 09)$ | 1 | ( $\pm 02$ ) |
| 2001a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 18 | 100 | $( \pm 00)$ | 33 | ( $\pm 22$ ) |
| 1999 | 7 | 57 | $( \pm 40)$ | 14 | $( \pm 28)$ |
| 1998a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 18 | 22 | ( $\pm 20$ ) | - | - |
| 1995 | 5 | 40 | $( \pm 48)$ | 20 | $( \pm 39)$ |
| nedsunlw.d12-d05; d02; d00-99; d96-95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}=$ Lake was not sampled |  |  |  |  |  |
| - = No fish over 10.0" captured to determine RSD 10 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 93. Population assessment of redear sunfish based on samples collected at Lake Wilgreen from 2000-present (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Years to } \\ & 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Spring CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring } \\ & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 10.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessment rating | Instantaneous mortality (z) | Annual mortality (A)\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Value |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Value |  |  | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Value |  |  | 8.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | Value |  |  | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Value |  |  | 14.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Value |  |  | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Value | 7.8 | * | 1.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 8 | Fair |  |  |
| 2006 | Value |  |  | 10.4 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Value |  |  | 7.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2003{ }_{\text {a }}$ | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Value |  |  | 4.8 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 a | Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Value |  |  | $4.8$ | $0.0$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Score |  |  | $1$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |

nedsunlw.d14; d12-d05; d02; d00
$a=$ Lake was not sampled

# SOUTHEASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT 

Project 1: Lake and Tailwater Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

Conditions encountered during sampling at southeastern district lakes are listed in Table 1.

## Lake Cumberland (50,250 acres)

Lake levels in Lake Cumberland rose to 723 msl in 2014 with the completion of repairs to Wolf Creek Dam. Sampling completed in 2014 was conducted in areas that were sampled prior to 2007. Samples from 2007-2012 were conducted in areas further downstream in the embayments due to reduced water levels; therefore, any comparisons of the 2007-2012 data should be interpreted accordingly.

## Black Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing studies were conducted at Wolf Creek dam, and in the Harmon Creek, Fishing Creek, and Lily Creek embayments of Lake Cumberland during April and May 2014 to assess the black bass populations. The length-frequency and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of the three black bass species collected in each area is shown in Table 2. The catch-per-hour (by area and length group) of the three black bass species are shown in Tables 3-6. Table 7 compares the catch-per-hour by length group of black bass in Lake Cumberland to other SEFD lakes sampled in 2014. Catch rates for black bass species increased in 2014.

Largemouth bass catch rates met two of the four CPUE management objectives (Table 8). The spotted bass and smallmouth bass populations both met two of the CPUE management objectives (Tables 9 and 10, respectively).

Largemouth and smallmouth bass populations exhibited good size structure, with a PSD value of 58 and an $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ value of 27 for largemouth bass and a PSD value of 49 and an $\operatorname{RSD}_{14}$ value of 27 for smallmouth bass (Table 11). Spotted bass populations had a moderate size structure, with a PSD value of 40 and an $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ value of 9 (Table 11). Table 12 compares the size structure of black bass populations in Lake Cumberland to other SEFD lakes sampled in 2014.

## Black Bass Sampling (Fall)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted in the Fishing Creek embayment during September to index the largemouth bass year class strength (Tables 13 and 14). Catch rates of age-0 largemouth bass declined sharply in 2014 (Table 14). Table 15 compares the CPUE of age-0 largemouth bass in Lake Cumberland to other SEFD lakes sampled in fall 2014. Relative weight (Wr) values for largemouth bass and spotted bass collected during October sampling are shown in Table 16. Table 17 compares Wr values for black bass in Lake Cumberland to other SEFD lakes sampled in fall 2014.

## Walleye and White Bass Sampling

Gill nets were used in November 2014 to evaluate the walleye and white bass populations in the Jamestown/Bugwood, Conley Bottom, and Waitsboro/Burnside areas of Lake Cumberland. A total of 330 walleye were captured in 32 net-nights for a catch rate of 10.3 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$. Length frequency and CPUE of walleye is shown in Table 18. Walleye ranged from 9.0-25.0 in with the mode being the 18.0 in class ( 68 fish). Two of the three catch rate management objectives for walleye were met (Table 19). Age-growth data for male and female walleye are shown in Tables 20 and 21, respectively. The age-growth for both sexes combined is shown in Table 22. Eight year-classes were represented in the catch, with the 2013 year class (age-1; 35\%) being most abundant (Table 23). Mean length of age-2+ walleye at capture (18.3 in) met the growth objective of 18.0 in (Table 24). The walleye assessment score was 14 (rating=excellent; Table 24). Relative weight (Wr) values for walleye are shown in Table 25.

A total of 23 white bass were captured in 32 net-nights for a catch rate of 0.7 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$. Length frequency and CPUE of white bass is shown in Table 18. White bass ranged from 8.0-15.0 in with the mode being the 10.0 in class ( 6 fish). Age-growth data for white bass is shown in Table 26. Three year classes were collected during sampling,
with the 2014 (age-0) year class comprising $70 \%$ of the white bass catch (Table 27). The white bass assessment score was 7 (rating=fair; Table 28). Relative weight (Wr) values for white bass are shown in Table 29.

## Striped Bass Sampling

Gill nets were used in December 2014 to evaluate the striped bass population in Lake Cumberland. Twenty netnights captured 121 striped bass for a catch rate of 6.1 fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$. Length-frequency and CPUE of striped bass are shown in Table 30. Striped bass ranged from 8.0 to 29.0 in with the mode being the 18.0 in class ( 42 fish). Three of the four management objectives were met for the striped bass population (Table 31). The age-growth data for striped bass collected during 2014 is shown in Table 32. Seven year-classes were represented in the catch (Table 33). The 2013 (age-1) year class was the most abundant year class collected ( $84 \%$ ), which coincided with the increased (pulsed) stocking rate of approximately 14.0 fish/acre in 2013. Mean length of age- $2+$ fish at capture (2012 year class) was 21.9 in , which met the growth objective ( 21.0 in ) for the striped bass fishery (Table 34). The striped bass assessment score was 13 (rating=good; Table 34). Striped bass collected during walleye netting and striped bass netting were used to evaluate relative weight (Wr) values, which are shown in Table 35.

## Laurel River Lake (6,060 acres)

## Black Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing sampling was conducted during April and May 2014 to assess the black bass population in Laurel River Lake. Electrofishing was conducted in four areas of the lake: 1) dam, 2) Spruce Creek, 3) Laurel River arm, and 4) upper Craigs Creek. Length-frequency and CPUE of the three black bass species collected in each area is shown in Table 36. The catch-per-hour (by area and length group) of the three black bass species are shown in Tables 37-40. Table 7 compares the catch-per-hour by length group of black bass in Laurel River Lake to other SEFD lakes sampled in 2014.

The largemouth bass population met two of the four catch rate objectives (Table 41). Spotted bass met one of the four catch rate management objectives (Table 42). The smallmouth bass population met two of the four catch rate management objectives (Table 43).

All three black bass species exhibited an excellent size structure, with largemouth bass having a PSD value of 66 and an $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ value of 37 and smallmouth bass having a PSD value of 77 and an $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ value of 64 (Table 44). Spotted bass had a PSD of 51 and an $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ of 19 (Table 44 ). Table 12 compares the size structure values of black bass populations in Laurel River Lake to other SEFD lakes sampled in 2014.

## Black Bass Sampling (Fall)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted in the Laurel River arm during September 2014 to index largemouth bass year class strength (Tables 45 and 46). The CPUE of age-0 largemouth bass in 2014 was lower than catch rates observed in 2013; however, catch rates were sufficient, so additional stocking of age-0 bass was not warranted (Table 46). Relative weight (Wr) values for largemouth and spotted bass collected during September sampling are shown in Table 47.

## Cedar Creek Lake (784 acres; Lincoln Co.)

## Black Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 6 May 2014 to assess the largemouth bass population in Cedar Creek Lake. The length-frequency and CPUE of largemouth bass is shown in Table 48. Size structure of largemouth bass was good ( $\mathrm{PSD}=82, \mathrm{RSD}_{15}=47$; Table 49). The catch-per-hour (by area and length group) of largemouth bass for 2003-2014 is shown in Table 50. Three of the four CPUE management objectives for the largemouth bass population were exceeded, with the age-1 bass CPUE ( 3.7 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ ) failing to meet the objective of 16.0 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 51).

## Black Bass Sampling (Fall)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 18 September 2014 to index the largemouth bass year-class strength (Tables 52 and 53). Catch rates of age-0 bass in 2014 increased slightly over previous years sampling (Table 53). Relative weight (Wr) values for largemouth bass are found in Table 54.

## Bluegill/Redear Sunfish Sampling

Diurnal electrofishing was conducted on 30 May and 2 June 2014, in conjunction with the Black Bass Research (BBR) section, to assess the bluegill and redear sunfish populations in Cedar Creek Lake. The length-frequency and CPUE of bluegill and redear sunfish is shown in Table 55. The catch-per-hour (by length group) of bluegill and redear sunfish is shown in Table 56. PSD and RSD values for bluegill and redear sunfish are shown in Table 57.

## Chenoa Lake (35 acres; Bell Co.)

## Largemouth Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 9 April 2014 at Chenoa Lake to assess the largemouth bass population. Length frequency and CPUE for largemouth bass is shown in Table 58. Catch-per-hour (by length group) for largemouth bass is shown in Table 59. The largemouth bass size structure was fair, with a PSD value of 42 $\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{15}=17\right.$; Table 60).

## Bluegill/Redear Sunfish Sampling

Daytime electrofishing was conducted on 28 May 2014 at Chenoa Lake to assess the bluegill and redear sunfish populations. Length-frequency and CPUE for bluegill and redear sunfish is shown in Table 61. Catch-per-hour (by length group) for bluegill and redear sunfish is in Table 62. The bluegill population exhibited a fair size structure $\left(\mathrm{PSD}=42, \mathrm{RSD}_{8}=0\right.$; Table 63). The redear sunfish population exhibited a good size structure with a PSD of 63 $\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{9}=38\right.$; Table 63). Age-growth for bluegill collected during 2014 is shown in Table 64. Six year-classes were represented in the catch, with ages 1 and 3 comprising $51 \%$ of the catch (Table 65). The bluegill population assessment score was 6 (rating=poor; Table 66). Age-growth for redear sunfish collected during 2014 is shown in Table 67. Five year-classes were represented in the catch, with ages 1 and 3 comprising $63 \%$ of the catch (Table 68). The redear sunfish population assessment score was 9 (rating=fair; Table 69).

## Dale Hollow Lake (6,746 acres; Kentucky portion)

## Black Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 24 April 2014 in the Illwill Creek and Little Sulphur Creek embayments of Dale Hollow Lake to assess the black bass population. Length frequency and CPUE for the three black bass species are shown in Table 70. The catch-per-hour by length group of the three black bass species are shown in Tables 71-73. Largemouth and smallmouth bass exhibited excellent size structure, with largemouth bass having a PSD value of $85\left(\operatorname{RSD}_{15}=61\right)$ and smallmouth bass having a PSD value of $74\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{14}=43\right.$; Table 74). The size structure of spotted bass was good, having a PSD value of $52\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{14}=9\right.$; Table 74).

## Lake Linville (358 acres; Rockcastle Co.)

## Black Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 8 May 2014 at Lake Linville to assess the black bass population. Length frequency and CPUE for the black bass populations are shown in Tables 75-77. A population assessment for largemouth bass is shown in Table 78. All of the catch rate management objectives were met (Table 78). The size structure for the largemouth bass population has improved over previous sampling, with a PSD value of 48 $\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{15}=7\right)$, and the spotted bass population is comprised of small individuals ( $\mathrm{PSD}=23, \mathrm{RSD}_{14}=2$; Table 79).

## Wood Creek Lake (625 acres; Laurel Co.)

## Black Bass Sampling (Spring)

Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 22 April 2014 in the Dam, Pump Station, and Dock areas of Wood Creek Lake to assess the black bass population. Length frequency and CPUE for black bass are shown in Table 80. The size structure for largemouth bass was poor, having a PSD value of $34\left(\mathrm{RSD}_{15}=10\right.$; Table 81$)$. The spotted bass population also had a poor size structure ( $\mathrm{PSD}=21, \mathrm{RSD}_{14}=0$; Table 81 ). Catch-per-hour (by length group) for largemouth and spotted bass are shown in Tables 82 and 83, respectively. A largemouth bass population assessment is shown in Table 84. One of the catch rate management objectives was met for the largemouth bass population (Table 84).

Black Bass Sampling (Fall)
Nocturnal electrofishing was conducted on 24 September 2014 in the Dam, Pump Station, and Dock areas of Wood Creek Lake to index largemouth bass year class strength (Tables 85 and 86). Catch rates of age-0 largemouth bass in 2014 were lower than 2013 (Table 86). Due to the low catch rate, age-0 largemouth bass were stocked in Wood Creek Lake during the fall. Relative weight values for largemouth and spotted bass are in Table 87. Age-growth data from largemouth bass collected in 2014 is shown in Table 88.

Table 1. Summary of sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled, and date for the Southeastern Fisheries District in 2014.

| Water body Location | Species | Date | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Time } \\ (24 \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | Gear | Weather | Water temp. F | Water level | Secchi <br> (in) | Conditions | Pertinent sampling comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Cumberland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | Black bass | 4/21/2014 | 2000 | shock | nice, 70 s, increasing clouds | 65 | 717 | 42 | good | lots of flooded vegetation in coves |
| Harmon Creek | Black bass | 4/10/2014 | 1950 | shock | mostly clear, 70s, breezy | 53 | 716 | 30 | fair | murky; debris and trees along bank |
| Fishing Creek | Black bass | 5/5/2014 | 1930 | shock | clear | 68 | 725 | 24 | fair | slightly murky; generator kept shutting down |
| Lily Creek | Black bass | 5/7/2014 | 1930 | shock | clear, w arm, 80s | 72 | 724 | 36-48 | fair | shocking difficult in coves due to trees and debris |
| Fishing Creek | Black bass | 9/23/2014 | 1940 | shock | clear, 70s, nice | 75 | 704 | 24 | good | slightly murky; hard to get to bank w ith trees in w ater |
| Jamestow n | Walleye/w hite bass | 11/19-11/21 |  | gill net | cold, clear, windy 30s | 54 | 708 | - | good |  |
| Conley Bottom | Walleye/w hite bass | 11/19-11/21 |  | gill net | cool and w indy, 30s | 54 | 708 | - | good |  |
| Waitsboro | Walleye/w hite bass | 11/12-11/14 |  | gill net | clouds and sun, low 30s | 57 | 708 | - | good |  |
| Beaver Creek | Striped bass | 12/2-12/4 |  | gill net | cloudy, breezy, 40s | 51 | 702 | - | good |  |
| Lily/Wolf/Caney | Striped bass | 12/2-12/4 |  | gill net | cloudy, rainy 40-50s, breezy | 52 | 702 | - | good |  |
| Laurel River Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | Black bass | 4/10/2014 | 2000 | shock | clear, 70s and dropping, windy | 53 | 1014 | 48-54 | good | w ater clearish green, volunteer dippers |
| Spruce Creek | Black bass | 4/21/2014 | 1930 | shock | beautiful day, 70s | 64 | 1014 | 48 | good | slightly murky |
| Craig's Creek | Black bass | 4/24/2014 | 2000 | shock | clear, mild 60s, cooling | 62 | 1014 | 72-84 | good | w ater green and clear |
| 312 Bridge | Black bass | 5/5/2014 | 2000 | shock | beautiful day | 66 | 1015 | 18-24 | fair | w ater murky and trash in w ater |
| 312 Bridge | Black bass | 9/17/2014 | 1940 | shock | mostly clear, 70s | 76 | 1012 | 36 | good |  |
| Cedar Creek Lake | LMB | 5/6/2014 | 2030 | shock | clear, 80s | 69-71 | full | 18 | fair | murky |
|  | LMB | 9/18/2014 | 1950 | shock | clear | 75 | full | 36 | good | one boat doing 15 minute runs |
|  | BLG/redear | 5/30/2014 | 900 | shock | clear, nice, upper 60s at start | 78 | normal | 36 | good | w ater green color; coontail spreading in 1770 area |
| Chenoa Lake | LMB | 4/9/2014 | 1930 | shock | mostly clear, upper 60s, breezy | 56 | full | 20 | fair | a little murky |
|  | BLG/redear | 5/28/2014 | 845 | shock | foggy, upper 60s | 72 | full | 36-48 | fair | w atershield made sampling near shore difficult |
| Dale Hollow Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illw ill | Black bass | 4/24/2014 | 2000 | shock | sunny, 70s, beautiful day | 65 | full | 48-60 | good | green w ater; looks good |
| Little Sulphur | Black bass | 4/24/2014 | 2000 | shock | mostly clear, 70s, slight breeze | 68 | 650 | 42 | good |  |
| Linville Lake | Black bass | 5/8/2014 | 1945 | shock | clear, w arm, 80s | 71 | normal | 18 | good | a little murky |
| Wood Creek Lake | Black bass | 4/22/2014 | 2000 | shock | mostly clear, breezy, 60s | 65 | full | 60-120 | good | tw o crews |
|  | Black bass | 9/24/2014 | 1930 | shock | clear, low 70 s, nice | 73 | normal | 36-120 | good | tw o crew s, only one dipper on low er end, w ater clearish green |

Table 2. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 6.0 hours of 15 -minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Lake Cumberland during April and May 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch clas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Dam | Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 32 | 21.3 (11.7) |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 |  | 4 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 81 | 54.0 (9.9) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 34 | 22.7 (8.5) |
| Harmon | Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 12 | 8.0 (3.7) |
| Creek | Spotted bass | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 6.7 (2.7) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 12 | 8.0 (2.1) |
| Fishing | Largemouth bass | 2 |  | 5 | 15 | 13 | 6 |  |  | 3 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 73 | 48.7 (15.9) |
| Creek | Spotted bass | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 7.3 (4.9) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Lily | Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 5 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 124 | 82.7 (16.1) |
| Creek | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 |  | 9 | 7 | 15 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 45.3 (12.3) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.3 (0.8) |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 2 |  | 6 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 35 | 7 | 9 | 21 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 241 | 40.2 (8.5) |
|  | Spotted bass | 4 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 19 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 9 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 170 | 28.3 (6.0) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  | 48 | 8.0 (2.8) |

Table 3. Comparison of catch-per-hour of black bass (by area) captured during spring electrofishing on Lake Cumberland during the period of 2010-2014.

| Species/Area | Stock |  |  |  |  | Quality |  |  |  |  | Preferred |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | 20.0 | 6.7 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 18.7 | 14.0 | 4.7 | 14.7 | 3.3 | 17.3 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 10.0 |
| Harmon Creek | 16.0 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 10.7 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| Fishing Creek | 102.7 | 31.3 | 120.7 | 45.3 | 25.3 | 47.3 | 12.7 | 80.7 | 21.3 | 19.3 | 16.0 | 4.7 | 25.3 | 5.3 | 6.7 |
| Lily Creek | 52.0 | 18.0 | 59.3 | 25.3 | 72.0 | 25.3 | 14.7 | 29.3 | 18.7 | 28.7 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 14.0 |
| Mean | 47.7 | 15.3 | 54.3 | 21.0 | 30.7 | 24.3 | 8.8 | 33.3 | 12.8 | 17.8 | 10.7 | 3.7 | 11.7 | 4.7 | 8.2 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | 46.7 | 36.0 | 82.7 | 26.0 | 44.7 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 26.7 | 17.3 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| Harmon Creek | 40.7 | 18.7 | 28.7 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 10.0 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Fishing Creek | 14.0 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lily Creek | 94.0 | 19.3 | 36.7 | 35.3 | 44.7 | 16.0 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 17.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| Mean | 48.8 | 20.7 | 37.3 | 20.2 | 25.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | 12.0 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 21.3 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 6.0 |
| Harmon Creek | 17.3 | 2.7 | 9.3 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Fishing Creek | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lily Creek | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Mean | 8.5 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 |

Largemouth bass $-\geq 8.0$ in = stock, $\geq 12.0$ in = quality, $\geq 15.0$ in = preferred.
Smallmouth bass and spotted bass $-\geq 7.0$ in = stock, $\geq 11.0 \mathrm{in}=$ quality, $\geq 14.0 \mathrm{in}=$ preferred.
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Table 4. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Lake Cumberland during April and May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 9.5 | 3.7 | 12.8 | 4.4 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 40.2 | 8.5 |
| 2013 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 8.2 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 22.8 | 5.0 |
| 2012 | 15.3 | 3.8 | 21.0 | 3.7 | 21.7 | 4.9 | 11.7 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 69.7 | 13.0 |
| 2011 | 5.7 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 21.0 | 6.3 |
| 2010 | 12.3 | 3.0 | 23.3 | 5.3 | 13.7 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 60.0 | 11.7 |
| 2009 | 20.3 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 2.8 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 46.7 | 12.5 |
| 2008 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 11.0 | 2.8 | 20.2 | 5.7 | 18.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 56.5 | 13.2 |
| 2007 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 20.9 | 7.1 | 15.3 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 58.6 | 18.1 |
| 2006 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 26.0 | 7.6 |
| 2005 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 5.2 |
| 2004 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 4.0 |
| 2003 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 8.3 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22.1 | 4.3 |
| 2002 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 16.3 | 3.3 |

Table 5. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of spotted bass collected at Lake Cumberland during April and May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err |
| 2014 | 7.2 | 1.9 | 11.2 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 6.0 |
| 2013 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 7.7 | 1.6 | 9.8 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 3.8 |
| 2012 | 27.3 | 4.7 | 20.5 | 3.9 | 8.8 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 10.1 |
| 2011 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 12.2 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 4.6 |
| 2010 | 28.3 | 4.0 | 26.7 | 5.5 | 12.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 9.2 |
| 2009 | 22.7 | 4.3 | 20.5 | 5.1 | 10.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 10.3 |
| 2008 | 34.7 | 4.5 | 26.7 | 3.7 | 15.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.7 | 11.1 |
| 2007 | 27.1 | 6.8 | 27.5 | 5.0 | 13.6 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 75.1 | 13.5 |
| 2006 | 12.0 | 2.5 | 16.5 | 2.3 | 13.8 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 50.3 | 7.1 |
| 2005 | 16.3 | 3.6 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 11.2 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 6.3 |
| 2004 | 15.6 | 2.7 | 25.5 | 3.9 | 10.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 7.8 |
| 2003 | 32.6 | 5.5 | 31.6 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 8.6 |
| 2002 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 10.3 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 3.7 |
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Table 6. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of smallmouth bass collected at Lake Cumberland during April and May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 2.8 |
| 2013 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
| 2012 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 2.1 |
| 2011 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| 2010 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 10.2 | 1.9 |
| 2009 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 1.5 |
| 2008 | 5.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 2.8 |
| 2007 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 19.1 | 5.4 |
| 2006 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 1.2 |
| 2005 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 8.3 | 2.3 |
| 2004 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 3.1 |
| 2003 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 2.7 |
| 2002 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
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Table 7. Catch-per-hour of black bass captured during spring electrofishing on lakes in the Southeastern Fishery District during 2014.

| Species/Lake | Stock $^{*}$ | Quality* | Preferred* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |
| Lake Cumberland | 30.7 | 17.8 | 8.2 |
| Laurel River Lake | 58.3 | 38.3 | 21.5 |
| Cedar Creek Lake | 166.3 | 136.0 | 78.3 |
| Chenoa Lake | 89.0 | 37.0 | 15.0 |
| Dale Hollow Lake | 91.7 | 78.0 | 56.0 |
| Lake Linville | 182.0 | 86.7 | 12.0 |
| Wood Creek Lake | 111.3 | 37.3 | 11.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |
| Lake Cumberland | 25.0 | 10.0 | 2.3 |
| Laurel River Lake | 20.0 | 12.0 | 3.8 |
| Dale Hollow Lake | 23.0 | 20.0 | 2.0 |
| Lake Linville | 88.7 | 4.3 | 2.0 |
| Wood Creek Lake | 20.3 |  | 0.0 |
| Smallmouth bass |  | 3.7 |  |
| Lake Cumberland | 7.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 |
| Laurel River Lake | 3.7 | 8.7 | 2.3 |
| Dale Hollow Lake | 11.7 | 0.3 | 5.0 |
| Wood Creek Lake | 1.0 |  | 0.0 |

[^17]Table 8. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Lake Cumberland from 1990-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean length } \\ \text { age-3 at } \\ \text { capture } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { age } 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 13.0$ in | $\geq 5.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 10.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 8.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 0.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 12.8 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2013 | Value |  | 6.6 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | F |
| 2012 | Value | 14.0 | 21.0 | 21.7 | 11.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | F |
| 2011 | Value |  | 6.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | F |
| 2010 | Value |  | 11.5 | 13.7 | 10.7 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2009 | Value |  | 25.7 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 11 | F |
| 2008 | Value |  | 10.0 | 20.2 | 18.0 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 | F |
| 2007 | Value | 13.4 | 10.3 | 20.9 | 15.3 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 2006 | Value |  | 1.2 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2005 | Value |  | 1.2 | 9.9 | 5.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | F |
| 2004 | Value |  | 1.1 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2003 | Value |  | 3.0 | 6.1 | 8.3 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | F |
| 2002 | Value | 13.6 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | F |
| 2001 | Value |  | 2.9 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2000 | Value |  | 2.8 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1999 | Value | 13.5 | 9.5 | 13.3 | 11.7 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1997 | Value |  | 2.6 | 29.5 | 18.6 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 1996 | Value |  | 1.7 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1995 | Value |  | 1.5 | 21.7 | 13.9 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 1993 | Value |  | 1.8 | 20.5 | 4.4 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 10 | F |
| 1992 | Value |  | 3.7 | 27.1 | 4.4 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | F |
| 1991 | Value |  | 5.7 | 11.8 | 3.9 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | F |
| 1990 | Value |  | 19.6 | 10.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | F |
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Table 9. Population assessment for spotted bass based on spring electrofishing at Lake Cumberland from 1990-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 11.0-13.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 14.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 17.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 9.6$ in | $\geq 4.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 7.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 0.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 1.2 | 7.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2013 | Value | 11.1 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 2012 | Value |  | 14.0 | 8.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2011 | Value |  | 3.9 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2010 | Value |  | 9.7 | 12.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2009 | Value |  | 6.8 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 2008 | Value | 11.0 | 8.8 | 15.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | G |
| 2007 | Value |  | 1.3 | 13.6 | 7.0 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 17 | E |
| 2006 | Value |  | 1.8 | 13.8 | 8.0 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 16 | G |
| 2005 | Value |  | 5.1 | 11.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2004 | Value |  | 6.0 | 10.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 2003 | Value | 11.4 | 16.7 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | G |
| 2002 | Value |  | 5.1 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2001 | Value |  | 2.1 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2000 | Value |  | 1.9 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1999 | Value |  | 3.0 | 11.2 | 3.0 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 16 | G |
| 1997 | Value |  | 6.0 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1996 | Value |  | 1.0 | 6.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1995 | Value |  | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1993 | Value |  | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | F |
| 1992 | Value |  | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 1991 | Value |  | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | F |
| 1990 | Value |  | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | F |
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Table 10. Population assessment for smallmouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Lake Cumberland from 1990-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { age } 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { 11.0-13.9 in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 14.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 17.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Assessement } \\ \text { rating } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 11.0$ in | $\geq 2.0$ fish | $\geq 3.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 2.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 0.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 15 | G |
| 2013 | Value |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 14 | G |
| 2012 | Value |  | 2.5 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2011 | Value |  | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | F |
| 2010 | Value | 11.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 2.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 16 | G |
| 2009 | Value |  | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 14 | G |
| 2008 | Value |  | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 19 | E |
| 2007 | Value |  | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 20 | E |
| 2006 | Value |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2005 | Value | 12.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2004 | Value |  | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2003 | Value |  | 1.3 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 16 | G |
| 2002 | Value |  | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 2001 | Value |  | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 13 | G |
| 2000 | Value |  | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 9 | F |
| 1999 | Value |  | 0.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | G |
| 1997 | Value | 9.6 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 17 | E |
| 1996 | Value |  | 0.1 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | G |
| 1995 | Value |  | 6.7 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |
| 1993 | Value |  | 0.7 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 1992 | Value |  | 0.8 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | G |
| 1991 | Value |  | 3.2 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |
| 1990 | Value |  | 5.2 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |

sedpsdcb.d14

Table 11. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples at Lake Cumberland during April and May 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2014 | Dam | 28 | $93( \pm 10)$ | $54( \pm 19)$ | 67 | $55( \pm 12)$ | $15( \pm 9)$ | 32 | $50( \pm 18)$ | $28( \pm 16)$ |
|  | Harmon Creek | 10 | $90( \pm 20)$ | $30( \pm 30)$ | 8 | $13( \pm 25)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ | 11 | $55( \pm 31)$ | $27( \pm 28)$ |
|  | Fishing Creek | 38 | 76 ( $\pm 14)$ | $26( \pm 14)$ | 8 | 25 ( $\pm 32)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ | 0 | $0( \pm 0)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
|  | Lily Creek | 108 | 40 ( $\pm 10)$ | $19( \pm 7)$ | 67 | $30( \pm 11)$ | $6( \pm 6)$ | 2 | $0( \pm 0)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
|  | Total | 184 | $58( \pm 7)$ | $27( \pm 6)$ | 150 | $40( \pm 8)$ | $9( \pm 5)$ | 45 | $49( \pm 15)$ | $27( \pm 13)$ |
| 2013 | Total | 126 | $61( \pm 9)$ | $22( \pm 7)$ | 121 | $56( \pm 9)$ | $7( \pm 5)$ | 27 | $44( \pm 19)$ | $37( \pm 19)$ |
| 2012 | Total | 326 | $61( \pm 5)$ | $21( \pm 4)$ | 224 | $25( \pm 6)$ | $2( \pm 2)$ | 33 | $36( \pm 17)$ | $30( \pm 16)$ |
| 2011 | Total | 92 | $58( \pm 10)$ | $24( \pm 9)$ | 124 | $29( \pm 8)$ | $2( \pm 2)$ | 8 | $63( \pm 36)$ | $13( \pm 25)$ |
| 2010 | Total | 286 | $51( \pm 6)$ | $22( \pm 5)$ | 293 | $27( \pm 5)$ | $2( \pm 1)$ | 51 | $57( \pm 14)$ | $43( \pm 14)$ |
| 2009 | Total | 158 | $63( \pm 8)$ | $31( \pm 7)$ | 230 | $29( \pm 6)$ | $3( \pm 2)$ | 17 | $29( \pm 22)$ | $24( \pm 21)$ |
| 2008 | Total | 295 | $78( \pm 5)$ | $37( \pm 6)$ | 349 | $35( \pm 5)$ | $9( \pm 3)$ | 42 | $55( \pm 15)$ | $38( \pm 15)$ |
| 2007 | Total | 289 | $72( \pm 5)$ | $30( \pm 5)$ | 310 | $38( \pm 5)$ | $13( \pm 4)$ | 81 | $37( \pm 11)$ | $10( \pm 7)$ |
| 2006 | Total | 151 | $75( \pm 7)$ | $40( \pm 8)$ | 259 | $51( \pm 6)$ | $19( \pm 5)$ | 13 | $31( \pm 26)$ | $15( \pm 20)$ |
| 2005 | Total | 127 | $91( \pm 5)$ | $32( \pm 8)$ | 216 | $50( \pm 7)$ | $11( \pm 4)$ | 49 | $80( \pm 11)$ | $59( \pm 14)$ |
| 2004 | Total | 140 | $88( \pm 6)$ | $39( \pm 9)$ | 325 | $42( \pm 13)$ | $12( \pm 8)$ | 42 | $36 \pm 8)$ | $8( \pm 5)$ |

sedpsdcb.d14

Table 12. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples at Lake Cumberland, Laurel River Lake, Cedar Creek Lake, Chenoa Lake, Dale Hollow Lake, Lake Linville, and Wood Creek Lake during 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Lake | Largemouth bass |  | Smallmouth bass |  | Spotted bass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ |
| Lake Cumberland | $58( \pm 7)$ | $27( \pm 6)$ | $49(+15)$ | $27(+13)$ | $40(+8)$ | $9( \pm 5)$ |
| Laurel River Lake | $66( \pm 5)$ | $37( \pm 5)$ | $77( \pm 18)$ | $64( \pm 21)$ | $51(+9)$ | $19( \pm 7)$ |
| Cedar Creek Lake | $82( \pm 3)$ | $47(+4)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Chenoa Lake | $42( \pm 10)$ | $17( \pm 8)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Dale Hollow Lake | $85(+4)$ | $61(+6)$ | $74( \pm 15)$ | 43 (+17) | $52(+12)$ | $9( \pm 7)$ |
| Lake Linville | $48( \pm 6)$ | $7( \pm 3)$ |  |  | $23( \pm 7)$ | $2( \pm 3)$ |
| Wood Creek Lake | $34( \pm 5)$ | $10( \pm 3)$ |  |  | $21( \pm 10)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |

sedpsdcb.d14
sedpsdlr.d14
bbrpsccl.d14
sedpsdcl.d14
sedpsddh.d14
sedpsdll.d14
sedpsdwc.d14

Table 13. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 1.5 hours of 15 -minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Fishing Creek of Lake Cumberland on 23 September 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| Largemouth bass |  |  | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 |  | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 19.3 (4.1) |
| Spotted bass | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3.3 (1.9) |

Table 14. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall (September and October) in electrofishing samples at Lake Cumberland.

| Year class | Area | Age-0 |  | Age-0 |  | Age-0 $\geq 5.0$ in |  | Age-1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| Lake Cumberland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | Fishing Creek | 6.7 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 9.3 | 2.2 |  |  |
| 2013 | Fishing Creek | 6.1 | 0.1 | 80.0 | 23.8 | 61.3 | 15.9 | 26.0 | 13.6 |
| 2012 | Fishing Creek | 6.1 | 0.1 | 96.7 | 24.6 | 80.0 | 19.6 | 21.8 | 6.2 |
| 2011 | Fishing Creek | 6.1 | 0.1 | 114.7 | 25.1 | 102.0 | 23.2 | 46.5 | 7.0 |
| 2010 | Fishing Creek | 5.8 | 0.1 | 85.3 | 9.4 | 67.3 | 8.4 | 16.7 | 11.5 |
| 2009 | Fishing Creek | 4.8 | 0.2 | 42.0 | 9.5 | 22.7 | 6.4 | 21.3 | 6.6 |
| 2008 | Fishing Creek | 5.0 | 0.1 | 166.0 | 40.1 | 80.7 | 31.3 | 81.3 | 13.5 |
| 2007 | Fishing Creek | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 24.9 | 5.5 |
| 2006 | Fishing Creek | 6.3 | 0.2 | 22.0 | 3.1 | 20.7 | 2.4 | 32.0 | 8.2 |
| 2005 | Fishing Creek | 6.2 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 4.5 | 13.3 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| 2004 | Fishing Creek | 6.2 | 0.1 | 50.7 | 8.2 | 41.3 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 |
| 2003 | Fishing Creek | 5.8 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| 2002 | Fishing Creek | 6.0 | 0.1 | 192.7 | 36.7 | 160.7 | 36.3 | 4.0 | 1.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Age-1 largemouth bass CPUE based only on Fishing Creek location sedyoycb.d14

Table 15. Year class strength at age 0 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in September 2014 in electrofishing samples at Lake Cumberland, Laurel River Lake, Cedar Creek Lake, and Wood Creek Lake.

| Lake | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Std. } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| Lake Cumberland | Fishing Creek | 6.7 | 0.2 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 9.3 | 2.2 |
| Laurel River Lake | Laurel River Arm | 4.4 | 0.1 | 19.3 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| Cedar Creek Lake |  | 3.8 | 0.2 | 19.3 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Wood Creek Lake |  | 3.7 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { sedyoycb.d14 } \\ & \text { sedyoylr.d14 } \\ & \text { sedyoycc.d14 } \\ & \text { sedyoywc.d14 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of black bass collected in Fishing Creek of Lake Cumberland during 23 September 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Species |  |  |  | group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |
|  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
|  | 10 | 88 (2) | 3 | 95 (4) | 3 | 93 (2) |
| Spotted bass | 7.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  |
|  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
|  | 3 | 107 (4) | 0 | - | 0 | - |

Table 17. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of black bass collected in Lake Cumberland, Laurel River Lake, Cedar Creek Lake, and Wood Creek Lake during September 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Species | Location | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |
|  | Lake Cumberland (Fishing Creek) | 10 | $88(2)$ | 3 | 95 (4) | 3 | 93 (2) |
|  | Laurel River Lake (Laurel River Arm) | 21 | $88(2)$ | 12 | 94 (3) | 2 | 99 (6) |
|  | Cedar Creek Lake | 26 | 91 (1) | 27 | 93 (2) | 24 | 96 (2) |
|  | Wood Creek Lake | 125 | 84 (1) | 46 | 83 (1) | 8 | 91 (2) |
| Spotted |  | 7.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  |
|  | Lake Cumberland (Fishing Creek) | 3 | 107 (4) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
|  | Laurel River Lake (Laurel River Arm) | 37 | 97 (1) | 4 | 95 (4) | 1 | 94 (-) |
|  | Wood Creek Lake | 35 | $94(1)$ | 6 | 87 (5) | 0 | - |
| sedyoycb.d14 sedyoylr.d14 sedyoycc.d14 sedyoywc.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 18. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of walleye, white bass, sauger, and striped collected from the Jamestown/Bugwood (10 net-nights), Conley Bottom (10 netnights), and Burnside/Waitsboro (12 net-nights) areas of Lake Cumberland in November 2014.

|  | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area |  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Jamestown/Bugwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 25 | 28 | 42 | 29 | 11 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 15.0 | 2.3 |
|  | White bass |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Sauger |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | Striped bass |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 6 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 51 | 5.1 | 1.6 |
| Conley Bottom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Walleye |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 99 | 9.9 | 1.4 |
|  | White bass | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
|  | Sauger |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Striped bass |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 31 | 3.1 | 0.6 |
| Burnside/Waitsboro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Walleye |  | 5 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 | 6.8 | 1.0 |
|  | White bass | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
|  | Sauger |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
|  | Striped bass | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 57 | 4.8 | 1.6 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Walleye |  | 7 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 42 | 59 | 46 | 68 | 44 | 19 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 330 | 10.3 | 1.1 |
|  | White bass | 5 | 5 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  | Sauger |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  | Striped bass | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 49 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 139 | 4.3 | 0.8 |

sedgncbw.d14

Table 19. Population assessment for walleye based on fall gill netting at Lake Cumberland from 1991-2014.

| Year |  | Parameters |  |  |  | Total score | Assessmentrating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq \text { age } 1+ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean length age 2+ at capture | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1+ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Management objective |  | $\begin{gathered} \geq 6.0 \\ \text { fish } / \mathrm{nn} \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 18.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} \geq 1.5 \\ \text { fish } / \mathrm{nn} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \geq 3.0 \\ \text { fish } / \mathrm{nn} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value | 9.3 | 18.3 | 0.8 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 14 | E |
| 2012 | Value | 6.3 | 18.2 | 0.2 | 3.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 13 | G |
| 2010 | Value | 3.3 | 17.6 | 0.1 | 1.9 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 | F |
| 2008 | Value | 5.9 | 18.5 | 0.9 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | G |
| 2006 | Value | 14.8 | 19.1 | 3.9 | 3.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 16 | E |
| 2004 | Value | 8.9 | 18.8 | 1.8 | 4.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15 | E |
| 2002 | Value | $12.1$ | $19.1$ | $2.5$ | 6.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | $4$ | $4$ | $4$ | 4 | 16 | E |
| 2000 | Value | 4.3 | 18.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 1998 | Value | 7.9 | 18.5 | 2.4 | 1.9 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 14 | E |
| 1996 | Value | 5.3 | 18.5 | 0.9 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 13 | G |
| 1994 | Value | 3.5 | 18.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 9 | F |
| 1991 | Value | 5.1 | 18.5* | 0.2 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 11 | G |

sedgncbw.d14
sedagcbw.d14

* Data from 1994 used for age-growth

Table 20. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for male walleye collected from Lake Cumberland during 2014, including the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 17 | 11.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 11 | 11.9 | 15.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 13 | 11.5 | 15.9 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 2 | 11.9 | 15.9 | 17.4 | 18.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 2 | 11.4 | 15.4 | 17.0 | 18.1 | 19.0 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 2 | 11.2 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 18.8 |  |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 10.4 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 18.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 11.4 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 18.0 | 18.2 | 18.1 |  |
| Number |  | 49 | 32 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Smallest |  | 9.7 | 11.9 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 16.1 | 16.8 | 17.1 |  |
| Largest |  | 13.0 | 17.5 | 19.7 | 19.1 | 19.6 | 18.8 | 19.0 |  |
| Std error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 |  |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 |  |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept $=0$
sedagcwm.d14

Table 21. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for female walleye collected from Lake Cumberland during 2014, including the 95\% confidence interval (CI) for each mean length per age group.

| Year | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2012 | 4 | 12.4 | 16.8 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 5 | 11.5 | 16.6 | 19.3 |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 12.0 | 15.9 | 19.8 | 21.7 |  |
| 2009 | 2 | 11.3 | 16.3 | 19.2 | 21.3 | 22.6 |
| Mean |  | 11.8 | 16.6 | 19.4 | 21.4 | 22.6 |
| Number |  | 12 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 2 |
| Smallest |  | 11.0 | 15.8 | 18.6 | 19.9 | 21.1 |
| Largest |  | 12.7 | 17.8 | 20.5 | 22.7 | 24.2 |
| Std error |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 |
| 95\% CI + |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 3.0 |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept $=0$ sedagcwf.d14

Table 22. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for walleye (both
sexes) collected from Lake Cumberland during 2014, including the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 32 | 11.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 16 | 12.1 | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 19 | 11.5 | 16.1 | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 3 | 12.0 | 15.9 | 18.2 | 19.6 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 4 | 11.4 | 15.9 | 18.1 | 19.7 | 20.8 |  |  |
| 2008 | 2 | 11.2 | 15.0 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 18.2 | 18.8 |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 10.4 | 12.6 | 14.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 17.5 | 18.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 11.5 | 15.8 | 17.9 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 18.2 | 18.1 |
| Number |  | 78 | 46 | 30 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| Smallest |  | 9.5 | 11.9 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 16.1 | 16.8 | 17.1 |
| Largest |  | 13.0 | 17.8 | 20.5 | 22.7 | 24.2 | 18.8 | 19.0 |
| Std error |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.9 |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept $=0$
sedagcbw.d14

Table 23. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of walleye gill netting for 32 net-nights at Lake Cumberland during November 2014.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 10.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 42 | 48 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 | 35.0 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 28 | 25 | 9 | 4 |  |  |  | 77 | 23.3 | 2.4 | 0.4 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 1 |  | 68 | 20.5 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 1 |  | 10 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 8 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Total | 7 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 42 | 59 | 47 | 68 | 44 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 331 | 100.0 | 10.3 |  |
| \% | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 12.7 | 17.8 | 14.2 | 20.5 | 13.3 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |

sedgncbw.d14
sedagcbw.d14

Table 24. Walleye population assessment for walleye gill netted at Lake Cumberland in November 2014.

| Parameter | Actual value | Assessment score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population density <br> (CPUE age 1 and older) | 9.3 | 4 |
| Growth rate <br> (Mean length age 2+ at capture) | 18.3 | 4 |
| Size structure (CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in) | 0.8 | 2 |
| Recruitment (CPUE age 1) | 3.6 | 4 |
| Instantaneous mortality (Z) | 0.503 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 39.5 |  |
| Total score |  | 14 |
| Assessment rating |  | E |
| sedgncbw.d14 sedagcbw.d14 |  |  |

Table 25. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of walleye collected in Lake Cumberland during November 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Length group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.0-14.9 in |  | 9 in |  |  |
| No. Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| $37 \quad 96$ (1) | 229 | 94 (0) | 23 | 91 (2) |

sedgncbw.d14

Table 26. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for white bass collected from Lake Cumberland during 2014, including the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1 | 11.1 |  |  |
| 2011 | 8 | 9.9 | 13.3 | 14.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 10.0 | 13.3 | 14.6 |
| Number |  | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Smallest |  | 8.3 | 11.0 | 12.4 |
| Largest |  | 11.7 | 15.1 | 16.2 |
| Std error |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{Cl} \pm$ |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |

Otoliths were used for age-growth
determinations; Intercept = 0
sedagcwb.d14

Table 27. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white bass collected during gill netting for 32 net-nights at Lake Cumberland during November 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | Total | $\%$ | CPUE | error |
| 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 16 | 69.6 | 0.50 | 0.1 |
| $1+$ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 4.3 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
| $3+$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 26.1 | 0.19 | 0.1 |
| Total | 5 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 26.1 | 0.7 |  |
| $\%$ | 21.7 | 21.7 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |
| sedgncbw.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sedagcwb.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 28. Population assessment for white bass collected from Lake Cumberland in November 2014.

|  | Actual <br> value | Assessment <br> score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 0.2 | 1 |
| CPUE age-1 and older | $13.6^{*}$ | 4 |
| Mean length age-2+ at capture | 0.2 | 1 |
| CPUE $\geq 12.0$ in | 0.03 | 1 |
| CPUE age 1 | - | 7 |
| Instantaneous mortality (Z) | - | $F$ |
| Annual mortality (A) |  |  |
| Total score |  |  |
| Assessment rating |  |  |
| * 2010 data used for assessment |  |  |
| sedgncbw.d14 |  |  |
| sedagcwb.d14 |  |  |

Table 29. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of white bass collected in Lake Cumberland during November 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Length group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.0-8.9 in |  | 9 in |  |  |
| No. Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| $5 \quad 96$ (2) | 11 | 98 (3) | 7 | 96 (5) |

sedgncbw.d14

Table 30. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of striped bass collected at Lake Cumberland in 20 net-nights on 2-4 December 2014.


Table 31. Population assessment for striped bass based on fall gill netting at Lake Cumberland from 19942014.

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Mean length age 2 at capture | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 24.0 \mathrm{in} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age-1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 4.0$ fish/nn | $\geq 21.0$ in | $\geq 1.0$ fish/nn | $\geq 2.0$ fish/nn |  |  |
| 2014 | Value | 6.1 | 21.9 | 0.6 | 5.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 13 | G |
| 2013 | Value | 7.2 | 22.1 | 2.8 | 2.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 15 | E |
| 2012 | Value | 7.3 | 20.6 | 1.9 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 | G |
| 2011 | Value | 5.9 | 20.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | F |
| 2009 | Value | 4.0 | 21.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | G |
| 2008 | Value | 9.2 | 22.1 | 1.5 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 14 | E |
| 2007 | Value | 5.3 | 23.7 | 1.2 | 3.9 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 14 | E |
| 2006 | Value | 3.9 | 22.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | G |
| 2005 | Value | 3.4 | 23.3 | 1.5 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | G |
| 2004 | Value | 4.4 | 23.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 2003 | Value | 4.1 | 21.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | G |
| 2002 | Value | 3.5 | 22.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | G |
| 2001 | Value | 3.1 | 21.0 | 0.1 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | F |
| 2000 | Value | 3.4 | 23.3 | 0.7 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 11 | G |
| 1999 | Value | 3.4 | 22.4 | 0.3 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 10 | G |
| 1998 | Value | 5.3 | 21.5 | 0.4 | 4.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 | G |
| 1997 | Value | 1.9 | 21.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | F |
| 1996 | Value | 2.7 | 22.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | G |
| 1995 | Value | 3.5 | 22.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 11 | G |
| 1994 | Value | 4.3 | 21.7 | 0.8 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | G |

sedgncbs.d14
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Table 32. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for striped bass collected from Lake Cumberland during 2014, including the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 52 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 24 | 11.9 | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 1 | 13.1 | 20.7 | 23.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 5 | 13.1 | 18.8 | 22.1 | 24.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 15 | 13.0 | 18.9 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 25.5 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 11.7 | 18.0 | 21.5 | 23.4 | 24.9 | 26.4 | 27.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 12.2 | 18.6 | 22.1 | 24.0 | 25.4 | 26.4 | 27.2 |  |
| Number |  | 99 | 47 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Smallest |  | 6.8 | 16.4 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 26.1 | 26.6 |  |
| Largest |  | 14.7 | 20.7 | 23.7 | 26.5 | 27.5 | 26.7 | 27.8 |  |
| Std error |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 |  |
| $95 \%$ CI + |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 |  |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept = 0
sedagcbs.d14

Table 33. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of striped bass gill netted for 20 net-nights at Lake Cumberland in December 2014

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | \% | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 1+ |  |  | 1 | 8 | 19 | 42 | 31 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 | 83.7 | 5.2 | 1.0 |
| 2+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| $3+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 4+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $5+$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 7+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 42 | 31 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 123 | 100.0 | 6.2 |  |
| \% | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 15.4 | 34.1 | 25.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |
| sedgn sedag | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bs.d } \\ & \text { bs.d } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 34. Population assessment for striped bass gill netted at Lake Cumberland in December 2014.

| Parameter | Actual value | Assessment score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population density <br> (CPUE age 1 and older) | 6.1 | 4 |
| Growth rate <br> (Mean length age 2+ at capture) | 21.9 | 3 |
| Size structure (CPUE $\geq 24.0 \mathrm{in})$ | 0.6 | 2 |
| Recruitment (CPUE age 1) | 5.2 | 4 |
| Instantaneous mortality (Z) | 0.628 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 46.7 |  |
| Total score |  | 13 |
| Assessment rating |  | G |
| sedgncbs.d14 sedagcbs.d14 |  |  |

Table 35. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of striped bass collected in Lake Cumberland in November and December 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.0-19.9 in |  | 20.0-29.9 in |  | $\geq 30.0$ in |  |
| No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| 158 | 91 (0) | 51 | 89 (1) | 0 | - |

Table 36. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 6.0 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Laurel River Lake during April and May 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Dam | Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 44 | 29.3 (9.0) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5.3 (2.5) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1.3 (0.8) |
| Spruce | Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 71 | 47.3 (8.8) |
| Creek | Spotted bass |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 14.7 (4.5) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5.3 (0.8) |
| Laurel | Largemouth bass | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 18 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 167 | 111.3 (12.9) |
| River | Spotted bass | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 22.0 (3.7) |
| Arm | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 (0.7) |
| Upper | Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 24 | 9 | 7 | 6 |  | 1 |  | 103 | 68.7 (8.5) |
| Craigs | Spotted bass |  |  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 43.3 (6.3) |
| Creek | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 8.7 (1.9) |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 2 | 5 | 8 | 20 | 25 | 24 | 44 | 27 | 28 | 33 | 40 | 48 | 35 | 16 | 19 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 385 | 64.2 (7.9) |
|  | Spotted bass | 3 |  | 5 | 10 | 14 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 128 | 21.3 (3.6) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 24 | 4.0 (0.9) |

sedpsdlr.d14

Table 37. Comparison of catch-per-hour of black bass (by area) captured during spring electrofishing on Laurel River Lake during the period of 20102014.

| Species/Area | Stock |  |  |  |  | Quality |  |  |  |  | Preferred |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | 70.7 | 33.3 | 52.7 | 64.7 | 26.7 | 46.0 | 15.3 | 31.3 | 53.3 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 15.3 | 12.7 | 13.3 |
| Spruce Creek | 80.7 | 50.7 | 32.0 | 60.0 | 43.3 | 58.0 | 45.3 | 24.0 | 49.3 | 33.3 | 28.7 | 25.3 | 16.0 | 26.7 | 17.3 |
| Laurel River Arm | 87.3 | 102.0 | 102.7 | 59.3 | 102.7 | 47.3 | 74.0 | 61.3 | 42.7 | 47.3 | 25.3 | 32.7 | 27.3 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Craigs Cr. headwaters | 52.7 | 80.0 | 54.7 | 59.3 | 60.7 | 16.0 | 52.0 | 32.0 | 44.7 | 51.3 | 9.3 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 21.3 | 31.3 |
| Mean | 72.8 | 66.5 | 60.5 | 60.8 | 58.3 | 41.8 | 46.7 | 37.2 | 47.5 | 38.3 | 21.2 | 20.0 | 18.3 | 21.2 | 21.5 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | 34.7 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 24.7 | 8.0 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Spruce Creek | 22.7 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 25.3 | 14.7 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 22.7 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 6.0 | 4.7 |
| Laurel River Arm | 39.3 | 15.3 | 17.3 | 8.7 | 18.0 | 7.3 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Craigs Cr. headwaters | 44.0 | 38.7 | 28.7 | 36.0 | 42.0 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 21.3 | 25.3 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 10.0 |
| Mean | 35.2 | 22.0 | 20.7 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 8.5 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.8 |
| Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dam | 16.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Spruce Creek | 8.0 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Laurel River Arm | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Craigs Cr. headwaters | 1.3 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Mean | 6.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 |

[^18]Smallmouth bass and spotted bass $-\geq 7.0$ in $=$ stock, $\geq 11.0 \mathrm{in}=$ quality, $\geq 14.0 \mathrm{in}=$ preferred.
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Table 38. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Laurel River Lake during April and May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<8.0$ in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 20.0 | 4.9 | 16.8 | 2.5 | 21.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 64.2 | 7.9 |
| 2013 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 13.3 | 2.1 | 26.3 | 3.0 | 21.2 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 65.8 | 4.6 |
| 2012 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 23.3 | 3.6 | 18.8 | 2.9 | 18.3 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 66.5 | 7.6 |
| 2011 | 11.5 | 3.7 | 19.8 | 4.1 | 26.7 | 4.7 | 20.0 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 78.0 | 11.6 |
| 2010 | 15.8 | 3.0 | 31.0 | 4.4 | 20.7 | 3.1 | 21.2 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 88.7 | 8.4 |
| 2009 | 13.2 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 2.7 | 16.8 | 2.6 | 20.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 63.0 | 8.5 |
| 2008 | 37.5 | 11.5 | 15.0 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 17.7 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 78.0 | 13.8 |
| 2007 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 14.5 | 1.9 | 21.8 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 46.5 | 4.0 |
| 2006 | 20.8 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 2.7 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 19.5 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 71.4 | 11.4 |
| 2005 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 15.0 | 2.9 | 18.5 | 2.7 | 22.5 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 62.2 | 7.5 |
| 2004 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 11.0 | 1.4 | 18.5 | 3.0 | 14.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.5 | 4.8 |
| 2003 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 37.0 | 5.8 | 29.3 | 4.1 | 13.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 12.3 |
| 2002 | 21.7 | 5.0 | 24.0 | 3.8 | 23.3 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 9.7 |
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Table 39. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of spotted bass collected at Laurel River Lake during April and May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 3.6 |
| 2013 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 10.8 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 3.9 |
| 2012 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 3.3 |
| 2011 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 3.5 |
| 2010 | 25.2 | 4.2 | 13.0 | 2.3 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 6.1 |
| 2009 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 28.5 | 4.6 |
| 2008 | 20.2 | 4.2 | 12.7 | 2.6 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.7 | 7.0 |
| 2007 | 12.2 | 2.3 | 13.5 | 2.2 | 10.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.3 | 4.0 |
| 2006 | 15.0 | 2.4 | 13.4 | 1.7 | 9.1 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.2 | 4.6 |
| 2005 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 2.7 |
| 2004 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 9.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 5.6 |
| 2003 | 23.3 | 5.3 | 17.8 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 8.9 |
| 2002 | 13.7 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.8 | 5.6 |

Table 40. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of smallmouth bass collected at Laurel River Lake during April and May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| 2013 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 |
| 2012 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.6 |
| 2011 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 1.1 |
| 2010 | 10.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 14.8 | 3.0 |
| 2009 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 6.8 | 2.4 |
| 2008 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 2.3 |
| 2007 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 1.4 |
| 2006 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| 2005 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 1.8 |
| 2004 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 1.1 |
| 2003 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 19.8 | 4.3 |
| 2002 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 3.8 |
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Table 41. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Laurel River Lake from 1990-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean length } \\ & \text { age- } 3 \text { at } \\ & \text { capture } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { age } 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 12.0-14.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { score } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 13.0$ in | $\geq 10.0$ fish | $\geq 20.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 10.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 0.5 \mathrm{fish} /$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 1.6 | 16.8 | 21.5 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 2013 | Value | 13.1 | 1.2 | 26.3 | 21.2 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 | G |
| 2012 | Value |  | 3.3 | 18.8 | 18.3 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 | F |
| 2011 | Value |  | 9.2 | 26.7 | 20.0 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 | G |
| 2010 | Value |  | 6.5 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 2009 | Value |  | 12.2 | 16.8 | 20.8 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 2008 | Value | 13.3 | 36.3 | 7.8 | 17.7 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 13 | G |
| 2007 | Value |  | 2.1 | 14.5 | 21.8 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 2006 | Value |  | 18.4 | 17.1 | 19.5 | 0.6 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 2005 | Value |  | 4.6 | 18.5 | 22.5 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 12 | G |
| 2004 | Value |  | 2.6 | 18.5 | 14.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10 | F |
| 2003 | Value | 13.7 | 7.8 | 29.3 | 13.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 2002 | Value |  | 18.2 | 23.3 | 8.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | F |
| 2001 | Value |  | 17.8 | 22.1 | 2.5 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2000 | Value |  | 2.3 | 16.3 | 2.1 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | F |
| 1999 | Value |  | 8.2 | 26.0 | 6.4 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 1998 | Value |  | 6.0 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1997 | Value |  | 14.5 | 25.4 | 6.2 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 1996 | Value |  | 8.7 | 15.4 | 6.6 | 0.9 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | F |
| 1995 | Value |  | 1.2 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1994 | Value |  | 5.7 | 13.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1993 | Value |  | 6.0 | 11.4 | 6.5 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 1992 | Value |  | 9.1 | 24.4 | 8.8 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 | F |
| 1991 | Value |  | 22.1 | 11.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | F |
| 1990 | Value |  | 17.5 | 10.2 | 4.9 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
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Table 42. Population assessment for spotted bass based on spring electrofishing at Laurel River Lake from 1990-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE <br> age 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ 11.0-13.9 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 14.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 17.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 11.0$ in | $\geq 3.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 7.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 1.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 0.1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 0.5 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2013 | Value |  | 0.3 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 2012 | Value | 10.0 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 2011 | Value |  | 0.8 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 2010 | Value |  | 2.5 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2009 | Value |  | 0.3 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 | G |
| 2008 | Value |  | 4.0 | 8.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2007 | Value | 10.4 | 0.8 | 10.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 2006 | Value |  | 4.3 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2005 | Value |  | 1.5 | 7.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 14 | G |
| 2004 | Value |  | 0.0 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2003 | Value |  | 2.3 | 10.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 2002 | Value | 11.5 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2001 | Value |  | 6.0 | 8.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2000 | Value |  | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 1999 | Value |  | 1.5 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1998 | Value |  | 6.6 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1997 | Value |  | 1.6 | 7.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 1996 | Value |  | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1995 | Value |  | 1.2 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | F |
| 1994 | Value |  | 4.8 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1993 | Value |  | 1.2 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 14 | G |
| 1992 | Value |  | 3.4 | 13.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | G |
| 1991 | Value |  | 4.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | F |
| 1990 | Value |  | 6.7 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 13 | G |

[^19]Table 43. Population assessment for smallmouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Laurel River Lake

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | CPUE age 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { 11.0-13.9 in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 14.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 17.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | Total score | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 13.0$ in | $\geq 3.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 1.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 0.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 14 | G |
| 2013 | Value | 13.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | F |
| 2012 | Value |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 14 | G |
| 2011 | Value |  | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 15 | G |
| 2010 | Value |  | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |
| 2009 | Value |  | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 16 | G |
| 2008 | Value | 13.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2007 | Value |  | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2006 | Value |  | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 14 | G |
| 2005 | Value |  | 0.1 | 1.5 | 5.5 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 16 | G |
| 2004 | Value |  | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 2003 | Value | 13.6 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 17 | E |
| 2002 | Value |  | 6.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 16 | G |
| 2001 | Value |  | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 16 | G |
| 2000 | Value |  | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 14 | G |
| 1999 | Value |  | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 15 | G |
| 1998 | Value |  | 12.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 1997 | Value |  | 6.7 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 17 | E |
| 1996 | Value |  | 0.1 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1995 | Value |  | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 16 | G |
| 1994 | Value |  | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 17 | E |
| 1993 | Value |  | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 15 | G |
| 1992 | Value |  | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 12 | G |
| 1991 | Value |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | F |
| 1990 | Value |  | 8.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 19 | E |

[^20]Table 44. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples at Laurel River Lake during April and May 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \geq \\ \text { stock size } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2014 | Dam | 40 | 80 ( $\pm 13)$ | $50( \pm 16)$ | 8 | $38( \pm 36)$ | $13( \pm 25)$ | 2 | $100( \pm 0)$ | $100( \pm 0)$ |
|  | Spruce Creek | 65 | $77( \pm 10)$ | $40( \pm 12)$ | 22 | $64( \pm 21)$ | $32( \pm 20)$ | 7 | $43( \pm 40)$ | $43( \pm 40)$ |
|  | Laurel River Arm | 154 | 46 ( $\pm 8)$ | $23( \pm 7)$ | 27 | $22( \pm 16)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ | 1 | $100( \pm 0)$ | 100 ( $\pm 0$ ) |
|  | Upper Craigs Creek | 91 | $85( \pm 7)$ | $52( \pm 10)$ | 63 | $60( \pm 12)$ | $24( \pm 11)$ | 12 | $92( \pm 16)$ | $67( \pm 28)$ |
|  | Total | 350 | $66( \pm 5)$ | $37( \pm 5)$ | 120 | $51( \pm 9)$ | $19( \pm 7)$ | 22 | $77( \pm 18)$ | $64( \pm 21)$ |
| 2013 | Total | 365 | $78( \pm 4)$ | $35( \pm 5)$ | 114 | $68( \pm 9)$ | $11( \pm 6)$ | 13 | $85( \pm 20)$ | $38( \pm 28)$ |
| 2012 | Total | 363 | $61( \pm 5)$ | $30( \pm 5)$ | 124 | $41( \pm 9)$ | $8( \pm 5)$ | 9 | $89( \pm 22)$ | $67( \pm 33)$ |
| 2011 | Total | 399 | $70( \pm 4)$ | $30( \pm 5)$ | 132 | $43( \pm 8)$ | $9( \pm 5)$ | 21 | $38( \pm 21)$ | $24( \pm 19)$ |
| 2010 | Total | 437 | $57( \pm 5)$ | $29( \pm 4)$ | 211 | $39( \pm 7)$ | $14( \pm 5)$ | 41 | $51( \pm 15)$ | $41( \pm 15)$ |
| 2009 | Total | 299 | $76( \pm 5)$ | $42( \pm 6)$ | 145 | $39( \pm 8)$ | $11( \pm 5)$ | 36 | $69( \pm 15)$ | $58( \pm 16)$ |
| 2008 | Total | 243 | $63( \pm 6)$ | $44( \pm 6)$ | 193 | $34( \pm 7)$ | $7( \pm 4)$ | 38 | $71( \pm 15)$ | $50( \pm 16)$ |
| 2007 | Total | 265 | $82( \pm 5)$ | $49( \pm 6)$ | 192 | $40( \pm 7)$ | $6( \pm 3)$ | 27 | $33( \pm 18)$ | $26( \pm 17)$ |
| 2006 | Total | 316 | $72( \pm 5)$ | $39( \pm 5)$ | 193 | $38( \pm 7)$ | $8( \pm 4)$ | 10 | $70( \pm 30)$ | $60( \pm 32)$ |
| 2005 | Total | 336 | $73( \pm 5)$ | $40( \pm 5)$ | 98 | $69( \pm 9)$ | $22( \pm 8)$ | 47 | $89( \pm 9)$ | $70( \pm 13)$ |
| 2004 | Total | 262 | $75( \pm 5)$ | $32( \pm 6)$ | 158 | $41( \pm 19)$ | $26( \pm 17)$ | 27 | $46( \pm 8)$ | $8( \pm 4)$ |

[^21]Table 45. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 1.5 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Laurel River Lake on 17 September 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 |  |  |
| Laurel River Arm | Largemouth bass |  | 9 | 14 | 7 | 32 | 45 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 142 | 94.7 (18.9) |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 63 | 42.0 (7.1) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.3 (0.8) |
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Table 46. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall (September and October) in electrofishing samples at Laurel River Lake.

| Year Class | Area | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age $1^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Std. } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2014 | Laurel River Arm | 4.4 | 0.1 | 19.3 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 2013 | Laurel River Arm | 4.0 | 0.1 | 21.3 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 2.2 |
| 2012 | Laurel River Arm | 4.6 | 0.1 | 11.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 2.1 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Laurel River Arm | 4.1 | 0.3 | 10.7 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | $6.0^{\text {c }}$ | 0.9 |
| $2010{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Laurel River Arm | 5.4 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | $31.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7.5 |
| 2009 | Laurel River Arm | 3.8 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 19.3 | 7.0 |
| $2008{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Laurel River Arm | 3.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $14.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4.6 |
| $2007{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Laurel River Arm | 3.5 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $118.9{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 12.4 |
| $2006{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Laurel River Arm | 3.7 | 0.1 | 12.7 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | $5.4{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2.1 |
| $2005{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Laurel River Arm | 4.4 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 | $58.3{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 9.2 |
| 2004 | Laurel River Arm | 4.9 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 2.4 |
| 2003 | Laurel River Arm | 3.4 | 0.1 | 36.7 | 14.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| 2002 | Laurel River Arm | 4.5 | 0.1 | 30.7 | 5.8 | 8.7 | 3.5 | 10.3 | 4.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Age-1 largemouth bass CPUE based only on Laurel River Arm location
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Age-0 largemouth bass stocked in the fall
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes bass stocked in fall 2011; CPUE of fin-clipped bass=0.0 fish/hr
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Includes bass stocked in fall 2010; CPUE of fin-clipped bass=8.0 fish/hr
e Includes bass stocked in fall 2008; CPUE of fin-clipped bass=8.0 fish/hr
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Includes bass stocked in fall 2007; CPUE of fin-clipped bass $=108.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$
${ }^{9}$ Includes bass stocked in fall 2006; CPUE of fin-clipped bass=2.0 fish/hr
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Includes bass stocked in fall 2005; CPUE of fin-clipped bass=36.0 fish/hr
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Table 47. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of black bass collected at 312 Bridge in Laurel River Lake on 17 September 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Species |  | Length group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in |
|  | No. Wr | No. Wr | No. Wr |
|  | 2188 (2) | 1294 (3) | 299 (6) |
| Spotted bass | 7.0-10.9 in | 11.0-13.9 in | $\geq 14.0$ in |
|  | No. Wr | No. Wr | No. $\quad \mathrm{Wr}$ |
|  | $37 \quad 97$ (1) | 495 (4) | $194(-)$ |
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Table 48. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected at Cedar Creek Lake in 3.5 hours ( 2.0 hours in lower end; 1.5 hours upper end; 30-min runs) of nocturnal electrofishing on 6 May 2014.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Largemouth bass |  | 7 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 24 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 9 | 1 |  | 250 | 125.0 | 9.5 |
| Upper | Largemouth bass | 2 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 13 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 46 | 49 | 48 | 40 | 31 | 22 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 354 | 236.0 | 30.1 |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 2 | 11 | 9 | 31 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 49 | 77 | 76 | 79 | 57 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 604 | 172.6 | 25.7 |
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Table 49. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Cedar Creek Lake on 6 May 2014; 95\% confidence levels are in parentheses.

|  | Lower Lake |  |  | Upper Lake |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & 8.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2014 | 237 | $82( \pm 5)$ | $48( \pm 6)$ | 345 | $81( \pm 4)$ | $47( \pm 5)$ | 582 | $82( \pm 3)$ | $47( \pm 4)$ |
| 2013 | 448 | $69( \pm 4)$ | $33( \pm 4)$ | 299 | $66( \pm 5)$ | $36( \pm 5)$ | 747 | $68( \pm 3)$ | $34( \pm 3)$ |
| 2012 | 406 | $56( \pm 5)$ | $27( \pm 4)$ | 409 | $60( \pm 5)$ | $30(+4)$ | 815 | $58( \pm 3)$ | $29( \pm 3)$ |
| 2011 | 283 | $55( \pm 6)$ | $22( \pm 5)$ | 172 | $62( \pm 7)$ | $31( \pm 7)$ | 455 | $57( \pm 5)$ | $25( \pm 4)$ |
| 2010 | 386 | $43( \pm 5)$ | $22( \pm 4)$ | 310 | $48( \pm 6)$ | $23( \pm 5)$ | 696 | $45( \pm 4)$ | $22( \pm 3)$ |
| 2009 | 260 | $55( \pm 6)$ | $27( \pm 5)$ | 208 | $50( \pm 7)$ | $27( \pm 6)$ | 468 | $53( \pm 5)$ | $27( \pm 4)$ |
| 2008 | 249 | $39( \pm 6)$ | $27( \pm 6)$ | 177 | $45( \pm 7)$ | $26( \pm 6)$ | 426 | $42( \pm 5)$ | $27( \pm 4)$ |
| 2007 | 322 | $36( \pm 5)$ | $22( \pm 5)$ | 145 | $49( \pm 8)$ | $36( \pm 8)$ | 467 | $40( \pm 4)$ | $26( \pm 4)$ |
| 2006 | 238 | $36( \pm 6)$ | $31( \pm 6)$ | 99 | $55( \pm 10)$ | $43( \pm 10)$ | 337 | $42( \pm 5)$ | $35( \pm 5)$ |
| 2005 | 228 | $83( \pm 5)$ | $50( \pm 7)$ | 95 | $93( \pm 6)$ | $63( \pm 10)$ | 323 | $86( \pm 4)$ | $54( \pm 6)$ |
| 2004 | 277 | $66( \pm 6)$ | $6( \pm 3)$ | 178 | $76( \pm 7)$ | $5( \pm 3)$ | 455 | $70( \pm 5)$ | $6( \pm 3)$ |
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Table 50. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected from each section of Cedar Creek Lake from 20032014.

| Year | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Std. err. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |  |  |
| 2014 | Lower | 6.5 | 2.1 | 21.0 | 6.1 | 41.0 | 4.5 | 56.5 | 7.2 | 5.0 | 1.9 | 125.0 | 9.5 |
|  | Upper | 6.0 | 3.5 | 42.7 | 6.8 | 80.0 | 8.3 | 107.3 | 12.7 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 236.0 | 30.1 |
|  | Total | 6.3 | 1.7 | 30.3 | 6.0 | 57.7 | 8.8 | 78.3 | 12.0 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 172.6 | 25.7 |
| 2013 | Lower | 4.0 | 1.2 | 70.5 | 5.7 | 80.5 | 11.5 | 73.0 | 7.8 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 228.0 | 16.8 |
|  | Upper | 9.3 | 4.4 | 67.3 | 5.5 | 60.7 | 8.7 | 71.3 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 3.7 | 208.7 | 18.8 |
|  | Total | 6.3 | 2.1 | 69.1 | 3.7 | 72.0 | 8.1 | 72.3 | 5.0 | 10.3 | 2.3 | 219.7 | 12.1 |
| 2012 | Lower | 29.5 | 11.7 | 90.0 | 12.3 | 57.5 | 9.5 | 55.5 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 232.5 | 25.3 |
|  | Upper | 10.7 | 2.7 | 110.0 | 9.5 | 81.3 | 3.7 | 81.3 | 8.7 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 283.3 | 10.1 |
|  | Total | 21.4 | 7.4 | 98.6 | 8.5 | 67.7 | 7.1 | 66.6 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 254.3 | 17.4 |
| 2011 | Lower | 89.0 | 12.8 | 64.0 | 8.6 | 46.5 | 6.2 | 31.0 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 230.5 | 19.2 |
|  | Upper | 43.3 | 16.3 | 44.0 | 10.1 | 35.3 | 4.8 | 35.3 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 158.0 | 13.6 |
|  | Total | 69.4 | 13.1 | 55.4 | 7.2 | 41.7 | 4.4 | 32.9 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 199.4 | 18.6 |
| 2010 | Lower | 50.2 | 5.4 | 103.8 | 15.6 | 40.2 | 6.8 | 38.8 | 11.1 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 233.1 | 24.3 |
|  | Upper | 17.3 | 9.4 | 107.3 | 14.5 | 51.3 | 10.5 | 48.0 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 224.0 | 23.2 |
|  | Total | 36.1 | 8.1 | 105.3 | 10.0 | 45.0 | 5.8 | 42.8 | 6.5 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 229.2 | 15.8 |
| 2009 | Lower | 111.0 | 37.8 | 59.0 | 10.3 | 35.5 | 6.7 | 35.5 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 241.0 | 37.5 |
|  | Upper | 64.7 | 38.8 | 69.3 | 13.0 | 32.0 | 6.0 | 37.3 | 12.8 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 203.3 | 35.7 |
|  | Total | 91.1 | 26.7 | 63.4 | 7.7 | 34.0 | 4.3 | 36.3 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 224.9 | 25.3 |
| 2008 | Lower | 81.5 | 23.6 | 75.5 | 15.6 | 15.0 | 3.4 | 34.0 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 206.0 | 36.7 |
|  | Upper | 56.7 | 4.8 | 64.7 | 7.7 | 22.7 | 1.3 | 30.7 | 9.8 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 174.7 | 1.3 |
|  | Total | 70.9 | 13.7 | 70.9 | 9.1 | 18.3 | 2.5 | 32.6 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 192.6 | 20.6 |
| 2007 | Lower | 40.0 | 9.5 | 102.5 | 28.6 | 23.5 | 6.4 | 35.0 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 201.0 | 38.5 |
|  | Upper | 17.3 | 13.5 | 49.3 | 8.7 | 12.7 | 2.7 | 34.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 114.0 | 21.2 |
|  | Total | 30.3 | 8.5 | 79.7 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 4.2 | 34.9 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 163.7 | 28.2 |
| 2006 | Lower | 33.0 | 9.9 | 76.0 | 23.4 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 37.0 | 5.9 |  |  | 152.0 | 36.3 |
|  | Upper | 12.0 | 3.1 | 30.0 | 1.2 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 28.7 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 78.0 | 4.2 |
|  | Total | 24.0 | 6.9 | 56.3 | 15.6 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 33.4 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 120.3 | 24.5 |
| 2005 | Lower | 122.0 | 11.4 | 19.0 | 7.0 | 38.5 | 5.7 | 56.5 | 12.3 |  |  | 236.0 | 25.0 |
|  | Upper | 23.3 | 9.3 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 18.7 | 0.7 | 40.0 | 7.2 |  |  | 86.7 | 12.9 |
|  | Total | 79.7 | 21.1 | 12.9 | 4.8 | 30.0 | 5.1 | 49.4 | 7.9 |  |  | 172.0 | 33.4 |
| 2004 | Lower | 37.8 | 7.3 | 38.3 | 5.7 | 68.7 | 15.1 | 6.5 | 3.1 |  |  | 151.3 | 22.5 |
|  | Upper | 11.3 | 3.5 | 28.0 | 7.2 | 84.7 | 11.7 | 6.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 130.0 | 24.1 |
|  | Total | 27.9 | 6.6 | 34.5 | 4.6 | 74.7 | 10.2 | 6.3 | 2.0 |  |  | 143.3 | 16.1 |
| 2003 | Lower | 134.4 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 19.6 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 |  |  | 163.6 | 11.7 |
|  | Upper | 218.0 | 51.3 | 18.7 | 9.8 | 13.3 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  | 250.0 | 54.0 |
|  | Total | 165.8 | 23.3 | 12.5 | 4.1 | 17.3 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |  |  | 196.0 | 24.7 |
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Table 51. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Cedar Creek Lake from 2003-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{array}{r} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { age } 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> score | Assessement rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objective |  | $\geq 11.5$ in | $\geq 16.0$ fish/hr | $\geq 20.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 4.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 3.7 | 57.7 | 78.3 | 5.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2013 | Value |  | 4.9 | 72.0 | 72.3 | 10.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2012 | Value |  | 16.3 | 67.7 | 66.6 | 7.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |
| 2011 | Value |  | 68.6 | 41.7 | 32.9 | 4.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |
| 2010 | Value | 13.5 | 35.5 | 45.0 | 42.8 | 4.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 17 | E |
| 2009 | Value |  | 92.6 | 34.0 | 36.3 | 5.1 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 18 | E |
| 2008 | Value |  | 72.6 | 18.3 | 32.6 | 4.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 16 | G |
| 2007 | Value | 12.0 | 26.6 | 18.9 | 34.9 | 3.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 14 | G |
| 2006 | Value |  | 23.1 | 6.6 | 33.4 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12 | G |
| 2005 | Value | 14.0 | 1.7 | 30.0 | 49.4 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 2004 | Value |  | 5.4 | 74.7 | 6.3 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 11 | F |
| 2003 | Value |  | 6.0 | 17.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | P |
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Table 52. Length-frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected during 1.5 hours of nocturnal electrofishing ( 0.75 hours in lower end; 0.75 hours upper end; 30-minute runs) at Cedar Creek Lake on 18 September 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Lower | 3 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 58 | 77.3 (15.4) |
| Upper | 5 | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 72 | 96.0 (12.2) |
| Total | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 130 | 86.7 (9.7) |
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Table 53. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in the fall (September and October) in electrofishing samples at Cedar Creek Lake.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error |
| 2014 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 19.3 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 1.2 |  |  |
| 2013 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 9.4 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 1.2 |
| 2012 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 18.3 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| 2011 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 16.3 | 6.5 |
| 2010 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 59.5 | 15.8 | 33.4 | 6.1 | 68.6 | 12.9 |
| 2009 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 17.4 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 35.5 | 7.9 |
| 2008 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 55.7 | 8.6 | 24.9 | 5.4 | 92.6 | 26.9 |
| 2007 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 7.8 | 28.6 | 6.6 | 72.6 | 13.5 |
| 2006 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 43.7 | 11.3 | 17.7 | 5.3 | 26.6 | 7.4 |
| 2005 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 55.7 | 9.5 | 28.0 | 7.7 | 23.1 | 6.7 |
| 2004 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 3.1 | 12.9 |  | 1.7 | 0.9 |

sedyoycc.d14

Table 54. Number of fish and mean relative weight (Wr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected in Cedar Creek Lake on 18 September 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Species | Area | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |
|  |  | No. | Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
| Largemouth bass | Lower | 10 | 92 (2) | 13 | 95 (3) | 4 | 99 (7) |
|  | Upper | 16 | 90 (2) | 14 | 91 (2) | 20 | 95 (2) |
|  | Total | 26 | 91 (1) | 27 | 93 (2) | 24 | 96 (2) |

sedyoycc.d14

Table 55. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Cedar Creek Lake in 2.0 hours ( $7.5-\mathrm{min}$ runs) of daytime electrofishing on 30 May and 2 June 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
|  | Bluegill | 66 | 727 | 571 | 125 | 39 | 44 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1585 | 792.5 | 116.2 |
| Redear sunfish |  | 10 | 17 | 42 | 31 | 22 | 32 | 15 | 2 | 171 | 85.5 | 16.1 |  |

bbrbgccl.d14

Table 56. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Cedar Creek from 2007-2014.

| Species | Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <3.0 in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 396.5 | 60.6 | 367.5 | 98.4 | 27.5 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 |  |  | 792.5 | 116.2 |
|  | 2013 | 410.0 | 102.7 | 318.5 | 48.2 | 21.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 750.0 | 126.4 |
|  | 2012 | 65.1 | 14.0 | 206.9 | 40.8 | 16.5 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 288.5 | 52.7 |
|  | 2011 | 301.0 | 45.9 | 411.0 | 56.7 | 21.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 733.0 | 81.1 |
|  | 2010 | 411.7 | 106.5 | 426.1 | 48.6 | 20.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 858.1 | 145.7 |
|  | 2009 | 579.6 | 92.4 | 217.2 | 22.8 | 20.4 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 817.2 | 95.6 |
|  | 2008 | 408.8 | 78.7 | 370.0 | 35.6 | 23.6 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 802.4 | 91.7 |
|  | 2007 | 234.8 | 57.1 | 289.6 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 550.0 | 63.4 |
| Redear sunfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 45.0 | 10.8 | 27.0 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 16.1 |
|  | 2013 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 33.0 | 7.2 | 163.5 | 75.4 | 31.0 | 10.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 231.5 | 84.4 |
|  | 2012 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 22.4 | 5.3 | 43.7 | 10.5 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.5 | 14.7 |
|  | 2011 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 56.5 | 10.7 | 21.0 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.0 | 14.3 |
|  | 2010 | 12.8 | 4.7 | 56.0 | 9.6 | 26.1 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.7 | 15.2 |
|  | 2009 | 27.2 | 6.5 | 51.6 | 7.8 | 36.4 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117.6 | 13.4 |
|  | 2008 | 10.4 | 3.0 | 66.0 | 12.1 | 102.0 | 25.1 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 186.4 | 32.7 |
|  | 2007 | 13.2 | 3.7 | 46.0 | 8.2 | 159.6 | 48.8 | 16.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 235.2 | 52.0 |

bbrbgccl.d14

Table 57. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for bluegill and redear sunfish
taken in spring electrofishing samples in Cedar Creek Lake on 30 May and 2
June 2014; 95\% confidence levels are in parentheses.

| Species |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Bluegill | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | RSD $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| Redear sunfish | 792 | $7( \pm 2)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bluegill $=R S D_{8}$, redear sunfish $=R S D_{9}$
bbrbgccl.d14

Table 58. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected at Chenoa Lake in 1.0 hours (7.5-min runs) of nocturnal electrofishing on 9 April 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 105 | 105.0 | 20.1 |
| sedpsdcl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 59. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Chenoa Lake on 9 April 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 16.0 | 4.8 | 52.0 | 14.7 | 22.0 | 3.3 | 15.0 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 105.0 | 20.1 |
| 2011 | 35.2 | 7.1 | 35.2 | 7.8 | 63.2 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 142.4 | 18.7 |
| 2008 | 24.0 | 4.5 | 49.6 | 14.1 | 63.2 | 10.7 | 20.0 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 156.8 | 23.2 |
| 2006 | 28.0 | 12.8 | 44.0 | 5.7 | 68.0 | 9.6 | 16.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 156.8 | 19.8 |

sedpsdcl.d14

Table 60. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ values obtained for largemouth bass taken in spring electrofishing samples in Chenoa Lake on 9 April 2014; 95\% confidence levels are in parentheses.

| Year | No. $\geq 8.0$ in | PSD (+/-95\%) | RSD $_{15}(+/-95 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 89 | $42( \pm 10)$ | $17( \pm 8)$ |
| 2011 | 134 | $67( \pm 8)$ | $8( \pm 5)$ |
| 2008 | 166 | $63( \pm 7)$ | $15( \pm 5)$ |
| 2006 | 161 | $66( \pm 7)$ | $13( \pm 5)$ |

sedpsdcl.d14

Table 61. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Chenoa Lake in 1.0 hours (7.5-min runs) of daytime electrofishing on 28 May 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| Bluegill | 21 | 11 | 7 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 13 |  |  | 103 | 103.0 | 12.8 |
| Redear sunfish |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 11.0 | 3.4 |

sedbgcl.d14

Table 62. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of bluegill and redear sunfish collected at Chenoa Lake on 28 May 2014.

| Species | Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<3.0$ in |  | 3.0-5.9 in |  | 6.0-7.9 in |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  |  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| Bluegill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 32.0 | 7.7 | 41.0 | 7.8 | 30.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 103.0 | 12.8 |
|  | 2011 | 68.0 | 13.7 | 68.8 | 10.0 | 32.0 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  | 169.6 | 24.8 |
|  | 2008 | 60.8 | 14.8 | 88.0 | 24.6 | 42.4 | 7.7 | 14.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 205.6 | 40.1 |
| Redear sunfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 |  |  | 2.0 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 3.4 |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 4.0 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 13.6 | 3.4 |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 6.4 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 16.0 | 7.9 |

sedbgcl.d14

Table 63. PSD and RSD values obtained for bluegill and redear sunfish taken in spring electrofishing samples in Chenoa Lake on 28 May 2014; 95\% confidence levels are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq$ stock size | PSD | $R^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bluegill | 71 | $42( \pm 12)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| Redear sunfish | 8 | $63( \pm 36)$ | $38( \pm 36)$ |

[^22]Table 64. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for bluegill collected from Chenoa Lake during May 2014, including the 95\% confidence interval $(\mathrm{Cl})$ for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 9 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 14 | 1.9 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 17 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 5.2 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 10 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 6.3 |  |  |
| 2009 | 9 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 7.2 |  |
| 2008 | 3 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 2.2 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| Number |  | 62 | 53 | 39 | 22 | 12 | 3 |
| Smallest |  | 1.0 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| Largest |  | 3.4 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| Std error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Otiths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept $=0$
sedagcl.d14

Table 65. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of bluegill collected during 1.0 hour of daytime electrofishing at Chenoa Lake in Bell county on 28 May 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Total | $\%$ | CPUE | Std |
|  | error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 66. Population assessment for bluegill collected from Chenoa Lake in May 2014.

| Parameter | Actual <br> value | Assessment <br> score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean length age-2 at capture | 3.5 | 2 |
| Years to 6.0 in | $4-4+$ | 2 |
| CPUE $\geq 6.0$ in | 30.0 | 2 |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | 0.0 | 0 |
| Instantaneous mortality (Z) | 0.322 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 27.6 |  |
| Total score |  |  |
| Assessment rating |  |  |
| sedbgcl.d14 |  |  |

Table 67. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for redear sunfish collected from Chenoa Lake during spring 2014, including the 95\% confidence interval $(\mathrm{Cl})$ for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 2 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2 | 4.1 | 6.4 | 7.9 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 9.3 |  |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.7 |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 9.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 3.3 | 6.7 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 9.6 |
| Number |  | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Smallest |  | 2.2 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 9.6 |
| Largest |  | 5.0 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 9.6 |
| Std error |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |
| 95\% CI $\pm$ |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept $=0$ sedagcl.d14

Table 68. Age-frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of redear sunfish collected during 1.0 hour of daytime electrofishing at Chenoa Lake in Bell county on 28 May 2014.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Std <br> Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total | $\%$ | CPUE | error |
| 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 37.5 | 3.0 | 2.1 |
| 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 25.0 | 2.0 | 1.3 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 12.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 5 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 12.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 6 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 12.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 100.0 | 8.0 |  |
| $\%$ | 37.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| sedbgcl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sedagcl.d14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 69. Population assessment for redear sunfish collected from Chenoa Lake in May 2014.

| Parameter | Actual <br> value | Assessment <br> score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean length age-3 at capture* | 7.9 | 4 |
| Years to 8.0 in | $3-3+$ | 4 |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | 4.0 | 1 |
| CPUE $\geq 10.0$ in | 0.0 | 0 |
| Instantaneous mortality (Z) | 0.054 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 5.3 | 9 |
| Total score |  |  |
| Assessment rating |  |  |
| * Based on 2 fish |  |  |

Table 70. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 3.0 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Dale Hollow Lake on 24 April 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Illw ill | Largemouth bass |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 21 | 34 | 25 | 14 | 4 | 1 |  | 142 | 94.7 (14.3) |
| Creek | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 10.7 (4.7) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 17 | 11.3 (2.2) |
| Little Sulphur | Largemouth bass | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 24 | 21 | 25 | 15 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 139 | 92.7 (12.1) |
| Creek | Spotted bass | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 36.7 (6.9) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 19 | 12.7 (4.6) |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 34 | 42 | 59 | 40 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 281 | 93.7 (8.9) |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 23.7 (5.6) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 36 | 12.0 (2.4) |

sedpsddh.d14

Table 71. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Dale Hollow Lake during April 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 22.0 | 3.3 | 56.0 | 7.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 93.7 | 8.9 |
| 2011 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 5.2 |
| 2008 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 1.9 | 16.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 5.7 |
| 2005 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 |

sedpsddh.d14

Table 72. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of spotted bass collected at Dale Hollow Lake during April 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 5.6 |
| 2011 | 22.3 | 4.1 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 5.0 |
| 2008 | 8.3 | 2.6 | 12.0 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 5.4 |
| 2005 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.7 | 9.2 |

sedpsddh.d14

Table 73. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of smallmouth bass collected at Dale Hollow Lake during April 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 12.0 | 2.4 |
| 2011 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 11.0 | 2.0 |
| 2008 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 17.3 | 3.5 |
| 2005 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 11.0 | 1.8 |

Table 74. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples at Dale Hollow Lake on 24 April 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \geq \\ \text { stock size } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2014 | Illwill Creek | 141 | $88( \pm 5)$ | $70( \pm 8)$ | 16 | $44( \pm 25)$ | $6( \pm 12)$ | 17 | $71( \pm 22)$ | $29( \pm 22)$ |
|  | Little Sulphur Creek | 134 | $82( \pm 7)$ | $51( \pm 8)$ | 53 | $55( \pm 14)$ | $9( \pm 8)$ | 18 | $78( \pm 20)$ | $56( \pm 24)$ |
|  | Total | 275 | $85( \pm 4)$ | $61( \pm 6)$ | 69 | $52( \pm 12)$ | $9( \pm 7)$ | 35 | $74( \pm 15)$ | 43 ( $\pm 17$ ) |
| 2011 | Total | 50 | $38( \pm 14)$ | $14( \pm 10)$ | 91 | $23( \pm 9)$ | $4( \pm 4)$ | 21 | $67( \pm 21)$ | $43( \pm 22)$ |
| 2008 | Total | 78 | $87( \pm 7)$ | $64( \pm 11)$ | 90 | $48( \pm 10)$ | $11( \pm 7)$ | 45 | $69( \pm 14)$ | $31( \pm 14)$ |
| 2005 | Total | 6 | $100( \pm 0)$ | $50( \pm 44)$ | 66 | $42( \pm 12)$ | $15( \pm 9)$ | 27 | $56( \pm 19)$ | $37( \pm 19)$ |
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Table 75. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected at Lake Linville in 1.5 hours (15-min runs) of nocturnal electrofishing on 8 May 2014.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | Std. error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Largemouth bass | 1 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 15 | 31 | 52 | 45 | 72 | 26 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 302 | 201.3 | 19.9 |
| Spotted bass |  |  |  | 8 | 29 | 35 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 9 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 | 94.0 | 19.3 |

Table 76. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Lake Linville on 8 May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<8.0$ in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 19.3 | 7.3 | 95.3 | 16.4 | 74.7 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 201.3 | 19.9 |
| 2012 | 47.3 | 10.6 | 135.3 | 26.2 | 42.0 | 5.2 | 12.0 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 236.7 | 40.3 |
| 2011 | 48.0 | 7.8 | 108.7 | 11.0 | 22.0 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 188.0 | 18.0 |
| 2010 | 52.0 | 25.1 | 194.7 | 45.4 | 39.3 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 296.7 | 71.5 |
| 2009 | 55.6 | 10.8 | 93.2 | 10.9 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 10.4 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 167.6 | 17.1 |
| 2008 | 54.0 | 13.5 | 144.4 | 19.9 | 12.4 | 3.9 | 18.4 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 229.2 | 28.0 |
| 2007 | 46.4 | 15.7 | 101.6 | 19.6 | 13.2 | 1.9 | 25.6 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 186.8 | 32.0 |
| 2006 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 47.3 | 12.6 | 22.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 89.3 | 11.2 |
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Table 77. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of spotted bass collected at Lake Linville on 8 May 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 24.7 | 8.0 | 49.3 | 9.4 | 18.0 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94.0 | 19.3 |
| 2012 | 16.7 | 6.7 | 66.7 | 11.8 | 22.0 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 108.0 | 18.3 |
| 2011 | 22.7 | 5.7 | 47.3 | 8.0 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.7 | 14.4 |
| 2010 | 32.0 | 8.3 | 114.0 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 166.7 | 34.4 |
| 2009 | 62.4 | 11.6 | 64.0 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 129.6 | 19.5 |
| 2008 | 96.0 | 14.5 | 60.4 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 166.0 | 23.6 |
| 2007 | 76.0 | 26.0 | 44.8 | 10.4 | 15.2 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 138.0 | 36.5 |
| 2006 | 24.0 | 7.0 | 35.3 | 7.1 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.3 | 14.5 |
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Table 78. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Lake Linville from 2002-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | Spring <br> CPUE <br> age 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ 12.0-14.9 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 15.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spring } \\ \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessement $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objectives |  | $\geq 10.8$ in | $\geq 16.0 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\geq 20.0 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\geq 17.0 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value |  | 19.3 | 74.7 | 12.0 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 14 | G |
| 2012 | Value | 11.3 | 47.3 | 42.0 | 12.0 | 0.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 12 | G |
| 2011 | Value |  | 48.0 | 22.0 | 9.3 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 | G |
| 2010 | Value |  | 47.3 | 39.3 | 10.7 | 4.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 15 | G |
| 2009 | Value |  | 52.0 | 8.4 | 10.4 | 2.4 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | G |
| 2008 | Value |  | 34.8 | 12.4 | 18.4 | 2.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 12 | G |
| 2007 | Value | 11.1 | 39.2 | $13.2$ | 25.6 | 4.8 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | $1$ | 3 | 4 | 13 | G |
| 2006 | Value |  | 6.5 | 22.0 | 10.0 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | F |
| 2002 | Value | 11.7 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 14.7 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
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Table 79. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples at Lake Linville on 8 May 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

|  | Largemouth bass |  |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. $\geq$ | PSD <br> stock size <br> $(+/-95 \%)$ | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ <br> $(+/-95 \%)$ |  | No. $\geq$ <br> stock size | PSD <br> $(+/-95 \%)$ | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ <br> $(+/-95 \%)$ |
| 2014 | 273 | $48( \pm 6)$ | $7( \pm 3)$ |  | 133 | $23( \pm 7)$ | $2( \pm 3)$ |
| 2012 | 284 | $29( \pm 5)$ | $6( \pm 3)$ |  | 146 | $25( \pm 7)$ | $3( \pm 3)$ |
| 2011 | 210 | $22( \pm 6)$ | $7( \pm 3)$ |  | 96 | $17( \pm 7)$ | $2( \pm 3)$ |
| 2010 | 367 | $20( \pm 4)$ | $4( \pm 2)$ |  | 229 | $14( \pm 4)$ | $0( \pm 1)$ |
| 2009 | 280 | $17( \pm 4)$ | $9( \pm 3)$ |  | 247 | $3( \pm 2)$ | $0( \pm 1)$ |
| 2008 | 438 | $18( \pm 4)$ | $11( \pm 3)$ |  | 288 | $8( \pm 3)$ | $1( \pm 1)$ |
| 2007 | 351 | $28( \pm 5)$ | $18( \pm 4)$ |  | 204 | $21( \pm 6)$ | $2( \pm 2)$ |
| 2006 | 119 | $40( \pm 9)$ | $13( \pm 6)$ |  | 83 | $22( \pm 9)$ | $4( \pm 4)$ |
| 2002 | 56 | $32( \pm 11)$ | $15( \pm 8)$ |  | 32 | $20( \pm 13)$ | $3( \pm 4)$ |
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Table 80. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 3.0 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Wood Creek Lake on 22 April 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| Dam | Largemouth bass | 7 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 67.0 (3.0) |
|  | Spotted bass | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 26.0 (2.6) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3.0 (1.9) |
| Pump | Largemouth bass | 1 |  |  |  | 4 | 7 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 7 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 118 | 118.0 (10.7) |
| Station | Spotted bass | 1 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 37.0 (11.4) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 (1.0) |
| Dock | Largemouth bass |  |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 25 | 36 | 33 | 22 | 31 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 6 |  | 206 | 206.0 (29.6) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 10.0 (5.0) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 33 | 57 | 56 | 46 | 63 | 41 | 21 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 391 | 130.3 (19.8) |
|  | Spotted bass | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 24.3 (5.1) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.3 (0.8) |
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Table 81. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples at Wood Creek Lake on 22 April 2014; 95\% confidence limits are in parentheses.

| Year | Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{15} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \geq \\ & \text { stock size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSD } \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RSD}_{14} \\ (+/-95 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2014 | Dam | 55 | $40( \pm 13)$ | $9( \pm 8)$ | 21 | $10( \pm 13)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
|  | Pump Station | 106 | $37(+9)$ | $6( \pm 4)$ | 30 | $30( \pm 17)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
|  | Dock | 173 | $29( \pm 7)$ | $14( \pm 5)$ | 10 | $20( \pm 26)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
|  | Total | 334 | $34( \pm 5)$ | $10( \pm 3)$ | 61 | $21( \pm 10)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| 2013 | Total | 256 | $23( \pm 5)$ | $9( \pm 4)$ | 79 | $14( \pm 8)$ | $1( \pm 2)$ |
| 2012 | Total | 215 | $20( \pm 5)$ | $5( \pm 3)$ | 60 | $17( \pm 10)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| 2011 | Total | 185 | $39( \pm 7)$ | $16( \pm 5)$ | 47 | $17( \pm 11)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| 2010 | Total | 181 | $52( \pm 7)$ | $15( \pm 5)$ | 55 | $20( \pm 11)$ | $0( \pm 0)$ |
| 2009 | Total | 241 | $55( \pm 6)$ | $17( \pm 5)$ | 69 | $16( \pm 9)$ | $1( \pm 3)$ |
| 2008 | Total | 223 | $40( \pm 6)$ | $19( \pm 5)$ | 66 | $12( \pm 8)$ | $2( \pm 3)$ |
| 2007 | Total | 223 | $32( \pm 6)$ | $24( \pm 6)$ | 109 | $23( \pm 8)$ | $5( \pm 4)$ |
| 2006 | Total | 165 | $56( \pm 8)$ | $38( \pm 7)$ | 93 | $44( \pm 10)$ | $11( \pm 6)$ |
| 2005 | Total | 138 | $74( \pm 7)$ | $23( \pm 7)$ | 86 | $57( \pm 11)$ | $13( \pm 7)$ |
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Table 82. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Wood Creek Lake during April 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 19.0 | 4.2 | 74.0 | 13.4 | 25.7 | 4.7 | 11.7 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 130.3 | 19.8 |
| 2013 | 16.7 | 5.4 | 65.3 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 1.8 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 102.0 | 17.7 |
| 2012 | 13.7 | 4.6 | 57.0 | 15.2 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 85.3 | 19.4 |
| 2011 | 28.3 | 5.8 | 37.7 | 5.9 | 14.3 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 90.0 | 12.9 |
| 2010 | 27.5 | 9.2 | 43.0 | 11.3 | 33.5 | 5.2 | 14.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 118.0 | 26.6 |
| 2009 | 6.7 | 3.1 | 36.0 | 7.5 | 31.0 | 2.5 | 13.3 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 87.0 | 14.1 |
| 2008 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 44.7 | 6.8 | 15.3 | 2.7 | 14.3 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 81.0 | 12.3 |
| 2007 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 50.3 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 18.0 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 81.0 | 12.5 |
| 2006 | 30.3 | 7.0 | 24.3 | 6.2 | 10.0 | 2.1 | 20.7 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 85.3 | 17.5 |
| 2005 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 14.4 | 3.6 | 28.0 | 4.4 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 59.2 | 9.3 |
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Table 83. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of spotted bass collected at Wood Creek Lake during April 2014.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-10.9 in |  | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  | $\geq 17.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. | CPUE | Std. err. |
| 2014 | 6.3 | 2.5 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 5.1 |
| 2013 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 19.7 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 7.0 |
| 2012 | 17.7 | 4.4 | 11.0 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 7.1 |
| 2011 | 16.3 | 4.2 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 7.3 |
| 2010 | 13.5 | 5.5 | 19.0 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 8.0 |
| 2009 | 16.7 | 4.9 | 15.7 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 6.5 |
| 2008 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 16.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 5.4 |
| 2007 | 14.7 | 3.9 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.7 | 7.5 |
| 2006 | 13.7 | 2.7 | 14.0 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 | 6.0 |
| 2005 | 8.8 | 2.9 | 13.6 | 5.5 | 15.2 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 10.2 |
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Table 84. Population assessment for largemouth bass based on spring electrofishing at Wood Creek Lake from 2005-2014 (scoring based on statewide assessment).

| Year |  | Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \text { age } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \text { 12.0-14.9 in } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPUE } \\ & \geq 15.0 \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPUE } \\ \geq 20.0 \text { in } \end{gathered}$ | Total score | Assessement <br> rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management objectives |  | $\geq 11.5$ in | $\geq 8.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 20.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 17.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |
| 2014 | Value | 11.3 | 6.0 | 25.7 | 11.7 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2013 | Value |  | 14.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 9 | F |
| 2012 | Value |  | 4.3 | 11.0 | 3.7 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | P |
| 2011 | Value |  | 24.8 | 14.3 | 9.7 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | F |
| 2010 | Value | 11.4 | 15.1 | 33.5 | 14.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Score | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 11 | F |
| 2009 | Value |  | 5.3 | 31.0 | 13.3 | 2.7 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 12 | G |
| 2008 | Value |  | 5.7 | 15.3 | 14.3 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | F |
| 2007 | Value |  | 5.3 | 6.0 | 18.0 | 1.3 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 11 | F |
| 2006 | Value |  | 11.8 | 10.0 | 20.7 | 2.0 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 12 | G |
| 2005 | Value | 12.3 | 2.4 | 28.0 | 12.8 | 3.2 |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 12 | G |
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Table 85. Species composition, relative abundance, and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected during 3.0 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing runs for black bass in Wood Creek Lake on 24 September 2014; standard error is in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 21 |  |  |
| Dam | Largemouth bass | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 26.0 (6.6) |
|  | Spotted bass |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 16.0 (5.2) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Pump station | Largemouth bass | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 69 | 69.0 (8.5) |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 25.0 (6.2) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2.0 (1.2) |
| Dock | Largemouth bass |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 22 | 27 | 29 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 143 | 143.0 (37.0) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12.0 (5.9) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 (0.0) |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 4 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 19 | 14 | 35 | 46 | 45 | 26 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 238 | 79.3 (18.6) |
|  | Spotted bass | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 17.7 (3.4) |
|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.7 (0.5) |
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Table 86. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected in fall (September and October) electrofishing samples at Wood Creek Lake.

| Year Class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | Std. error | CPUE | Std. error | CPUE | Std. <br> error | CPUE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Std. } \\ & \text { error } \end{aligned}$ |
| $2014{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.7 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| $2013{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.4 | 0.2 | 11.3 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 1.7 |
| 2012 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 34.7 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 14.0 | 4.9 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.0 | 0.1 | 12.3 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | $4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.6 |
| 2010 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 36.7 | 14.9 | 18.0 | 6.6 | 24.8 | 6.0 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.7 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | $15.1^{\text {c }}$ | 7.4 |
| 2008 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 |
| 2007 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 3.2 |
| $2006{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.4 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | $5.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.4 |
| 2005 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 11.9 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 11.8 | 4.4 |
| 2004 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 17.9 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
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${ }^{\text {a }}$ Age-0 largemouth bass stocked in the fall
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes fish stocked in fall 2011; CPUE stocked fish=1.0 fish/hr
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes fish stocked in fall 2009; CPUE stocked fish=10.0 fish/hr
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Includes fish stocked in fall 2006; CPUE stocked fish=0.3 fish/hr

Table 87. Number of fish and mean relative weight $(\mathrm{Wr})$ for each length group of black bass collected at Wood Creek Lake during 24 September 2014. Standard error is in parentheses.

| Species | Length group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Largemouth bass | 8.0-11.9 in | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |
|  | No. Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
|  | 12584 (1) | 46 | 83 (1) | 8 | 91 (2) |
| Spotted bass | 7.0-10.9 in | 11.0-13.9 in |  | $\geq 14.0$ in |  |
|  | No. $\quad$ Wr | No. | Wr | No. | Wr |
|  | 35 94 (1) | 6 | 87 (5) | 0 | - |

Table 88. Mean back calculated lengths (in) at each annulus for largemouth bass collected from Wood Creek Lake during 2014, including the $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI) for each mean length per age group.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 32 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 25 | 4.7 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 6 | 4.8 | 9.0 | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 18 | 5.9 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 12.4 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 5 | 4.5 | 8.8 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 13.3 |  |  |
| 2008 | 4 | 4.8 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 13.6 |  |
| 2007 | 3 | 6.4 | 10.6 | 12.8 | 14.2 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 16.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 4.9 | 8.9 | 11.2 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 14.6 | 16.5 |
| Number |  | 93 | 61 | 36 | 30 | 12 | 7 | 3 |
| Smallest |  | 2.7 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 11.6 | 12.5 | 15.0 |
| Largest |  | 7.5 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 15.7 | 17.0 | 17.9 | 18.3 |
| Std error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| 95\% Cl $\pm$ |  | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.9 |
| Olliss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Otoliths were used for age-growth determinations; Intercept = 0
sedagwcl.d14

## SOUTHEASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

## Stream Fishery Surveys - Warmwater Streams

## FINDINGS

## Rockcastle River Basin

The Southeastern Fishery district sampled one location on the Rockcastle River in Rockcastle County on 27 June 2014 to assess the black bass, rock bass, and walleye populations. A total of 1.5 hours of shocking yielded 77 fish, with longear sunfish comprising $29 \%$ of the catch (Table 1). Smallmouth bass rated fair (score=8; Table 2) and rock bass rated poor (score=3; Table 3).

## South Fork Kentucky River Basin

The Southeastern Fishery district sampled one location in the South Fork Kentucky River Basin in Clay County on 26 June 2014. Sampling was conducted to assess the black bass, sunfish, and muskellunge populations. During 2.75 hours of shocking, 109 fish were collected, which was comprised of 13 species (Table 4). Smallmouth bass in the South Fork Kentucky River rated poor (score=6; Table 5). Two muskellunge, 38.0 and 40.0 inches, were collected during sampling for a catch rate of 0.7 fish per hour.

Table 1. Length-frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of selected fish species* collected during 1.5 hours of electrofishing (15 minute runs) in the l-75 ramp area of the Rockcastle River on 27 June 2014; standard error is in parantheses.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 18 |  |  | 20 | 21 | 25 | 28 | 30 |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 10.0 (2.3) |
| Spotted bass |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4.0 (1.5) |
| Rock bass |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 7.3 (3.0) |
| Green sunfish |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 (0.7) |
| Bluegill |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4.0 (2.7) |
| Longear sunfish | 2 | 10 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 14.7 (5.8) |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2.7 (1.3) |
| Longnose gar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 5.3 (1.7) |
| Flathead catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 (0.7) |
| Freshw ater drum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 2.0 (2.0) |
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* Did not net shad, suckers, and minnow s.

Table 2. Population assessment for smallmouth bass collected from the Rockcastle River in 2014.


Table 4. Length-frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of selected fish species* collected during 2.75 hours of electrofishing (15minute runs) in the Long Hole area of the South Fork Kentucky River on 26 June 2014; standard error is in parantheses.

| Specie Location | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 38 | 40 |  |  |
| Smallmouth bass |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2.6 (1.0) |
| Spotted bass |  |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 4.4 (1.4) |
| Largemouth bass |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 2.9 (1.5) |
| Rock bass |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 3.3 (1.3) |
| Green sunfish |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.7 (0.5) |
| Bluegill | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3.6 (2.4) |
| Longear sunfish |  | 10 | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 8.4 (3.2) |
| Warmouth |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.4 (0.4) |
| Muskellunge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.7 (0.5) |
| Longnose gar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 20 | 7.3 (1.7) |
| Channel catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1.1 (0.8) |
| Flathead catfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1.8 (0.6) |
| Freshw ater drum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 2.6 (1.2) |
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* Did not net shad, suckers, and minnow s.

Table 5. Population assessment for smallmouth bass collected from the South Fork Kentucky River in 2014.

| Parameter | Actual value | Assessment score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recruitment (CPUE < 4.0 in) | 0.40 | 2 |
| Intermediate density (CPUE 4.0-8.9 in) | 1.50 | 2 |
| Adult density $\text { (CPUE } \geq 9.0 \mathrm{in})$ | 0.70 | 2 |
| Quality size density (CPUE $\geq 12.0$ in) | 0.0 | 0 |
| Preferred size density (CPUE $\geq 14.0$ in) | 0.0 | 0 |
| Total score |  | 6 |
| Assessment rating |  | P |
| sedsfkyr.d14 |  |  |

## EASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

Project 1: Lake and Tailwater Fishery Surveys

## FINDINGS

Table 1 shows sampling conditions by water body for eastern fishery district lakes in 2014.

## Buckhorn Lake

Muskellunge were sampled in the spring and conditions were again not ideal. A cold front moving in the night before formed a thin layer of ice on a large portion of the lake surface. This hindered sampling efforts in shallow water areas. However, CPUE was greater than the previous year (Table 2). Length range of collected musky was from 11.0-42.0 in (Table 2). The assessment rating of "Good" (Table 3) is not necessarily an improvement versus the 2013 assessment, but probably just more representative of the population. From 2010-2012, all assessments were "Good" and once again "Good" in 2014 (Table 3). The recent decline in fish numbers $\geq 40.0$ in may be reversing (Table 3). Further data collection will be used to monitor this and other trends. A total of 425 muskellunge ( 13.1 in ) were stocked during September 2014. Fish stocked in 2014 received a wire tag inserted in the left cheek for future identification. The tailwater below Buckhorn Lake continues to provide an additional good muskellunge fishery.

Black bass were sampled during the spring and fall (Tables 4-10). Both sample periods encountered rain storms reducing sample time. The 2014 spring assessment rating was "Poor" (Table 7). However, recent years assessed "Fair-Good" and are more indicative of the true population. No supplemental stocking of fingerling bass in the fall was necessary based on age-0 recruitment (Table 9). Age and growth was recorded for largemouth bass from the fall sample (Table 10). Fish are reaching legal size at age 4.

Other fish management work consisted of rainbow trout stocking at the tailwater and construction of fish habitat. Approximately 5,000 rainbow trout (8.0-12.0 in) were stocked during the months of April-June and OctoberNovember. Fish habitat work consisted of 16 new wood pallet and brush structures, 4 new Christmas tree reefs, 2 refurbished Christmas tree reefs, and 200 lbs of winter wheat seed sowed on mudflats.

A random roving angler creel survey was conducted from April - October for the lake. There was 1 survey area for the lake; from the dam to Trace Branch recreation area. The survey was daytime only and consisted of 2 random 6.0-hour time periods (morning 0700-1300 and afternoon 1300-1900). Angler counts were conducted at random occurring at the start, middle, or end of the creel period. Data obtained is presented in Tables 11-17.

The 2014 survey observed 4,430 angler fishing trips (Table 11) versus 1,683 trips in the same survey in 2008. Total angler hours were similar with $12,728 \mathrm{hrs}$ in 2014 (Table 11) and $11,898 \mathrm{hrs}$ in 2008. The number of fish caught and harvested approximately doubled from the 2008 to 2014 survey. There were 31,728 caught and 7,954 harvested in 2014 (Table 11) and 15,256 caught and 3,918 harvested in 2008. From 2008 to 2014, there were improvements in catch and harvest statistics of most all fish species. White crappie showed the most significant increases in number caught and harvested from 2008-2014. There was catch and harvest of white crappie of 5,073 and 856 in 2008 and 14,969 and 3,795 in 2014 (Table 12), respectively. During the 2014 survey, angler success rates were $0.0 \%$ for muskellunge, $81.8 \%$ for catfish, $37.7 \%$ for panfish, $3.2 \%$ for black bass, and $62.2 \%$ for crappie (Table 12). Table 13 lists harvest and release lengths and numbers by species. Monthly black bass (Table 14), white crappie (Table 15), and musky (Table 16) angling success showed that the most numerous catches occurred in spring for black bass, spring and summer for white crappie and summer for musky. Harvest statistics are presented in Table 17 for largemouth bass, white crappie, and muskellunge. Average length harvested was 17.9 in for largemouth bass, 10.3 in for white crappie, and 37.0 in for musky (Table 17).

An angler attitude survey was conducted during the creel survey to obtain further data. Results of this attitude survey are listed in Appendix A. Anglers were asked to answer a series of questions regarding the fishery at Buckhorn Lake. Anglers were surveyed throughout the creel during 2014 with anglers only being asked the questions once during the creel period. A total of 32 surveys were completed during the lake creel. This total was
much lower than the previous total of 202 from the lake survey of 2008. However, during 2008 there were a lot of local anglers demanding to complete an attitude survey with discussion of reducing the length limit for musky. This made observations difficult as to who actually fished the lake when multiple people would line up rod and reel tackle and say they were fishing. White crappie at $65.6 \%(\mathrm{~N}=21)$ were the most popular species fished for on the lake followed by black bass at $62.5 \%(\mathrm{~N}=20)$, musky at $43.8 \%(\mathrm{~N}=14)$, catfish at $34.4 \%(\mathrm{~N}=11)$, and bluegill at $9.4 \%(\mathrm{~N}=3)$. Level of fishing satisfaction was determined for several fish groups or species and all categories exceeded $43.0 \%$ being somewhat satisfied to very satisfied. Musky were highest at $93.4 \%$, second was black bass at $44.0 \%$, and third was white crappie at $42.8 \%$.

## Carr Creek Lake

During 2014, electrofishing was used to sample black bass in the spring and fall (Tables 18-23). The recent increase in spring CPUE (Table 19) was influenced by stocking of supplemental fingerling bass in the spring versus previous stockings occurring in the fall. Largemouth bass PSD values are good (Table 20) as well as the assessment rating being "Good" (Table 21). There has been a long running issue with recruitment of age-0 fish and low sampling catch rates have led to continued supplemental stocking (Table 23). Fingerling largemouth bass will be stocked in the spring of 2015 at approximately 7,000 fish to aid the below average numbers of natural age- 0 fish in 2014.

Spring electrofishing was utilized to sample walleye from 15.0-25.0 in (Table 24). From 2008-2013, some of the lower catch rates (Table 24) are from increased sampling time to collect broodstock for hatchery production. This requires sampling parts of the lake multiple times and sampling areas that are less productive. Changes have been made to reduce effort at this lake through addition of broodstock collection at other lakes. The assessment rating continues to remain "Good" (Table 25) and scoring is expected to improve with increases in the population density parameter. An estimated 35,192 walleye ( 1.4 in ) were stocked in May.

Black and black-nosed (black) crappie, along with white crappie, were sampled during the spring. The crappie fishery is managed under a 9.0 -in minimum size limit special regulation. Angler catch of crappie has been increasing recently. There are numerous smaller fish (Table 26); however, fish $\geq 8.0$ in and $\geq 10.0$ in have increased (Table 27). PSD values are not great (Table 28), but angler satisfaction is good and constructed fish habitat structures are primary targets. During the fall of 2009, a research study was initiated on white crappie recruitment. Totals of $5,440,9,676,3,822,17,814$, and 18,160 white crappie were stocked from 2009-2013, respectively.

Habitat work consisted of herbicide applications to control hydrilla and construction of fish attractors. A cooperative study was completed with Aquatic Control, Inc. with application of liquid and granular Komeen to evaluate effectiveness of control of hydrilla. The only effective control was found to be a dual application of 45 lbs of granular Komeen at an interval of 24 hours. With these results, work will continue in 2015 with applications of granular Komeen. Construction of fish habitat structures included 2 new brushpiles, 6 refurbished brushpiles, 2 refurbished Christmas tree reefs, 16 hinge-cut trees, and 1 rock pile. Sago pondweed and water celery plantings in previous years continued to provide good stands of vegetation in 2014.

## Cranks Creek Lake

Angler satisfaction at Cranks Creek Lake continues to improve for largemouth bass, white crappie, and redear sunfish. Trophy size largemouth bass numbers have increased as well as white crappie and redear sunfish numbers. Multiple species of aquatic plants are present in the lake due to the excellent water clarity. Brittle naiad has become a nuisance in shallow upper lake areas and requires some herbicide application at boat access areas. However, this thick growth of aquatic vegetation has correlated with increased quality of the largemouth bass, white crappie, and redear sunfish fisheries. Fall electrofishing was completed at Cranks Creek Lake ( 219 acres) for black bass in 2014. Largemouth bass from 2.0-22.0 in and spotted bass from 3.0-10.0 in were observed during this sampling (Table 29). Age-0 largemouth bass numbers were well above average and age- $0 \geq 5.0$ in were average (Table 30). No supplemental stocking of fingerling bass was necessary.

During 2015, spring and fall electrofishing will be conducted for black bass if lake conditions allow. Although recent largemouth bass electrofishing assessments have rated "Fair" from 2010-2012, this fishery is expected to improve to "Good" in 2015.

Fish stockings during the year consisted of trout and catfish. Rainbow trout were stocked at $1,500 / \mathrm{mo}$ during January, April, May, and October for a total of 6,000 fish. Approximately 2,000 channel catfish (5.0-12.0 in) were stocked during August.

## Dewey Lake

Electrofishing was completed during the spring and fall for black bass (Tables 31-36). Largemouth bass in the spring sample were collected to 21.0 in and spotted bass to 12.0 in (Table 31). Due to the use of a single dipper on the electrofishing boat, spring catch rates may have been reduced (Table 1). However, based on general trends in length groups from recent sample data (Table 32) and angler reports, largemouth bass are improving in size. The assessment rating continued to be "Good" in 2014 for largemouth bass (Table 34). Below average age- 0 numbers were observed (Table 36) and 16,500 fingerling largemouth bass were stocked in October to supplement the 2014 year class.

Trap netting was used in the fall to sample black and white crappie. Tables 37-44 contain data for both species. Black crappie were sampled from 2.0-9.0 in and white crappie from 2.0-14.0 in (Table 37). A CPUE of 29.7 white crappie/net-night and 20.7 black crappie/net-night were obtained with 19 net-nights of effort (Table 37). The largest age classes were age-2 for white crappie (Table 41) and age-3 for black crappie (Table 42). Both species are popular with anglers and attract a lot of fishing effort. The assessment rating for white crappie has decreased to "Fair" (Table 43), but this fishery at present has good numbers of larger fish. The "Fair" rating was largely due to decreases in age- 0 and age- 1 catch rates. If catch data is representative of the white crappie population, there could be a decline in harvest later in 2016. The assessment rating continued to be "Fair" for black crappie (Table 44).

In addition to largemouth bass, muskellunge and rainbow trout were stocked in 2014. Muskellunge were introduced to the lake for the first time with a stocking of 500 fish ( 13.0 in ) in October. These fish received a left cheek pit tag for later identification. Rainbow trout were stocked in the tailwater of Dewey Lake in April, May, October, and November ( $1,000 / \mathrm{mo}$; 8.0-12.0 in). Blue catfish stocking will resume again in 2015.

Fish habitat was constructed of both new and refurbished structures to aid in recruitment of sportfish and to act as fish attractors for anglers. This work consisted of 9 new brushpiles, 16 refurbished brushpiles, 3 refurbished Christmas tree reefs, 89 hinge-cut trees, 1 new stake bed, 4 refurbished stake beds, 250 lbs winter wheat sowed, aquatic plants planted (water willow, floating leaf pondweed, chara, and bald cypress), and mowing of bank access points in Stratton Branch and Arrowhead Point.

## Fishtrap Lake

Spring and fall electrofishing was completed for black bass. The spring sample was shortened due to one of the electrofishing boats having mechanical problems. Tables 45-50 contain spring and fall black bass data. Smallmouth, spotted, and largemouth bass are present in this lake and all are caught regularly by anglers. The largemouth bass fishery has a good proportion of quality fish with PSD values near 60 (Table 47). The assessment rating of largemouth bass remains "Good" (Table 48). Fall sampling for black bass collected all three species mentioned earlier (Table 49). Age-0 largemouth bass numbers were below average (Table 50). A total of 17,100 fingerling largemouth bass were stocked in October to supplement the 2014 year class.

Morones were sampled with gill nets in the fall. A length range of 6.0-27.0 in was observed for hybrid striped bass and 9.0-13.0 in for white bass (Table 51). Growth rates are good for hybrid striped bass (Table 52), but fish are short-lived with most age-5 or younger (Table 53). The assessment rating remains "Excellent" (Table 54). This fishery provides angling opportunity in the lake and tailwater with larger fish commonly 9-12 lbs. Approximately

23,040 hybrid striped bass ( 1.6 in ) were stocked in the lake during the month of June. This fishery will be reevaluated in 2017.

Additional fish stockings consisted of redear sunfish, walleye, and rainbow trout. A total of 25,000 redear sunfish (1.0-4.0 in) were stocked for predation on the invasive zebra mussel. Native strain walleye ( 9,064 fish; 1.7 in ) were stocked in the Levisa Fork upstream of Fishtrap Lake during June. Anglers have caught some of the previously stocked native strain walleye ranging from 8.0-23.0 in. Rainbow trout were stocked in the tailwater ( 2,000 fish $/ \mathrm{mo}$; months 4, 5, 6, 10, 11). Blue catfish stocking will resume again in 2015.

Habitat work of several types occurred during the late winter and summer. This work consisted of 2 refurbished Christmas tree reefs, 19 refurbished brushpiles, and 140 hinge-cut trees.

## Martins Fork Lake

Martins Fork Lake ( 330 acres) was sampled for black bass and native strain walleye in the spring and fall of 2014 (Tables 55-61). Spring sampling collected four species of black bass (Table 55) and the assessment stayed at "Fair" for largemouth bass (Table 58). Walleye were most numerous in the fall sample at 16.8 fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$ (Table 59). Walleye were sampled up to 9.0 in in the fall. No supplemental stocking of fingerling largemouth bass was necessary as determined by above-average age-0 fish numbers (Table 60). Age and growth data is listed in Table 61. During 2015, spring and fall electrofishing will continue to be used to monitor black bass and walleye.

Channel catfish, native strain walleye, and rainbow trout were stocked in 2014. Approximately 3,000 channel catfish (5.0-12.0 in) were stocked in August. The second annual stocking of native strain walleye occurred in June and totaled 17,727 fish ( 1.7 in ). Rainbow trout were stocked at the tailwater throughout the year for an approximate total of 3,750 fish ( 750 fish $/ \mathrm{mo}$; months $4,5,6,10,11$ ).

No herbicides were applied for aquatic vegetation and no new fish habitat structures were placed in the lake. For 2015, habitat construction will consist of hinge-cut trees and construction of Christmas tree brushpiles.

## Paintsville Lake

Black bass were sampled during the spring and fall (Tables 62-67). Although spotted and smallmouth bass are present, largemouth bass are the primary species. There was finally an observed increase in 12.0-14.9 in largemouth bass during spring sampling (Table 63). The 12.0-15.0 in protective slot length limit, implemented in 2002 had not increased numbers significantly until now. Angler catches of largemouth bass have slowly been improving for fish $\geq 15.0$ in. The assessment value for largemouth bass improved to "Good" in 2014 (Table 65). From 2008-2012, smallmouth bass fingerlings were stocked. During recent electrofishing sampling there has been no observed increase in numbers of smallmouth bass.

Walleye were sampled in the spring with electrofishing (Tables 68-69). Fish were sampled from 14.0-29.0 in and CPUE improved slightly from the last sample in 2012 (Table 68). A rating of "Fair" was observed for the assessment and CPUE of fish $\geq 20.0$ in increased (Table 69). This fishery is slowly improving and may eventually get back to its previous good to excellent fishery of the 1990's. A total of 57,120 walleye ( 1.4 in ) were stocked in May.

Spring electrofishing was utilized to sample black and white crappie (Tables 70-72). A recent introduction, blacknosed (black) crappie have been stocked each year from 2011-2013 and anglers are catching keeper-sized fish. There are black crappie (including black-nosed crappie) and white crappie present in Paintsville Lake ( 1,150 acres). All black crappie collected during spring sampling were black-nosed crappie. The white crappie population is currently strong and will furnish a good fishery for the next couple years (Table 71).

The lake received a stocking of approximately 3,250 rainbow trout ( 8.0 in ) during February. This will be increased to 4,500 rainbow trout in 2015. Additional fisheries provided by the lake are the brown and rainbow trout fisheries found in the tailwater area below the dam. Approximately 20,000 rainbow trout were stocked in the tailwater from April to November, and 300 brown trout were stocked in the tailwater in April 2014. Occasionally, tailwater stockings during the summer are supplemented with extra rainbow trout from other eastern Kentucky stocking locations due to poor water quality at those locations.

Fish habitat projects consisted of herbicide application and construction of brushpile fish attractors. One application of Sculpin G was applied in the vicinity of the State park boat ramp. Four new hardwood brushpiles were constructed and 1 Christmas tree reef was refurbished.

## Pan Bowl Lake

During April, electrofishing was completed for largemouth bass (Tables 73-76). Recent largemouth bass samples have resulted in low PSD and RSD values at Pan Bowl Lake and this was observed again in 2014 (Table 75). This lake was previously known for quality bass fishing and with producing the best numbers of trophy fish in the eastern fisheries district area. Prior PSD's would normally range from 40-45. The largemouth bass assessment for 2014 dropped to "Poor" for the first time (Table 76).

Multiple species of aquatic plants have historically been present at this lake. Eurasian milfoil has become the dominant species filling in open water areas. Some effort has been applied to reducing milfoil with herbicide applications prior to 2013. This was to aid bass predation on sunfish. There are a limited number of grass carp in the lake to aid with various types of vegetation. No herbicide applications were made in 2014. Fisheries management is working with law enforcement to try to increase patrol at the lake and reduce illegal harvest of bass to aid recruitment of keeper size fish ( $\geq 12.0 \mathrm{in}$ ).

Management at this 98 acre lake also includes stocking of trout and channel catfish and periodic spring electrofishing for bluegill and redear sunfish. Rainbow trout were stocked in March and October at 3,000 fish/month. Approximately 1,865 channel catfish (5.0-12.0 in) were stocked in July.

## Pikeville City Lake

The primary fisheries supported at Pikeville City Lake (20 acres) are largemouth bass, bluegill, white crappie, common carp, and channel catfish. However, this lake was formed as a remnant portion of the Levisa Fork River, cut-off by road construction, and therefore contains other miscellaneous species. Additionally, the lake is susceptible to flash flood events and can acquire different fish species from these events as well. This lake has high fertility, which is not common in most lakes of the eastern district and is heavily populated with gizzard shad. During the summer, oxygen is added to the lake by 1 to 4 aerators as needed to prevent fish kills. The largemouth bass fishery has been very good for quality-size fish for many years and continues to support good recruitment of young fish.

On April 21, electrofishing was utilized to sample largemouth bass. Fish were sampled from the 5.0-21.0 in class (Table 77). Total CPUE and CPUE's of various length groups were very good compared to recent years (Table 78). The PSD and RSD values of 76 and 61 (Table 79) are high, but expected with current catch-and-release-only management regulation since March 1, 2006.

## Yatesville Lake

Black bass were sampled via electrofishing during the spring and fall (Tables 80-85). Spring sampling observed largemouth bass from 3.0-20.0 in and a total CPUE of 153.7 fish/hr (Table 80). An assessment rating of "Good"
was obtained for largemouth bass, improving from the "Fair" rating in 2012 (Table 83). Fall sample data showed above average numbers of age-0 largemouth bass (Table 85). There were no supplemental fingerling largemouth bass stocked in the fall. Although the largemouth bass population at Yatesville Lake ( 2,280 acres) receives a great amount of fishing pressure (resident and nonresident) through tournaments on the weekends, it has remained consistent.

During 2015, largemouth bass will be sampled in the spring and fall and additional management techniques will be employed. Additional fall data will entail collection of largemouth bass age and growth data. To assist with traffic congestion problems at boat access points, signage will be displayed for anglers to use the department web page for tournament registration. Additionally, a random roving daytime creel survey will be conducted to assess fishing pressure and angler harvest on the lake.

White crappie were sampled with trap nets in November. A total of 1,463 fish were collected from 3.0-13.0 in for a CPUE of 69.7 fish/net-night (Table 86). Data for PSD/RSD, age and growth, and age frequency can be found in Tables 87-89. Most fish collected were age 1-5 (Table 89). There are a good number of quality-size fish $>8.0$ in for anglers to harvest (Table 89) and fishing success should be improved for 2015. The assessment rating was "Good" and mean length of age-2 fish at capture remained stable at 6.6 in (Table 90).

Additional fisheries management at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) included redear sunfish and rainbow trout stocking and fish habitat work. The fifth and final year of stocking to intiate a fishery for redear sunfish was completed with 25,000 (1.0-3.0 in) fish stocked in September. Rainbow trout were stocked in the tailwater of Yatesville Lake throughout the year ( 2,250 fish total; 750 fish/month for months 4, 5, 11) . Fish habitat work consisted of 12 refurbished brush piles, 1 refurbished Christmas tree reef, and 4 hinge-cut trees. In 2015, further habitat work of different types will continue to aid the recruitment of multiple sportfish species.

Table 1. Summary of 2014 sampling conditions by waterbody, species sampled and date.

| Water body | Species | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (24 \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | Gear | Weather | Water temp | Water level (msl) | Secchi (in) | Pertinent sampling comments ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buckhorn Lake | Musky | 2/20 | 1100 | shock | cloudy | 44.0 | 759.20 | 17 | cond: 265; outflow : 1054CFS; used 2 boats; w hole lake; LFR assissted |
| Buckhorn Lake | LMB | 5/13 | 2000 | shock | rainstorms | 78.0 | 782.20 | 60 | cond: 259; bp: 35.15; outflow :438CFS; used 1 boat; w hole lake; poor |
| Buckhorn Lake | LMB | 9/17 | 2000 | shock | cloudy, humid | 74.0 | 781.60 | 44 | cond: 375; bp: 29.99; outflow : 216CFS; used 1 boat; w hole lake; age and grow th |
| Carr Creek Lake | WC/BC | 2/25 | 1000 | shock | cloudy / w indy / cold | 45.0 | 1020.00 |  | cond: 294; bp: 30.13; outflow : 436CFS; used 1 boat; w hole lake |
| Carr Creek Lake | Walleye | 3/11 | 1000 | shock | sunny | 45.0 | 1017.9 | 18 | cond: 361; bp: 29.82; used 2 boats; w ater turbid; w alleye broodfish and sampling |
| Carr Creek Lake | LMB | 5/1 | 2000 | shock | partly cloudy | 68.0 | 1027.1 | 54 | cond: 494; w hole lake; 2 boats |
| Carr Creek Lake | LMB | 8/15 | 2000 | shock | partly cloudy, humid | 76.0 | 1028.00 | 72 | cond: 291; BP 30.24; w hole lake; used 1 boat; clear w ater; outflow 12CFS |
| Cranks Creek Lake | LMB | 9/22 | 2000 | shock | clear | 71.0 | normal |  | used one boat; one dipper; clear w ater |
| Dew ey Lake | LMB | 4/29 | 2000 | shock | cloudy | 68.0 |  | 29 | cond: 571; upper lake; used 1 boat; 1 dipper |
| Dew ey Lake | LMB | 4/30 | 2000 | shock | cloudy | 68.0 |  | 86 | cond: 457; low er lake; 1 boat |
| Dew ey Lake | LMB | 11/23 | 2000 | shock | clear | 73.0 | 650.54 | 24 | BP 30.29; 2 boats: 2 dippers |
| Dew ey Lake | WC/BC | 11/12 | 1000 | trap net | rain / snow | 51.5-52 | 648.36 |  | upper lake; lake level falling; bp: 30.18; outflow : variable, 387-242CFS |
| Fishtrap Lake | LMB | 4/23 | 1000 | shock | clear | 61.0 | 757.72 | 120 | cond: 491; bp: 30.02; outflow : 125CFS; 2 boats |
| Fishtrap Lake | LMB | 9/24 | 2000 | shock | clear | 76.5 | 757.81 | 60 | cond: 730; bp: 30.34; used 2 boats; w hole lake |
| Fishtrap Lake | HSB | 12/15 | 1000 | gill net | cloudy, rainy | 45.5 | 735.85 |  | bp: 30.08; outflow : 359CFS; low er lake |
| Martins Fk Lake | LMB | 5/6 | 2000 | shock | clear / breezy | 73.0 | 1309.25 | 44 | cond: 146; bp: 29.97; w hole lake; 1 boat; sample impeded by tournament |
| Martins Fk Lake | LMB | 9/22 | 2000 | shock | clear | 75.0 | 1310.15 |  | cond: 192; bp: 30.2; outflow : 10 CFS; age and grow th |
| Martins Fk Lake | LMB | 10/28 | 1000 | shock | partly cloudy | 63.5 | 1305.90 |  | cond: 127; bp: 30.06; outflow : 115 CFS; LFR staff assisted |
| Paintsville Lake | wc/w alleye | 3/21 | 1000 | shock | sunny | 49.5 | 709.50 | 25 | cond: 129; bp: 30.09; used 1 boat; upper lake; |
| Paintsville Lake | w alleye | 4/2 | 1000 | shock | sunny / partly cloudy | 55.0 | 709.67 | 48 | cond: 80 ; bp:30.11; 2 boats; low er lake; w alleye broodfish collection; clear w ater |
| Paintsville Lake | LMB/SMB | 5/7 | 2000 | shock | sunny / clear | 72.0 | 709.89 | 51 | cond: 94; bp: 30.03; used 3 boats; w hole lake; BBR assisted |
| Paintsville Lake | LMB/SMB | 10/15 | 2000 | shock | cloudy / rainy | 66.0 | 710.22 | 88 | cond: low ; bp: 29.85; outflow : 446CFS; used 2 boats; w hole lake |
| Panbowl | LMB | 4/24 | 1000 | shock | sunny |  | summer pool | 84 | bp: 30.11; used 1 boat; w hole lake; 7.5 minute runs |
| Pikeville City Lake | LMB | 4/21 | 1000 | shock | sunny / partly cloudy | 65.0 | normal | 30 | cond: 475 ; bp: 30.14; used 1 boat; w hole lake |
| Yatesville Lake | LMB | 5/19 | 2000 | shock | partly cloudy | 74.0 | 630.31 | 42 | cond: 132; bp: 30.25; outflow : 99CFS; used 2 boats; w hole lake |
| Yatesville Lake | LMB | 9/29 | 2000 | shock | overcast | 74.0 | 630.15 | 72 | cond: 172; bp: 30.07; outflow : 26CFS; used 2 boats; w hole lake |
| Yatesville Lake | WC | 11/24 | 1000 | trap net | sunny / w indy | 49-46 | 626.76 |  | upper lake; lake level falling; bp: 29.54; outflow : variable, 119-652CFS |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{bp}=$ barometric pressure in inches

Table 2. Length frequency and electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of muskellunge collected during spring sampling on Buckhorn Lake from 1998-2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors. Results from 2002 are from fall electrofishing.


EFDBLMSS.D98-D10, D12, D14
LFRBHLSP.D11, D13

Table 3. Population assessment for muskellunge from Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) captured during spring electrofishing from 2000-2014.
Assessment scores for 2002 were derived from fall electrofishing data. Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (9.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (11.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 30.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (5.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (4.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 36.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 40.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score Assessment | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ \text { Fair } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11 \\ \text { Fair } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ | 18 Excellent | $\overline{17}$ <br> Excellent | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ | $19$ <br> Excellent | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ | 14 Good | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { Poor } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ |

## EFDBLMSS.D00-D10, D12, D14

## LFRBHLSP.D11, D13

Table 4. Species composition, relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 1.25 hours of 15-minute electrofishing samples at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) on 13 May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Largemouth bass | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 18 | 36.0 | (8.0) |
| Upper | Largemouth bass | 3 | 5 | 4 |  | 3 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 47 | 62.7 | (4.8) |
| Total | Largemouth bass | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 52.0 | (7.5) |

Table 5. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres). SE=standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  | Total |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2003 | 22.7 | 3.5 | 18.7 | 2.3 | 28.3 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 76.0 | 6.9 |
| 2004 | 38.0 | 6.2 | 51.7 | 6.5 | 29.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 123.3 | 11.6 |
| 2005 | 17.0 | 3.5 | 45.0 | 5.1 | 38.3 | 5.5 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 108.7 | 7.9 |
| 2006 | 14.2 | 2.2 | 35.2 | 4.6 | 40.5 | 5.1 | 15.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 105.1 | 11.0 |
| 2007 | 14.5 | 4.3 | 26.0 | 2.7 | 20.5 | 3.3 | 14.0 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 75.0 | 6.0 |
| 2008 | 14.8 | 5.5 | 27.0 | 7.2 | 21.4 | 3.3 | 13.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 |  | 77.0 | 12.0 |
| 2009 | 41.2 | 3.5 | 32.0 | 7.7 | 17.2 | 4.8 | 14.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 |  | 104.8 | 13.2 |
| 2010 | 21.2 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 6.6 | 18.3 | 3.7 | 10.7 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 82.0 | 11.7 |
| 2011 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 32.5 | 6.3 | 26.5 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 70.0 | 8.3 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 11.2 | 3.4 | 30.4 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  | 52.0 | 7.5 |

EFDBLLSS.D03-D10, D12, D14

Table 6. PSD and RSD values for each species of black bass in each area of Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) on 13 May 2014. Number of fish (No.) is the number of stock-size or larger fish collected and numbers in parentheses are 95\% confidence intervals.

|  | Largemouth bass |  |  | Smallmouth bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ |
| Lower | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (13-62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (0-29) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Upper | 35 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (7-33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (0-14) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 51 | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (13-38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (0-15) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  |  |

EFDBLLSS.D14

Table 7. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (19.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (35.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (16.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (43.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (26.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (36.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (28.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (29.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (38.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (40.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (21.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (18.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (7.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (15.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (14.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (14.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 10 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 7 |
| Assessment rating | Fair | Fair | Good | Good | Good | Fair | Good | Good | Fair | Poor |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.61 | 0.85 | 0.67 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 0.77 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 45.60 | 57.20 | 48.70 | 38.00 | 36.40 | 34.20 | 47.40 | 51.80 | 54.90 |  |

EFDBLLSS.D03-D10, D12, D14

## EFDBLLAS.D04, D09

EFDBLLAF.D14

Table 8. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 1.25 hours of 15 -min nocturnal electrofishing runs at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) on 17 September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LMB | 6 | 22 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  | 110 | 110.0 | (31.2) |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 | (1.0) |
|  | LMB |  | 35 | 37 | 33 | 8 |  | 4 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 158 | 158.0 | (39.8) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | (0.5) |
|  | LMB | 6 | 57 | 57 | 44 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 268 | 134.0 | (25.1) |
| LMB = largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB = spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDB | LLSF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Indices of year class strength at age-0 and age-1 and mean lengths (in) of age-0 largemouth bass at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) from electrofishing. CPUE=fish/hr, SE=standard error.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2002 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 99.3 | 7.4 | 38.7 | 2.6 | 19.2 | 3.3 |
| 2003 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 106.0 | 13.8 | 39.7 | 4.6 | 35.5 | 5.4 |
| 2004 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 176.7 | 34.0 | 9.3 | 4.6 | 16.3 | 3.5 |
| 2005 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 44.7 | 6.6 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 11.2 | 2.1 |
| 2006 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 17.6 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 3.7 |
| 2007 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 18.8 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 11.2 | 3.8 |
| 2008 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 21.4 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 2.3 | 43.7 | 3.5 |
| 2009 |  |  | no fall | mple |  |  | 26.1 | 5.2 |
| 2010 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 67.0 | 5.0 | 22.5 | 5.8 | no sprin | ample |
| 2011 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 126.7 | 26.7 | 42.0 | 10.0 | 36.1 | 6.5 |
| 2012 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 39.0 | 9.6 | 21.0 | 7.2 | no sprin | ample |
| 2013 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 68.8 | 10.8 | 16.8 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 3.7 |
| 2014 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 86.5 | 24.9 | 26.5 | 8.6 |  |  |
| EFDBLLSF.D02-D08, D10-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDBLLAS.D04, D09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDBLLAF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDBLLSS.D03-D10, D12, D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus for largemouth bass collected from Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) on 17 September 2014, including 95\% confidence intervals.

| Year <br> class | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 2013 | 17 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 14 | 6.2 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 12.1 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 7.5 | 10.4 | 13.6 | 15.3 |  |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 7.6 | 10.9 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 16.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 6.3 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 15.1 | 16.9 |  |
| Smallest | 4.8 | 7.7 | 11.9 | 15.0 | 16.9 |  |  |
| Largest | 8.8 | 11.1 | 13.6 | 15.3 | 16.9 |  |  |
| STD error |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 95\% CI LO |  | 6.0 | 9.4 | 12.0 | 14.8 |  |  |
| 95\% CI HI |  | 6.6 | 10.2 | 13.6 | 15.5 |  |  |
| Intercept $=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDBLLAF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11. Fish harvest statistics derived from a creel survey at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) from 4 April through 30 October 2014.
Standard errors are in parentheses.

Fishing trips
No. of fishing trips $\quad 4,430$

No. of fishing trips per acre 3.60

Fishing pressure
Total angler hours
12,728 (338)
Man-hours/acre

Catch/harvest

| No. of fish caught | $31,728(3,093)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| No. of fish harvested | $7,954(1,312)$ |
| Lb of fish harvested | 4,034 |


| Harvest rates |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fish/hour | 0.69 |

Fish/acre 6.47

Lb/acre 3.28

| Catch rate | 2.48 |
| :---: | ---: |
| Fish/hour | 25.80 |

Miscellaneous characteristics (\%)
Male 88.50

Female 11.50
Resident 97.79
Non-resident 2.21
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Method (\%) } \\ \text { Still fishing } & 21.90\end{array}$
Casting 63.05

Tickling/noodling 0.44
Trolling 14.60

Mode (\%)
Boat
74.56

Bank 14.16 Dock 11.28

Table 12. Fish harvest statistics derived from a creel survey at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) from 4 April through 30 October 2014.

|  | White bass | Muskellunge | Flathead catfish | Channel catfish | Warmouth | Bluegill | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { carp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Spotted } \\ & \text { bass } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Largemouth <br> bass | White Crappie | $\begin{gathered} \text { Green } \\ \text { sunfish } \end{gathered}$ | Longear sunfish | Longnose gar | Rock bass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. caught (per acre) | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 183 \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1700 \\ (1.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8680 \\ & (7.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 138 \\ (0.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5311 \\ & (4.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14969 \\ (12.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 544 \\ (0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 46 \\ (0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. harvested (per acre) | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 274 \\ (0.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3590 \\ & 2.92 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3795 \\ (3.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (0.04) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 |
| \% of total no. | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 3.44 | 0.28 | 45.13 |  |  | 1.14 | 47.71 | 1.01 | 0.58 |  |  |
| Lb harvested (per acre) | $\begin{gathered} 41.5 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180.1 \\ & (0.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.0 \\ & (0.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495.7 \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 862.0 \\ & (0.70) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 448.5 \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1873.5 \\ & (1.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| \% of total lb harvested | 1.03 | 4.46 | 2.55 | 12.29 | 0.24 | 21.37 |  |  | 11.12 | 46.44 | 0.36 | 0.14 |  |  |
| Mean length (in) | 14.5 | 37.0 | 30.0 | 17.0 | 8.5 | 7.2 |  |  | 17.9 | 10.3 | 6.2 | 6.0 |  |  |
| Mean w eight (Ib) | 1.20 | 12.77 | 10.69 | 1.59 | 0.45 | 0.23 |  |  | 3.38 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.13 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Muskellunge | Catfish group | Panfish group | Black bass group | Crappie group | Anything |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of fishing trips for that species |  |  | 208 | 226 | 434 | 1,200 | 1,446 | 916 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% of all trips |  |  | 4.76 | 5.10 | 9.80 | 27.09 | 32.64 | 20.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours fished for that species (per acre) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 596.90 \\ (0.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 648.39 \\ & (0.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1246.82 \\ (1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3448.99 \\ (2.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4154.34 \\ (3.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2632.49 \\ (2.14) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. harvested fishing for that species |  |  | 0 | 230 | 1,783 | 35 | 3707 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lb harvested fishing for that species |  |  | 0.00 | 512.10 | 397.20 | 86.50 | 1832.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No./hour harvested fishing for that species |  |  | 0.000 | 0.375 | 1.843 | 0.013 | 0.887 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% success fishing for that species |  |  | 0.00 | 81.82 | 37.74 | 3.17 | 62.16 | 11.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13. Species composition and length distribution of each species of fish harvested $(H)$ and released (R) from a creel survey on Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) from 4 April to 30 October 2014.


Table 14. Monthly black bass angling success at Buckhorn Lake during the 2014 creel survey period.

|  | Total no. of bass caught | Total no. of bass harvested | No. of black bass fishing trips | Hours fished by bass anglers | ```Bass caught by bass anglers``` | Bass caught/hour by bass anglers | Bass harvested by bass anglers | Bass harvested/hour by bass anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Apr }}$ | 2,066 | 46 | 223 | 642 | 918 | 1.481 | 0 | 0.000 |
| May | 1,318 | 13 | 304 | 874 | 1,017 | 1.376 | 13 | 0.018 |
| Jun | 328 | 0 | 61 | 174 | 202 | 1.780 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Jul | 242 | 12 | 158 | 455 | 174 | 0.566 | 12 | 0.037 |
| Aug | 423 | 10 | 97 | 278 | 165 | 0.865 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Sep | 296 | 0 | 167 | 479 | 240 | 0.515 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Oct | 665 | 10 | 191 | 547 | 636 | 0.640 | 10 | 0.010 |
| Total | 5,339 | 91 | 1,200 | 3,449 | 3,352 |  | 35 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 0.971 |  | 0.013 |

Table 15. Monthly white crappie angling success at Buckhorn Lake during the 2014 creel survey period.

|  | Total no. of white crappie caught | Total no. of white crappie harvested | No. of white crappie fishing trips | Hours fished by crappie anglers | Crappie caught by crappie anglers | Crappie caught/hour by crappie anglers | Crappie harvested by crappie anglers | Crappie harvested/hour by crappie anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Apr }}$ | 2,296 | 0 | 261 | 749 | 2,296 | 3.937 | 0 | 0.000 |
| May | 2,597 | 723 | 279 | 801 | 2,450 | 2.465 | 676 | 0.680 |
| Jun | 819 | 39 | 67 | 194 | 733 | 3.234 | 39 | 0.170 |
| Jul | 2,090 | 647 | 142 | 407 | 2,079 | 3.163 | 647 | 0.984 |
| Aug | 3,066 | 1404 | 266 | 765 | 3,066 | 3.337 | 1,404 | 1.528 |
| Sep | 3,202 | 846 | 271 | 778 | 2,920 | 3.094 | 804 | 0.852 |
| Oct | 899 | 137 | 160 | 461 | 821 | 4.773 | 137 | 0.795 |
| Total | 14,969 | 3,795 | 1,446 | 4,154 | 14,365 |  | 3,707 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 3.035 |  | 0.877 |

Table 16. Monthly muskellunge angling success at Buckhorn Lake during the 2014 creel survey period.

|  | Total no. of musky caught | Total no. of musky harvested | No. of musky fishing trips | Hours fished by musky anglers | ```Musky caught by musky anglers``` | ```Musky caught/hour by musky anglers``` | Musky harvested by musky anglers | Musky harvested/hour by musky anglers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Apr }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul | 135 |  | 108 | 312 | 135 | 0.288 |  |  |
| Aug | 23 |  | 32 | 93 | 23 | 0.167 |  |  |
| Sep | 14 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct | 11 |  | 68 | 192 | 11 | 0.017 |  |  |
| Total | 183 | 14 | 208 | 597 | 169 |  | 0 |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  | 0.204 |  | 0.000 |

Table 17. Catch and harvest statistics derived from a creel survey at Buckhorn Lake (1,230 acres) in 2014 for largemouth bass, white crappie, and muskellunge.

|  | Largemouth bass |  |  |  | White crappie |  |  |  | Muskellunge |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest | Catch \& release |  | Total | Harvest | Catch \& release |  | Total | Harvest | Catch \& release |  | Total |
|  |  | 12-14.9 in | $\geq 15.0$ in |  |  | <8.9 in | $\geq 9.0$ in |  |  | < 30.0 in | $\geq 30.0$ in |  |
| Total number | 91 | 2,723 | 299 | 5,311 | 3,795 | 10,237 | 937 | 14,969 | 14 | 22 | 146 | 183 |
| Total weight (lb) | 448.5 | 2,008.0 | 221.3 | 4,297.8 | 1,873.5 | 1,499.0 | 137.0 | 3,509.5 | 180.1 | 42.4 | 2002.7 | 2225.2 |
| Mean length (in) | 17.9 |  |  |  | 10.3 |  |  |  | 37.0 |  |  |  |
| Mean weight (lb) | 3.38 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  | 12.77 |  |  |  |
| Rate (fish/hour) | 0.006 |  |  |  | 0.354 |  |  |  | t |  |  |  |

t=trace < 0.001

Table 18. Species composition, relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 2.0 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing samples at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres) on 1 May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3.0 | (1.0) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 13.0 | (5.0) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 5 | 135 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 225 | 225.0 | (29.8) |
| Upper | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7.0 | (3.4) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 2 | 45 | 23 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 188 | 188.0 | (20.2) |
| Total | Smallmouth bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.5 | (0.7) |
|  | Spotted bass | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10.0 | (3.0) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 7 | 180 | 34 | 9 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 24 | 14 | 20 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 413 | 206.5 | (18.1) |

EFDCLLSS.D14

Table 19. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres) from 2002-
2014. SE=standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2002 | 116.3 | 14.2 | 16.9 | 1.7 | 12.3 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 152.7 | 13.3 |
| 2003 | 67.6 | 11.3 | 15.9 | 2.2 | 11.1 | 1.5 | 10.7 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 105.2 | 14.4 |
| 2004 | 135.0 | 17.7 | 24.4 | 5.3 | 8.4 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 176.9 | 18.8 |
| 2005 | 20.0 | 2.7 | 19.8 | 1.6 | 24.8 | 2.4 | 14.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 78.6 | 4.9 |
| 2006 | 22.3 | 7.0 | 30.9 | 4.8 | 27.9 | 3.3 | 29.9 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 111.0 | 10.2 |
| 2007 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 20.8 | 4.7 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 15.7 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 63.0 | 5.5 |
| 2008 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 16.4 | 2.6 | 24.7 | 5.4 | 23.7 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 67.8 | 8.4 |
| 2009 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 10.3 | 2.6 | 17.1 | 3.0 | 16.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 48.6 | 6.1 |
| 2010 | 13.8 | 3.2 | 10.8 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 47.9 | 4.8 |
| 2011 | 11.0 | 4.4 | 10.5 | 2.6 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 16.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 43.0 | 9.8 |
| 2012 | 15.0 | 3.1 | 21.5 | 3.5 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 59.0 | 8.4 |
| 2013 | 113.3 | 51.4 | 20.0 | 4.5 | 16.0 | 3.7 | 16.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 166.0 | 53.2 |
| 2014 | 115.0 | 23.6 | 48.0 | 7.8 | 25.0 | 4.3 | 18.5 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 206.5 | 18.1 |

BBRPSCFL.D02-D05
EFDCLLSS.D06-D10, D12-D14

Table 20. PSD and RSD values for each species of black bass in each area of Carr Creek Lake (710 acres) on 1 May 2014. Number of fish (No.) is the number of stock-size or larger fish collected and numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

|  | Largemouth bass |  |  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ |
| Lower | 71 | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (28-51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (7-24) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (0-99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (0-99) \end{gathered}$ | 12 | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (0-39) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| Upper | 112 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ (48-74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (15-31) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 6 | 0 |  |
| Total | 183 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (40-55) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (14-26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (0-99) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (0-99) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (0-26) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |

EFDCLLSS.D14

Table 21. Population assessments for largemouth bass collected from Carr Creek Lake (710 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (133.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (18.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (21.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (13.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (114.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (116.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (8.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (24.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (27.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (18.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (24.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (17.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (25.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (14.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (29.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (15.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (23.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (30.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (18.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 12 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 14 |
| Assessment rating | Good | Fair | Good | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Good | Good |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.44 |  |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 42.00 | 37.50 | 35.10 | 30.90 | 33.50 | 52.30 | 29.10 | 23.80 | 35.80 |  |  |
| BBRPSCFL.D04-D05 EFDCLLSS.D06-D14 EFDCLLAS.D08 EFDCLLAF.D13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 22. Length frequency and electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 1.5 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing samples at Carr Creek Lake ( 710 acres) on 15 September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | (1.0) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 16.0 | (8.0) |
|  | Largemouth bass |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 3 |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 40 | 53.3 | (19.6) |
| Upper | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | (0.0) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6.7 | (4.8) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 1 |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 48 | 64.0 | (12.0) |
| Total | Smallmouth bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | (0.0) |
|  | Spotted bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 11.3 | (4.7) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 88 | 58.7 | (10.6) |

EFDCLLSF.D14

Table 23. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected by electrofishing at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres). CPUE=fish/hr, SE=standard error.

| Yearclass | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2003 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 14.0 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 133.8* | 17.5 |
| 2004 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 132.0 | 17.3 | 88.2 | 12.7 | 18.8 | 2.6 |
| 2005 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 21.3 | 6.7 |
| 2006 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 11.0 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 7.6 | 2.0 |
| 2007 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| 2008 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 15.2 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| 2009 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 12.5 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 10.0 | 2.5 |
| 2010 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 13.5 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 3.1 |
| 2011 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 17.6 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 13.2 | 2.6 |
| 2012 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 34.5 | 10.9 | 11.5 | 4.0 | 114.7 | 51.8 |
| 2013 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 116.0 | 23.8 |
| 2014 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 13.3 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 1.7 |  |  |
| * Includes stocked fish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBRWRCFL.D03-D05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBRSCCFL.D03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCLLSF.D06-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCLLAS.D08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCLLSS.D06-D13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCLLAF.D13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 24. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of walleye collected at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres) during daytime spring electrofishing.

| Year | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1011 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |  |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 28 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 78 | 20.8 | 4.6 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 44 | 20.4 | 4.7 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | no s | ampl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 | 7 |  | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 28 | 26.7 | 8.5 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 61 | 27.1 | 7.4 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 46 | 28.2 | 5.0 |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 55 | 31.3 | 5.4 |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 60 | 32.9 | 7.4 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 16 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 136 | 12.8 | 1.2 |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 18 | 21 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  | 124 | 21.3 | 1.3 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 9 |  | 1 | 138 | 12.7 | 3.3 |
| 2011 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 81 | 15.4 | 5.2 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 87 | 20.8 | 2.5 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 21 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 88 | 10.7 | 1.4 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 56 | 11.8 | 2.9 |

EFDCLWSS.D00-D14

Table 25. Spring electrofishing population assessment for walleye at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres). Actual values are in parentheses.
Scoring based on statewide assessment.


EFDCLWSS.D05-D14
EFDCLWAS.D03, D09

Table 26. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of crappie collected by electrofishing at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres) on 25 February 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total | CPUE |
| Species | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie | 4 | 11 | 89 | 124 | 70 | 16 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 350 | $280.0(69.5)$ |
| Black crappie |  | 2 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 36 | $28.8(5.6)$ |

EFDCLCSS.D14

Table 27. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of black and white crappie collected at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres).
SE=standard error.

|  | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  |  |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  | $\frac{\geq 8.0 \text { in }}{\text { all crappie }}$ |  | $\geq 10.0 \text { in }$ <br> all crappie |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | WC |  | BC |  | WC |  | BC |  |  |  | WC | BC |  |
| Year | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |  |  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2007 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 27.8 | 26.0 | 6.9 | 5.3 |
| 2008 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| 2009 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 7.5 | 4.8 |
| 2010 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 2.3 |
| 2011 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 21.7 | 14.1 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| 2012 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 11.3 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 8.7 | 3.9 | 16.7 | 12.9 |
| 2013 | 14.0 | 4.3 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 24.5 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 85.0 | 19.9 | 41.0 | 10.8 |
| 2014 | 41.6 | 11.4 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 22.4 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 49.6 | 11.1 | 24.0 | 9.6 | 280.0 | 69.5 | 28.8 | 5.6 |

Table 28. PSD and $\mathrm{RSD}_{10}$ values for black and white crappie taken in spring
electrofishing samples at Carr Creek Lake (710 acres) on 25 February 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White crappie | 335 | 16 | 8 |
|  |  | $(12-19)$ | $(5-11)$ |
| Black crappie | 34 | 29 | 6 |
|  |  | $(14-45)$ | $(0-14)$ |

EFDCLCSS.D14

Table 29. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 1.25 hours of 15 -min nocturnal electrofishing runs at Cranks Creek Lake (219 acres) on 22 September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |  |  |
| SB |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5.6 | (2.4) |
| LMB | 12 | 68 | 25 | 22 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 9 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 235 | 188.0 | (34.3) |

SB = spotted bass
LMB = largemouth bass
EFDCCLSF.D14

Table 30. Indices of year class strength at age-0 and age-1 and mean lengths (in) of age-0 largemouth bass at Cranks Creek Lake (219 acres) from electrofishing. CPUE=fish/hr, SE=standard error.

| Year <br> class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 1999 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.3 | 10.4 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.3 | 4.8 |
| 2001 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 27.3 | 5.2 | 13.3 | 3.0 |  |  |
| 2002 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 34.4 | 10.6 | 20.8 | 7.7 |  |  |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 4.3 |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.4 | 15.3 |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 32.0 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 2.9 | 23.0 | 7.3 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 64.0 | 29.8 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 68.8 | 26.1 |
| 2010 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 93.3 | 28.5 | 16.0 | 6.1 | 45.6 | 6.0 |
| 2011 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 51.2 | 5.4 | 34.4 | 5.3 | 28.0 | 10.7 |
| 2012 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 66.4 | 27.4 | 10.4 | 5.3 |  |  |
| 2013 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  |
| 2014 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 104.8 | 24.5 | 20.8 | 5.1 |  |  |
| EFDCCLSF.D01-D02, D07, D09-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCCLAS.D08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCCLSS.D00, D01, D04, D05, D08, D10-D12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDCCLAF.D13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 31. Species composition, relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 2.5 hours of 15minute nocturnal electrofishing samples by area at Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) on 29 and 30 April 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Spotted bass | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 26.4 | (11.8) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 40 | 25 | 23 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 169 | 135.2 | (26.1) |
| Upper | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2.4 | (2.4) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 13 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 91 | 72.8 | (3.9) |
| Total | Spotted bass | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 14.4 | (17.0) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 46 | 35 | 30 | 13 | 22 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 260 | 104.0 | (16.2) | EFDDLLSS.D14

Table 32. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Dewey Lake (1,100 acres). SE=standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<8.0$ in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 1987 | 44.6 |  | 38.3 |  | 12.0 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 95.4 |  |
| 1988 | 84.0 |  | 40.7 |  | 26.7 |  | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 154.7 |  |
| 1989 | 75.0 |  | 27.5 |  | 10.8 |  | 7.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 120.7 |  |
| 1990 | 58.8 |  | 68.0 |  | 32.0 |  | 11.4 |  | 0.6 |  | 171.4 |  |
| 1991 | 73.8 |  | 50.6 |  | 18.4 |  | 3.5 |  | 0.2 |  | 146.4 |  |
| 1992 | 57.4 |  | 64.1 |  | 17.2 |  | 7.4 |  | 0.2 |  | 146.1 |  |
| 1993 | 43.7 |  | 71.8 |  | 15.6 |  | 8.8 |  | 0.8 |  | 140.0 |  |
| 1994 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 46.6 |  | 59.6 |  | 28.5 |  | 3.6 |  | 0.0 |  | 138.3 | 16.9 |
| 1996 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 15.3 |  | 53.3 |  | 32.3 |  | 11.0 |  | 1.0 |  | 112.0 | 12.2 |
| 1998 | 20.1 |  | 51.4 |  | 43.2 |  | 7.2 |  | 0.6 |  | 122.0 | 8.5 |
| 1999 | 78.9 |  | 34.6 |  | 39.5 |  | 12.8 |  | 0.5 |  | 165.8 | 12.7 |
| 2000 | 62.2 | 4.7 | 44.0 | 4.4 | 23.6 | 3.5 | 10.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 |  | 140.1 | 9.5 |
| 2001 | 150.1 | 17.2 | 57.8 | 5.7 | 26.9 | 2.7 | 17.8 | 1.6 | 0.6 |  | 252.6 | 22.8 |
| 2002 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 71.1 | 10.1 | 55.6 | 4.4 | 23.1 | 1.8 | 22.0 | 2.1 | 0.7 |  | 171.8 | 14.6 |
| 2004 | 96.2 | 11.9 | 34.7 | 3.8 | 20.0 | 3.2 | 17.5 | 2.6 | 1.0 |  | 168.3 | 13.9 |
| 2005 | 39.3 | 5.0 | 59.2 | 6.3 | 31.0 | 3.2 | 24.5 | 1.9 | 0.3 |  | 153.9 | 12.8 |
| 2006 | 32.3 | 5.7 | 66.4 | 8.6 | 24.2 | 3.6 | 24.9 | 3.6 | 0.7 |  | 147.8 | 10.0 |
| 2007 | 54.9 | 9.6 | 80.8 | 9.8 | 35.1 | 5.0 | 30.2 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 200.9 | 19.9 |
| 2008 | 87.4 | 10.4 | 86.5 | 9.5 | 21.6 | 3.6 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 211.7 | 12.4 |
| 2009 | 83.7 | 12.7 | 62.8 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 1.9 | 14.4 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 179.8 | 16.9 |
| 2010 | 42.6 | 5.9 | 98.0 | 27.6 | 12.3 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 161.2 | 33.0 |
| 2011 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 27.2 | 4.6 | 63.2 | 7.0 | 34.9 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 136.0 | 8.6 |
| 2013 | 20.8 | 3.9 | 92.8 | 14.8 | 54.0 | 6.5 | 17.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 184.8 | 20.8 |
| 2014 | 12.4 | 2.6 | 40.4 | 8.1 | 31.2 | 6.6 | 20.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 104.0 | 16.2 |

EFDDLLSS.D87-D02, D06-D10, D12-D14 BBRPSDEW.D03-D05

Table 33. PSD and RSD values for each species of black bass in each area of Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) during spring 2014. Numbers in parentheses are 95\% confidence intervals.

| Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ |
| Lower | 148 | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ (45-61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (9-21) \end{gathered}$ | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (13-49) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| Upper | 81 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (51-72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (24-45) \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 0 |  |
| Total | 229 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ (49-62) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (16-27) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 29 | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (11-44) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |

EFDDLLSS.D14

Table 34. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected from Dewey Lake (1,100 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (79.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (24.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (27.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (49.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (49.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (55.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (16.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (19.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (31.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (24.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (35.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (21.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (18.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (12.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (34.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (54.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (31.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (17.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (24.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (24.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (30.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (20.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 12 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 12 |
| Assessment rating | Good | Good | Fair | Good | Good | Good | Poor | Fair | Good | Good |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.77 | 0.64 |  |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 32.60 | 34.30 | 33.50 | 32.10 | 42.80 | 38.40 | 53.90 | 35.80 |  |  |
| BBRPSDEW.D04-D05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EFDDLLSS.D06-D10, D13-D14 } \\ & \text { EFDDLLAS.D08 } \\ & \text { EFDDLLAF.D13 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 35. Length frequency distribution of each black bass species captured during 2.5 hours of 15 -minute nocturnal electrofishing runs at Dewey Lake ( 1,100 acres) on 23 September 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Spotted bass | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 12.0 | (4.6) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 31 | 14 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 129 | 103.2 | (19.2) |
| Upper | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.8 | (0.8) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 3 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 7 |  | 16 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 131 | 104.8 | (13.7) |
| Total | Spotted bass | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 6.4 | (2.9) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 34 | 21 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 3 | 21 | 15 | 23 | 35 | 23 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 260 | 104.0 | (11.1) |

EFDDLLSF.D14

Table 36. Indices of year class strength at age-0 and age-1 and mean lengths (in) of age-0 largemouth bass at Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) from electrofishing. CPUE=fish/hr, SE=standard error.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2002 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 75.6 | 14.2 | 37.6 | 9.4 | 61.2 | 9.4 |
| 2003 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 38.9 | 10.6 | 15.1 | 3.8 | 79.7 | 10.5 |
| 2004 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 45.2 | 7.1 | 25.4 | 4.6 | 24.8 | 4.1 |
| 2005 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 58.7 | 16.1 | 16.9 | 6.6 | 27.9 | 5.5 |
| 2006 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 39.0 | 9.9 | 21.3 | 5.8 | 49.0 | 9.2 |
| 2007 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 54.3 | 12.8 | 21.2 | 4.2 | 49.5 | 10.0 |
| 2008 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 54.9 | 14.3 | 30.0 | 7.4 | 55.6 | 12.1 |
| 2009 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 45.7 | 8.8 | 28.8 | 5.2 | 16.4 | 3.3 |
| 2010 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 67.6 | 14.2 | 38.4 | 8.5 | no sample |  |
| 2011 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 37.2 | 9.3 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 19.5 | 4.4 |
| 2012 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 26.0 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 1.7 | 20.8 | 3.9 |
| 2013 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 25.2 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 10.8 | 2.8 |
| 2014 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 36.8 | 8.3 | 10.0 | 4.3 |  |  |
| BBRPSDEW.D03-D05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBRDLLSF.D02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBRWRDEW.D03-D04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BBRSCDEW.D03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDDLLSF.D05-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDDLLSS.D06-D10, D12-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDDLLAS.D08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 37. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) for white crappie collected at Dewey Lake ( 1,100 acres) in 19 net-nights from 12-14 November 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |
| WC | 20 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 85 | 213 | 81 | 49 | 44 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 565 | 29.7 | (6.2) |
| BC | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 90 | 230 | 50 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 393 | 20.7 | (5.3) |

WC=white crappie
BC=black crappie
EFDDLCTF.D14

Table 38. PSD and RSD values calculated for crappie collected in trap nets at Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) during November 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. fish $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WC | 524 | 41 | 16.00 |
|  |  | $(37-45)$ | $(13-19)$ |
| BC | 382 | 15 | 0 |
|  |  | $(11-18)$ |  |

WC = white crappie
$B C=$ black crappie
EFDDLCTF.D14

Table 39. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus for white crappie collected from Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) in November 2014, including 95\% confidence intervals.

| Year <br> class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 20 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 51 | 4.4 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 42 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 13 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 10.2 |  |  |
| 2009 | 2 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 12.6 |  |
| 2008 | 2 | 4.6 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 14.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 4.5 | 7.1 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 12.8 | 14.1 |
| Smallest | 3.2 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 12.4 | 13.8 |  |
| Largest | 6.2 | 9.3 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 13.4 | 14.3 |  |
| STD error | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |
| 95\% CI LO | 4.4 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 9.9 | 12.3 | 13.5 |  |
| 95\% CI HI | 4.6 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 14.6 |  |
| Intercept = 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDDLCAF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 40. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus for black crappie collected from Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) in November 2014, including 95\% confidence intervals.

| Year class | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 2013 | 10 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 14 | 3.4 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 30 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 14 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 2 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 8.1 |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.8 |  |  |
| 2005 | 6 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.7 |  |
| 2004 | 2 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 8.7 |
| Mean |  | 3.5 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.7 |
| Smallest |  | 2.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.8 |
| Largest |  | 5.5 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 9.6 |
| STD error |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| 95\% CI LO |  | 3.5 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 6.9 |
| 95\% CI HI |  | 3.6 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 10.5 |

Table 41. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white crappie collected by trap netting for 19 net-nights at Dewey Lake (1,100 acres) in November 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Age\% |  | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 8 | 2.2 | (0.8) |
| 1 |  |  |  | 10 | 47 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 | 16 | 4.8 | (1.2) |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 38 | 168 | 55 | 28 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 294 | 52 | 15.4 | (3.3) |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 23 | 18 | 36 | 22 | 2 |  |  | 112 | 20 | 5.9 | (1.4) |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  | 24 | 4 | 1.2 | (0.3) |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | 0.1 | (0.0) |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.1 | (0.1) |
| Total | 20 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 85 | 213 | 81 | 49 | 45 | 29 | 7 |  | 2 | 565 |  |  |  |
| \% | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 38 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in (quality size) $=11.3$ fish/nn
CPUE of $\geq 10.0$ in (preferred size) $=4.5 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{nn}$
EFDDLCAF.D14
EFDDLCTF.D14

Table 42. Age frequency and CPUE (fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ ) of black crappie collected by trap netting for 19 net-nights at Dewey Lake ( 1,100 acres) in November 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Age\% | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 0.2 | (0.1) |
| 1 |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 2 | 0.5 | (0.2) |
| 2 |  |  | 2 | 71 |  |  |  | 73 | 19 | 3.9 | (1.0) |
| 3 |  |  |  | 19 | 184 | 14 | 1 | 218 | 56 | 11.5 | (3.1) |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 46 | 21 |  | 67 | 17 | 3.6 | (0.9) |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.1 | (0.0) |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | 1 | 0.3 | (0.1) |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.1 | (0.0) |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0.5 | (0.1) |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0.2 | (0.0) |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 7 | 90 | 230 | 49 | 6 | 391 |  |  |  |
| \% | 1 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 59 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in (quality size) $=2.95$ fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ CPUE of $\geq 10.0$ in (preferred size) $=0.00$ fish $/ \mathrm{nn}$ EFDBLCAF.D14 EFDBLCTF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 43. Population assessment scores for white crappie collected from Dewey Lake (1,100 acres). Actual assessment values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| CPUE <br> (excluding age 0 ) | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (48.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (44.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (15.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (26.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (27.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (14.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (15.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE age 0 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (27.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (15.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (10.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean length age 2 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (9.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (9.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (8.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 1.27 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 1.40 |
| Annual Mortality (A) | 72.00 | 38.80 | 39.50 | 47.60 | 75.40 |
| Total score | 15 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Assessment rating } \\ & \text { EFDDLCTF.D02, D08, D10, D } \\ & \text { EFDDLCAF.D02, D08, D10, D } \end{aligned}$ | Good <br> D14 <br> D14 | Fair | Good | Good | Fair |

Table 44. Population assessment scores for black crappie collected from Dewey Lake (1,100 acres). Actual assessment values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| CPUE <br> (excluding age 0 ) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (17.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (20.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE age 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean length age 2 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 1.25 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.45 |
| Annual Mortality (A) | 71.40 | 29.60 | 6.20 | 28.10 | 36.10 |
| Total score | 6 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| Assessment rating <br> EFDDLCTF.D02, D08, D10, D <br> EFDDLCAF.D02, D08, D10, | Poor <br> D14 <br> D14 | Poor | Poor | Fair | Fair |

Table 45. Species composition, relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 1.25 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing samples at Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres) on 23 April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Smallmouth bass |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4.0 | (2.3) |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 10.7 | (10.7) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 1 | 2 |  | 6 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 92 | 122.7 | (19.6) |
| Upper | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | Largemouth bass |  | 5 | 10 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 46 | 92.0 | (24.0) |
| Total | Smallmouth bass | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2.4 | (1.6) |
|  | Spotted bass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6.4 | (6.4) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 1 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 18 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 138 | 110.4 | (15.2) |

EFDFLLSS.D14

Table 46. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass at Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres).

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | S.E. | CPUE | S.E. | CPUE | S.E. | CPUE | S.E. | CPUE | S.E. | CPUE | S.E. |
| 2000 | 28.7 | 4.2 | 29.0 | 2.3 | 19.0 | 2.6 | 23.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 |  | 99.7 | 9.9 |
| 2001 | 20.3 | 3.7 | 32.7 | 4.3 | 17.3 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 2.9 | 1.3 |  | 80.7 | 7.7 |
| 2002 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 43.0 | 4.4 | 25.0 | 7.6 | 16.0 | 4.9 | 11.0 | 3.4 | 2.0 |  | 95.0 | 4.1 |
| 2004 | 44.7 | 6.8 | 45.1 | 5.8 | 19.3 | 2.2 | 13.1 | 3.9 | 1.5 |  | 122.2 | 10.7 |
| 2005 | 61.8 | 10.2 | 67.6 | 10.0 | 38.9 | 6.5 | 14.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 183.3 | 20.8 |
| 2006 | 52.5 | 8.8 | 37.6 | 1.9 | 33.0 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  | 127.1 | 11.6 |
| 2007 | 28.7 | 4.7 | 53.9 | 8.3 | 33.0 | 3.5 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 123.5 | 13.5 |
| 2008 | 39.5 | 12.7 | 31.1 | 3.5 | 32.0 | 5.8 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 111.9 | 15.0 |
| 2009 | 44.2 | 10.7 | 61.4 | 11.8 | 20.4 | 4.8 | 9.9 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 135.9 | 15.1 |
| 2010 | 52.4 | 3.1 | 35.6 | 5.6 | 20.4 | 2.8 | 10.4 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 118.8 | 11.3 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 54.7 | 9.0 | 20.7 | 1.9 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 12.7 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 9.4 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 25.6 | 5.5 | 32.8 | 10.2 | 35.2 | 5.9 | 16.8 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 110.4 | 15.2 |

Table 47. PSD and RSD values for each species of black bass in each area of Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres) on 23 April 2014. Number of fish (No.) is the number of stock-size or larger fish collected and numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

|  | Smallmouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  | Largemouth bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ |
| Lower | 0 |  |  | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (2-73) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (48-70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (9-27) \end{gathered}$ |
| Upper | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ (50-85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (9-41) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 0 |  |  | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (2-73) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ (52-71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (12-27) \end{gathered}$ |

EFDFLLSS.D14

Table 48. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected from Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (42.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (35.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (61.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (52.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (28.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (38.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (44.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (51.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (50.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (24.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (19.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (38.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (33.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (33.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (32.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (35.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (14.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (7.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (12.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 14 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 16 |
| Assessment rating | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 0.72 | 0.59 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
| Annual mortality (A) | 40.40 | 42.70 | 48.00 | 56.50 | 51.30 | 44.30 | 49.10 | 48.20 | 39.20 | 35.20 |
| EFDFLLSS.D03-D10, D12, D1 EFDFLLAS.D04, D10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 49. Species composition, relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 2.50 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing samples at Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres) on 24 September; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Lower | Smallmouth bass |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 12.0 | (5.2) |
|  | Spotted bass |  | 6 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 31.2 | (14.9) |
|  | Largemouth bass |  | 8 | 34 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 27 | 17 | 12 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 190 | 152.0 | (11.5) |
| Upper | Smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3.2 | (1.5) |
|  | Spotted bass |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3.2 | (0.8) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 2 | 3 | 35 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 31 | 43 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 200 | 160.0 | (23.3) |
| Total | Smallmouth bass | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7.6 | (3.0) |
|  | Spotted bass | 0 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 17.2 | (8.4) |
|  | Largemouth bass | 2 | 11 | 69 | 39 | 14 | 8 | 44 | 70 | 30 | 21 | 29 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 390 | 156.0 | (12.3) |

EFDFLLSS.D14

Table 50. Indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth
bass collected at Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres).

| Year <br> class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2003 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 106.2 | 32.9 | 59.6 | 15.9 | 35.4 | 6.0 |
| 2004 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 256.0 | 51.1 | 122.7 | 23.9 | 61.5 | 10.2 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 108.0 | 41.3 | 24.0 | 11.1 | 52.5 | 8.8 |
| 2006 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 72.7 | 14.1 | 36.5 | 8.0 | 28.3 | 4.5 |
| 2007 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 114.2 | 23.7 | 63.5 | 11.0 | 38.5 | 12.1 |
| 2008 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 75.3 | 25.9 | 26.3 | 9.5 | 44.2 | 10.7 |
| 2009 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 83.3 | 15.1 | 39.3 | 5.4 | 51.6 | 3.2 |
| 2010 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 111.6 | 16.4 | 61.6 | 8.4 | no s |  |
| 2011 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 119.4 | 26.9 | 69.1 | 13.3 | 50.8 | 8.2 |
| 2012 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 72.7 | 24.3 | 38.0 | 12.0 | no s |  |
| 2013 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 63.5 | 16.4 | 19.5 | 5.2 | 24.2 | 6.2 |
| 2014 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 54.0 | 8.8 | 21.2 | 3.6 |  |  |

EFDFLLSF.D03-D14
EFDFLLSS.D04-D10, D12, D14
EFDFLLAS.D04, D10

Table 51. Length frequency and gillnetting CPUE (fish/nn) of hybrid striped bass collected in 3 net-nights at Fishtrap Lake ( 1,143 acres) on 15-16 December 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |  |  |  |
| WB |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 3.3 | (2.9) |
| HB | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 28 | 28 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 165 | 53.3 | (15.7) |

EFDFLHGF.D14
$\mathrm{HB}=$ hybrid striped bass
WB=white bass

Table 52. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus for hybrid striped bass collected from Fishtrap Lake ( 1,143 acres) in 2014, including the length range of bass at each age and the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for each age group.

| Year |  |  |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class | No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 2013 | 46 | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 16 | 9.1 | 15.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 19 | 8.9 | 15.1 | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 12 | 9.8 | 15.6 | 19.0 | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 10 | 9.3 | 15.3 | 18.1 | 20.2 | 21.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 3 | 8.8 | 15.8 | 19.6 | 21.0 | 22.3 | 22.9 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 3 | 7.2 | 14.8 | 18.9 | 21.6 | 22.8 | 23.8 | 24.4 |  |  |
| 2006 | 2 | 8.4 | 15.0 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 22.2 | 23.3 | 23.8 | 24.3 |  |
| 2005 | 4 | 8.6 | 15.6 | 19.4 | 21.6 | 22.9 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 25.2 | 25.5 |
| Mean |  | 8.7 | 15.3 | 18.8 | 20.9 | 22.1 | 23.5 | 24.3 | 24.9 | 25.5 |
| Smallest |  | 5.5 | 10.9 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 21.4 | 22.2 | 22.7 | 23.2 |
| Largest |  | 11.7 | 17.6 | 20.8 | 22.8 | 24.2 | 25.3 | 25.8 | 26.3 | 27.2 |
| Std error |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 95\% CI LO |  | 8.5 | 15.1 | 18.4 | 20.4 | 21.3 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 23.8 | 23.2 |
| 95\% CI HI |  | 9.0 | 15.6 | 19.2 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 24.2 | 25.1 | 26.0 | 27.9 |

intercept=0
EFDFLHAF.D14

Table 53. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of hybrid striped bass collected at Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres) in December 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard error.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Age\% | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 | 2.0 | (1.0) |
| 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 9 | 28 | 25 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 50 | 27.7 | (9.9) |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 7 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 10 | 5.6 | (0.2) |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 15 | 8.2 | (2.0) |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 8 | 4.3 | (1.6) |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 6 | 3.5 | (1.4) |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1.0 | (0.3) |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1.0 | (0.3) |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0.7 | (0.2) |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1.3 | (0.8) |
| Total | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 28 | 28 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 165 |  |  |  |
| \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

EFDFLHAF.D14
EFDFLHGF.D14

Table 54. Hybrid striped bass population assessment for fish gill netted at Fishtrap Lake (1,143 acres) from 2000-2014, CPUE = fish/net-night.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | 2000 | 2002 | 2004 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2014 |
| CPUE | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Actual value | 31.4 | 28.8 | 15.0 | 29.1 | 26.8 | 77.7 | 67.3 | 53.3 |
| Mean length age 2+ at capture | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Actual value | 16.0 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 18.5 |
| CPUE $\geq 15$ in | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Actual value | 18.8 | 16.9 | 5.0 | 14.9 | 17.8 | 58.0 | 48.3 | 26.3 |
| CPUE age-1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Actual value | 7.90 | 12.6 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 20.3 | 16.9 | 27.7 |
| Total score | 11 | 15 | 9 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
| Assessment rating | Good | Excellent | Fair | Excellent | Excellent | Excellent | Excellent | Excellent |
| Instantaneous mortality | 0.67 | 0.87 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 1.01 | 0.62 | 0.40 |
| Annual mortality | 48.60 | 58.20 | 36.00 | 46.40 | 35.60 | 63.40 | 46.10 | 33.20 |

EFDFLHAF.D00-D14
EFDFLHGF.D00-D14

Table 55. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass and walleye collected in 1.00 hour of 15-min electrofishing runs in Martins Fork Lake (330 acres) on 6 May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| LMB |  | 9 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 106 | 106.0 | (18.9) |
| SB | 5 | 3 |  | 1 | 7 | 9 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 29.0 | (8.7) |
| SMB | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 | (1.0) |
| Coosa | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7.0 | (2.5) |
| Walleye |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 | (1.0) |

LMB = largemouth bass
SB = spotted bass
SMB = smallmouth bass
EFDMLLSS.D14

Table 56. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Martins Fork Lake (330 acres). S.E. = standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2003 | 14.0 | 3.7 | 22.0 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 68.0 | 15.7 |
| 2004 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 89.3 | 19.2 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 |  | 101.3 | 26.8 |
| 2005 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 23.2 | 6.0 | 17.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 |  | 50.4 | 10.8 |
| 2006 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 19.9 | 6.0 | 13.3 | 3.0 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 |  | 51.7 | 10.7 |
| 2007 | 7.9 | 3.3 | 48.6 | 13.3 | 15.7 | 2.6 | 21.1 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 93.3 | 19.3 |
| 2008 | 7.8 | 4.8 | 19.5 | 7.2 | 20.2 | 3.7 | 19.4 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 66.9 | 12.2 |
| 2009 | 11.2 | 4.1 | 19.9 | 3.3 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 11.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 51.8 | 7.4 |
| 2010 | 17.6 | 6.3 | 26.4 | 16.4 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 19.2 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 71.2 | 22.8 |
| 2011 | 23.2 | 5.6 | 34.4 | 9.7 | 16.8 | 3.9 | 16.0 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 90.4 | 12.8 |
| 2012 | 16.8 | 4.6 | 12.0 | 3.8 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 44.8 | 8.3 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ple |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 38.0 | 6.6 | 46.0 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 6.2 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 106.0 | 18.9 |

Table 57. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring nocturnal electrofishing samples in Martins Fork Lake (330 acres) in May 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ |
| 68 | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (21-44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (7-25) \end{gathered}$ | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (0-23) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |

EFDMLLSS.D14

Table 58. Spring electrofishing population assessment for largemouth bass collected from Martins Fork Lake (330 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Mean length age-3 at capture | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | (14.3) | (14.3) | (14.3) | (14.3) | (14.3) | (11.8) | (11.8) | (11.8) | (11.8) | (12.0) |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | 1 | , | 1 | , | 1 | 1 | (1) | , | 1 | 2 |
|  | (10.9) | (5.4) | (10.0) | (10.1) | (10.0) | (7.2) | (4.8) | (11.2) | (8.8) | (22.0) |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | (4.0) | (17.6) | (13.3) | (15.7) | (20.2) | (9.6) | (8.0) | (16.8) | (5.6) | (11.0) |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | (5.3) | (4.8) | (9.3) | (21.1) | (19.4) | (11.2) | (19.2) | (16.0) | (10.4) | (11.0) |
| Spring CPUE >20.0 in | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.7) | (1.6) | (0.8) | (1.6) | (0.8) | (0.8) | (0.8) | (1.0) |
| Total score <br> Assessment rating | 8 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 |
|  | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 2.04 | 1.08 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.54 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 87.00 | 66.00 | 55.70 | 55.10 | 38.40 | 41.60 | 31.30 | 28.40 | 41.60 |  |

EFDMLLSS.D03-D12, D14
EFDMLLAS.D03, D09
EFDMLLAF.D14

Table 59. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass and walleye collected at Martins Fork Lake ( 330 acres) during 1.25 hours of 15 minute nocturnal electrofishing samples on 22 September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |
| LMB | 4 | 18 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 83 | 66.40 | (21.41) |
| SB | 5 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 53.60 | (11.77) |
| SMB | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3.20 | (0.80) |
| Coosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.00 |  |
| Walleye |  |  |  | 4 | 12 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 16.80 | (4.45) |
| LMB = largemouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB = spotted bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMB = smallmouth bass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDMLLSF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 60. Electrofishing indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected during 2002-2014 at Martins Fork Lake (330 acres); CPUE $=\mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{hr}$, SE = standard error.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2002 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 34.4 | 8.6 | 25.6 | 7.9 | 15.3 | 3.6 |
| 2003 | no fall sam |  |  |  |  |  | 77.5 | 18.5 |
| 2004 | no fall s |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 5.9 |
| 2005 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 32.0 | 4.3 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 10.0 | 2.3 |
| 2006 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 38.4 | 14.5 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 10.1 | 3.4 |
| 2007 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 28.7 | 8.7 | 10.4 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 5.1 |
| 2008 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 31.9 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 2.9 |
| 2009 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 23.2 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 2.0 |
| 2010 | 5.2 | 0.2 | 40.0 | 11.6 | 26.7 | 9.3 | 11.2 | 3.4 |
| 2011 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 20.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 8.8 | 2.7 |
| 2012 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 28.8 | 4.6 | 13.6 | 3.9 | no sample |  |
| 2013 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 21.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 22.0 | 5.3 |
| 2014 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 39.2 | 11.8 | 21.6 | 8.2 |  |  |
| EFDMLLSF.D02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDMLLSF.D05-D13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDMLLSS.D03-D12, D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDMLLAS.D03, D09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDMLLAF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 61. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus for largemouth bass collected from Martins Fork Lake (330 acres) on 22 September 2014, including 95\% confidence intervals.


Table 62. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 3.75 hours of 15-minute nocturnal electrofishing samples in Paintsville Lake (1,150 acres) on 7 May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Species/Area | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMB |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.8 | (0.8) |
| SB |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 10.4 | (4.3) |
| LMB | 1 | 6 | 16 | 24 | 15 | 2 | 28 | 28 | 37 | 32 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 208 | 166.4 | (14.3) |
| Middle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SB |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 5.6 | (2.0) |
| LMB | 1 | 12 | 41 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 25 | 13 | 19 | 24 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 165 | 132.0 | (10.8) |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| SB |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.6 | (1.6) |
| LMB | 10 | 23 | 40 | 24 | 11 | 23 | 32 | 19 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 212 | 169.6 | (15.6) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMB | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 | (0.3) |
| SB | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 5.9 | (1.8) |
| LMB | 12 | 41 | 97 | 55 | 29 | 33 | 85 | 60 | 64 | 69 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 585 | 156.0 | (8.6) |

SMB = smallmouth bass
SB = spotted bass
LMB = largemouth bass
EFDPLLSS.D14

Table 63. Spring nocturnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Paintsville Lake (1,150 acres). SE = standard error.


Table 64. PSD and RSD values obtained for each black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Paintsville Lake (1,150 acres) on 7 May 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | PSD | RSD ${ }_{15}$ | No. | PSD | RSD ${ }_{14}$ |
| Lower | 146 | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (27-43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (2-10) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (0-59) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| Middle | 101 | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (26-45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (0-8) \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 0 |  |
| Upper | 104 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (13-29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (0-6) \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (0-148) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (0-148) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 351 | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (26-36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (2-7) \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (0-37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (0-25) \end{gathered}$ |

EFDPLLSS.D14

Table 65. Spring nocturnal electrofishing population assessment for largemouth bass collected in Paintsville Lake (1,150 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (10.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (95.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (61.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (75.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (43.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (43.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (51.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (35.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (58.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (35.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (68.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (64.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (63.73) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (19.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (17.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (35.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (13.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (11.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (9.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (13.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (9.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (9.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (24.80) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (4.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.27) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.44) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (1.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.29) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.80) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 11 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 13 |
| Assessment rating | Fair | Fair | Good | Fair | Fair | Fair | Poor | Good | Poor | Fair | Fair | Good |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.95 | 1.15 | 1.10 | 1.02 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 1.12 | 1.18 | 0.57 |  |  |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 61.30 | 68.20 | 66.60 | 63.80 | 68.60 | 69.10 | 67.40 | 69.40 | 83.70 |  |  |  |
| ```EFDPLLSS.D03-D14 EFDPLLAS.D03, D06, D11 EFDPLLAF.D12``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 66. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in 3.00 hours of 15 -minute nocturnal electrofishing samples in Paints ville Lake (1,150 acres) 15 October 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area/ |  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SMB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | SB |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4.7 | (3.9) |
|  | LMB | 4 | 24 | 36 | 44 | 9 | 8 | 23 | 30 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 215 | 143.3 | (20.4) |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SMB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 | (0.7) |
|  | LMB | 2 | 9 | 24 | 22 | 8 | 21 | 35 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 152 | 101.3 | (19.2) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SMB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | SB | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2.7 | (2.0) |
|  | LMB | 6 | 33 | 60 | 66 | 17 | 29 | 58 | 44 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367 | 122.3 | (14.8) |

SMB = smallmouth bass
SB= spotted bass
LMB = largemouth bass
EFDPLLSF.D14

Table 67. Nocturnal electrofishing indices of year class strength at age-0 and age-1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected at Paintsville Lake (1,150 acres); CPUE $=$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$.

| Year class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95.2 | 20.1 |
| 2003 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 31.3 | 6.1 | 14.0 | 2.2 | 61.4 | 10.7 |
| 2004 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 65.7 | 10.8 | 37.3 | 8.6 | 75.6 | 29.2 |
| 2005 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 46.0 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 43.5 | 5.9 |
| 2006 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 72.4 | 12.0 | 33.6 | 5.1 | 44.0 | 8.4 |
| 2007 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 52.4 | 24.0 | 30.2 | 15.6 | 51.5 | 7.3 |
| 2008 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 24.8 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 5.2 | 35.6 | 9.7 |
| 2009 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 64.6 | 13.3 | 23.1 | 10.7 | 58.1 | 17.6 |
| 2010 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 86.4 | 19.5 | 31.5 | 6.9 | 35.6 | 6.7 |
| 2011 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 36.3 | 7.2 | 19.7 | 4.3 | 68.8 | 11.1 |
| 2012 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 58.1 | 10.6 | 32.3 | 7.3 | 64.9 | 5.0 |
| 2013 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 111.7 | 13.8 | 53.1 | 5.0 | 63.7 | 8.3 |
| 2014 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 60.0 | 11.0 | 27.0 | 7.3 |  |  |
| EFDPLLSF.D03-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDPLLSS.D02-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDPLLAS.D03, D06, D11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDPLLAF.D12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 68. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of walleye collected at Paintsville Lake ( 1,150 acres) during 2.25 hours of daytime electrofishing on 2 April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Year | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1314 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 5.1 | (0.0) |
| 2001 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 7.3 | (0.0) |
| 2002 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  | 9 | 5.1 | (2.6) |
| 2004 | 21 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 16 | 6.4 | (2.3) |
| 2005 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 29.0 | (13.2) |
| 2007 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 34 | 7.9 | (4.1) |
| 2009 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 11 | 2.2 | (1.1) |
| 2010 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  | 36 | 8.6 | (2.7) |
| 2011 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 18 | 5.2 | (2.2) |
| 2012 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 19 | 8.4 | (3.5) |

Table 69. Spring electrofishing population assessment for the walleye population at Paintsville Lake. Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2014 |
| Population Density | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (CPUE all fish) | $(7.9)$ | $(2.2)$ | $(8.6)$ | $(5.2)$ | $(8.4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| (mean length age 3 at capture) | $(17.4)$ | $(17.4)$ | $(17.4)$ | $(17.4)$ | $(17.4)$ |
| Size structure | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| (CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in) | $(3.5)$ | $(1.3)$ | $(7.0)$ | $(2.4)$ | $(5.3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Recruitment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (CPUE <13.0 in) | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ |
| Total score | 7 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 |
| Assessment rating | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| Annual mortality (A) |  |  |  |  |  |

EFDPLWSS.D08-D14
EFDPLWAS.D08

Table 70. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/hr) of black and white crappie collected at Paintsville Lake ( 1,150 acres) during 1.50 hours of daytime spring electrofishing on 21 March 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

|  | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | CPUE |  |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 8 | 5.3 |
| Black crappie |  | 2 | $3.2)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White crappie | 42 | 58 | 61 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 199 | 132.7 | $(25.1)$ |
| EFDPLCSS.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 71. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of black and white crappie collected at Paintsville Lake ( 1,150 acres). SE=standard error.

| Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | >8.0 in |  |  |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  | $\geq 8.0$ in |  | $\geq 10.0$ in |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WC |  | BC |  | WC |  | BC |  | all crappie |  | all crappie |  | WC |  | BC |  |
| Year | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2008 | 3.8 | 1.5 |  |  | 1.4 | 0.5 |  |  | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 5.8 |  |  |
| 2009 | 5.2 | 2.5 |  |  | 1.6 | 1.1 |  |  | 5.2 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 39.0 | 21.3 |  |  |
| 2010 | 6.1 | 2.4 |  |  | 1.4 | 0.9 |  |  | 6.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 22.6 | 10.4 |  |  |
| 2011 | 11.6 | 3.9 |  |  | 4.4 | 1.7 |  |  | 11.6 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 35.3 | 14.5 |  |  |
| 2014 | 25.3 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 9.3 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 27.3 | 6.1 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 132.7 | 25.1 | 5.3 | 3.2 |

EFDPLCSS.D08-D14
Table 72. PSD and RSD values for black and white crappie taken in spring electrofishing samples at Paintsville Lake ( 1,150 acres) on 21 March 2014; $95 \%$ confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Species | No. $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White crappie | 199 | 19 <br> $(14-25)$ | 7 <br> $(5-11)$ |
| Black crappie |  | 38 <br> $(2-73)$ | 13 <br> $(0-37)$ |

EFDPLCSS.D14
Table 73. Length frequency and electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected at Pan Bowl Lake ( 98 acres) during 0.75 hour of 7.5 -minute daytime runs on 24 April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 30 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 29 | 19 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 127 | 169.3 | (24.6) |

Table 74. Spring daytime electrofishing catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Pan Bowl Lake (98 acres). Nocturnal electrofishing was used 1992-2000. CPUE $=$ fish/hr, SE $=$ standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 1992 | 19.4 |  | 22.3 |  | 14.3 |  | 25.7 |  | 1.1 |  | 81.7 |  |
| 1993 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 20.0 |  | 56.0 |  | 9.0 |  | 14.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 99.0 | 27.4 |
| 1997 | 12.1 |  | 39.5 |  | 8.1 |  | 15.3 |  | 0.8 |  | 75.0 | 19.9 |
| 1998 | 26.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 10.0 |  | 3.0 |  | 61.0 | 20.6 |
| 1999 | 17.3 |  | 24.7 |  | 30.0 |  | 15.3 |  | 4.0 |  | 87.3 | 22.7 |
| 2000 | 34.0 |  | 52.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 34.7 |  | 8.7 |  | 138.7 | 21.8 |
| 2001 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 28.8 | 10.2 | 47.2 | 9.6 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 25.6 | 4.1 | 3.2 |  | 113.6 | 20.5 |
| 2004 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 12.8 | 4.1 | 65.8 | 13.3 | 9.4 | 3.6 | 18.0 | 4.3 | 1.8 |  | 106.0 | 18.9 |
| 2006 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 90.3 | 26.6 | 149.7 | 20.2 | 12.6 | 3.9 | 22.9 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 2.7 | 275.4 | 39.2 |
| 2008 | 28.0 | 10.0 | 91.0 | 15.6 | 21.5 | 6.4 | 18.0 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 158.5 | 26.9 |
| 2009 | 50.4 | 8.4 | 120.0 | 17.8 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 190.0 | 22.6 |
| 2010 | 72.0 | 22.5 | 105.0 | 19.4 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 10.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 194.0 | 32.1 |
| 2011 | 102.0 | 10.9 | 108.0 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 225.0 | 20.0 |
| 2012 | 37.0 | 10.7 | 81.0 | 13.9 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 123.0 | 21.9 |
| 2013 | no data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 81.3 | 16.2 | 86.7 | 15.7 | 0.0 |  | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |

Table 75. PSD and RSD values for largemouth bass taken in spring electrofishing samples in Pan Bowl Lake (98 acres) on 24 April 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| No. | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 2 | 2 |
|  | $(0-4)$ | $(0-4)$ |

EFDPBLSS.D14

Table 76. Population assessment for largemouth bass collected during spring at Pan Bowl Lake ( 98 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Mean length age 3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (19.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (72.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (17.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (43.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (51.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (95.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (76.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (12.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (21.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (25.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (22.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (6.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 11 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 7 |
| Assessment rating | Fair | Fair | Good | Good | Fair | Fair | Fair | Fair | Poor |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.99 |
| Annual mortality (A) | 30.30 | 31.20 | 35.20 | 34.10 | 46.10 | 47.60 | 41.90 | 44.30 | 63.20 |

EFDPBLAS.D07

Table 77. Length frequency and electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of largemouth bass collected in approximately 0.875 hours of $7.5-\mathrm{min}$. electrofishing runs in Pikeville City Lake ( 20 acres) on 21 April 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | Total | CPUE |  |
| 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 92 | 105.1 | $(8.8)$ |

EFDHALSS.D14

Table 78. Spring electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass collected at Pikeville City Lake (20 acres). SE = standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2004 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 30.8 | 8.9 | 2.6 |  | 64.1 | 2.6 |
| 2005 | 12.8 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 51.3 | 9.5 | 8.9 |  | 76.9 | 8.1 |
| 2006 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 34.8 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 49.0 | 6.2 | 1.3 |  | 92.9 | 9.1 |
| 2007 | 43.2 | 15.1 | 11.2 | 3.2 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 46.4 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 3.0 | 108.8 | 24.3 |
| 2008 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 48.0 | 7.5 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 50.7 | 7.4 | 10.7 | 4.9 | 120.0 | 16.7 |
| 2009 | 22.7 | 4.8 | 18.7 | 4.9 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 25.3 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 76.0 | 6.1 |
| 2010 | 22.9 | 3.2 | 21.7 | 5.4 | 21.7 | 7.6 | 52.6 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 118.9 | 10.1 |
| 2011 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 36.0 | 6.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 54.7 | 9.1 |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | no s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 11.4 | 3.4 | 22.9 | 2.1 | 13.7 | 3.4 | 57.1 | 9.1 | 11.4 | 3.0 | 105.1 | 8.8 |

Table 79. PSD and RSD values obtained for largemouth bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples in Pikeville City Lake (20 acres) on 21 April 2014; 95\%
confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| No. | PSD | RSD $_{15}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 76 | 61 |
|  | $(66-85)$ | $(50-72)$ |

EFDHALSS.D14

Table 80. Species composition, relative abundance and CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected in approximately 3.00 hours of 15 -minute electrofishing samples at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) on 19 May 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area | Species | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | Total |  |  |
| Lower | LMB | 4 | 14 | 31 | 15 | 7 | 23 | 25 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 212 | 141.3 | (18.3) |
|  | SB |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 12.0 | (5.0) |
| Upper | LMB | 1 | 7 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 39 | 34 | 28 | 21 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 249 | 166.0 | (8.7) |
|  | SB |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3.3 | (2.2) |
| Total | LMB | 5 | 21 | 57 | 37 | 18 | 62 | 59 | 47 | 35 | 34 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 21 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 461 | 153.7 | (10.3) |
|  | SB | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 7.7 | (2.9) |

LMB =largemouth bass
SB = spotted bass
EFDYLLSS.D14

Table 81. Spring nocturnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) for each length group of largemouth bass at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres). SE = standard error.

| Year | Length group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <8.0 in |  | 8.0-11.9 in |  | 12.0-14.9 in |  | $\geq 15.0$ in |  | $\geq 20.0$ in |  |  |  |
|  | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 1993 | 153.7 |  | 82.9 |  | 20.1 |  | 7.4 |  | 0.0 |  | 264.0 |  |
| 1994 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 21.5 |  | 65.5 |  | 7.8 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.0 |  | 96.3 | 11.5 |
| 1997 | 50.7 |  | 23.7 |  | 16.7 |  | 2.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 93.0 | 10.5 |
| 1998 | 10.7 |  | 25.7 |  | 16.3 |  | 5.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 58.3 | 7.2 |
| 1999 | 42.7 |  | 29.0 |  | 16.3 |  | 13.7 |  | 0.3 |  | 101.7 | 12.2 |
| 2000 | 63.3 | 8.0 | 55.7 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 |  | 135.5 | 13.7 |
| 2001 | 35.0 | 7.0 | 58.3 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 2.1 | 0.3 |  | 122.3 | 7.8 |
| 2002 | 54.3 | 7.8 | 50.0 | 4.4 | 19.3 | 2.9 | 16.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 |  | 140.3 | 7.4 |
| 2003 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 12.7 | 2.8 | 40.3 | 10.5 | 23.7 | 5.1 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |  | 85.7 | 19.4 |
| 2005 | 43.7 | 7.8 | 61.3 | 6.6 | 42.0 | 4.7 | 21.7 | 2.1 | 0.3 |  | 168.7 | 15.4 |
| 2006 | 47.3 | 7.4 | 68.0 | 10.3 | 20.3 | 2.2 | 16.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 |  | 151.7 | 17.5 |
| 2007 | 47.7 | 5.9 | 62.3 | 5.7 | 31.3 | 4.2 | 15.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 |  | 157.1 | 10.7 |
| 2008 | 47.0 | 8.4 | 38.3 | 3.8 | 20.4 | 3.7 | 16.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 |  | 122.3 | 10.3 |
| 2009 | 28.6 | 5.4 | 68.3 | 7.5 | 30.6 | 2.8 | 16.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 |  | 144.1 | 9.7 |
| 2010 | 44.0 | 6.3 | 57.0 | 8.7 | 19.3 | 3.8 | 11.0 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 131.3 | 11.7 |
| 2011 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 23.2 | 2.8 | 49.2 | 7.4 | 21.6 | 2.6 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 102.4 | 10.3 |
| 2013 | no sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 46.0 | 2.7 | 67.7 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 2.7 | 16.7 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 153.7 | 10.3 |

Table 82. PSD and RSD values for black bass species taken in spring electrofishing samples in each area of Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) on 19 May 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| Area | Largemouth bass |  |  | Spotted bass |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$ | No. | PSD | $\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$ |
| Lower | 141 | 43 | 21 | 17 | 29 | 0 |
|  |  | (34-51) | (15-28) |  | (7-52) |  |
| Upper | 182 | 33 | 11 | 2 | 50 | 0 |
|  |  | (26-40) | (6-16) |  | (0-148) |  |
| Total | 323 | 37 | 16 | 19 | 32 | 0 |
|  |  | (32-42) | (12-19) |  | (10-53) |  |

EFDYLLSS.D14

Table 83. Spring nocturnal electrofishing population assessment for largemouth bass collected at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres). Actual values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 2002 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Mean length age-3 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (12.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (12.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (52.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (42.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (45.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (47.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (45.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (28.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (42.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (19.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (37.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE 12.0-14.9 in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (19.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (23.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (42.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (31.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (20.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (30.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (19.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (21.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (23.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 15.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (9.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (21.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (15.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (11.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (8.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Spring CPUE $\geq 20.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total score | 14 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 13 |
| Assessment rating | Good | Fair | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Fair | Good |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 0.86 | 1.07 | 0.91 | 1.23 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.91 | 1.22 | 0.79 |  |
| Annual mortality (A) | 57.80 | 65.80 | 59.80 | 70.70 | 55.20 | 50.20 | 59.80 | 70.40 | 54.60 |  |

EFDYLLSS.D02-D10, D12
EFDYLLAS.D05, D06, D12

Table 84. Length frequency and nocturnal electrofishing CPUE (fish/hr) of black bass collected at Yatesville Lake ( 2,280 acres) during 3.00 hours of 15-minute samples on 29 September 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Area/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ch c | lass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | Total | CPU |  |
| Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMB | 2 | 24 | 63 | 34 | 10 | 6 | 33 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 210 | 140.0 | (48.0) |
| SB | 3 | 28 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 42.7 | (16.7) |
| Upper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMB | 3 | 16 | 42 | 35 | 9 | 6 | 35 | 25 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 218 | 145.3 | (10.1) |
| SB |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4.7 | (3.9) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LMB | 5 | 40 | 105 | 69 | 19 | 12 | 68 | 47 | 23 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 428 | 142.7 | (23.4) |
| SB | 3 | 28 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 23.7 | (10.0) |

LMB = largemouth bass
SB= spotted bass
EFDYLLSF. 14

Table 85. Fall electrofishing indices of year class strength at age 0 and age 1 and mean lengths (in) of largemouth bass collected during 2003-2014 at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres); CPUE = fish/hr, SE = standard error.

| Year <br> class | Age 0 |  | Age 0 |  | Age $0 \geq 5.0$ in |  | Age 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean <br> length | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE | CPUE | SE |
| 2003 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 46.0 | 6.3 | 29.3 | 4.4 | 12.7 | 2.8 |
| 2004 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 69.5 | 13.5 | 32.5 | 10.8 | 42.3 | 7.1 |
| 2005 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 47.0 | 12.3 | 20.0 | 7.1 | 45.9 | 7.2 |
| 2006 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 29.5 | 7.8 | 13.8 | 3.8 | 47.0 | 6.0 |
| 2007 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 37.4 | 10.6 | 23.2 | 6.1 | 45.0 | 8.1 |
| 2008 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 45.9 | 7.8 | 28.4 | 6.0 | 28.2 | 5.3 |
| 2009 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 32.7 | 6.5 | 16.3 | 4.0 | 42.6 | 6.4 |
| 2010 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 78.6 | 11.5 | 45.1 | 8.7 | no sample |  |
| 2011 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 55.3 | 9.6 | 28.7 | 4.9 | 19.4 | 2.5 |
| 2012 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 82.9 | 20.0 | 45.1 | 10.1 | no sample |  |
| 2013 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 39.6 | 5.8 | 25.6 | 5.0 | 37.0 | 2.9 |
| 2014 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 79.3 | 14.8 | 29.3 | 7.8 |  |  |
| EFDYLLSS.D03-D10, D12 D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDYLLSF.D03-D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFDYLL | .D05, D | D12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 86. Length frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) for white crappie collected at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) in 20 net-nights from 25-26 November 2014. Standard errors are in parentheses.

| Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Total | CPUE |  |
| 46 | 74 | 493 | 290 | 143 | 121 | 86 | 99 | 67 | 33 | 11 | 1463 | 69.7 | $(10.7)$ |

Table 87. PSD and RSD values calculated for white crappie collected in trap nets at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) during November 2014; 95\% confidence intervals are in parentheses.

| No. $\geq 5.0$ in | PSD | RSD $_{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,343 | 31 | 16 |
|  | $(29-34)$ | $(14-18)$ |
| EFDYLCTF.D14 |  |  |

Table 88. Mean back-calculated length (in) at each annulus for white crappie collected from Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) in November 2014, including 95\% confidence intervals.

| Year <br> class | No. | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 2013 | 8 | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 17 | 4.1 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 19 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 49 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 42 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.4 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 19 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 10.0 |  |  |
| 2007 | 8 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 10.4 |  |
| 2006 | 1 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 10.9 |
| Mean |  | 4.2 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 10.9 |
| Smallest |  | 2.8 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 10.9 |
| Largest |  | 6.2 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 10.9 |
| STD error |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |  |
| 95\% CI LO |  | 4.1 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 9.5 |  |
| 95\% CI HI |  | 4.2 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 10.3 | 11.1 |  |
| Intercept = 0 <br> EFDYLCAF.D14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 89. Age frequency and CPUE (fish/nn) of white crappie collected by trap netting for 20 net-nights at Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres) in November 2014; numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

| Age | Inch class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Age\% | CPUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 3 | 2.2 | (0.6) |
| 1 |  | 74 | 87 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 | 12 | 8.2 | (2.0) |
| 2 |  |  | 203 | 56 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 293 | 20 | 13.9 | (2.6) |
| 3 |  |  | 116 | 89 | 18 | 21 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 249 | 17 | 11.9 | (2.2) |
| 4 |  |  | 87 | 56 | 72 | 68 | 40 | 33 | 16 | 3 |  | 375 | 26 | 17.9 | (2.5) |
| 5 |  |  |  | 67 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 44 | 32 | 9 | 2 | 232 | 16 | 11.1 | (1.5) |
| 6 |  |  |  | 11 | 9 |  | 5 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 6 | 70 | 5 | 3.3 | (0.5) |
| 7 |  |  |  | 14 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 47 | 2 | 1.1 | (0.2) |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 0 | 0.1 | (0.0) |
| Total | 46 | 74 | 493 | 304 | 150 | 124 | 85 | 100 | 67 | 33 | 11 | 1487 | 100 |  |  |
| \% | 3 | 5 | 34 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |

CPUE of $\geq 8.0$ in (quality size) $=19.9$ fish/hr
CPUE of $\geq 10.0$ in (preferred size) $=10.0$ fish $/ \mathrm{hr}$
EFDYLCAF.D14
EFDYLCTF.D14

Table 90. Population assessment scores for white crappie collected from Yatesville Lake (2,280 acres). Actual assessment values are in parentheses. Scoring based on statewide assessment.

| Parameter | Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2009 | 2012 | 2014 |
| CPUE <br> (excluding age 0 ) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (19.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (28.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (58.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (26.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (39.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (67.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE age 1 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE age 0 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (23.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (6.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| CPUE $\geq 8.0$ in | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (13.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (6.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (19.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean length age 2 at capture | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (6.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Instantaneous mortality (z) | 1.08 | 0.59 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.43 | 0.72 |
| Annual Mortality (A) | 66.0 | 45.0 | 62.4 | 63.6 | 34.9 | 51.4 |
| Total score | 9 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| Assessment rating | Fair | Good | Good | Good | Fair | Good |
| EFDYLCTF.D02, D04, D06, D EFDYLCAF.D02, D04, D06, | $\begin{aligned} & 12, \text { D14 } \\ & 12, \text { D14 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Q3. Which species of fish do you fish for at Buckhorn Lake? Bass

Frequency Percent

| Bass | 20 | $62.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Crappie | 21 | $65.6 \%$ |
| Muskie | 14 | $43.8 \%$ |
| Catfish | 11 | $34.4 \%$ |
| Bluegill | 3 | $9.4 \%$ |

Q4. Which one species do you fish for most often at Buckhorn Lake?

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Bass | 12 | $38.7 \%$ |
| Crappie | 11 | $35.5 \%$ |
| Muskie | 7 | $22.6 \%$ |
| Catfish | 1 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Total | 31 |  |
| No Response | 1 |  |

Q5. What level of satisfaction do you have with Bass fishing at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent

| Very Satisfied | 2 | $8.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat Satisfied | 9 | $36.0 \%$ |
| Neutral | 7 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat Dissatisfied | 5 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Very Dissatisfied | 2 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Total | 25 |  |
| No Response | 7 |  |

Q5a. What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction with Bass fishing?
Frequency Percent

| Number of fish | 4 | $50.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Size of fish | 3 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Not happy with regulations | 1 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Too many anglers | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unfamiliar with lake | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 8 |  |
| No Response | 24 |  |

Q6. What level of satisfaction do you have with Crappie fishing at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent

| Very Satisfied | 4 | $19.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat Satisfied | 5 | $23.8 \%$ |
| Neutral | 6 | $28.6 \%$ |
| Somewhat Dissatisfied | 4 | $19.0 \%$ |
| Very Dissatisfied | 1 | $4.8 \%$ |
| No Opinion | 1 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Total | 21 |  |
| No Response | 11 |  |

Q6a. What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction with Crappie fishing?
Frequency Percent

| Number of fish | 1 | $20.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Size of fish | 4 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Not happy with regulations | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Too many anglers | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unfamiliar with lake | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 5 |  |
| No Response | 27 |  |

Q7. What level of satisfaction do you have with Muskie fishing at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent

| Very Satisfied | 4 | $26.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Somewhat Satisfied | 10 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Neutral | 1 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Somewhat Dissatisfied | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Very Dissatisfied | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| No Opinion | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 15 |  |
| No Response | 17 |  |

Q7a. What is the single most important reason for your dissatisfaction with Muskie fishing?
Frequency Percent

| Number of fish | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Size of fish | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Not happy with regulations | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Too many anglers | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unfamiliar with lake | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | 1 |  |
| No Response | 31 |  |

Q7b. What is the single most important reason for your satisfaction with Muskie fishing?
Frequency Percent

| Number of fish | 5 | $35.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Size of fish | 9 | $64.3 \%$ |
| Like regulations | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| The regular stocking | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 14 |  |
| No Response | 18 |  |

Q8. Over the last 3 years, has your catch rate of Muskie less than 36 inches at Buckhorn Lake...
Frequency Percent

| Increased | 2 | $13.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Stayed the same | 8 | $53.3 \%$ |
| Declined | 3 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Don't know | 2 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Total | 15 |  |
| No Response | 17 |  |

Q9. Over the last 3 years, has your catch rate of Muskie greater than 36 inches at Buckhorn Lake...
Frequency Percent

| Increased | 3 | $20.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Stayed the same | 7 | $46.7 \%$ |
| Declined | 4 | $26.7 \%$ |
| Don't know | 1 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Total | 15 |  |
| No Response | 17 |  |

Q10. About what percentage of legal muskie did you keep in the last 3 years at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent

| All or almost all | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| About $25 \%$ | 2 | $13.3 \%$ |
| About $50 \%$ | 1 | $6.7 \%$ |
| About $75 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Didn't keep any or very few | 12 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Total | 15 |  |
| No Response | 17 |  |

Q11. Do you fish muskie tournaments on Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent
Yes 2 13.3\%
No 13 86.7\%
Total 15
No Response 17

Q11a. About how many muskie tournaments did you fish on Buckhorn Lake in the last 12 months?
Frequency Percent

| $1-2$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $3-4$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ |
| $4-5$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 6 or more | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 2 |  |
| No Response | 30 |  |

Q12. On average, how many times do you fish Buckhorn Lake each month?
Frequency Percent

| Less than 1 | 6 | $18.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1-4$ | 17 | $53.1 \%$ |
| $5-10$ | 8 | $25.0 \%$ |
| More than 10 | 1 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Total | 32 |  |
| No Response | 0 |  |

Q13. Do you support or oppose the current 15 inch minimum length limit on Largemouth Bass at Buckhorn Lake??

|  | Frequency Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Support | 21 | $65.6 \%$ |
| Oppose | 5 | $15.6 \%$ |
| No Opinion | 6 | $18.8 \%$ |
| Total | 32 |  |
| No Response | 0 |  |

Q13a. What Largemouth Bass size limit would you prefer at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent

| Keep as is | 16 | $61.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Catch and Release only | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| No size limit | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| slot 15 "-18" | 1 | $3.8 \%$ |
| $12 "$ Minimum | 7 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Total | 26 |  |
| No Response | 6 |  |

Q14. Do you support or oppose the current 9 inch minimum size limit on Crappie at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent

| Support | 21 | $65.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Oppose | 6 | $18.8 \%$ |
| No Opinion | 5 | $15.6 \%$ |
| Total | 32 |  |
| No Response | 0 |  |

Q14a. What Crappie size limit would you prefer at Buckhorn Lake?

|  | Frequency Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Keep as is | 15 | $60.0 \%$ |
| Catch and Release only | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| No size limit | 5 | $20.0 \%$ |
| $10 "$ minimum | 3 | $12.0 \%$ |
| $12 "$ minimum | 2 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Total | 25 |  |
| No Response | 7 |  |

Q15. Do you support or oppose the current 36 inch minimum size limit on Muskie at Buckhorn Lake?
Frequency Percent
Support
20 62.5\%
Oppose
11 34.4\%
No Opinion
$13.1 \%$
Total
32
No Response
0

Q15a. What Muskie size limit would you prefer at Buckhorn Lake?

|  | Frequency Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Keep as is | 11 | $45.8 \%$ |
| Catch and release only | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| No size limit | 3 | $12.5 \%$ |
| 30" Minimum | 4 | $16.7 \%$ |
| 31" Minimum | 1 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 40" Minimum | 5 | $20.8 \%$ |
| Total | 24 |  |
| No Response | 8 |  |

## STATEWIDE FISH HABITAT IMPROVEMENT

## Public Lakes Fertilization

| Lake | County | Size (acres) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwestern Fishery District Subtotal |  | 38 |
| Peabody WMA (Honeycomb, Boot and Little Gill lakes) | Muhlenburg | 20 |
| Washburn | Ohio | 18 |
| Southwestern Fishery District Subtotal |  | 68 |
| Marion County Lake | Marion | 25 |
| Spurlington Lake | Taylor | 25 |
| Briggs Lake | Logan | 18 |
| Eastern Fishery District Subtotal |  | 742 |
| Carr Creek Lake | Knott | 710 |
| Fishpond Lake | Letcher | 32 |

Fish Habitat Improvement - Fish Attractors

| District / Lake | Fish Attractor Sites |
| :---: | :---: |
| Western Fishery District |  |
| Barkley Lake | 3 units of brush were used to create 1 new shallow site; 48 Christmas trees were used to build deep water fish attractor sites (18 units for new sites and 30 units for refurbishing existing sites) |
| Kentucky Lake | 219 units of brush were used to create 53 new deep water fish attractor sites with GPS coordinates available; 81 units of brush were used to refurbish existing deep water sites marked with bouys; 171 Christmas trees were used to refurbish shallow and deep water fish attractor sites, 11 existing deepwater sites marked with bouys, and 4 existing shallow water sites; 205 Christmas trees were used to create 13 new, unmarked deep water sites with GPS coordinates available, and 2 new shallow water sites; 182 stake beds were refurbished and 7 new stake beds were created |
| Energy Lake (LBL) | 18 hardwood trees were used to build new fish attractor sites; 1 new location marked with a bouy and 5 new unmarked sites with GPS coordinates available |
| Benton Lake (Clarks River Nation Wildlife Refuge) | 33 bundles of small cedar trees were used to create 4 new shallow water fish attractor sites in a small public fishing pond in Benton, KY; sites were marked with white poles |
| Northwestern Fishery District |  |
| Peabody WMA Lakes |  |
| Island Lake | 23 stake bucket attractors |
| Bell Lake | 20 stake bucket attractors |
| Goose Lake | 13 stake bucket attractors; 1 "beaver lodge" built with pallets and brush |
| Nolin River Lake |  |
| Dog Creek (lower) | 22 mature ( $30+\mathrm{ft}$ ) flood-killed cedar trees |
| Moutardier | 16 mature ( $30+\mathrm{ft}$.) flood-killed cedar trees |
| Southwestern Fishery District |  |
| Barren River Lake | 2 new brush sites, 6 refurbished brush sites. |
| Green River Lake | 5 new brush sites, 3 refurbished brush sites, 8 new stakebeds, 7 refurbished stakebeds. |
| Briggs Lake | 2 refurbished brush sites. |
| Shanty Hollow Lake | 4 new brushpiles (200+x-mas trees). |
| Marion County Lake | 3 new pallet tree sites. |
| Mill Creek Lake | 2 refurbished brush sites ( $30+\mathrm{xmas}$ trees), 3 new cedar tree brush sites. |
| Metcalfe County Lake | 1 stake bed, 1 refurbished brush site. |
| Three Springs Lake | 5 refurbished brush sites. |

Fish Habitat Improvement - Fish Attractors

| District / Lake | Fish Attractor Sites |
| :---: | :---: |
| Central Fishery District |  |
| Elmer Davis Lake | 16 shallow water brush piles (2 trees per unit); 1 deep water brush pile (6 trees per unit) |
| Northeastern Fishery District |  |
| Cave Run Lake | 3 refurbished brush sites (Christmast tree sites - 108 trees); 2 largescale improvement projects over 1,000 units of structure added to the lake improving just under 2 miles of shoreline in 2 areas of the lake; structure included Christmas tree bundles, larger cedar trees, pallet structures, stake buckets, plastic pallet structures and wooden spool structures |
| Grayson Lake | 4 refurbished brush sites (Christmas tree sites - 150 trees) |
| Southeastern Fishery District |  |
| Lake Cumberland | 1 new brush site (25 trees) |
| Laurel River Lake | 10 new brush sites (40 Christmas trees per site) |
| Eastern Fishery District |  |
| Buckhorn Lake | 4 new Christmas tree reefs; 2 refurbished Christmas tree reefs; 16 new pallet structures; 200 lbs of winter wheat sowed |
| Carr Creek Lake | 2 new brushpiles; 6 refurbished brushpiles; 2 refurbished Christmas tree reefs; 16 hinge-cut trees; 1 rock pile |
| Dewey Lake | 9 new brushpiles; 16 refurbished brushpiles; 3 Christmas tree reefs refurbished; 89 hinge-cut trees; 1 new stake bed; 4 refurbished stake beds; 250 lbs winter wheat sowed; aquatic plants planted (water willow, floating leaf pondweed, chara, bald cypress) |
| Fishtrap Lake | 19 refurbished brushpiles; 2 refurbished Christmas tree reefs; 140 hinge-cut trees |
| Martin County Lake (Milo) | 3 new brushpiles; 5 hinge-cut trees |
| Paints ville Lake | 4 new brushpiles; 1 refurbished christmas tree reef |
| Yatesville Lake | 12 refurbished brushpiles; 1 refurbished christmas tree reef; 4 hingecut trees |

## WESTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

Project B: Technical Guidance

## FINDINGS

Table 1. Technical guidance given to pond owners in the Western Fishery District during the 2014 project year. An additional 82 telephone calls to the office regarding technical guidance and stocking were also handled.

## County

Date of
Pond Owner Inspection
Findings
Management Recommendations

## Ballard

Derail and Tammie
Munsell

Derail and Tammie Munsell

30-Sep

30-Sep
back pond - low DO and Alk., no bass in sample
front pond-C.W.Primrose

## Calloway

Donnie Roberson

Bobby McCuistion

Eric Penniston

Bill Sampson

## Carlisle

## Robert Blasil

## Crittenden

Steve Harper

Graves
Keith Hayden

Keith Hayden
Robert Camden -
LakeShore Drive

## Hickman

Rick Stutts

28-Jul

28-Jul

8-Sep

2-Oct

22-May

2-Jul

20-Jun

30-Sep

20-Jun
C.W.Primrose, Fil. Algae, cattails,

Chara, clear water, poor BLG recruitment

Scholtz - stunted LMB, Naiad, C.W.Primrose
poor BLG fishery, clear water
clear water, Fil. Algae, Pondweed, C.W.Primrose, Naiad, and Cattails stunted LMB, clear water, thermocline

Aeration, Ag. Lime, stock bass
from other pond
treat vegetation with Rodeo, ShoreKlear or Weed Trine D

Stock 30 LMB, 15 Ccat, Copper
Sulfate
Ag. Lime, wait 2 yrs on bass harvest, harvest some BLG, treat algae with Copper Sulfate, Fertilize in Spring
Ag. Lime, stock 200 LMB, fertilize, add fish habitat
treat algae with Cutrine Plus, Pondweed with WeedTrine D, stock fathead minnows, add habitat
low Alkalinity, no small BLG, Add Ag. Lime, stock fathead minnows, remove stunted bass, Fertilizer in Spring, fish habitat
stock fathead minnows, Apply
Rodeo to primrose, stock grass carp, Copper Sulfate, fertilizer
harvest some LMB, treat vegetation
stock fathead minnows, fish habitat, fertilize in Spring
wrote extensive vegetation plan for treatment, fishery OK
visited pond many times, to shallow, constant vegetation problem, Dig the Lake out

Table 1 continued.

## County

| Pond Owner | Date of Inspection | Findings | Management Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livingston |  |  |  |
| Eric Cannon | 22-Apr | pond too shallow, C.W. Primrose, <br> Fil. Algae, clear water | best option is to dig out pond, or treat C.W.Primrose with 2-4-D, Copper Sulfate for Algae |
| Marshall |  |  |  |
| David Culp | 29-May | stunted LMB, clear water | harvest some LMB, fertilize in Spring, add fish habitat |
| Callie Knott | 22-May | stunted LMB, no BLG | stock Ccat, harvest stunted bass, stock BLG |
| Peggy Castlemen | 12-Jun | fish kill, DO issues, Pondweed, Duck weed | Extreme high (16) DO at surface, low at 3' (2), aeration, extreme algae bloom |
| McCracken |  |  |  |
| Jan Schoborg | 23-Jun | fish kill, C.W.Primrose | neighborhood lake, restock |
| Mike Boatwright | 21-Apr | stunted bass, Fil. Algae | Harvest some LMB, stock fathead minnows, shallow water fish habitat, treat Algae, with Copper |
| Bruce Johnson | 21-Apr | lake flood from Ohio River, rough fish present, muddy water | Sulfate contact commercial angler to fish lake, add Ag. Lime |

## NORTHWESTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

Project B: Technical Guidance

## FINDINGS

Eighteen on-site pond visits were provided to 15 pond owners in 2014. Problems include unbalanced fish populations, excessive amounts of aquatic vegetation, liming and/or fertilization needs and the presence of nuisance fish species. Table 1 contains problems encountered and management recommendations. Many other requests for information were handled via telephone, e-mail and office visits.

Table 1. On-site technical guidance provided to pond ow ners in the Northw estern Fishery District in 2014

| County | Pond/Lake Ow ner | Date | Findings | Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Breckinridge | KY FFA Camp | 5/23/14 | Few, large LMB, blue green algae | Stock remedial LMB, w ater quality investigation |
| Breckinridge | Wayne Meyer | 5/23/14 | Stunted LMB, vegetation issue | Remove 25 LMB, vegetation control |
| Daviess | Brandon Kellems | 4/24/14 | No boat access, good color, ow ner not catching fish | Continue fishing, keep harvest log, restock in fall if no fish caught |
| Daviess | Lake Forest HOA | 4/24/14 | Look at 4 lakes w/ veg issues | grass carp and chemical treatment as needed |
| Daviess | Steven Bryson | 4/24/14 | Clear, deep, no boat access, filamentous algae | Lime, fertilize, chemical algae treatment as needed |
| Daviess | Vicki Doss | 4/24/14 | Clear, vegetation issue | Chemical treatment as needed |
| Daviess | William Purdy | 5/19/14 | Stunted LMB, no BG, no cover, clear w ater | Remove $\sim 25$ LMB, stock 200 remedial BG, add dense cover, begin fertilizing 2015 |
| Hancock | Hancock Co Fish \& Game | 5/23/14 | Stunted LMB, very clear w ater, undesirable species | Remove sublegal LMB, lime, fertilize, remove undesirables |
| Hardin | Hardin Co SC | 6/11/14 | Stunted LMB, very clear w ater, vegetation issue | Remove ~750 LMB, fertilize, vegetation control as needed |
| Henderson | Audubon State Park lake | 6/3/14 | Bass/BG OK, lots shad and other undesirables | Shad kill Jan 2015, remove other undesirables as caught |
| McLean | Kevin Perry \#1 | 5/22/14 | Stunted LMB, vegetation issue | Remove 75 LMB, vegetation control |
| McLean | \#2 | 5/22/14 | Vegetation issue, could not sample | Vegetation control, w ill re-visit at later date |
| McLean | \#3 | 5/22/14 | Stunted LMB, clear, no structure | Remove 25 LMB, add cover, fertilize |
| Muhlenberg | Lake Luzerne, Muhlenbger Co FC | 5/19/14 | Good LMB, BG, RE | Add off shore structure in a few locations, continue current management |
| Ohio | City of Fordsville | 5/19/14 | Turbid w ater, Good LMB/BG, crappie, w armouth | Remove undesirables, continue current management, investigate source of turbidit) |
| Ohio | Josh Tichener \#1 | 5/22/14 | Stunted LMB, no cover, very clear w ater | Remove 25 LMB, add cover, fertilize |
| Ohio | \#2 | 5/22/14 | Good sportfish pop, vegetation issue, undesirables | Remove undesirables, vegetation control, continue current sportfish management |
| Union | Moffit Lake, Union Co FC | 5/12/14 | Stunted LMB, all big BG/RE, muddy w ater | Remove $\sim 1000$ LMB <14", add shoreline cover for BG/RE fry, vegetate shoreline |

## SOUTHWESTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

Project B: Technical Guidance

## FINDINGS

Onsite technical guidance given during 2014: Emails and phone calls also taken, but were not enumerated.

Table 1: Onsite technical guidance visits during 2014

| County | Date | Landowner | Problem/Situation | Recommendations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adair | $6 / 13$ | Don Knifeley |  <br> bottom withdrawal | None - lake destratifed. |
| Barren | $7 / 25$ | Bill Reynolds Jr. | No bass \& misc. other spp. | Remedial bass stocking or kill out |
| Butler | $8 / 20$ | Brad Moss | New property \& pond mgt. | Watch veg. \& cut trees on dam. Fish <br> pop in good shape |
| Edmonson | $6 / 20$ | Donna Hennion | Bass crowded, but BG <br> excellent | Thin bass pop. |
| Logan | $6 / 19$ |  <br> Game Club | Aquatic veg. ID \& aeration <br> issues | Clean air stones on aerator, grass carp |
| Marion | $4 / 14$ | Knobs Haven |  <br> aesthics | Add grass carp, retrofit discharge for <br> bottom withdrawal |
|  | $6 / 30$ | Jerry Lanhum | Corrective stocking?? | Kill out - just had green sunfish |
|  | $6 / 23$ | James Langford | Low alkalinity/productivity | Lime, consider aeration \& cut dam trees |
|  | $6 / 23$ | Charles Ferrell Jr. | Low alkalinity, no bass | Add bass \& redear |
| $6 / 23$ | Ralph Hamilton | No bass \& veg. issues | Add bass \& grass carp |  |
| $6 / 20$ | Ron Frint | Aquatic veg. | Grass carp |  |

## CENTRAL FISHERIES DISTRICT

Project B: Technical Guidance

FINDINGS

A total of 46 pond owners and 51 ponds were visited in 2014. Most common problems were unbalanced fish populations, excessive aquatic plant growth, lack of fish cover, and the presence of undesirable fish species (Table 1). During our 2014 technical guidance sampling three landowners requested a Fisheries Special Management Permit (FMP) for their ponds. Finally, a total of 362 phone calls, 202 e-mails, and 6 walk-in office visits concerning farm pond problems were handled this year.

Table 1. Technical guidance in the Central Fisheries District in 2014.

| County | Name of lake / <br> pond owner | Date <br> sampled | Findings | Recommendations |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Bullitt <br> $(2)$ | Miles Place <br> Association | $6 / 26 / 14$ | Excessive vegetation | Herbicide for control of aquatic <br> vegetation |
|  | Thomas Armstrong | $7 / 31 / 14$ | Unbalanced fish populations | Stock BG |

Table 1. (continued)

| County | Name of lake / <br> pond owner | Date <br> sampled | Findings | Recommendations |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Oldham   <br> $(3)$ Pamela Conniff $7 / 22 / 14$ | Good fish populations; <br> vegetation issue | Herbicides for control of aquatic <br> vegetation |  |  |
|  | Bill Wehage | $7 / 22 / 14$ | Inaccessible due to size | Very small; difficult to manage |
|  | Ed Valentine | $8 / 18 / 14$ | Undesirable fish species and <br> shallow pond | Renovate an restock |

## NORTHEASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

Project B: Technical Guidance

## FINDINGS

Table 1 provides a list of ponds visited (13) in 2014 and our findings and recommendations. In addition to on-site inspections, consultations were rendered via telephone (50). Several office walk-in's and emails where also resolved. Typical problems responded to include: pond stocking, aquatic vegetation problems, undesirable species, fishing information, fish kills, farm pond management, fish pathogens, water quality, pond construction, structural problems with dams, and pond nuisances.

Table 1. On-site technical guidance provided by the Northeastern Fishery District during 2014.

| County | Name | Date | Findings | Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bourbon | M. Davis | 27-Aug | Unbalanced-no largemouth bass | Stock 75 largemouth bass 4-6" |
| Clark | J. Kuperstein | 17-Apr | Found tilapia in 2013. Went back to check for any survivors | No tilapia were found and recommendation from 2013 sample remained the same |
|  | O. Rowland | 17-Apr | Vegetation problem, also has a balanced population with low numbers | Apply Aquathol Super K granular and continue present stocking practices |
| Elliot | W. Pentansky | 9-Sep | Unbalanced small pond with few large fish | Harvest large fish and stock 25 adult bleugill |
| Madison | R. Keith | 10-Sep | Vegetation problem | Apply Sonar RTU and Glyphosate product |
| Montgomery | J. Zakrzewski | 23-Jul | P1-had fish kill and owner wants channel catfish <br> P2-vegetatation problem <br> P3-small woodland pond with low ph | P1-stock 75 adult bleugill and 40 channel catish <br> P2-apply sonar <br> P3-take soil test and apply lime |
| Morgan | G. Lewis | 18-Jul | Unbalanced-low bluegill numbers | Stock 100 2-3" bluegill |
|  | T. Mays | 18-Jul | Balanced with low numbers, low ph | Perform soil test and apply lime |
| Nicholas | J. Grabes | 27-Aug | Upon arrival discovered major fish kill looked to be complete on larger fish | Rescheduled for spring and answered many questions |
| Rowan | N. Gregory | 30-Oct | Unbalanced and has vegetation problem | Stock 50, 4-6" bluegill and apply glyphosate |
|  | A. Markwell | 4-May | Vegetation problem | Apply Copper Sulfate and Rodeo |

## SOUTHEASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT

Project B: Technical Guidance

## FINDINGS

Details of the technical guidance provided during 2014 are shown in Table 1. Technical guidance (17) was provided by on-site visits (14), over the telephone, or by written correspondence. Topics encountered and responded to included: fish population balance, water quality problems, fish disease, fish stocking, and aquatic vegetation problems.

Several other requests for information (approximately 200) about area fisheries and miscellaneous information about fish management in lakes and ponds were handled over the telephone.

Table 1. Technical guidance provided in the Southeastern Fishery District during 2014.

| County | Name of pond or pond owner | Date | Findings | Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Casey | Elmer Coleman | 7/29 | Requested pond stocking application | Sent stocking application |
|  | Frank Tomeo |  | Requested pond stocking application | Sent stocking application |
| Knox | David Lockhart | 5/21 | Balanced fish population but low numbers of fish | Add lime; add woody cover; add grass carp to control submerged vegetation; consider fertilizing |
| Laurel | Cardinal Heights | 6/10 | Bass slightly overcrowded | Limit inflow of sediment; remove some bass; add cover; consider addling lime and aeration |
|  | Hank Vaugh | 7/23 | Bass overcrowded | Remove skinny bass; add cover; aerate and fertilize |
|  | Sherry Kirby | 8/5 | Fish population balanced; excessive vegetation; green sunfish and bullheads observed | Add cover; remove green sunfish and bullheads; use diquat for control of naiads; stock grass carp for extended vegetation control |
| Lincoln | Greg Breyer | 7/18 | Bass slightly overcrowded | Remove some bass; consider liming the pond; consider aeration |
|  | James Sims | 7/11 | Small crappie observed and water turbid | Restock the pond; remove horses and geese from around pond; add cover; reduce turbidity |
|  | Peter Tate | 5/30 | Rotenone pond: green sunfish still present | Rotenone pond again |
|  |  | 5/30 | Pond \#2: Balanced fish population and abundant pondweeds | Stock more grass carp to control vegetation |
| Pulaski | Cooper Power Plant | 3/7 | Requested water quality tests | Water quality fine; provided stocking application |
|  | David Gilbert | 6/17 | Some bass skinny | Remove skinny bass; add cover; limit sediment inflow; consider fertilizing |
|  | Ann Mounce | 9/26 | Grass carp dying; abundant watermeal | Low dissolved oxygen levels; use Clipper to treat watermeal; aerate |
| Rockcastle | Julia Lamb | 8/5 | Abundant filamentous algae and naiads | Control vegetation with herbicides; stock grass carp for extended control |
| Whitley | Richard Brasher | 5/21 | Requested advice for pond being developed | Increase the slope of the bank |
|  | Jerry Rains | 5/21 | Bass slightly skinny; bluegill skewed towards larger size; considerable amount of black muck on pond bottom | Add grass carp to control vegetation; harvest $1 / 3$ of the large bluegill; aerate pond; add woody cover; stock 12-25 catfish |
|  | Roger Wells | 7/17 | Balanced fish population | Add cover; lime; stock 4 grass carp; use Rodeo to control cattails |
| Madison | Gordon Edwards | 4/3 | Requested fish supplier list | Sent fish supplier list |

# EASTERN FISHERY DISTRICT 

Project B: Technical Guidance

## FINDINGS

Details of the technical guidance provided during 2014 are shown in Table 1. Technical guidance (28) was provided by on-site visits (5), over the telephone, or by written correspondence. Topics encountered and responded to included: fish population balance, water quality problems, fish disease, fish stocking, and aquatic vegetation problems.

Several other requests for information about area fisheries and miscellaneous information about fish management in lakes and ponds were handled over the telephone.

Table 1. Pond technical guidance in the Eastern Fishery District during 2014.

| Date | County | Owner | Problem | Recommendations |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 / 6$ | Pike | Johns Crk.Paylake | stocking | fish dealer list |
| *3/6 | Johnson | Dave Hall | weeds | Aquathol Granular |
| $3 / 13$ | Law rence | Donivan Waugh | renovated pond | sent KDFWR stock applic., pond book |
| $3 / 17$ | Law rence | Dan Fitzpatrick | fertilizer | liquid 9-18-9 |
| $4 / 7$ | Harlan | George Whitfield | building pond, permits refer to NRCS, London Ky |  |
| $4 / 8$ | Letcher | Buddy Sexton | renovated pond | web site for app., help fill out |
| $4 / 14$ | Harlin | Otis Noe | grass carp | grass carp private dealer list |
| $4 / 15$ | W.VA. | Edd | Pay Lake Fish | refer to Frankfort |
| *4/17 | Johnson | Ron Fraley | Algae (filamentous) | copper sulfate |
| $5 / 8$ | Floyd | Bob Bradley | pond stocking | fish dealer list |
| $5 / 19$ | Law rence | Curt Fitzpatrick | w eeds, chara | stop fertilizing, cutrine plus |
| $5 / 19$ | Floyd | William Reed | new pond | pond stocking app., and LEO officer contact info |
| $5 / 19$ | Pike | James May | w eeds | Cutrine, Shore Clear |
| $5 / 22$ | Johnson | Linda Hamilton | pond stocking | fish supplier list |
| $6 / 6$ | Harlan | Eddie Brigman | pond water red | dinoflagellate stop feeding fish 2-3 w eeks |
| $6 / 6$ | Martin | Closter Bow en | Water Shield | Shore Klear plus or Sonar AS |
| $6 / 12$ | Floyd | Billy Burchett | w eeds | rew ard/cutrine-plus |
| *6/17 | Floyd | Betty Puckett | pond balance | low pH add lime |
| $6 / 18$ | Law rence | Brian Davidson | new pond cons. | refer to NRCS |
| *7/3 | Law rence | Darrell Walker | fish die off, w aterme aeration, rew ard |  |
| $8 / 11$ | Floyd | Brent Allen | fish dying | renovate pond and increase depth |
| $8 / 18$ | Law rence | Allen Holbrook | catfish stocking | fish supplier list |
| $8 / 18$ | Law rence | Kevin Culp | pond balance | harvest larger fish, add brush piles |
| *9/16 | Johnson | Danny Fitch | fish dying | aerate, \& remove dead fish |
| $9 / 17$ | Magoffin | Scotty How ard | fish dying, w ater mea aerate, remove dead fish \& watermeal (Rew ard) |  |
| $10 / 17$ | Perry | lshmael Stacy | pond stocking | make up for 2013 Stocking program |
| $10 / 20$ | Johnson | Sherry Slone | new pond cons. | pond book NRCS |
| $12 / 8$ | Magoffin | Myra How ard | sick fish | stocked stressed fish, need to monitor fish closely |

[^23]
## Project C - Fish Propagation and Transportation

Table 1. Kentucky Department of Fish \& Wildlife Resource's fish production list for 2014.




|  |  | Planned |  | Actual |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Hatchery | No. | Size <br> (in) | No. | Size <br> (in) | Location |  |
| Rainbow trout | Wolf Creek | 8,000 | 9.0 | 8,000 | 9.0 | Casey Creek - Trigg County |  |
|  |  | 6,800 | 9.0 | 4,800 | 9.0 | Cave Run Lake TW (Licking River) - Rowan County |  |
|  |  | 21,000 | 9.0 | 20,499 | 9.0 | Cedar Creek Lake - Lincoln County |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Cherokee Park Lake - Jefferson County |  |
|  |  | 1,200 | 9.0 | 1,200 | 9.0 | Clear Creek - Bell County |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Craney Creek - Rowan County |  |
|  |  | 5,000 | 9.0 | 5,000 | 9.0 | Cranks Creek Lake - Harlan County |  |
|  |  | 4,000 | 9.0 | 4,000 | 9.0 | Dewey Lake tailwater (Johns Creek) - Floyd County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Dickerson Lake - Meade County |  |
|  |  | 4,500 | 9.0 | 1,800 | 9.0 | East Fork Indian Creek - Menifee County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Easy Walker Park - Montgomery County |  |
|  |  | 1,600 | 9.0 | 1,600 | 9.0 | Elk Spring Creek - Wayne County |  |
|  |  | 2,000 | 9.0 | 2,000 | 9.0 | Fagen Branch - Marion County |  |
|  |  | 3,000 | 9.0 | 3,000 | 9.0 | Fisherman's Park Lake (2 lakes) - Jefferson County |  |
|  |  | 5,000 | 9.0 | 5,000 | 9.0 | Fishpond Lake - Letcher County |  |
|  |  | 10,000 | 9.0 | 10,000 | 9.0 | Fishtrap Lake tailwater (Levisa Fork) - Pike County |  |
|  |  | 5,250 | 9.0 | 5,250 | 9.0 | Ft. Campbell - Christian County |  |
|  |  | 4,000 | 9.0 | 11,508 | 9.0 | Ft. Knox (Otter Creek) - Meade County |  |
|  |  | 3,600 | 9.0 | 3,600 | 9.0 | Floyds Fork (2 sites) - Jefferson County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Goose Creek - Casey County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Grants Branch Lake - Pike County |  |
|  |  | 5,000 | 9.0 | 5,000 | 9.0 | Grayson Lake tw (Little Sandy River) - Carter County |  |
|  |  | 1,200 | 9.0 | 1,200 | 9.0 | Greasy Creek - Leslie County |  |
|  |  | 11,000 | 9.0 | 5,500 | 9.0 | Greenbo Lake - Greenup County |  |
|  |  | 4,500 | 9.0 | 4,500 | 9.0 | Herrington Lake tailwater - Garrard/Mercer Co. |  |
|  |  | 2,750 | 9.0 | 2,750 | 9.0 | Highsplint Lake - Pike County |  |
|  |  | 500 | 9.0 | 500 | 9.0 | Hood Creek - Johnson County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Jack C. Fisher Park Lake - Daviess County |  |
|  |  | 12,000 | 9.0 | 12,000 | 9.0 | Jacobson Park Lake - Fayette County |  |
|  |  | 7,000 | 9.0 | 7,000 | 9.0 | Jennings Creek - Warren County |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Kingdom Come Lake - Harlan County |  |
|  |  | 161,000 | 9.0 | 127,845 | 9.0 | Lake Cumberland tailwater (Cumberland River) Russell/Clinton/Cumberland/Monroe counties |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 45,000 | 9.0 | 41,152 | 9.0 | Laurel River Lake - Laurel County |  |
|  |  | 250 | 9.0 | 250 | 9.0 | Laurel River Lake tailwater - Laurel/Whitley counties |  |
|  |  | 3,000 | 9.0 | 2,500 | 9.0 | Laurel Creek - Elliott County |  |
|  |  | 1,250 | 9.0 | 1,250 | 9.0 | Lick Fork Creek - Simpson County |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Line Fork - Letcher County |  |
|  |  | 800 | 9.0 | 800 | 9.0 | Little Sandy River (East Fork) - Boyd County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Looney Creek - Harlan County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Lower Sportsman's Lake - Franklin County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Lusby Park Lake- Scott County |  |
|  |  | 2,500 | 9.0 | 2,500 | 9.0 | Lynn Camp Creek - Hart County |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Madisonville City Park Lake North - Hopkins County |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Martin County Reservoir - Martin County |  |


|  |  | Planned |  | Actual |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Hatchery | No. | Size <br> (in) | No. | Size <br> (in) | Location |  |
| Rainbow trout | Wolf Creek | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Martins Fork Lake tailwater - Harlan C | ounty |
|  |  | 500 | 9.0 | 500 | 9.0 | Metcalfe County Lake - Metcalfe Coun |  |
|  |  | 3,000 | 9.0 | 750 | 9.0 | Middle Fork Red River - Powell Count |  |
|  |  | 3,000 | 9.0 | 3,000 | 9.0 | Middleton Mills Park Lakes (2) - Kento | n County |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Mike Miller Park Lake - Marshall County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Miles Park Lake \#3 - Jefferson County |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | M iles Park Lake \#4 - Jefferson County |  |
|  |  | 6,000 | 9.0 | 6,000 | 9.0 | M ill Creek Lake - Powell County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Millenium Park Lake - Boyle County |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Milo Lake - Martin County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | M ingo Lake - Jessamine County |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Mortons Lake - Union County |  |
|  |  | 14,000 | 9.0 | 14,000 | 9.0 | Nolin River Lake tailwater - Edmonson |  |
|  |  | 1,400 | 9.0 | 1,400 | 9.0 | North Fork Triplett Creek - Rowan Co | nty |
|  |  | 7,500 | 9.0 | 11,508 | 9.0 | Otter Creek - Meade County |  |
|  |  | 3,250 | 9.0 | 5,750 | 9.0 | Paintsville Lake - Johnson/M organ Coun | nties |
|  |  | 20,000 | 9.0 | 19,650 | 9.0 | Paintsville Lake tailwater - Johnson Co | unty |
|  |  | 6,000 | 9.0 | 3,000 | 9.0 | Panbowl Lake - (Breathitt County) |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,500 | 9.0 | Panther Creek Park Lake - Daviess Coun | nty |
|  |  | 5,250 | 9.0 | 5,250 | 9.0 | Peabody WMA (3 lakes) |  |
|  |  | 750 | 9.0 | 750 | 9.0 | Peter Creek - Barren County |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Pollywog Lake - Grant County |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Prisoner's Lake - Kenton County |  |
|  |  | 800 | 9.0 | 500 | 9.0 | Raven Creek - Harrison County |  |
|  |  | 800 | 9.0 | 800 | 9.0 | Rockcastle Creek - Martin County |  |
|  |  | 15,600 | 9.0 | 15,625 | 9.0 | Rock Creek (South Fork Cumberland |  |
|  |  | 750 | 9.0 | 750 | 9.0 | Rough Creek - Hardin County |  |
|  |  | 3,000 | 9.0 | 3,000 | 9.0 | Rough River Lake tw - Breckinridge/Gr | ayson Counties |
|  |  | 2,800 | 9.0 | 2,800 | 9.0 | Roundstone Creek - Hart County |  |
|  |  | 1,600 | 9.0 | 1,600 | 9.0 | Royal Springs - Scott County |  |
|  |  | 2,250 | 9.0 | 2,250 | 9.0 | Russell Fork - Pike County |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Sandy Lee Watkins Park Lake - Hender | son County |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Scott County Park - Scott County |  |
|  |  | 1,200 | 9.0 | 1,200 | 9.0 | Sinking Creek - Breckinridge County |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,500 | 9.0 | Southgate - Boone County |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Stanford Lake - Lincoln County |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Station Camp Creek - Estill County |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Stein Lake - Campbell County |  |
|  |  | 800 | 9.0 | 800 | 9.0 | Sturgeon Creek - Lee County |  |
|  |  | 2,500 | 9.0 | 2,500 | 9.0 | Sulphur Spring Creek - Simpson Count |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 9.0 | 1,000 | 9.0 | Swift Camp Creek - Wolfe County |  |
|  |  | 3,000 | 9.0 | 3,000 | 9.0 | Tay lorsville Lake tailwater - Spencer Cour | ounty |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Three Springs Park Lake - Warren County |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Tom Wallace Lake - Jefferson County |  |
|  |  | 8,750 | 9.0 | 8,750 | 9.0 | Trammel Fork - Allen County |  |
|  |  | 1,600 | 9.0 | 1,650 | 9.0 | Triplett Creek - Rowan County |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 4,583 | 9.0 | Upper Sportsman's Lake - Franklin Count | unty |
|  |  | 2,500 | 9.0 | 3,300 | 9.0 | War Fork - Jackson County |  |


|  |  | Planned |  | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Hatchery | No. | Size <br> (in) | No. | Size <br> (in) | Location |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3,750 | 9.0 | 3,750 | 9.0 | Watterson Park Lake - Jefferson County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Waverly Park Lake - Jefferson County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Waymond M orris Park Lake - Daviess County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6,250 | 9.0 | 6,250 | 9.0 | Whitehall Pond - Madison County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8,000 | 9.0 | 7,991 | 9.0 | Wood Creek Lake - Laurel County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2,250 | 9.0 | 2,250 | 9.0 | Yatesville Lake tailwater |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,500 | 9.0 | 1,750 | 9.0 | Yellow Creek Lake - Daviess County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 661,150 | 9.0 | 618,709 | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brown trout | Wolf Creek | 500 | 8.0 | 500 | 8.0 | Bark Camp Creek - Whitley County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 250 | 8.0 | 4,000 | 8.0 | Big Caney Creek - Elliott County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 450 | 4.0 | 450 | 4.0 | Chimney Top Creek - Wolfe County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 400 | 8.0 | 400 | 8.0 | East Fork Indian Creek - Menifee County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 750 | 8.0 | 750 | 8.0 | Fletchers Fork - Ft. Campbell M ilitary Reservation |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 8.0 | 2,175 | 8.0 | Herrington Lake tailwater - Garrard/Mercer Co. |  |  |  |
|  |  | 200 | 8.0 | 800 | 8.0 | Jennings Creek - Warren County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 38,000 | 8.0 | 76,549 | 8.0 | Lake Cumberland tailwater |  |  |  |
| Table 1 (cont). Kentucky Department of Fish \& Wildlife Resource's fish production list for 2014. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Species | Hatchery | No. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Size } \\ \text { (in) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Location |  |  |  |
| Brown trout | Wolf Creek | 250 | 8.0 | 250 | 8.0 | Laurel Creek - Elliott County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 250 | 8.0 | 250 | 8.0 | Laurel River Lake tailwater |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2,500 | 8.0 | 2,500 | 8.0 | Little West Fork - Ft. Campbell M ilitary Reservation |  |  |  |
|  |  | 700 | 8.0 | 700 | 8.0 | Looney Creek - Harlan County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,000 | 8.0 | 1,000 | 8.0 | Nolin Tailwater - Edmonson County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 500 | 8.0 | 500 | 8.0 | Otter Creek - Meade County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 300 | 8.0 | 300 | 8.0 | Paint Creek - Johnson County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 300 | 8.0 | 1,000 | 8.0 | Roundstone Creek - Hart County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 100 | 8.0 | 300 | 8.0 | Sulphur Springs Creek - Simpson County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 400 | 8.0 | 1,150 | 8.0 | Trammel Fork - Allen County |  |  |  |
|  |  | 450 | 4.0 | 450 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 47,400 | 8.0 | 93,124 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brook trout | Wolf Creek | 40,000 | 8.0 | 11,847 | 8.0 | Lake Cumberland tailwater |  |  |  |
|  |  | Planned |  | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTALS: | 4,758,734 |  | 5,099,273 | Finge | lings |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 17,830,839 | Inclu | ing Fry |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Largemouth bass $=$ RSD $_{15}$, spotted bass $=$ RSD $_{14}$.
    nw d1psd.d14

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lake drawn down for repairs in 2009

[^2]:    列b.D02-D14

    *     - age data collected in fall

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Largemouth bass $=\mathrm{RSD}_{15}$, spotted bass and smallmouth bass $=\mathrm{RSD}_{14}$.

    * No fish of sufficient size w ere collected during sampling.
    sw dbrlbb.d14

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bluegill= $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$; redear sunfish= $\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Bluegill= $=\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$; redear sunfish= $\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$ swdmclbg.D14

[^6]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{A}}$ Bluegill= $\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$; redear sunfish= $\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$

    * No fish of sufficient size were collected during sampling
    swdsplbg.d14

[^7]:    sw dmetbg.D14
    *No fish greater than 8.0 in collected

[^8]:    *No age data, values carried over from years with age data sw dmetag.D07
    sw dmetbg.D05-D14

[^9]:    sw dspacc.d14

[^10]:    Dataset = cfdgntvl.d14

[^11]:    Dataset $=$ cfdpsgcl.d14

[^12]:    * Age data not collected

[^13]:    Dataset = cfdpsbvr.d14

[^14]:    Dataset $=$ cfdpscor.d14

[^15]:    Dataset $=$ cfdpsflf.d14

[^16]:    nedpsdmc.d14

[^17]:    *Largemouth bass $-\geq 8.0$ in = stock, $\geq 12.0$ in = quality, $\geq 15.0$ in = preferred
    *Smallmouth and spotted bass $-\geq 7.0$ in = stock, $\geq 11.0$ in $=$ quality, $\geq 14.0$ in = preferred
    sedpsdcb.d14
    sedpsdlr.d14
    bbrpsccl.d14
    sedpsdcl.d14
    sedpsddh.d14
    sedpsdll.d14
    sedpsdwc.d14

[^18]:    Largemouth bass - $\geq 8.0$ in = stock, $\geq 12.0$ in = quality, $\geq 15.0$ in = preferred.

[^19]:    sedpsdlr.d14

[^20]:    sedpsdlr.d14

[^21]:    sedpsdlr.d14

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bluegill $=\mathrm{RSD}_{8}$, Redear sunfish $=\mathrm{RSD}_{9}$
    sedbgcl.d14

[^23]:    *Designates on-site visit.

